

# 1 **Supplementary Information**

2 The following text provides additional information on this study's Methods and Results. The  
3 full manuscript, data, and code for all calculations are available as part of an R package that  
4 can be installed from an accompanying [GitHub repository](#). **Note to editors and reviewers: this**  
5 **repository is currently private, but will be made public upon acceptance of manuscript. In**  
6 **the interim, the entire project folder can be downloaded from the following [link](#).**

## 7 **Methods**

### 8 **Paper selection**

9 We used the 2012 Web of Science impact factors to select the highest ranked ecology-themed  
10 journals that published studies with an observational component, excluding journals devoted to  
11 reviews, meta-analyses, laboratory, cellular, or experimental studies. To select a representative  
12 sample of recent ecology studies, we downloaded the metadata for all papers published in the  
13 selected journals (Table S1) between 2004 and 2014. Our study involved six different observers  
14 (those reviewing the papers to extract the observational scales), each of whom was given a ran-  
15 domly selected batch of 500 titles. A separate set of 20 papers was also randomly selected, and  
16 was given to all observers to review independently in order to 1) calibrate the interpretations and  
17 extraction of scale-related information between observers, and 2) to estimate between-observer  
18 variance.

Table S1: The selected journals and their 2012 impact factors.

<b>Journal</b>	<b>Impact Factor</b>
Ecology Letters	17.95
Ecological Monographs	8.09
Frontiers In Ecology And The Environment	7.62
Global Ecology And Biogeography	7.22
Global Change Biology	6.91
Diversity And Distributions	6.12
Methods In Ecology And Evolution	5.92
Proceedings Of The Royal Society B-biological Sciences	5.68
Journal Of Ecology	5.43

Table S1: The selected journals and their 2012 impact factors.

<b>Journal</b>	<b>Impact Factor</b>
Ecology	5.17
Ecography	5.12
Journal Of Biogeography	4.86
Functional Ecology	4.86
Journal Of Animal Ecology	4.84
Journal Of Applied Ecology	4.74
American Naturalist	4.55
Conservation Biology	4.36
Ecological Applications	3.81
Biological Conservation	3.79
Biogeosciences	3.75
Bulletin Of The American Museum Of Natural History	3.48
Biology Letters	3.35
Oikos	3.32
Behavioral Ecology	3.22
Ecosystems	3.17
Advances In Ecological Research	3.08
Oecologia	3.01
Landscape Ecology	2.90
Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment	2.86
Ecological Economics	2.85

## Estimating observational scales

Each observer first reviewed the papers in the calibration set, and then commenced reviewing papers in their individual random draws, beginning at the top of the list and then proceeding until at least 20 eligible papers describing ecological observations were reviewed. In cases where the reviewed papers used observations that were described in another publication, we reviewed those source papers in order to extract the observational dimensions. We excluded papers that were opinion or perspectives pieces (unless they presented or used existing observational data), theoretical studies based on generated data, or those which were entirely based on experimental manipulations. We left out the latter category because our intent was to evaluate the domains for observations of natural systems, and we wanted to avoid the bias that would be imposed by the

relatively narrow spatial and temporal scales of experiments (1, 2). A bibliography of the reviewed papers follows the References and Notes section below.

We recorded six primary dimensions of ecological observations, three related to space and three related to time. The space-related dimensions were resolution, extent, and actual extent. Here extent was primarily defined as the area falling within a perimeter defined by the outermost spatial replicates, while actual extent was defined as the summed area of all spatial replicates (i.e.  $N * \text{resolution}$ , where  $N$  is the number of spatial replicates, which we also recorded), or the area that ecologists observe in practice. In assessing spatial scales, our analysis only considered the Cartesian plane. We did not calculate the  $z$ , or depth, dimension, although this dimension is of greater importance for certain sub-disciplines of ecology (e.g. depth profiles in marine ecology). In some cases (primarily paleoecological studies), values extracted from the  $z$ -dimension provided temporal information that was used to calculate both the interval and the duration of the observation.

For time dimensions, we extracted information related to interval, duration, and actual duration. Duration was defined as the time between the first and last temporal replicate, whereas actual duration quantifies the amount of time spent observing a particular location, which we calculated by multiplying sampling duration (the time spent collecting a single temporal replicate) by the number of temporal replicates.

A full definition of all dimensions and how they were recorded is contained within the answers to the list of questions below. This set of Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) was provided to each observer for initial study and reference, and adapted as necessary during the course of the study, in order to ensure methodological consistency (see next section).

## **Scale FAQ**

### **General:**

**Q1. *What are the general inclusion/exclusion criteria for studies?*** Studies should be excluded

from this analysis if they are: 1) opinion/perspectives pieces; 2) book reviews; 3) model-only studies, particularly theoretical models that are not developed or tested against observations; 4) if they are experimental manipulations (but if a study has a mix of observational and experimental treatments, record the former but exclude the latter).

**Q2. *What are the standard categories to be used for defining observational method?*** Define observational method according to the following categories: Remote sensing or other geographic data (e.g. non-remotely sensed GIS data), passive/automated data collection, field/direct observation, or paleo-reconstruction (tree rings, charcoal cores, etc).

**Q3. *What happens when the study draws on a separately published dataset as a key part of the methods?*** Track down the study describing the paper, and then record the DOI of that paper/those papers.

**Q4. *What is the best unique identifier of a study I am reviewing?*** The DOI!

**Q5. *What do I record for a time or space scale when it is not clearly reported in the paper, or when I am unsure? For example, in a paleo-ecological study looking at historical charcoal deposition, sediment cores were extracted from lakes, which the authors report as the number of samples. However, it is unclear how many sediment cores were drawn from each lake, and it is these which should be the number of spatial replicates.*** For these sorts of issues, we record that the scale in question is uncertain, and then your best estimate of the measure (e.g. you might assume that only 1 core was made per lake).

### **Temporal scales:**

**Q6. *What is interval, and how do we record it?*** Interval is the time that elapsed between repeated observations of the same point in space or individual organism. In many cases, observations will only be made one time—list a value of 0 for these.

77 **Q7. *What is sampling duration, and how do we record it?*** How long an individual observation  
78 of an individual point in space took to make. Sampling duration multiplied by the number  
79 of repeat observations is used to calculate *actual duration* (see Q9). This value will often  
80 not be reported, so you will have to use your best judgement, based on your knowledge of  
81 ecological methods, to approximate the sampling duration. For example, for a field based  
82 method with intensive plot methods, if not enough information is provided to estimate a  
83 plausible sampling duration, assign a token 1 day. For remote sensing observations you can  
84 assume one second (although the observations are effectively instantaneous).

85 **Q8. *What is duration, and how do we estimate it?*** The duration is the total period of time over  
86 which the phenomenon of interest was observed. More specifically, in the case of repeated  
87 observations, this is the total time that elapsed between the first and last observations at  
88 a given point in space (or of the same individual organism or community). For once-off  
89 (unreplicated) observations, this time is equivalent to the sampling duration. However, there  
90 may be cases where once-off observations have a longer duration than the sample duration.  
91 For example, consider a study that counts occurrences of pollinators over three years, using  
92 transects that are located in different locations within the broader study area during each  
93 year (3). The observations are therefore not strictly temporally replicated, but the authors  
94 control for year of collection in their subsequent analysis to avoid confounding effects. In  
95 this case, we can consider the effective duration to be three years, as the temporal information  
96 is encoded in the analysis.

97 **Q9. *What is actual duration, and how do we calculate it?*** The actual duration is the integral of  
98 sampling duration (see Q7), or the time spent making one observation of the phenomenon  
99 in question. To clarify, actual duration is the total time spent sampling/observing a single  
100 point in space—not the span of time between first and last sample (duration), nor the integral

of time spent in observing all spatial replicates (see Q10).

**Q10. *Should actual duration be the total time spent sampling all sites or the amount of time spent sampling per site (e.g. for 5 minute point counts of birds at 10 sites each repeated twice, should we enter 100 minutes (5 minutes X 2 repeats X 10 sites) or 10 minutes (5 minutes X 2 repeats) for duration)?*** As stated in Q9, actual duration is the total spent observing a single point in space, so in this case that would be 10 minutes (then converted to days, so  $10 / (60 * 24)$ )).

**Q11. *How do we record duration and actual duration when there are no repeat observations?***

In these cases, duration and actual duration are both equal to sampling duration.

**Q12. *How do I record interval in cases where the interval is inconsistent? For example, in a study where observations were repeated in 1979, 1980, 1981, 1984, 2007, 2009?*** Find the time between each successive period, and then take the average of that (remember to convert to days!). If there are two or more sets of unevenly spaced days for each site/plot/measurement being taken in the study, then find the average interval for each, and average the averages.

**Q13. *How do you determine the interval for paleo-reconstructions?*** Use the minimum estimate for dating precision as the estimate of time between samples (e.g. 50 years in the study of European charcoal deposits (4)).

**Q14. *How do you determine the sample duration (our third time category) for paleo-reconstructions?***

Similar to the answer to the previous question, the sampling duration is also the same as the minimum estimate of dating precision. The logic behind this is that in such cases, where a sediment or tree core or similar measurement is being collected, this effectively represents a continuous “observation”, and the value associated with the minimum (or other reported) interval is typically an average (or another summary statistic like the maximum) of the amount

124 accumulated.

125 Q15. *What about intervals and durations for instrument-collected, or automated sensor-collected,*  
126 *observations?* These are often similar to the paleo-reconstruction case. Take the minimum  
127 temperature or daily rainfall recorded at a weather station, which require constant observa-  
128 tion over 24 hours to report. In such cases, the interval and sampling duration are both 24  
129 hours. On the other hand, automated logging systems often provide a series of high fre-  
130 quency observations that are collected instantaneously. In these cases the sampling duration  
131 should be a token one second (to keep consistent with remote sensing [Q7]), and the interval  
132 should be the period between successive instantaneous measurements.

133 Q16. *How do you treat interval for a case where repeated samples are taken during a sea-*  
134 *son, across several seasons (e.g. “we performed repeat bird counts every 10 days between*  
135 *March and June of 2005, 2006, and 2008”)?* Since the sampling is focused on seasons, and  
136 presumably interested in some season-dependent phenomenon (e.g. breeding behavior), the  
137 reported values should be pegged to the season, not averaged across the duration (the start  
138 and end dates of the study). So in this example it would be 10 days.

#### 139 Spatial scales:

140 Q17. *What is resolution, and how do I record it?* This is the finest scale at which a complete  
141 measurement of every unit of the quantity of interest is recorded. For example, if the mea-  
142 surement in question is a tree stem count, the resolution is determined by the size of the plot  
143 used to record every tree stem. Taking this example further, let’s say a study reports a plot  
144 size of 100 x 100 m, but then goes on to report that they counted stems within a single 1  
145 m wide transect within this larger plot. In this case, the plot resolution is in fact 100 m x  
146 1 m, or 100 m<sup>2</sup> (sampling resolution should be reported in m<sup>2</sup>). In another example, if the  
147 reported plot size was 20 x 20 m, but the authors in fact only measured a random selection

of, say, grass stems on which they counted aphids within those plots, then use an estimate of the area of the grass stem as the sampling resolution (5).

**Q18. *What is extent, and how do I record it?*** Extent is defined as the total area enclosed within a perimeter defined by the outermost spatial replicates, divided by 10,000 to convert to hectares. For studies in which spatial replicates are not spatially contiguous, this means the area of the minimum polygon bounding all spatial replicates. To calculate the effective survey extent, use the area of the study area/region given in the paper; when the area is not given, but when the survey region is given by name (e.g., Joshua Tree National Park or United States), look up the area through an online search and convert to hectares, provided the observations were collected throughout the named survey region. When the area is not named, but a map is given (or if the area is named and the map of replicates shows that the replicates were located in a smaller area of the named region), use an appropriate digitizing platform with a suitable map-providing backend (e.g. Google Earth Pro, QGIS with OpenLayers plugin) to navigate to the region and delineate a minimum convex polygon surrounding the replicates (plots/transects/other sampling units) to calculate the area in hectares. There is an exception to this rule, however, for studies that focus on features that are clearly distinct and functionally isolated from their surroundings. Examples of such cases might be mangrove forests patches within in a coastal National Park, or populations of a rare species confined to three disjunct protected areas. In these cases, the extent will be the summed area of the sampled units (the summed area of sampled mangrove stands, and the summed areas of the three protected areas). In other words, try to delineate the focal portions and not the larger survey region.

For spatially contiguous studies (e.g. those based on satellite imagery), the extent is the total area covered by the imagery (in such cases, extent equals actual extent), but only record the



area of imagery analyzed by the authors (e.g. if the study area required four Landsat scenes to cover, but covered only the inner quarter of each image, report the extent as the summed area of the four quarters). However, if spatially contiguous studies only use a sub-sample of pixels, extent is the area of the polygon enclosing the outermost pixels (calculated following the methods above).

For studies that record individual, mobile organisms as the units of observation, use the minimum polygon surrounding the outermost observations of the complete space-time dataset (i.e. observations from all individuals and times) to define extent.

**Q19. *What is actual extent, and how do I record it?*** Actual extent is the sampling resolution multiplied by the number of spatial replicates, divided by 10,000 to convert to hectares. For studies in which the spatial replicates are not spatially contiguous (as with most field-based studies), this means resolution (see Q17) multiplied by number of plots. For spatially contiguous studies (e.g., those based on remote sensing imagery), it should be the total area covered by the imagery, i.e., pixel resolution multiplied by the number of pixels. However, as with extent, only record the area analyzed by the authors. If they used a sub-sample of pixels, the actual extent is the number of those pixels multiplied by pixel resolution.

**Q20. *How do you determine resolution for paleo-reconstructions and other approaches where a sampling method is presumed to draw from a larger area (e.g. mammal traps, mist nets, etc)?*** For sampling resolution, estimate the size of the sample actually taken, rather than the assumed catchment/shed area of the sample (e.g. the area of the corer used to take a sediment sample, rather than an estimate of the area that that sample is assumed to draw from), and then indicate that the plot resolution was uncertain. Related to this, you may also have to estimate the number of samples collected, as exemplified in a charcoal study of Europe where the number of lakes sampled was provided, not the number of cores per

lake (4).

Q21. *What about studies that sample individual organisms?* If the study is making a total count of all organisms (let's say a mammal species) within a fixed plot size, or even a variable plot size from which an average plot size (and thus sampling resolution) can be estimated, then follow the procedures described in Q17. However, if the individual animals are the unit of measure (either because a sub-sample of them is being made within a defined plot, or because the observation is not contingent on being located within a plot (maybe a blood sample or body weight is being recorded, for example), then simply estimate two-dimensional area occupied by the animal as the plot resolution, and the number of sampled animals provide the spatial replicates (for calculating actual extent). Occasionally individual animals might be recorded, but within the context of some natural feature, such as a nesting site where the survival of individual chicks is the measurement of interest (6). In this case, an estimate of the nest area provides the sampling resolution. In cases where individual animals are tracked using radio or GPS collars, to calculate actual extent, use the number of locational fixes as the quantity of spatial replicates and the animal's two-dimensional body area. If the number of GPS points is not given, the number of fixes can be estimated from the duration during which individuals were collared and the recording interval.

### **Calibration and consistency**

Most studies did not explicitly report values for all the assessed scales, and thus interpretation and judgement had to be applied to develop reasonable estimates for their values. The FAQ provided the protocol we followed, and was initially developed following consultation between observers prior to the commencement of review. We conducted an iterative process of calibration to ensure consistency and reliability of estimates. First, we used the calibration set to calculate between-observer variability with respect to paper selection/rejection and the estimation of scales. Based

on this, the lead author reviewed individual records in each observer's calibration set, flagged values where the estimation procedure departed from the protocol, and returned these to observers for re-estimation, without providing an estimate of the actual value. Instead, the relevant section of the protocol was highlighted, and further explanation and clarifying discussion undertaken as needed. Protocol language was adjusted for clarity during this process, and new items added to cover circumstances that had not been addressed by the initial version. The variability measures (see Results) were re-calculated after each iteration.

To ensure consistency within the main analysis, the lead author also reviewed each observer's results from their individual draw of papers and flagged values that appeared to deviate from the protocol for re-review by the observer. Revised values were re-inspected, and in some cases a secondary review of particular papers was undertaken to cross-check the estimated scales.

### **Accounting for estimation uncertainty**

Two major and related sources of uncertainty affected our estimation of observational scales: 1) unclear documentation of observational scales in the reviewed studies, 2) variation between observers in estimating observational scales (largely in cases where scales were not explicitly reported). We estimated and accounted for these uncertainties in several ways. First, we calculated the degree of between-observer variability based on each observer's results from reviewing the 20-paper calibration set. We calculated how well observers agreed regarding paper inclusion/exclusion, how many extractable observations there were per included paper, and what the coefficient of variation was across all observers' estimates of scale. We also recorded when observations were reported in any study (calibration set or otherwise) with an unclear or missing scale value.

We used the between-observer coefficients of variation for each dimension as the basis for randomly perturbing the scale values for each of the 378 observations over 1,000 iterations. For each observation at each iteration, we perturbed its scale value in each dimension by randomly selecting (from a uniform distribution) a percentage value  $p$  that fell between  $100 - y$  and  $100 + y$

-  $y$ , where  $y$  was the between-observer coefficient of variation (expressed as a percentage) for a given observational dimension, and multiplying that observation's scale value by that proportion. In certain cases, the perturbation resulted in values that were not physically possible (e.g. interval or actual duration longer than duration; actual extent larger than extent), in which case we adjusted the perturbed values for the dimension that should be the smallest to equal that of the one that should be largest (e.g. we set interval to equal the perturbed duration value). This perturbed set of observations provided a basis for estimating uncertainty within our extracted set of observational scales.

### Scale metrics

In presenting results (Fig. 1 and 2 in main text), we log-transformed (base 10) the observational scales within each of the 1,000 perturbed ensemble members in order to account for the large range in scale values. We calculated histograms for the four primary observational dimensions from each of the log-transformed ensemble members, calculating the mean percentage density estimate for each bin across all 1,000 histograms, as well as the upper and lower 2.5th percentile values for each bin (Fig. 1 main text).

To reveal the densities of observations within two dimensions (Fig. 2 main text), we used the `splancs` package (7) of R (8) to calculate a kernel density estimate of the log-transformed values across all ensemble members, using a bandwidth of 1 on a 0.1 resolution image to provide a smoothed result that served to more effectively highlight domains in which ecological observations were concentrated. Bandwidths of varying resolutions were tested on kernel density estimates of sampling interval versus plot resolution to test how sensitive our results were to the bandwidth value (see Supplementary Results section).

To compare the differences between actual extent and extent and actual duration and duration (Fig. 3 main text) we calculated the magnitude of difference (decade) between each pair as:

$$\text{decade} = \log_{10}(x) - \log_{10}(y) = \log_{10} \left( \frac{x}{y} \right) \quad (1)$$

Where  $x$  is either extent or duration and  $y$  is its actual counterpart. We then evaluated how the magnitudes of difference varied with increasing values of extent/duration, using box plots to summarize decades within the same bins used to summarize the frequency distributions of the extent and duration of observations (Fig. 1B and D main text). Decades were calculated for each pair for all bootstrap replicates.

### **Trends in methods and scale**

To evaluate the potential impact that excluding studies from 2015-2017 would have on our findings, we analyzed the trends in 1) ecological observing methods, and 2) typical scales of ecological observations over the 10 year period. To undertake the former assessment, we calculated the percentage of observations made using remote sensing, general field methods, and automated *in situ* methods, and fit a linear regression between these percentages and publication year, weighting the regression by the total number of observations in each year. For the second analysis, we applied the same regression approach to the four primary dimensions (resolution, extent, interval, and duration), in order to assess whether there were any trends in observational scales.

The regressions and resulting code for trend extrapolations can be found in the “Additional Analyses” vignette in the accompanying [R package/code repository](#).

### **Extracting and analyzing data from earlier meta-analyses**

To compare the results of our analysis with the observational scales of earlier ecological studies, we used graph capture software<sup>1</sup> to extract the data values from Fig. 6.1 in Tilman (9), Fig. 1 in Kareiva and Anderson (1), and Fig. 2 in Porter et al (10). To maintain as much comparability as

<sup>1</sup><http://arohatgi.info/WebPlotDigitizer/app/>

possible with our inclusion criteria, we excluded experiment studies in Tilman's data, as well as the values of any studies having duration values greater than 100 years (no upper time bound was provided for these), leaving duration values for 419 (out of 623) studies. Since Tilman presented duration values as a histogram, we calculated the mean duration across all studies as the weighted (by number of observations per bin) mean of bin center-point values (i.e. the weighted mean of the bin means). We also excluded four (of 29) observation values from Porter et al's data on observational extent and frequency, which, in contrast to the other 25, were not randomly selected. Porter et al also used irregular scales for both their X (frequency) and Y (extent) axis, therefore we had to visually estimate the scale values for each data point after graphical extraction, and converted their extent values (in km) to hectares and their frequency values to intervals. Kareiva and Anderson presented resolution as plot diameters (m), which we squared to make comparable to our resolution metric.

Calculations of scale values from these studies can be found in the "Additional Analyses" vignette in the accompanying [R package/code repository](#).

## Results

### Variability and consistency between observers

We assessed variability and consistency between observers along multiple dimensions. First, we assessed the degree to which observers agreed with respect to selecting or rejecting papers for review, using R's Agreement package (11) to calculate Fleiss' kappa statistic (12), which was 0.72 ( $z = 12.5$ ,  $p < 0.000$ ), indicating substantial and significant agreement between observers (13).

Second, we calculated the intra-class correlation coefficient (14) to assess agreement between observers regarding the number of ecological observations that could be extracted from each paper (multiple ecological observations were reported in many studies; we listed observations as separate records if they measured different features, or more rarely, varied substantially on one or more dimensions). The coefficient, calculated using the IRR package (15) of R, was 0.71 ( $F = 15.4$ ,

p<0.0001, 95% confidence interval = 0.54 - 0.85)

Finally, we calculated the coefficient of variation (CV) between observers' estimates of scales for each dimension, first across all observers' mean scale estimates, and then as the average CV among observers' estimates of each individual record (Table S2). CV values were estimated from all six observers for resolution, actual extent, and interval, from five observers (all but Choi) for duration, and from three observers (Elsen, Estes, and Treuer) for extent. The smaller numbers for duration and extent reflect the fact that initial efforts were focused on estimating resolution, interval, and actual extent and actual duration. Fewer observers were available for subsequent efforts to assess duration and extent.

#### Between-observer coefficient of variation

We used the maximum uncertainty values for each dimension from the inter-observer variability analysis (Table S2) to determine the bounds of the random perturbations applied to each record over the 1000 iteration resample.

Table S2: The between-observer variability of estimates of the spatial and temporal scales of ecological observations reported within the set of 20 papers used for calibration. Variability is expressed as the coefficient of variation (CV; standard deviation divided by mean multiplied by 100) between each observer's overall mean, and as the mean CV of observers' estimates for individual records.

Value	Spatial			Temporal		
	Resolution	Extent	Actual Extent	Interval	Duration	Actual Duration
CV of overall mean	50	50	78	49	36	109
Mean of record-wise CV	58	41	72	105	64	126

#### The domains of observational methods

Fig. S1 details the distributions of observations separated by observational method along the four primary dimensions. Fig. S2 shows the densities of observations by observational method within

the dimensional juxtapositions shown in Fig. 2 in the main text. Fig. S3 shows the how the differences between actual extent and extent and actual duration and duration vary by observational type.

### **Trends in methods and scales**

The results of the weighted linear regression applied to observational types by year (Fig. S4) suggest an increase (by 1.3% per year) in the use of remote sensing and a corresponding decline in field methods (by the same percentage) during the 2004-2014 time period, although neither trend is statistically significant ( $p < 0.12$  for regression of remote observations;  $p < 0.18$  for field observation regression). Automated sensing methods showed no visible trend.

If this trend towards increasing use of remote sensing between 2004-2014 was real and not spurious, we can project that a repeated study applied to papers published between 2004-2017 would find remote sensing used for 7.7% of observations (a 22% increase), which would increase mean extent by 17.4% (95% CI = -1.3-67%; or 0.07 orders of magnitude) above the 2004-2014 average. We cannot attach much confidence to this projections given that it derived from a non-significant trend; however, some support for this projection lies within the regression analysis applied to extent values themselves, which showed an apparent increase in extent of 0.25 orders of magnitude per year (Fig. S5) between 2004-2014 ( $R^2 = 0.25$ ,  $p < 0.07$ ). This weak trend suggests that including more recent studies would have increased mean extent, but by a more modest 5.5% (0.02 orders of magnitude). The other three dimensions did not show any clear year-on-year trends.

### **Choice of bandwidth in kernel density estimation**

Figure S6 indicates the effect that varying bandwidth has on the appearance of the kernel density estimates. The smallest bandwidth (0.4) tested (Fig. S6 top) shows the same primary observational concentrations revealed in Fig. 1 in the main text, but these tend to be divided into separate sub-concentrations. An example is the oblong concentration of observations in Fig. 2A (and in the



354 lower left panel in Fig. S6) that is bounded on the upper left at monthly to yearly intervals and  
355 100-1000 m<sup>2</sup> resolutions, and on the lower right by near-daily to monthly observations and 0.1-  
356 10 ha resolutions. With the smaller bandwidth this concentration appears as two separate patches  
357 (Fig. S6 top left), but with 0.7 bandwidth applied becomes coherent (Fig. S6 middle left). It is  
358 expected that density clusters will increasingly separate as bandwidth is reduced. Nevertheless,  
359 this assessment indicates that the choice of bandwidth does not alter our primary interpretations,  
360 as the domains of highest and lowest concentrations remain the same regardless of bandwidth.




Figure S1: Histograms of the resolution, extent, interval, and duration of observational scales, shown for all observational methods (top row) and for the four main observational methods (rows 2-5). Bars represent the average percentages for each bin realized after 1000 perturbed resamples, while grey bars indicate the 95% confidence interval. Bar widths for interval and duration indicate differences in scale between x-axis labels.




Figure S2: Kernel density estimates across all observational methods (top row) and for each of the four primary observational methods (rows 2-5). Density estimates for resolution (x axis) versus interval (y axis) are presented in the first column, duration (x) versus interval (y) in the second column, resolution (x) versus extent (y) in the third column, and duration (x) versus extent (y) in the fourth column. Density estimates were applied to the log-transformed values of each observational dimension, and were rescaled to represent percentages. The grey shaded areas represent physically impossible domains (e.g. intervals greater than duration).




Figure S3: The difference between extent and *actual* extent and duration and *actual* duration, as calculated across all observational methods (top row) and for each of the four primary observational methods. Difference values are expressed in terms of how many orders of magnitude larger (or longer) extent (duration) is than actual extent (actual duration), and are summarized (as box plots, with circle in box representing the mean and line the median) in bins representing increasing scales of actual extent/duration. The percentages of observations falling within each bin are indicated by the color of the inter-quartile and the numeric value above the upper whisker.




Figure S4: Trends in percentage of observing methods by year of publication. The coefficient of a weighted (by number of studies in each year) linear regression fit to the annual percentages of observations made with remote sensing (left), field methods (center), and automated sensors (right) is presented at the top of each plot, as well as the regression coefficient of determination and p-value.




Figure S5: Trends in observational scales by year of publication. The coefficient of a weighted (by number of studies in each year) linear regression, fit to the logarithm (base 10) of the mean scale values (calculated for each publication year) for the six assessed dimensions is presented at the top of each plot, as well as the model coefficient of determination and p-value.




Figure S6: Two-dimensional kernel density estimates of observational densities within the domains defined by sampling interval and spatial resolution (left column) and duration and extent (right column), applied to log-transformed values of each observational dimension. Rows indicate the effects of selecting different bandwidths: 0.4 (top row); 0.7 (middle row); 1 (bottom row).

## References

1. Kareiva, P. & Andersen, M. Spatial aspects of species interactions: The wedding of models and experiments. In *Community ecology*, 35–50 (Springer, 1988).
2. Tilman, D., Balzer, C., Hill, J. & Belfort, B. L. Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* (2011).
3. Rollin, O. *et al.* Differences of floral resource use between honey bees and wild bees in an intensive farming system. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* **179**, 78–86 (2013).
4. Molinari, C. *et al.* Exploring potential drivers of European biomass burning over the Holocene: a data-model analysis: Drivers of Holocene European fire activity. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **22**, 1248–1260 (2013).
5. Gagic, V. *et al.* Agricultural intensification and cereal aphid-parasitoid-hyperparasitoid food webs: network complexity, temporal variability and parasitism rates. *Oecologia* **170**, 1099–1109 (2012). Bibtex: Gagic2012.
6. Roche, E. A., Cuthbert, F. J. & Arnold, T. W. Relative fitness of wild and captive-reared piping plovers: Does egg salvage contribute to recovery of the endangered Great Lakes population? *Biological Conservation* **141**, 3079–3088 (2008).
7. Rowlingson, B. S. & Diggle, P. J. Splancs: Spatial point pattern analysis code in S-plus. *Computers & Geosciences* **19**, 627–655 (1993).
8. Team, R. D. C. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing* (Vienna, Austria, 2011). ISBN 3-900051-07-0.
9. Tilman, D. Ecological experimentation: strengths and conceptual problems. In *Long-term studies in ecology*, 136–157 (Springer, 1989).



- 383 10. Porter, J. R. & Semenov, M. A. Crop responses to climatic variation. *Philosophical Transac-*  
384 *tions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **360**, 2021–2035 (2005).
- 385 11. Yu, Y. & Lin, L. *Agreement: Statistical Tools for Measuring Agreement* (2012). R package  
386 version 0.8-1.
- 387 12. Fleiss, J. L. Measuring nominal scale agreement among many raters. *Psychological Bulletin*  
388 **76**, 378–382 (1971).
- 389 13. Landis, J. R. & Koch, G. G. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data.  
390 *Biometrics* **33**, 159–174 (1977).
- 391 14. Bartko, J. J. The intraclass correlation coefficient as a measure of reliability. *Psychological*  
392 *Reports* **19**, 3–11 (1966).
- 393 15. Gamer, M., Lemon, J., Fellows, I. & Singh, P. *irr: Various Coefficients of Interrater Reliability*  
394 *and Agreement* (2012). R package version 0.84.

## Bibliography of reviewed papers

The following is the full set of randomly selected papers that were reviewed in this study, including those that were excluded, and a number of (but not all—the full link/DOI is in the primary datasheet accompanying this study) additional publications that were referenced as the source of observations by selected papers. Asterisks next to references indicate papers within the observer calibration set.

- \*Ackerman, F. & Stanton, E.A. (2008). A comment on “Economy-wide estimates of the implications of climate change: Human health”. *Ecological Economics*, **66**, 8–11.
- Adams, T.P., Purves, D.W. & Pacala, S.W. (2007) Understanding height-structured competition in forests: is there an  $R^*$  for light? *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, **274**, 3039–3048.
- \*Adkins-Regan, E. & Tomaszycki, M. (2007). Monogamy on the fast track. *Biology Letters*, **3**, 617–619.
- Agrawal, A.A., Ackerly, D.D., Adler, F., Arnold, A.E., Cáceres, C., Doak, D.F., Post, E., Hudson, P.J., Maron, J., Mooney, K.A., Power, M., Schemske, D., Stachowicz, J., Strauss, S., Turner, M.G. & Werner, E. (2007) Filling key gaps in population and community ecology. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **5**, 145–152.
- \*Ah-King, M. (2011). Female sexual selection in light of the Darwin-Bateman paradigm. *Behavioral Ecology*, **22**, 1142–1143.
- Ahrens, T.D., Lobell, D.B., Ortiz-Monasterio, J.I., Li, Y. & Matson, P.A. (2010) Narrowing the agronomic yield gap with improved nitrogen use efficiency: a modeling approach. *Ecological Applications*, **20**, 91–100.
- Amatangelo, K.L. & Vitousek, P.M. (2008) Stoichiometry of ferns in Hawaii: implications for nutrient cycling. *Oecologia*, **157**, 619–627.
- Anderson, K.E., Inouye, B.D. & Underwood, N. (2009) Modeling herbivore competition mediated by inducible changes in plant quality. *Oikos*, **118**, 1633–1646.
- Andersson, J. (2007) The recreational cost of coral bleaching--A stated and revealed preference study of international tourists. *Ecological Economics*.
- Angelini, C. & Silliman, B.R. (2012) Patch size-dependent community recovery after massive disturbance. *Ecology*, **93**, 101–110.
- Anonymous (2004) Letters to the editor about the contents of past issues and comment on topics of current concern to Frontiers readers. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **2**, 346–347.
- Anthony, K.R.N., A. Kleypas, J. & Gattuso, J.-P. (2011) Coral reefs modify their seawater carbon chemistry - implications for impacts of ocean acidification. *Global Change Biology*, **17**, 3655–3666.
- Antoci, A., Russu, P. & Ticci, E. (2012) Environmental externalities and immiserizing structural changes in an economy with heterogeneous agents. *Ecological Economics*, **81**, 80–91.
- Apodaca, J.J., Trexler, J.C., Jue, N.K., Schrader, M. & Travis, J. (2013) Large-scale natural disturbance alters genetic population structure of the sailfin molly, *Poecilia latipinna*. *The American Naturalist*, **181**, 254–263.
- Ardia, D.R. & Clotfelter, E.D. (2007) Individual quality and age affect responses to an energetic constraint in a cavity-nesting bird. *Behavioral Ecology*, **18**, 259–266.
- Ariza-Montobbio, P. & Lele, S. (2010) *Jatropha* plantations for biodiesel in Tamil Nadu, India: Viability, livelihood trade-offs, and latent conflict. *Ecological Economics*, **70**, 189–195.

- Arroyo-Mora, J.P., Sánchez-Azofeifa, G.A., Rivard, B., Calvo, J.C. & Janzen, D.H. (2005) Dynamics in landscape structure and composition for the Chorotega region, Costa Rica from 1960 to 2000. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, **106**, 27–39.
- Aslan, C.E. & Rejmánek, M. (2010) Avian use of introduced plants: Ornithologist records illuminate interspecific associations and research needs. *Ecological Applications*, **20**, 1005–1020.
- Atwell, R.C., Schulte, L.A. & Westphal, L.M. (2009) Landscape, community, countryside: linking biophysical and social scales in US Corn Belt agricultural landscapes. *Landscape Ecology*, **24**, 791–806.
- Augustine, D.J., Dinsmore, S.J., Wunder, M.B., Dreitz, V.J. & Knopf, F.L. (2008) Response of mountain plovers to plague-driven dynamics of black-tailed prairie dog colonies. *Landscape Ecology*, **23**, 689–697.
- \*Azeria, E.T., Fortin, D., Lemaître, J., Janssen, P., Hébert, C., Darveau, M. & Cumming, S.G. (2009) Fine-scale structure and cross-taxon congruence of bird and beetle assemblages in an old-growth boreal forest mosaic. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **18**, 333–345.
- Bach, C.E., Kelly, D. & Hazlett, B.A. (2005) Forest edges benefit adults, but not seedlings, of the mistletoe *Alepis flavida* (Loranthaceae). *Journal of Ecology*, **93**, 79–86.
- Bagchi, R., Henrys, P.A., Brown, P.E., Burslem, D.F.P., Diggle, P.J., Gunatilleke, C.S., Gunatilleke, I.N., Kassim, A.R., Law, R., Noor, S. & others (2011) Spatial patterns reveal negative density dependence and habitat associations in tropical trees. *Ecology*, **92**, 1723–1729.
- Bailey, M.F. & Delph, L.F. (2007) A field guide to models of sex-ratio evolution in gynodioecious species. *Oikos*, **116**, 1609–1617.
- Banin, L., Feldpausch, T. & Phillips, O. (2012) What controls tropical forest architecture? Testing environmental, structural and floristic drivers. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*.
- Barber, N.A., Adler, L.S., Theis, N., Hazzard, R.V. & Kiers, E.T. (2012) Herbivory reduces plant interactions with above-and belowground antagonists and mutualists. *Ecology*, **93**, 1560–1570.
- Barclay, P. & Willer, R. (2007) Partner choice creates competitive altruism in humans. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, **274**, 749–753.
- Barrón, C., Duarte, C.M., Frankignoulle, M. & Borges, A.V. (2006) Organic carbon metabolism and carbonate dynamics in a Mediterranean seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*), meadow. *Estuaries and Coasts*, **29**, 417–426.
- Barton, K. (2014) Prickles, latex, and tolerance in the endemic Hawaiian prickly poppy (*Argemone glauca*): variation between populations, across ontogeny, and in response to abiotic. *Oecologia*.
- Bass, D., Howe, A., Brown, N., Barton, H., Demidova, M., Michelle, H., Li, L., Sanders, H., Watkinson, S.C., Willcock, S. & Richards, T.A. (2007) Yeast forms dominate fungal diversity in the deep oceans. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, **274**, 3069–3077.
- Bates, N.R., Amat, A. & Andersson, A.J. (2010) Feedbacks and responses of coral calcification on the Bermuda reef system to seasonal changes in biological processes and ocean acidification. *Biogeosciences*, **7**, 2509–2530.
- Beasley, J. & Olin Rhodes, J. (2008) Relationship between raccoon abundance and crop damage. *Human–Wildlife Interactions*.
- Beaulieu, M., Ropert-Coudert, Y., Le Maho, Y., Ancel, A. & Criscuolo, F. (2010) Foraging in an oxidative environment: relationship between  $^{13}\text{C}$  values and oxidative status

in Adelie penguins. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **277**, 1087–1092.

- Beck, J. (2007) The importance of amino acids in the adult diet of male tropical rainforest butterflies. *Oecologia*, **151**, 741–747.
- Beery, A.K., Trumbull, J.J., Tsao, J.M., Costantini, R.M. & Zucker, I. (2007) Sex differences in the onset of seasonal reproductive quiescence in hamsters. *Proceedings. Biological sciences / The Royal Society*, **274**, 281–6.
- Bergman, M., Gotthard, K., Berger, D., Olofsson, M., Kemp, D.J. & Wiklund, C. (2007) Mating success of resident versus non-resident males in a territorial butterfly. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **274**, 1659–1665.
- Biernaskie, J. (2011) Evidence for competition and cooperation among climbing plants. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of*.
- Bimonte, S. (2008) The “tragedy of tourism resources” as the outcome of a strategic game: A new analytical framework. *Ecological Economics*, **67**, 457–464.
- Bina, O. & La Camera, F. (2011) Promise and shortcomings of a green turn in recent policy responses to the “double crisis.” *Ecological Economics*, **70**, 2308–2316.
- Boessenkool, S., Austin, J.J., Worthy, T.H., Scofield, P., Cooper, A., Seddon, P.J. & Waters, J.M. (2009) Relict or colonizer? Extinction and range expansion of penguins in southern New Zealand. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **276**, 815–821.
- Bohlen, P.J., Pelletier, D.M., Groffman, P.M., Fahey, T.J. & Fisk, M.C. (2004) Influence of Earthworm Invasion on Redistribution and Retention of Soil Carbon and Nitrogen in Northern Temperate Forests. *Ecosystems*, **7**, 13–27.
- Bohrerova, Z., Bohrer, G., Cho, K.D., Bolch, M.A. & Linden, K.G. (2009) Determining the viability response of pine pollen to atmospheric conditions during long-distance dispersal. *Ecological Applications*, **19**, 656–667.
- Bond-Lamberty, B., Wang, C. & Gower, S.T. (2004) A global relationship between the heterotrophic and autotrophic components of soil respiration? *Global Change Biology*, **10**, 1756–1766.
- Bossdorf, O., Auge, H., Lafuma, L., Rogers, W.E., Siemann, E. & Prati, D. (2005) Phenotypic and genetic differentiation between native and introduced plant populations. *Oecologia*, **144**, 1–11.
- Bowlby, H.D. & Gibson, A.J.F. (2011) Reduction in fitness limits the useful duration of supplementary rearing in an endangered salmon population. *Ecological Applications*, **21**, 3032–3048.
- Brearley, F.Q., Proctor, J., Nagy, L., Dalrymple, G. & Voysey, B.C. (2007) Reproductive phenology over a 10-year period in a lowland evergreen rain forest of central Borneo. *Journal of Ecology*, **95**, 828–839.
- Bret-Harte, M.S., Mack, M.C., Goldsmith, G.R., Sloan, D.B., DeMarco, J., Shaver, G.R., Ray, P.M., Biesinger, Z. & Chapin, F.S. (2008) Plant functional types do not predict biomass responses to removal and fertilization in Alaskan tussock tundra. *Journal of Ecology*, **96**, 713–726.
- Brind’Amour, A., Boisclair, D., Dray, S. & Legendre, P. (2011) Relationships between species feeding traits and environmental conditions in fish communities: a three-matrix approach. *Ecological Applications*, **21**, 363–377.
- Broadhurst, L.M. & Young, A.G. (2006) Reproductive constraints for the long-term persistence of fragmented *Acacia dealbata* (Mimosaceae) populations in southeast Australia. *Biological Conservation*, **133**, 512–526.

- Broadhurst, L.M., Young, A.G. & Forrester, R. (2008) Genetic and demographic responses of fragmented *Acacia dealbata* (Mimosaceae) populations in southeastern Australia. *Biological Conservation*, **141**, 2843–2856.
- Bronstein, J.L. (2009) The evolution of facilitation and mutualism. *Journal of Ecology*, **97**, 1160–1170.
- Brown, F.D., D'Anna, I. & Sommer, R.J. (2011) Host-finding behaviour in the nematode *Pristionchus pacificus*. *Proceedings. Biological sciences / The Royal Society*, **278**, 3260–9.
- Browning, T.J., Bouman, H.A., Moore, C.M., Schlosser, C., Tarran, G.A., Woodward, E.M.S. & Henderson, G.M. (2014) Nutrient regimes control phytoplankton ecophysiology in the South Atlantic. *Biogeosciences*, **11**, 463–479.
- Brunton, D.H., Evans, B., Cope, T. & Ji, W. (2008) A test of the dear enemy hypothesis in female New Zealand bellbirds (*Anthornis melanura*): female neighbors as threats. *Behavioral Ecology*, **19**, 791–798.
- Brusatte, S.L., Niedzwiedzki, G. & Butler, R.J. (2011) Footprints pull origin and diversification of dinosaur stem lineage deep into Early Triassic. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **278**, 1107–1113.
- Buehler, D.M., Piersma, T., Matson, K. & Tieleman, B.. I. (2008) Seasonal redistribution of immune function in a migrant shorebird: annual-cycle effects override adjustments to thermal regime. *The American Naturalist*, **172**, 783–796.
- Burton, A. (2012) ...and they could talk to me. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **10**, 508–508.
- Burton, A. (2007) Dispatches: Air trash: can recycling take off? *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **5**, 60–65.
- Burton, A. (2006) Life under the ice. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **4**, 116–121.
- Cannon, J. & Surjadi, P. (2004) Informing natural resources policy making using participatory rapid economic valuation (PREV): the case of the Togean Islands, Indonesia. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*.
- Capps, K.A. & Flecker, A.S. (2013) Invasive aquarium fish transform ecosystem nutrient dynamics. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, **280**.
- Caprez, R., Niklaus, P.A. & Körner, C. (2012a) Forest soil respiration reflects plant productivity across a temperature gradient in the Alps. *Oecologia*, **170**, 1143–1154.
- Caprez, R., Niklaus, P.A. & Körner, C. (2012b) Forest soil respiration reflects plant productivity across a temperature gradient in the Alps. *Oecologia*, **170**, 1143–1154.
- Carmichael, L.E., Krizan, J., Nagy, J.A., Fuglei, E., Dumond, M., Johnson, D., Veitch, A., Berteaux, D. & Strobeck, C. (2007) Historical and ecological determinants of genetic structure in arctic canids. *Molecular Ecology*, **16**, 3466–3483.
- Casabianca, S., Penna, A., Pecchioli, E., Jordi, A., Basterretxea, G. & Vernesi, C. (2011) Population genetic structure and connectivity of the harmful dinoflagellate *Alexandrium minutum* in the Mediterranean Sea. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, rspb20110708.
- Case, A.L. & Ashman, T.-L. (2009) Resources and pollinators contribute to population sex-ratio bias and pollen limitation in *Fragaria virginiana* (Rosaceae). *Oikos*, **118**, 1250–1260.
- Cassey, P., Blackburn, T.M., Lockwood, J.L. & Sax, D.F. (2006) A stochastic model for integrating changes in species richness and community similarity across spatial scales. *Oikos*, **115**, 207–218.
- Casula, P. & Nichols, J. (2003) Temporal variability of local abundance, sex ratio and activity in the Sardinian chalk hill blue butterfly. *Oecologia*, **136**, 374–382.

- Cavieres, L. & Badano, E. (2009) Do facilitative interactions increase species richness at the entire community level? *Journal of Ecology*.
- Chakraborty, S., Pangga, I.B. & Roper, M.M. (2012) Climate change and multitrophic interactions in soil: the primacy of plants and functional domains. *Global Change Biology*, **18**, 2111–2125.
- Chalfoun, A.D. & Martin, T.E. (2010) Facultative nest patch shifts in response to nest predation risk in the Brewer's sparrow: A "win-stay, lose-switch" strategy? *Oecologia*, **163**, 885–892.
- Chappon, C. & Seuront, L. (2011) Behavioral thermoregulation in a tropical gastropod: links to climate change scenarios. *Global Change Biology*, **17**, 1740–1749.
- Charlesworth, B. (2008) Editorial. *Biology Letters*, **4**.
- Chelgren, N.D., Adams, M.J., Bailey, L.L. & Bury, R.B. (2011) Using multilevel spatial models to understand salamander site occupancy patterns after wildfire. *Ecology*, **92**, 408–421.
- Chiba, S., Arnott, S.A. & Conover, D.O. (2007) Coevolution of foraging behavior with intrinsic growth rate: risk-taking in naturally and artificially selected growth genotypes of *Menidia menidia*. *Oecologia*, **154**, 237–246.
- Chittka, L. & Skorupski, P. (2011) Information processing in miniature brains. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, **278**, 885–888.
- Clark, K.L., Skowronski, N. & Hom, J. (2010) Invasive insects impact forest carbon dynamics. *Global Change Biology*, **16**, 88–101.
- Clarkson, B.R., Schipper, L.A., Moyersoen, B. & Silvester, W.B. (2005) Foliar 15N natural abundance indicates phosphorus limitation of bog species. *Oecologia*, **144**, 550–557.
- Colborne, S.F., Peres-Neto, P.R., Longstaffe, F.J. & Neff, B.D. (2013) Effects of foraging and sexual selection on ecomorphology of a fish with alternative reproductive tactics. *Behavioral Ecology*, **24**, 1339–1347.
- Cranston, B.H., Callaway, R.M., Monks, A. & Dickinson, K.J.M. (2012) Gender and abiotic stress affect community-scale intensity of facilitation and its costs. *Journal of Ecology*, **100**, 915–922.
- \*Cromsigt, J.P.G.M., Kerley, G.I.H. & Kowalczyk, R. (2012) The difficulty of using species distribution modelling for the conservation of refugee species - the example of European bison. *Diversity and Distributions*, **18**, 1253–1257.
- Cussó, X., Garrabou, R. & Tello, E. (2006) Social metabolism in an agrarian region of Catalonia (Spain) in 1860-1870: flows, energy balance and land use. *Ecological Economics*.
- Dalén, L., Fuglei, E., Hersteinsson, P., Kapel, C.M.O., Roth, J.D., Samelius, G., Tannerfeldt, M. & Angerbjörn, A. (2005) Population history and genetic structure of a circumpolar species: the arctic fox. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **84**, 79–89.
- Dalén, L., Kvaløy, K., Linnell, J.D.C., Elmhagen, B., Strand, O., Tannerfeldt, M., Henttonen, H., Fuglei, E., Landa, A. & Angerbjörn, A. (2006) Population structure in a critically endangered arctic fox population: does genetics matter? *Molecular Ecology*, **15**, 2809–2819.
- Daleo, P., Alberti, J., Pascual, J., Canepuccia, A. & Iribarne, O. (2014) Herbivory affects salt marsh succession dynamics by suppressing the recovery of dominant species. *Oecologia*, **175**, 335–343.
- Dane Panetta, F. (2012) Evaluating the performance of weed containment programmes. *Diversity and Distributions*, **18**, 1024–1032.

- Dangles, O., Herrera, M. & Mazoyer, C. (2013) Temperature-dependent shifts in herbivore performance and interactions drive nonlinear changes in crop damages. *Global change*.
- Dans, S.L., Degradi, M., Pedraza, S.N. & Crespo, E.A. (2012) Effects of tour boats on dolphin activity examined with sensitivity analysis of markov chains. *Conservation Biology*, **26**, 708–716.
- David, M., Auclair, Y. & Dall, S. (2013) Pairing context determines condition-dependence of song rate in a monogamous passerine bird. *of the Royal ....*
- De Angelo, C., Paviolo, A., Wiegand, T., Kanagaraj, R. & Di Bitetti, M.S. (2013) Understanding species persistence for defining conservation actions: A management landscape for jaguars in the Atlantic Forest. *Biological Conservation*, **159**, 422–433.
- Dehnen-Schmutz, K., Touza, J., Perrings, C. & Williamson, M. (2007) A century of the ornamental plant trade and its impact on invasion success. *Diversity and Distributions*, **13**, 527–534.
- Delattre, T., Vernon, P. & Burel, F. (2013) An agri-environmental scheme enhances butterfly dispersal in European agricultural landscapes. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, **166**, 102–109.
- \*Dennehy, J.J. & Turner, P.E. (2004). Reduced fecundity is the cost of cheating in RNA virus  $\phi 6$ . *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, **271**, 2275–2282.
- De Serrano, A.R., Weadick, C.J., Price, A.C. & Rodd, F.H. (2012) Seeing orange: prawns tap into a pre-existing sensory bias of the Trinidadian guppy. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, **279**, 3321–3328.
- Desrochers, R.E., Kerr, J.T. & Currie, D.J. (2011) How, and how much, natural cover loss increases species richness. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **20**, 857–867.
- Di Maria, C., Smulders, S. & van der Werf, E. (2012) Absolute abundance and relative scarcity: Environmental policy with implementation lags. *Ecological Economics*, **74**, 104–119.
- Donaldson-Matasci, M.C., Bergstrom, C.T. & Lachmann, M. (2010) The fitness value of information. *Oikos*, **119**, 219–230.
- Dostál, P., Allan, E., Dawson, W. & Kleunen, M. (2013) Enemy damage of exotic plant species is similar to that of natives and increases with productivity. *Journal of*.
- Dudaniec, R.Y., Schlotfeldt, B.E., Bertozzi, T., Donnellan, S.C. & Kleindorfer, S. (2011) Genetic and morphological divergence in island and mainland birds: Informing conservation priorities. *Biological Conservation*, **144**, 2902–2912.
- \*Dziok, F., Gerisch, M., Siegert, M., Hering, I., Scholz, M. & Ernst, R. (2011) Reproducing or dispersing? Using trait based habitat templet models to analyse Orthoptera response to flooding and land use. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, **145**, 85–94.
- Edgcomb, V.P., Kysela, D.T., Teske, A., Alvin de Vera Gomez & Sogin, M.L. (2002) Benthic eukaryotic diversity in the guaymas basin hydrothermal vent environment. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **99**, 7658–7662.
- Eikenaar, C., Whitham, M., Komdeur, J., Van Der Velde, M. & Moore, I.T. (2011) Endogenous testosterone is not associated with the trade-off between paternal and mating effort. *Behavioral Ecology*, **22**, 601–608.
- Ejmond, M.J., Czarnoński, M., Kapustka, F. & Kozłowski, J. (2010) How to Time Growth and Reproduction during the Vegetative Season: An Evolutionary Choice for Indeterminate Growers in Seasonal Environments. *The American Naturalist*, **175**, 551–563.

- Engelhardt, K. (2006) Relating effect and response traits in submersed aquatic macrophytes. *Ecological Applications*.
- Eppley, S. (2006) Females make tough neighbors: sex-specific competitive effects in seedlings of a dioecious grass. *Oecologia*.
- Evans, K.L. & Gaston, K.J. (2005) People, energy and avian species richness. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **14**, 187–196.
- Fajardo, A. & McIntire, E.J.B. (2012) Reversal of multicentury tree growth improvements and loss of synchrony at mountain tree lines point to changes in key drivers. *Journal of Ecology*, **100**, 782–794.
- Farahbakhshazad, N., Dinnes, D.L., Li, C., Jaynes, D.B. & Salas, W. (2008) Modeling biogeochemical impacts of alternative management practices for a row-crop field in Iowa. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, **123**, 30–48.
- Farine, D.R. (2013) Animal social network inference and permutations for ecologists in R using asnipe. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, **4**, 1187–1194.
- Fässler, E., Robinson, B., Stauffer, W. & Gupta, S. (2010) Phytomanagement of metal-contaminated agricultural land using sunflower, maize and tobacco. *Agriculture, ecosystems*.
- Fazey, I., Fazey, J.A., Fischer, J., Sherren, K., Warren, J., Noss, R.F. & Dovers, S.R. (2007) Adaptive capacity and learning to learn as leverage for social-ecological resilience. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **5**, 375–380.
- Feinberg, D., Jones, B., DeBruine, L. & O'Connor, J. (2011) Integrating fundamental and formant frequencies in women's preferences for men's voices. *Behavioral Ecology*.
- Fela, J. (2013) Germans curb waste through online food-sharing. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **11**, 117–117.
- Fisher, D.O. & Blomberg, S.P. (2011) Correlates of rediscovery and the detectability of extinction in mammals. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, **278**, 1090–1097.
- Fitzgerald, E.M.G. (2006) A bizarre new toothed mysticete (Cetacea) from Australia and the early evolution of baleen whales. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **273**, 2955–2963.
- Flanagan, R., Mitchell, R. & Karron, J. (2010) Increased relative abundance of an invasive competitor for pollination, *Lythrum salicaria*, reduces seed number in *Mimulus ringens*. *Oecologia*.
- Fontana, V., Radtke, A., Bossi Fedrigotti, V., Tappeiner, U., Tasser, E., Zerbe, S. & Buchholz, T. (2013) Comparing land-use alternatives: Using the ecosystem services concept to define a multi-criteria decision analysis. *Ecological Economics*, **93**, 128–136.
- Fontaneto, D., Ficetola, G.F., Ambrosini, R. & Ricci, C. (2006) Patterns of diversity in microscopic animals: are they comparable to those in protists or in larger animals? *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **15**, 153–162.
- Fontaneto, D. & Melone, G. (2003) Bdelloid Rotifers from Lakes above 1700 m in Western Italian Alps, with Taxonomic Notes on *Dissotrocha macrostyla*. *International Review of Hydrobiology*, **88**, 594–601.
- Fontaneto, D., Melone, G. & Ricci, C. (2005) Connectivity and nestedness of the meta-community structure of moss dwelling bdelloid rotifers along a stream. *Hydrobiologia*, **542**, 131–136.
- Fontaneto, D., Melone, G. & Ricci, C. (2004) Structure of bdelloid rotifer metacommunities in two alpine streams in northern Italy. *Acta Biologica - Studi Trentini Scienze Naturali*, **80**, 23–26.



- Forrester, D.I., Vanclay, J.K. & Forrester, R.I. (2011) The balance between facilitation and competition in mixtures of Eucalyptus and Acacia changes as stands develop. *Oecologia*, **166**, 265–272.
- Franks, N.R., Hooper, J., Webb, C. & Dornhaus, A. (2005) Tomb evaders: house-hunting hygiene in ants. *Biology letters*, **1**, 190–2.
- Freeman, A.S., Short, F.T., Isnain, I., Razak, F.A. & Coles, R.G. (2008) Seagrass on the edge: Land-use practices threaten coastal seagrass communities in Sabah, Malaysia. *Biological Conservation*, **141**, 2993–3005.
- Fukami, T. & Wardle, D.A. (2005) Long-term ecological dynamics: reciprocal insights from natural and anthropogenic gradients. *Proceedings. Biological sciences / The Royal Society*, **272**, 2105–15.
- \*Gagic, V., Hänke, S., Thies, C., Scherber, C., Tomanović, Ž. & Tscharnkte, T. (2012) Agricultural intensification and cereal aphid–parasitoid–hyperparasitoid food webs: network complexity, temporal variability and parasitism rates. *Oecologia*, **170**, 1099–1109.
- Gao, Y.Z., Giese, M., Han, X.G., Wang, D.L., Zhou, Z.Y., Brueck, H., Lin, S. & Taube, F. (2009) Land use and drought interactively affect interspecific competition and species diversity at the local scale in a semiarid steppe ecosystem. *Ecological Research*, **24**, 627–635.
- Gao, Y.Z., Giese, M., Lin, S., Sattelmacher, B., Zhao, Y. & Brueck, H. (2008) Belowground net primary productivity and biomass allocation of a grassland in Inner Mongolia is affected by grazing intensity. *Plant and Soil*, **307**, 41–50.
- García-López, G.A. & Arizpe, N. (2010) Participatory processes in the soy conflicts in Paraguay and Argentina. *Ecological Economics*, **70**, 196–206.
- Garner, T.W.J., Walker, S., Bosch, J., Leech, S., Marcus Rowcliffe, J., Cunningham, A.A. & Fisher, M.C. (2009) Life history tradeoffs influence mortality associated with the amphibian pathogen *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. *Oikos*, **118**, 783–791.
- Garrick, D., Whitten, S.M. & Coggan, A. (2013) Understanding the evolution and performance of water markets and allocation policy: A transaction costs analysis framework. *Ecological Economics*, **88**, 195–205.
- Garthe, S., Markones, N., Mendel, B., Sonntag, N. & Krause, J.C. (2012) Protected areas for seabirds in German offshore waters: Designation, retrospective consideration and current perspectives. *Biological Conservation*, **156**, 126–135.
- Gazeau, F., Duarte, C.M., Gattuso, J.-P., Barrón, C., Navarro, N., Ruiz, S., Prairie, Y.T., Calleja, M., Delille, B., Frankignoulle, M. & Borges, A.V. (2005) Whole-system metabolism and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in a Mediterranean Bay dominated by seagrass beds (Palma Bay, NW Mediterranean). *Biogeosciences*, **2**, 43–60.
- Giese, M., Brueck, H., Gao, Y.Z., Lin, S., Steffens, M., Kögel-Knabner, I., Glindemann, T., Susenbeth, A., Taube, F., Butterbach-Bahl, K. & others (2013) N balance and cycling of Inner Mongolia typical steppe: a comprehensive case study of grazing effects. *Ecological Monographs*, **83**, 195–219.
- Gillespie (2008) Taking treehouses to a whole new level. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **6**, 404–409.
- Gladbach, D.J., Holzschuh, A., Scherber, C., Thies, C., Dormann, C.F. & Tscharnkte, T. (2010) Crop-noncrop spillover: arable fields affect trophic interactions on wild plants in surrounding habitats. *Oecologia*, **166**, 433–441.
- Glorvigen, P., Gundersen, G., Andreassen, H.P. & Ims, R.A. (2013) The role of colonization in the dynamics of patchy populations of a cyclic vole species. *Oecologia*, **173**, 161–167.

- \*González-Gómez, P.L., Estades, C.F. & Simonetti, J.A. (2006) Strengthened insectivory in a temperate fragmented forest. *Oecologia*, **148**, 137–143.
- Gorman, H.E. & Nager, R.G. (2004) Prenatal developmental conditions have long-term effects on offspring fecundity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **271**, 1923–1928.
- Gössling, S. (2005) Environmental management and the competitiveness of nature-based tourism destinations. Edward Edgar Publishing, (2002), ISBN: 1840648902. *Ecological Economics*, **53**, 291–292.
- Gourguet, S., Thébaud, O., Dichmont, C., Jennings, S., Little, L.R., Pascoe, S., Deng, R.A. & Doyen, L. (2014) Risk versus economic performance in a mixed fishery. *Ecological Economics*, **99**, 110–120.
- Graham, E.A., Riordan, E.C., Yuen, E.M., Estrin, D. & Rundel, P.W. (2010) Public Internet-connected cameras used as a cross-continental ground-based plant phenology monitoring system. *Global Change Biology*, **16**, 3014–3023.
- de Groot, M., Kleijn, D. & Jogan, N. (2007) Species groups occupying different trophic levels respond differently to the invasion of semi-natural vegetation by *Solidago canadensis*. *Biological Conservation*, **136**, 612–617.
- Gros, A., Poethke, H.J. & Hovestadt, T. (2006) Evolution of local adaptations in dispersal strategies. *Oikos*.
- Grote, R., Keenan, T., Lavoie, A.-V. & Staudt, M. (2010) Process-based simulation of seasonality and drought stress in monoterpene emission models. *Biogeosciences*, **7**, 257–274.
- \*Gruner, D.S. & Mooney, K.A. (2003). Green grass and high tides: grazing lawns in terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems (commentary on Burkepile 2013). *Oikos*, **122**, 313–316.
- Guieu, C., Dulac, F., Ridame, C. & Pondaven, P. (2014) Introduction to project DUNE, a DUST experiment in a low Nutrient, low chlorophyll Ecosystem. *Biogeosciences*, **11**, 425–442.
- Gutiérrez, D., Harcourt, J., Díez, S.B., Illán, J.G. & Wilson, R.J. (2013) Models of presence–absence estimate abundance as well as (or even better than) models of abundance: the case of the butterfly *Parnassius apollo*. *Landscape Ecology*, **28**, 401–413.
- Haarmeyer, D.H., Schmiedel, U., Dengler, J. & Bösing, B.M. (2010) How does grazing intensity affect different vegetation types in arid Succulent Karoo, South Africa? Implications for conservation management. *Biological Conservation*, **143**, 588–596.
- Haas, T.C., Blum, M.J. & Heins, D.C. (2010) Morphological responses of a stream fish to water impoundment. *Biology letters*, **6**, 803–6.
- Hall, S.R., Leibold, M.A., Lytle, D.A. & Smith, V.H. (2006) Inedible Producers in Food Webs: Controls on Stoichiometric Food Quality and Composition of Grazers. *The American Naturalist*, **167**, 628–637.
- Hallwood, P. (2007) Contractual difficulties in environmental management: The case of wetland mitigation banking. *Ecological Economics*, **63**, 446–451.
- Hamilton, I.M., Haesler, M.P. & Taborsky, M. (2006) Predators, reproductive parasites, and the persistence of poor males on leks. *Behavioral Ecology*, **17**, 97–107.
- \*Hansen, B.T. & Slagsvold, T. (2004). Early learning affects social dominance: interspecifically cross-fostered tits become subdominant. *Behavioral Ecology*, **15**, 262–268.
- Harder, L.D. & Johnson, S.D. (2005) Adaptive plasticity of floral display size in animal-pollinated plants. *Proceedings. Biological sciences / The Royal Society*, **272**, 2651–7.

- Harfoot, M., Tittensor, D.P., Newbold, T., McInerney, G., Smith, M.J. & Scharlemann, J.P.W. (2014) Integrated assessment models for ecologists: the present and the future. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **23**, 124–143.
- Harley, S.J., Myers, R.A. & Field, C.A. (2004) Hierarchical Models Improve Abundance Estimates: Spawning Biomass of Hoki in Cook Strait, New Zealand. *Ecological Applications*, **14**, 1479–1494.
- Hasholt, B., Mernild, S.H., Sigsgaard, C., Elberling, B., Petersen, D., Jakobsen, B.H., Hansen, B.U., Hinkler, J. & Søgaard, H. (2008) *Hydrology and Transport of Sediment and Solutes at Zackenberg*. *Advances in Ecological Research*, pp. 197–221. Elsevier.
- Hassett, B., Palmer, M., Bernhardt, E., Smith, S., Carr, J. & Hart, D. (2005) Restoring watersheds project by project: trends in Chesapeake Bay tributary restoration. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **3**, 259–267.
- He, C.-E., Liu, X., Fangmeier, A. & Zhang, F. (2007) Quantifying the total airborne nitrogen input into agroecosystems in the North China Plain. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, **121**, 395–400.
- \*Hejcman, M., Sochorova, L., Pavlu, V., Strobach, J., Diepolder, M. & Schellberg, J. (2014). The Steinach Grassland Experiment: Soil chemical properties, sward height and plant species composition in three cut alluvial meadow after decades-long fertilizer application. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, **184**, 76–87.
- Henderson, S. (2012) Citizen science comes of age. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **10**, 283–283.
- Herenyi, M., Hegyi, G., Garamszegi, L.Z., Hargitai, R., Michl, G., Rosivall, B. & Torok, J. (2012) Lifetime offspring production in relation to breeding lifespan, attractiveness, and mating status in male collared flycatchers. *Oecologia*, **170**, 935–942.
- Higham, J.P. (2014) How does honest costly signaling work? *Behavioral Ecology*, **25**, 8–11.
- Hitt, M.A. (2007) America at the Energy Crossroads. *Conservation Biology*, **21**, 293–294.
- Hoffmann, C., Funk, R., Li, Y. & Sommer, M. (2008) Effect of grazing on wind driven carbon and nitrogen ratios in the grasslands of Inner Mongolia. *CATENA*, **75**, 182–190.
- Hoffmann, C., Funk, R., Wieland, R., Li, Y. & Sommer, M. (2008) Effects of grazing and topography on dust flux and deposition in the Xilingele grassland, Inner Mongolia. *Journal of Arid Environments*, **72**, 792–807.
- Holland, J.M. (2004) The environmental consequences of adopting conservation tillage in Europe: Reviewing the evidence. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, **103**, 1–25.
- Holst, J., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Liu, C., Zheng, X., Kaiser, A.J., Schnitzler, J.-P., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S. & Brüggemann, N. (2009) Dinitrogen fixation by biological soil crusts in an Inner Mongolian steppe. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, **45**, 679–690.
- Holst, J., Liu, C., Brüggemann, N., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Zheng, X., Wang, Y., Han, S., Yao, Z., Yue, J. & Han, X. (2007) Microbial N Turnover and N-Oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O/NO/NO<sub>2</sub>) Fluxes in Semi-arid Grassland of Inner Mongolia. *Ecosystems*, **10**, 623–634.
- Houston, A.I., Stevens, M. & Cuthill, I.C. (2007) Animal camouflage: compromise or specialize in a 2 patch-type environment? *Behavioral Ecology*, **18**, 769–775.
- Huang, C.-Y. & Anderegg, W.R.L. (2012) Large drought-induced aboveground live biomass losses in southern Rocky Mountain aspen forests. *Global Change Biology*, **18**, 1016–1027.

- Hulse, D., Branscomb, A., Enright, C. & Bolte, J. (2009) Anticipating floodplain trajectories: a comparison of two alternative futures approaches. *Landscape Ecology*, **24**, 1067–1090.
- Humber, F., Godley, B.J. & Broderick, A.C. (2014) So excellent a fishe: a global overview of legal marine turtle fisheries. *Diversity and Distributions*, **20**, 579–590.
- Humphries, N.E., Weimerskirch, H., Queiroz, N., Southall, E.J. & Sims, D.W. (2012) Foraging success of biological Lévy flights recorded in situ. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **109**, 7169–7174.
- Humphries, N.E., Weimerskirch, H. & Sims, D.W. (2013) A new approach for objective identification of turns and steps in organism movement data relevant to random walk modelling. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, **4**, 930–938.
- Iraeta, P., Salvador, A. & Díaz, J. (2013) Life-history traits of two Mediterranean lizard populations: a possible example of countergradient covariation. *Oecologia*.
- Jackson, S., Walker, K. & Gaston, K. (2009) Relationship between distributions of threatened plants and protected areas in Britain. *Biological Conservation*.
- Jacobs, D.F. (2007) Toward development of silvical strategies for forest restoration of American chestnut (*Castanea dentata*) using blight-resistant hybrids. *Biological Conservation*, **137**, 497–506.
- Jahangir, M., Johnston, P. & Khalil, M. (2012) Groundwater: A pathway for terrestrial C and N losses and indirect greenhouse gas emissions. *Agriculture, ecosystems*.
- Jakobs, G., Rehder, G., Jost, G., Kießlich, K., Labrenz, M. & Schmale, O. (2013) Comparative studies of pelagic microbial methane oxidation within the redox zones of the Gotland Deep and Landsort Deep (central Baltic Sea). *Biogeosciences*, **10**, 7863–7875.
- Johnson, H.E., Mills, L.S., Stephenson, T.R. & Wehausen, J.D. (2010) Population-specific vital rate contributions influence management of an endangered ungulate. *Ecological Applications*, **20**, 1753–1765.
- Johnstone, R.A. & Bshary, R. (2004) Evolution of spite through indirect reciprocity. *Proceedings. Biological sciences / The Royal Society*, **271**, 1917–22.
- Jones, W.M., Fraser, L.H. & Curtis, P.J. (2010) Plant community functional shifts in response to livestock grazing in intermountain depression wetlands in British Columbia, Canada. *Biological Conservation*, **144**, 511–517.
- Jong, R. & Bruin, S. (2012) Linear trends in seasonal vegetation time series and the modifiable temporal unit problem. *Biogeosciences*.
- Jousselin, E., Desdevise, Y. & Coeur d'acier, A. (2009) Fine-scale cospeciation between *Brachycaudus* and *Buchnera aphidicola*: bacterial genome helps define species and evolutionary relationships in aphids. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **276**, 187–196.
- Jule, K.R., Leaver, L.A. & Lea, S.E.G. (2008) The effects of captive experience on reintroduction survival in carnivores: A review and analysis. *Biological Conservation*, **141**, 355–363.
- Jung, V., Violle, C., Mondy, Că., Hoffmann, L. & Muller, S. (2010) Intraspecific variability and trait-based community assembly. *Journal of Ecology*, **98**, 1134–1140.
- Kasparian, K., Geißler, E. & Trillmich, F. (2005) Optimal offspring size in a small mammal: An exception to the tradeoff invariant life-history rule. *Oikos*, **111**, 271–278.
- Katovai, E., Burley, A.L. & Mayfield, M.M. (2012) Understory plant species and functional diversity in the degraded wet tropical forests of Kolombangara Island, Solomon Islands. *Biological Conservation*, **145**, 214–224.

- Kirwan, M.L. & Guntenspergen, G.R. (2012) Feedbacks between inundation, root production, and shoot growth in a rapidly submerging brackish marsh. *Journal of Ecology*, **100**, 764–770.
- Koho, K.A., Nierop, K.G.J., Moodley, L., Middelburg, J.J., Pozzato, L., Soetaert, K., van der Plicht, J. & Reichart, G.-J. (2013) Microbial bioavailability regulates organic matter preservation in marine sediments. *Biogeosciences*, **10**, 1131–1141.
- Koskela, J., Lefèvre, F., Schüller, S., Kraigher, H., Olrik, D.C., Hubert, J., Longauer, R., Bozzano, M., Yrjänä, L., Alizoti, P. & others (2013) Translating conservation genetics into management: Pan-European minimum requirements for dynamic conservation units of forest tree genetic diversity. *Biological Conservation*, **157**, 39–49.
- Kraemer, S.A. & Velicer, G.J. (2014) Social complementation and growth advantages promote socially defective bacterial isolates. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **281**, 20140036.
- Kryger, U., Deschodt, C. & Scholtz, C.H. (2005) Effects of fluazuron and ivermectin treatment of cattle on the structure of dung beetle communities. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, **105**, 649–656.
- Kulmala, S., Laukkanen, M. & Michielsens, C. (2008) Reconciling economic and biological modeling of migratory fish stocks: optimal management of the Atlantic salmon fishery in the Baltic Sea. *Ecological Economics*.
- Laird, K.R., Haig, H.A., Ma, S., Kingsbury, M.V., Brown, T.A., Lewis, C.F.M., Oglesby, R.J. & Cumming, B.F. (2012) Expanded spatial extent of the Medieval Climate Anomaly revealed in lake-sediment records across the boreal region in northwest Ontario. *Global Change Biology*, **18**, 2869–2881.
- Landman, N.H., Kennedy, W.J., Cobban, W.A. & Larson, N.L. (2010) Scaphites of the “Nodosus Group” from the Upper Cretaceous (Campanian) of the Western Interior of North America. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History*, 1–242.
- Lang’at, J.K.S., Kirui, B.K.Y., Skov, M.W., Kairo, J.G., Mencuccini, M. & Huxham, M. (2013) Species mixing boosts root yield in mangrove trees. *Oecologia*, **172**, 271–278.
- \*Lankinen, Å. (2008) Root competition influences pollen competitive ability in *Viola tricolor*: effects of presence of a competitor beyond resource availability? *Journal of Ecology*, **96**, 756–765.
- Large, S.I. & Smee, D.L. (2013) Biogeographic variation in behavioral and morphological responses to predation risk. *Oecologia*, **171**, 961–969.
- Laß, K., Bange, H.W. & Friedrichs, G. (2013) Seasonal signatures in SFG vibrational spectra of the sea surface nanolayer at Boknis Eck Time Series Station (SW Baltic Sea). *Biogeosciences*, **10**, 5325–5334.
- Laß, K., Kleber, J. & Friedrichs, G. (2010) Vibrational sum-frequency generation as a probe for composition, chemical reactivity, and film formation dynamics of the sea surface nanolayer. *Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods*, **8**, 216–228.
- Lazzari, P., Solidoro, C., Ibello, V., Salon, S. & Teruzzi, A. (2012) Seasonal and inter-annual variability of plankton chlorophyll and primary production in the Mediterranean Sea: a modelling approach. *Biogeosciences*, **9**.
- Lee, M.S.Y. (2005) Molecular evidence and marine snake origins. *Biology Letters*, **1**, 227–230.
- Lee, T.D., Barrott, S.H. & Reich, P.B. (2011) Photosynthetic responses of 13 grassland species across 11 years of free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment is modest, consistent and independent of N supply. *Global Change Biology*, **17**, 2893–2904.

- Lehto Hürlimann, M., Stier, A., Scholly, O., Criscuolo, F. & Bize, P. (2014) Short- and long-term effects of litter size manipulation in a small wild-derived rodent. *Biology letters*, **10**, 20131096.
- Leroux, S.J., Krawchuk, M.A., Schmiegelow, F., Cumming, S.G., Lisgo, K., Anderson, L.G. & Petkova, M. (2010) Global protected areas and IUCN designations: Do the categories match the conditions? *Biological Conservation*, **143**, 609–616.
- Lieske, D.J., Fifield, D.A. & Gjerdrum, C. (2014) Maps, models, and marine vulnerability: Assessing the community distribution of seabirds at-sea. *Biological Conservation*, **172**, 15–28.
- Liess, A. & Kahlert, M. (2007) Gastropod grazers and nutrients, but not light, interact in determining periphytic algal diversity. *Oecologia*, **152**, 101–111.
- Lin, H., Jin, Y., Giglio, L. & Foley, J. (2012) Evaluating greenhouse gas emissions inventories for agricultural burning using satellite observations of active fires. *Ecological*.
- Lin, H.-W., Jin, Y., Giglio, L., Foley, J.A. & Randerson, J.T. (2012) Evaluating greenhouse gas emissions inventories for agricultural burning using satellite observations of active fires. *Ecological Applications*, **22**, 1345–1364.
- Long, Z.T. & Morin, P.J. (2005) Effects of organism size and community composition on ecosystem functioning. *Ecology Letters*, **8**, 1271–1282.
- Lopez-Garcia, P., Philippe, H., Gail, F. & Moreira, D. (2003) Autochthonous eukaryotic diversity in hydrothermal sediment and experimental microcolonizers at the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **100**, 697–702.
- Lopez-Garcia, P., Rodriguez-Valera, F., Pedros-Alio, C. & Moreira, D. (2001) Unexpected diversity of small eukaryotes in deep-sea Antarctic plankton. *Nature*, **409**, 603–607.
- Lopez-Toledo, L., Anten, N.P.R., Endress, B.A., Ackerly, D.D. & Martínez-Ramos, M. (2012) Resilience to chronic defoliation in a dioecious understory tropical rain forest palm. *Journal of Ecology*, **100**, 1245–1256.
- Luo, Z.-X., Ruf, I., Schultz, J.A. & Martin, T. (2010) Fossil evidence on evolution of inner ear cochlea in Jurassic mammals. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, **278**, 28–34.
- Lythgoe, K.A. & Fraser, C. (2012) New insights into the evolutionary rate of HIV-1 at the within-host and epidemiological levels. *Proceedings. Biological sciences / The Royal Society*, **279**, 3367–75.
- Macdonald, D.W., Johnson, P.J., Albrechtsen, L., Seymour, S., Dupain, J., Hall, A. & Fa, J.E. (2012) Bushmeat trade in the Cross-Sanaga rivers region: Evidence for the importance of protected areas. *Biological Conservation*, **147**, 107–114.
- Madsen, T., Ujvari, B. & Olsson, M. (2005) Old pythons stay fit; effects of haematozoan infections on life history traits of a large tropical predator. *Oecologia*, **142**, 407–412.
- Majić, A. & Bath, A.J. (2010) Changes in attitudes toward wolves in Croatia. *Biological Conservation*, **143**, 255–260.
- Manier, D. & Hobbs, N. (2006) Large herbivores influence the composition and diversity of shrub-steppe communities in the Rocky Mountains, USA. *Oecologia*.
- Marsh, H., Dennis, A., Hines, H., Kutt, A., McDONALD, K., Weber, E., Williams, S. & Winter, J. (2007) Optimizing Allocation of Management Resources for Wildlife. *Conservation Biology*, **21**, 387–399.
- Martin, L.J. (2012) Where are the women in ecology? *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **10**, 177–178.
- Martin, P.H., Canham, C.D. & Marks, P.L. (2009) Why forests appear resistant to exotic plant invasions: intentional introductions, stand dynamics, and the role of shade tolerance. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **7**, 142–149.

- Mascalzoni, E., Osorio, D., Regolin, L. & Vallortigara, G. (2012) Symmetry perception by poultry chicks and its implications for three-dimensional object recognition. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, **279**, 841–846.
- Mastrangelo, M. & Gavin, M. (2012) Trade-offs between cattle production and bird conservation in an agricultural frontier of the Gran Chaco of Argentina. *Conservation Biology*.
- Mathot, K.J., Godde, S., Careau, V., Thomas, D.W. & Giraldeau, L.-A. (2009) Testing dynamic variance-sensitive foraging using individual differences in basal metabolic rates of zebra finches. *Oikos*, **118**, 545–552.
- McCarthy, M.A., Thompson, C.J. & Possingham, H.P. (2005) Theory for designing nature reserves for single species. *The American Naturalist*, **165**, 250–257.
- McClanahan, T.R., Weil, E. & Maina, J. (2009) Strong relationship between coral bleaching and growth anomalies in massive Porites. *Global Change Biology*, **15**, 1804–1816.
- McCleery, R., Hostetler, J.A. & Oli, M.K. (2014) Better off in the wild? Evaluating a captive breeding and release program for the recovery of an endangered rodent. *Biological Conservation*, **169**, 198–205.
- McFrederick, Q.S., Fuentes, J.D., Roulston, T., Kathilankal, J.C. & Lerdau, M. (2009) Effects of air pollution on biogenic volatiles and ecological interactions. *Oecologia*, **160**, 411–420.
- McNab, B. (2010) Geographic and temporal correlations of mammalian size reconsidered: a resource rule. *Oecologia*.
- Mduma, S.A.R., Sinclair, A.R.E. & Hilborn, R. (1999) Food regulates the Serengeti wildebeest: a 40-year record. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **68**, 1101–1122.
- \*Melvin, A.M., Lichstein, J.W. & Goodale, C.L. (2013) Forest liming increases forest floor carbon and nitrogen stocks in a mixed hardwood forest. *Ecological Applications*, **23**, 1962–1975.
- Menzel, S. & Wiek, A. (2009) Valuation in morally charged situations: The role of deontological stances and intuition for trade-off making. *Ecological Economics*, **68**, 2198–2206.
- Meusburger, K., Mabit, L., Park, J.-H., Sandor, T. & Alewell, C. (2013) Combined use of stable isotopes and fallout radionuclides as soil erosion indicators in a forested mountain site, South Korea. *Biogeosciences*, **10**, 5627–5638.
- Meyer, A., Witek, A. & Lieb, B. (2011) Selecting ribosomal protein genes for invertebrate phylogenetic inferences: how many genes to resolve the Mollusca? *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*.
- Michimae, H., Nishimura, K., Tamori, Y. & Wakahara, M. (2009) Maternal effects on phenotypic plasticity in larvae of the salamander *Hynobius retardatus*. *Oecologia*, **160**, 601–608.
- Middlemas, S.J., Raffell, J.A., Hay, D.W., Hatton-Ellis, M. & Armstrong, J.D. (2010) Temporal and spatial patterns of sea lice levels on sea trout in western Scotland in relation to fish farm production cycles. *Biology Letters*, rsbl20090872.
- Middleton, A.D., Morrison, T. a, Fortin, J.K., Robbins, C.T., Proffitt, K.M., White, P.J., McWhirter, D.E., Koel, T.M., Brimeyer, D.G., Fairbanks, W.S. & Kauffman, M.J. (2013) Grizzly bear predation links the loss of native trout to the demography of migratory elk in Yellowstone. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **280**, 20130870.
- Miller, C. (2013) Dispatches: Climate of change in the trees. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **11**, 520–524.
- \*Molinari, C., Lehsten, V., Bradshaw, R.H.W., Power, M.J., Harmand, P., Arneth, A., Kaplan, J.O., Vanni re, B. & Sykes, M.T. (2013) Exploring potential drivers of

- European biomass burning over the Holocene: a data-model analysis: Drivers of Holocene European fire activity. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **22**, 1248–1260.
- Moore, J.W. & Schindler, D.E. (2010) Spawning salmon and the phenology of emergence in stream insects. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, **277**, 1695–1703.
- Moore, P. (2011) Tiny larvae signal big potential for MPAs. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **9**.
- Morandin, L.A., Long, R.F. & Kremen, C. (2014) Hedgerows enhance beneficial insects on adjacent tomato fields in an intensive agricultural landscape. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, **189**, 164–170.
- Nally, R.M. (2005) Ecological boundary detection using Carlin–Chib Bayesian model selection. *Diversity and Distributions*, **11**, 499–508.
- Nams, V. (2014) Tortuosity of habitat edges affects animal movement. *Landscape Ecology*.
- Navel, S., Mermillod-Blondin, F., Montuelle, B., Chauvet, E. & Marmonier, P. (2012) Sedimentary context controls the influence of ecosystem engineering by bioturbators on microbial processes in river sediments. *Oikos*, **121**, 1134–1144.
- NemÅ©sio, A., Seixas, D.P. & Vasconcelos, H.L. (2013) The public perception of animal diversity: what do postage stamps tell us? *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **11**, 9–10.
- Newman, K.B., Buckland, S.T., Lindley, S.T., Thomas, L. & Fernández, C. (2006) Hidden Process Models For Animal Population Dynamics. *Ecological Applications*, **16**, 74–86.
- Nguyen, T.N., Shaw, W.D., Woodward, R.T., Paterson, R. & Boyle, K. (2007) An empirical study of option prices for hunting permits. *Ecological Economics*, **63**, 476–484.
- Nicholson, E., Keith, D.A. & Wilcove, D.S. (2009) Assessing the threat status of ecological communities. *Conservation Biology: The Journal of the Society for Conservation Biology*, **23**, 259–274.
- \*Nilsen, E.B., Brøseth, H., Odden, J. & Linnell, J.D.C. (2010) The cost of maturing early in a solitary carnivore. *Oecologia*, **164**, 943–948.
- Nishijima, S., Takimoto, G., Miyashita, T., Elser, A.E.J. & Kalisz, E.S. (2014) Roles of Alternative Prey for Mesopredators on Trophic Cascades in Intraguild Predation Systems: A Theoretical Perspective. *The American Naturalist*, **183**, 625–637.
- Noël, S. & Lapointe, F. (2010) Urban conservation genetics: study of a terrestrial salamander in the city. *Biological Conservation*.
- Norén, K., Angerbjörn, A. & Hersteinsson, P. (2009) Population structure in an isolated Arctic fox, *Vulpes lagopus*, population: the impact of geographical barriers. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **97**, 18–26.
- Norén, K., Carmichael, L., Dalén, L., Hersteinsson, P., Samelius, G., Fuglei, E., Kapel, C.M.O., Menyushina, I., Strobeck, C. & Angerbjörn, A. (2011) Arctic fox *Vulpes lagopus* population structure: circumpolar patterns and processes. *Oikos*, **120**, 873–885.
- Nørgård, J.S. (2006) Consumer efficiency in conflict with GDP growth. *Ecological Economics*, **57**, 15–29.
- Nosil, P. & Crespi, B.J. (2006) Ecological divergence promotes the evolution of cryptic reproductive isolation. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, **273**, 991–997.
- Nowak, M.A. & Roch, S. (2007) Upstream reciprocity and the evolution of gratitude. *Proceedings. Biological sciences / The Royal Society*, **274**, 605–9.



- Nunes, A., Richter-Boix, A., Laurila, A. & Rebelo, R. (2013) Do anuran larvae respond behaviourally to chemical cues from an invasive crayfish predator? A community-wide study. *Oecologia*.
- Ogada, D.L., Gadd, M.E., Ostfeld, R.S., Young, T.P. & Keesing, F. (2008) Impacts of large herbivorous mammals on bird diversity and abundance in an African savanna. *Oecologia*, **156**, 387–397.
- Ohashi, K., Leslie, A. & Thomson, J.D. (2013) Trapline foraging by bumble bees: VII. Adjustments for foraging success following competitor removal. *Behavioral Ecology*, **24**, 768–778.
- Olson, Z.H., Beasley, J.C., DeVault, T.L. & Rhodes, O.E. (2012) Scavenger community response to the removal of a dominant scavenger. *Oikos*, **121**, 77–84.
- Onofri, L. & Nunes, P.A.L.D. (2013) Beach “lovers” and “greens”: A worldwide empirical analysis of coastal tourism. *Ecological Economics*, **88**, 49–56.
- Öquist, M.G., Sparrman, T., Klemetsson, L., Drotz, S.H., Grip, H., Schleucher, J. & Nilsson, M.S. (2009) Water availability controls microbial temperature responses in frozen soil CO<sub>2</sub> production. *Global Change Biology*, **15**, 2715–2722.
- Ord, T. & Klomp, D. (2014) Habitat partitioning and morphological differentiation: the Southeast Asian Draco lizards and Caribbean Anolis lizards compared. *Oecologia*.
- Owen-Smith, B.Y.N. (2006) Demographic Determination of the Shape of Density Dependence for Three African Ungulate Populations. *Ecological Monographs*, **76**, 93–109.
- Owen-Smith, B.Y.N. (1990) Demography of a Large Herbivore, the Greater Kudu *Tragelaphus strepsiceros*, in Relation to Rainfall. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **59**, 893–913.
- Ozgo, M. & Schilthuizen, M. (2012) Evolutionary change in *Cepaea nemoralis* shell colour over 43 years. *Global Change Biology*, **18**, 74–81.
- Palmer, M.W., Peet, R.K., Reed, R.A., Xi, W. & White, P.S. (2007) A Multiscale Study of Vascular Plants in a North Carolina Piedmont Forest: Ecological Archives E088-162. *Ecology*, **88**, 2674–2674.
- Paterson, S. & Barber, R. (2007) Experimental evolution of parasite life-history traits in *Strongyloides ratti* (Nematoda). *Proceedings. Biological sciences / The Royal Society*, **274**, 1467–74.
- Peck, J.E. & Frelich, L.E. (2008) Moss Harvest Truncates the Successional Development of Epiphytic Bryophytes in the Pacific Northwest. *Ecological Applications*, **18**, 146–158.
- Pérez, C., Munilla, I., López-Alonso, M. & Velando, A. (2010) Sublethal effects on seabirds after the Prestige oil-spill are mirrored in sexual signals. *Biology Letters*, **6**, 33–35.
- Phillips, B. & Shine, R. (2007) When dinner is dangerous: toxic frogs elicit species-specific responses from a generalist snake predator. *The American Naturalist*, **170**, 936–942.
- Pinkus-Rendón, M.A., León-Cortés, J.L. & Ibarra-Núñez, G. (2006) Spider diversity in a tropical habitat gradient in Chiapas, Mexico. *Diversity and Distributions*, **12**, 61–69.
- Pittel, K. & Rübelke, D.T.G. (2008) Climate policy and ancillary benefits: A survey and integration into the modelling of international negotiations on climate change. *Ecological Economics*, **68**, 210–220.
- Plowright, R.K., Field, H.E., Smith, C., Divljan, A., Palmer, C., Tabor, G., Daszak, P. & Foley, J.E. (2008) Reproduction and nutritional stress are risk factors for Hendra virus infection in little red flying foxes (*Pteropus scapulatus*). *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, **275**, 861–869.

- Poggio, S., Satorre, E. & de la Fuente, E. (2004) Structure of weed communities occurring in pea and wheat crops in the Rolling Pampa (Argentina). *Agriculture, ecosystems &.*
- Ponton, F., Lefevre, T., Lebarbenchon, C., Thomas, F., Loxdale, H.D., Marché, L., Renault, L. & Perrot-Minnot, M.J. (2006) Do distantly related parasites rely on the same proximate factors to alter the behaviour of their hosts? *Proceedings. Biological sciences / The Royal Society*, **273**, 2869–77.
- Power, M.J., Marlon, J., Ortiz, N., Bartlein, P.J., Harrison, S.P., Mayle, F.E., Ballouche, A., Bradshaw, R.H.W., Carcaillet, C., Cordova, C., Mooney, S., Moreno, P.I., Prentice, I.C., Thonicke, K., Tinner, W., Whitlock, C., Zhang, Y., Zhao, Y., Ali, A.A., Anderson, R.S., Beer, R., Behling, H., Briles, C., Brown, K.J., Brunelle, A., Bush, M., Camill, P., Chu, G.Q., Clark, J., Colombaroli, D., Connor, S., Daniau, A.-L., Daniels, M., Dodson, J., Doughty, E., Edwards, M.E., Finsinger, W., Foster, D., Frechette, J., Gaillard, M.-J., Gavin, D.G., Gobet, E., Haberle, S., Hallett, D.J., Higuera, P., Hope, G., Horn, S., Inoue, J., Kaltenrieder, P., Kennedy, L., Kong, Z.C., Larsen, C., Long, C.J., Lynch, J., Lynch, E.A., McGlone, M., Meeks, S., Mensing, S., Meyer, G., Minckley, T., Mohr, J., Nelson, D.M., New, J., Newnham, R., Noti, R., Oswald, W., Pierce, J., Richard, P.J.H., Rowe, C., Sanchez Goñi, M.F., Shuman, B.N., Takahara, H., Toney, J., Turney, C., Urrego-Sanchez, D.H., Umbanhowar, C., Vandergoes, M., Vanniere, B., Vescovi, E., Walsh, M., Wang, X., Williams, N., Wilmschurst, J. & Zhang, J.H. (2008) Changes in fire regimes since the Last Glacial Maximum: an assessment based on a global synthesis and analysis of charcoal data. *Climate Dynamics*, **30**, 887–907.
- Pöyry, J., Luoto, M., Heikkinen, R.K., Kuussaari, M. & Saarinen, K. (2009) Species traits explain recent range shifts of Finnish butterflies. *Global Change Biology*, **15**, 732–743.
- Pozzato, L., Van Oevelen, D., Moodley, L., Soetaert, K. & Middelburg, J.J. (2013) Sink or link? The bacterial role in benthic carbon cycling in the Arabian Sea's oxygen minimum zone. *Biogeosciences*, **10**, 6879–6891.
- Prince, E.K., Lettieri, L., McCurdy, K.J. & Kubanek, J. (2006) Fitness consequences for copepods feeding on a red tide dinoflagellate: Deciphering the effects of nutritional value, toxicity, and feeding behavior. *Oecologia*, **147**, 479–488.
- Prous, X., Ferreira, R.L. & Martins, R.P. (2004) Ecotone delimitation: Epigean–hypogean transition in cave ecosystems. *Austral Ecology*, **29**, 374–382.
- Pryke, S.R. (2007) Fiery red heads: female dominance among head color morphs in the Gouldian finch. *Behavioral Ecology*, **18**, 621–627.
- Purves, D., Caspersen, J. & Moorcroft, P. (2004) Human-induced changes in US biogenic volatile organic compound emissions: evidence from long-term forest inventory data. *Global Change*.
- Quayle, J. & Ramsay, L. (2006) Biodiversity indicators based on trends in conservation status: advancing the science. *Conservation biology*.
- Queenborough, S.A., Mazer, S.J., Vamosi, S.M., Garwood, N.C., Valencia, R. & Freckleton, R.P. (2009) Seed mass, abundance and breeding system among tropical forest species: do dioecious species exhibit compensatory reproduction or abundances? *Journal of Ecology*, **97**, 555–566.
- \*Quétier, F., Lavorel, S., Thuiller, W. & Davies, I. (2007) Plant-trait-based modeling assessment of ecosystem-service sensitivity to land-use change. *Ecological Applications*, **17**, 2377–2386.
- Quigg, A., Irwin, A. & Finkel, Z. (2011) Evolutionary inheritance of elemental stoichiometry in phytoplankton. *of the Royal ....*

- Quintana-Ascencio, P.F., Weekley, C.W. & Menges, E.S. (2007) Comparative demography of a rare species in Florida scrub and road habitats. *Biological Conservation*, **137**, 263–270.
- Rafferty, N.E. & Ives, A.R. (2012) Pollinator effectiveness varies with experimental shifts in flowering time. *Ecology*, **93**, 803–814.
- Ravi, S. & Huxman, T.E. (2009) Land degradation in the Thar Desert. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **7**, 517–518.
- Rehfeldt, G.E., Crookston, N.L., Saenz-Romero, C. & Campbell, E.M. (2012) North American vegetation model for land-use planning in a changing climate: A solution to large classification problems. *Ecological Applications*, **22**, 119–141.
- Riley, W.D., Davison, P.I., Maxwell, D.L. & Bendall, B. (2013) Street lighting delays and disrupts the dispersal of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) fry. *Biological Conservation*, **158**, 140–146.
- Roberts, D.L. (2013) Refuge-Effect Hypothesis and the Demise of the Dodo: Refuge-Effect Hypothesis. *Conservation Biology*, **27**, 1478–1480.
- Roche, E.A., Cuthbert, F.J. & Arnold, T.W. (2008a) Relative fitness of wild and captive-reared piping plovers: Does egg salvage contribute to recovery of the endangered Great Lakes population? *Biological Conservation*, **141**, 3079–3088.
- Roche, E.A., Cuthbert, F.J. & Arnold, T.W. (2008b) Relative fitness of wild and captive-reared piping plovers: Does egg salvage contribute to recovery of the endangered Great Lakes population? *Biological Conservation*, **141**, 3079–3088.
- Rohr, J.R., Swan, A., Raffel, T.R. & Hudson, P.J. (2009) Parasites, info-disruption, and the ecology of fear. *Oecologia*, **159**, 447–454.
- Rohrman, D.F. (2005) NEPA's continuing impact. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **3**, 285–285.
- Rollin, O., Bretagnolle, V., Decourtye, A., Aptel, J., Michel, N., Vaissière, B.E. & Henry, M. (2013) Differences of floral resource use between honey bees and wild bees in an intensive farming system. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, **179**, 78–86.
- Romanou, A., Romanski, J. & Gregg, W.W. (2014) Natural Ocean Carbon Cycle Sensitivity to Parameterizations of the Recycling in a Climate Model. *Biogeosciences*, **11**, 1137–1154.
- Rös, M. & Pineda, E. (2009) Apparent extinction or insufficient sampling?: comment on “Deforestation and apparent extinctions of endemic forest beetles in Madagascar.” *Biology letters*.
- Rossi, J.P., Celini, L., Mora, P., Mathieu, J., Lapied, E., Nahmani, J., Ponge, J.-F. & Lavelle, P. (2010) Decreasing fallow duration in tropical slash-and-burn agriculture alters soil macroinvertebrate diversity: A case study in southern French Guiana.
- Rothstein, D.E., Vitousek, P.M. & Simmons, B.L. (2004) An exotic tree alters decomposition and nutrient cycling in a Hawaiian montane forest. *Ecosystems*, **7**, 805–814.
- Rowland, L., Stahl, C., Bonal, D., Siebicke, L. & Williams, M. (2013) The response of tropical rainforest dead wood respiration to seasonal drought. *Ecosystems*.
- Royle, N.J., Lindström, J. & Metcalfe, N.B. (2005) A poor start in life negatively affects dominance status in adulthood independent of body size in green swordtails *Xiphophorus helleri*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **272**, 1917–22.
- Rubenstein, D.R. (2007) Female extrapair mate choice in a cooperative breeder: trading sex for help and increasing offspring heterozygosity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, **274**, 1895–1903.
- \*Rubolini, D., Martinelli, R., von Engelhardt, N., Romano, M., Groothuis, T.G.G., Fasola, M. & Saino, N. (2007). Consequences of prenatal androgen exposure for the

- reproductive performance of female pheasants (*Phasianus colchicus*). *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **274**, 137–142.
- Russell, R., Wood, S.A., Allison, G. & Menge, B.A. (2006) Scale, Environment, and Trophic Status: The Context Dependency of Community Saturation in Rocky Intertidal Communities. *The American Naturalist*, **167**, E158–E170.
- Sahlin, U., Rydén, T., Nyberg, C.D. & Smith, H.G. (2011) A benefit analysis of screening for invasive species - base-rate uncertainty and the value of information. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, **2**, 500–508.
- Saino, N., Ferrari, R.P., Romano, M., Martinelli, R., Lacroix, A., Gil, D. & Møller, A.P. (2006) Maternal allocation of androgens and antagonistic effects of yolk androgens on sons and daughters. *Behavioral Ecology*, **17**, 172–181.
- Satoh, A., Yoshioka, E. & Numata, H. (2008) Circatidal activity rhythm in the mangrove cricket *Apteronomobius asahinai*. *Biology letters*.
- \*Scerri, A. (2012) Ends in view: The capabilities approach in ecological/sustainability economics. *Ecological Economics*, **77**, 7–10.
- Schäfer, K.V.R., Clark, K.L., Skowronski, N. & Hamerlynck, E.P. (2010) Impact of insect defoliation on forest carbon balance as assessed with a canopy assimilation model. *Global Change Biology*, **16**, 546–560.
- Scheffers, B.R., Phillips, B.L., Laurance, W.F., Sodhi, N.S., Diesmos, A. & Williams, S.E. (2013) Increasing arboreality with altitude: a novel biogeographic dimension. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, **280**.
- Schroth, G., Moraes, V. & Mota, M.D. (2004) Increasing the profitability of traditional, planted rubber agroforests at the Tapajós river, Brazilian Amazon. *Agriculture, ecosystems & .*
- Seabloom, E.W., Borer, E.T., Jolles, A. & Mitchell, C.E. (2009) Direct and indirect effects of viral pathogens and the environment on invasive grass fecundity in Pacific Coast grasslands. *Journal of Ecology*, **97**, 1264–1273.
- Seibt, U., Kesselmeier, J., Sandoval-Soto, L., Kuhn, U. & Berry, J.A. (2010) A kinetic analysis of leaf uptake of COS and its relation to transpiration, photosynthesis and carbon isotope fractionation. *Biogeosciences*, **7**, 333–341.
- \*Shears, N.T., Grace, R.V., Usmar, N.R., Kerr, V. & Babcock, R.C. (2006) Long-term trends in lobster populations in a partially protected vs. no-take Marine Park. *Biological Conservation*, **132**, 222–231.
- Sheriff, M.J., Kenagy, G.J., Richter, M., Lee, T., Tøien, O., Kohl, F., Buck, C.L. & Barnes, B.M. (2011) Phenological variation in annual timing of hibernation and breeding in nearby populations of Arctic ground squirrels. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **278**, 2369–2375.
- Sherratt, T.N. & Franks, D.W. (2005) Do unprofitable prey evolve traits that profitable prey find difficult to exploit? *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **272**, 2441–7.
- Shigueto, J.A., Mangel, J.C., Waerebeek, K.V., Caceres, C., Bearhop, S., Witt, M.J. & Godley, B.J. (2010) Small cetacean captures and CPUE estimates in artisanal fisheries operating from a port in northern Peru , 2005-2007. *Biological Conservation*, 1–13.
- Shingleton, A.W., Estep, C.M., Driscoll, M.V. & Dworkin, I. (2009) Many ways to be small: different environmental regulators of size generate distinct scaling relationships in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Proceedings. Biological sciences / The Royal Society*, **276**, 2625–33.
- Siano, R., Alves-De-Souza, C., Foulon, E., M. Bendif, E., Simon, N., Guillou, L. & Not, F. (2011) Distribution and host diversity of Amoebozoa parasites across oligotrophic waters of the Mediterranean Sea. *Biogeosciences*, **8**, 267–278.

- Sitters, J., Edwards, P.J. & Venterink, H.O. (2012) Increases of Soil C, N, and P Pools Along an Acacia Tree Density Gradient and Their Effects on Trees and Grasses. *Ecosystems*, **16**, 347–357.
- Smith, D. (2008) The spatial patterns of indigenous wildlife use in western Panama: Implications for conservation management. *Biological Conservation*, **141**, 925–937.
- Smith, R., Gaston, K., Warren, P. & Thompson, K. (2005) Urban domestic gardens (V): relationships between landcover composition, housing and landscape. *Landscape ecology*.
- Snekser, J.L., Leese, J., Ganim, A. & Itzkowitz, M. (2009) Caribbean damselfish with varying territory quality: correlated behaviors but not a syndrome. *Behavioral Ecology*, **20**, 124–130.
- Sønderskov, M., Axelsen, J.A., Pedersen, M.B. & Tybirk, K. (2006) Assessment of the effects of reduced herbicide applications on selected arable weeds by a simulation model. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, **116**, 216–224.
- Soper, D., King, K. & Vergara, D. (2014) Exposure to parasites increases promiscuity in a freshwater snail. *Biology*.
- Sovada, M.A., Pietz, P.J., Converse, K.A., Tommy King, D., Hofmeister, E.K., Scherr, P. & Ip, H.S. (2008) Impact of West Nile virus and other mortality factors on American white pelicans at breeding colonies in the northern plains of North America. *Biological Conservation*, **141**, 1021–1031.
- Staggemeier, V.G., Diniz-Filho, J.A.F. & Morellato, L.P.C. (2010) The shared influence of phylogeny and ecology on the reproductive patterns of Myrteae (Myrtaceae). *Journal of Ecology*, **98**, 1409–1421.
- Steen, H., Mysterud, A. & Austrheim, G. (2005) Sheep grazing and rodent populations: evidence of negative interactions from a landscape scale experiment. *Oecologia*.
- Steiger, S.S., Valcu, M., Spoelstra, K., Helm, B., Wikelski, M. & Kempenaers, B. (2013) When the sun never sets: diverse activity rhythms under continuous daylight in free-living arctic-breeding birds. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **280**, 20131016–20131016.
- Stokke, B.G., Hafstad, I., Rudolfsen, G., Bargain, B., Beier, J., Bigas Campàs, D., Dyrce, A., Honza, M., Leisler, B., Pap, P.L., Patapavicius, R., Procházka, P., Schulze-Hagen, K., Thomas, R., Moksnes, A., Pape Møller, A., Røskaft, E. & Soler, M. (2007) Host density predicts presence of cuckoo parasitism in reed warblers. *Oikos*, **116**, 913–922.
- Stoltz, J.A. & Andrade, M.C.B. (2010) Female's courtship threshold allows intruding males to mate with reduced effort. *Proceedings. Biological sciences / The Royal Society*, **277**, 585–92.
- Stone, E.L., Jones, G. & Harris, S. (2012) Conserving energy at a cost to biodiversity? Impacts of LED lighting on bats. *Global Change Biology*, **18**, 2458–2465.
- Stueve, K.M., Isaacs, R.E., Tyrrell, L.E. & Densmore, R.V. (2011) Spatial variability of biotic and abiotic tree establishment constraints across a treeline ecotone in the Alaska Range. *Ecology*, **92**, 496–506.
- Sugiura, S., Tanaka, R., Taki, H. & Kanzaki, N. (2013) Differential responses of scavenging arthropods and vertebrates to forest loss maintain ecosystem function in a heterogeneous landscape. *Biological Conservation*, **159**, 206–213.
- Thomas, R.Q., Bonan, G.B. & Goodale, C.L. (2013) Insights into mechanisms governing forest carbon response to nitrogen deposition: a model-data comparison using observed responses to nitrogen addition. *Biogeosciences*, **10**, 3869–3887.
- Thresher, R.E., Canning, M. & Bax, N.J. (2013) Demographic effects on the use of genetic options for the control of mosquitofish, *Gambusia holbrooki*. *Ecological Applications*, **23**, 801–814.

- Thrush, S.F., Halliday, J., Hewitt, J.E. & Lohrer, A.M. (2008) The effects of habitat loss, fragmentation, and community homogenization on resilience in estuaries. *Ecological Applications*, **18**, 12–21.
- Tisdell, C. (2009) Biodiversity economics: Principles, methods and applications, Andreas Kontoleon, Unai Pascual, Timothy Swanson (Eds.). Cambridge University Press, New York, (2008), ISBN: 978-0-521-86683-5. *Ecological Economics*, **69**, 207–208.
- Tsounis, G., Rossi, S., Gili, J.-M. & Arntz, W. (2006) Population structure of an exploited benthic cnidarian: the case study of red coral (*Corallium rubrum* L.). *Marine Biology*, **149**, 1059–1070.
- Tsounis, G., Rossi, S., Gili, J.-M. & Arntz, W.E. (2007) Red Coral Fishery at the Costa Brava (NW Mediterranean): Case Study of an Overharvested Precious Coral. *Ecosystems*, **10**, 975–986.
- Tsunogai, U., Daita, S., Komatsu, D.D., Nakagawa, F. & Tanaka, A. (2011) Quantifying nitrate dynamics in an oligotrophic lake using  $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ . *Biogeosciences*, **8**, 687–702.
- Urban, M.C. (2007) Predator size and phenology shape prey survival in temporary ponds. *Oecologia*, **154**, 571–580.
- Urbanová, Z., Bárta, J. & Pícek, T. (2013) Methane Emissions and Methanogenic Archaea on Pristine, Drained and Restored Mountain Peatlands, Central Europe. *Ecosystems*, **16**, 664–677.
- Van Allen, B.G. & Rudolf, V.H.W. (2013) Ghosts of Habitats Past: Environmental Carry-Over Effects Drive Population Dynamics in Novel Habitat. *The American Naturalist*, **181**, 596–608.
- Van Overwalle, G. (2005) Protecting and sharing biodiversity and traditional knowledge: Holder and user tools. *Ecological Economics*, **53**, 585–607.
- Vanpé, C., Gaillard, J.-M., Kjellander, P., Mysterud, A., Magnien, P., Delorme, D., Van Laere, G., Klein, F., Liberg, O. & Hewison, J.M. (2007) Antler size provides an honest signal of male phenotypic quality in roe deer. *The American Naturalist*, **169**, 481–93.
- Vázquez-Rodríguez, M., Touratier, F., Lo Monaco, C., Waugh, D.W., Padin, X.A., Bellerby, R.G.J., Goyet, C., Metzl, N., Ríos, A.F. & Pérez, F.F. (2009) Anthropogenic carbon distributions in the Atlantic Ocean: data-based estimates from the Arctic to the Antarctic. *Biogeosciences*, **6**, 439–451.
- Vogel, J.G., Bond-Lamberty, B.P., Schuur, E.A.G., Gower, S.T., Mack, M.C., O'Connell, K.E.B., Valentine, D.W. & Ruess, R.W. (2008) Carbon allocation in boreal black spruce forests across regions varying in soil temperature and precipitation. *Global Change Biology*, **14**, 1503–1516.
- Warziniack, T. (2010) Efficiency of public goods provision in space. *Ecological Economics*, **69**, 1723–1730.
- Wehn, S. (2009) A map-based method for exploring responses to different levels of grazing pressure at the landscape scale. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, **129**, 177–181.
- Weimerskirch, H., Gault, A. & Cherel, Y. (2005) Prey distribution and patchiness: factors in foraging success and efficiency of wandering albatrosses. *Ecology*, **86**, 2611–2622.
- Weis, J. (2008) Creationism and intelligent design. *Oikos*.
- Whitehead, A. & Pilcher, W. (2011) Common mechanism underlies repeated evolution of extreme pollution tolerance. *of the Royal ...*
- Whitehead, D., Griffin, K.L., Turnbull, M.H., Tissue, D.T., Engel, V.C., Brown, K.J., Schuster, W.S.F. & Walcroft, A.S. (2004) Response of total night-time respiration to differences in total daily photosynthesis for leaves in a textlessitextgreater *Quercus rubratextless/itextgreater* L. canopy: implications for modelling canopy CO

- textless/subtextgreater2textless/subtextgreater exchange. *Global Change Biology*, **10**, 925–938.
- White, P.J., Davis, T.L., Barnowe-Meyer, K.K., Crabtree, R.L. & Garrott, R.A. (2007) Partial migration and philopatry of Yellowstone pronghorn. *Biological Conservation*, **135**, 502–510.
- Whittaker, J. (2011) The evolution of environmentally responsible investment: An Adam Smith perspective. *Ecological Economics*, **71**, 33–41.
- Williams, N.M., Regetz, J. & Kremen, C. (2012) Landscape-scale resources promote colony growth but not reproductive performance of bumble bees. *Ecology*, **93**, 1049–1058.
- Wilmsen, C. (2007) Seeing the Forest and the Trees: Human-Environment Interactions in Forest Ecosystems, Emilio F. Moran, Elinor Ostrom (Eds.). The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts (2005), ISBN: 0-262-13453-5. *Ecological Economics*, **64**, 233–234.
- Wong, B., Fisher, H. & Rosenthal, G. (2005) Species recognition by male swordtails via chemical cues. *Behavioral Ecology*.
- Wong, M. & Balshine, S. (2011) Fight for your breeding right: hierarchy re-establishment predicts aggression in a social queue. *Biology Letters*, **7**, 190–193.
- Work, T.T., Jacobs, J.M., Spence, J.R. & Volney, W.J. (2010) High levels of green-tree retention are required to preserve ground beetle biodiversity in boreal mixedwood forests. *Ecological Applications*, **20**, 741–751.
- Wright, E.L., Black, C.R., Cheesman, A.W., Drage, T., Large, D., Turner, B.L. & Sjögersten, S. (2011) Contribution of subsurface peat to CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes in a neotropical peatland. *Global Change Biology*, **17**, 2867–2881.
- Xu, W., Wang, X., Ouyang, Z., Zhang, J., Li, Z., Xiao, Y. & Zheng, H. (2009) Conservation of giant panda habitat in South Minshan, China, after the May 2008 earthquake. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **7**, 353–358.
- Yarrow, M.M. & Marin, V.H. (2007) Toward conceptual cohesiveness: A historical analysis of the theory and utility of ecological boundaries and transition zones. *Ecosystems*, **10**, 462–476.
- Yen, J.D.L., Thomson, J.R. & Nally, R.M. (2012) Is there an ecological basis for species abundance distributions? *Oecologia*, **171**, 517–525.
- Zhang, A., Bian, R., Hussain, Q., Li, L., Pan, G., Zheng, J., Zhang, X. & Zheng, J. (2013) Change in net global warming potential of a rice–wheat cropping system with biochar soil amendment in a rice paddy from China. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, **173**, 37–45.
- Zhao, L., Li, Y., Xu, S., Zhou, H., Gu, S., Yu, G. & Zhao, X. (2006) Diurnal, seasonal and annual variation in net ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> exchange of an alpine shrubland on Qinghai-Tibetan plateau. *Global Change Biology*, **12**, 1940–1953.
- Zhou, Z. & Li, F.Z.Z. (2010) A new Lower Cretaceous bird from China and tooth reduction in early avian evolution. *Proceedings. Biological sciences / The Royal Society*, **277**, 219–27.
- Zietsch, B.P., Verweij, K.J.H., Heath, A.C. & Martin, N.G. (2011) Variation in Human Mate Choice: Simultaneously Investigating Heritability, Parental Influence, Sexual Imprinting, and Assortative Mating. *The American Naturalist*, **177**, 605–616.
- Ziolkowski, L.A., Mykytczuk, N.C.S., Omelon, C.R., Johnson, H., Whyte, L.G. & Slater, G.F. (2013) Arctic gypsum endoliths: a biogeochemical characterization of a viable and active microbial community. *Biogeosciences*, **10**, 7661–7675.
- Zoellick, B., Nelson, S.J. & Schauffler, M. (2012) Participatory science and education: bringing both views into focus. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **10**, 310–313.

Zulueta, R.C., Oechel, W.C., Loescher, H.W., Lawrence, W.T. & Paw U, K.T. (2011)  
Aircraft-derived regional scale CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes from vegetated drained thaw-lake basins  
and interstitial tundra on the Arctic Coastal Plain of Alaska. *Global Change Biology*,  
17, 2781–2802.