Citation:	
Are the results valid?	
1. Was there a clearly defined, focused research question? What was the study question?	
2. How was the exposed cohort selected? Was there a well defined selection procedure for inclusion into the cohort? What proportion of eligible subjects was actually included?	
3. How was the non exposed cohort selected? Was this cohort drawn from the same source population as the exposed cohort? Was there a well defined selection procedure for inclusion into the cohort? What proportion of eligible subjects was actually included?	
4. How were the main exposures ascertained? Were the exposures clear, specific and measurable? Any likelihood of exposure misclassification?	
5. Was the cohort free of the disease (outcome) at the start of follow-up? Were only people at risk of the outcome included?	
6. Was duration of follow-up adequate (i.e. long enough for main outcomes to occur)?	

7. Was follow-up complete? Were efforts made to limit the loss to follow-up? What was the rate of attrition and was loss to follow-up similar in the exposed and non exposed cohorts?		
8. What were the primary and secondary outcomes of the study? How well were the outcomes measured? Was the outcome clear, specific and measurable? Were surrogate outcomes used?		
9. Were outcomes measures similarly in exposed and non exposed cohorts? Was outcome ascertainment influenced by knowledge of the exposure status (i.e. lack of blinding)?		
10. How comparable were the exposed and non-exposed cohorts? Have the authors identified all potentially important confounders? Is there information on how the potential confounders are distributed between the comparison groups? What confounders were adjusted for and was the adjustment adequate? Is residual confounding a concern?		
Any other potential biases in this study?		
Potential for selection bias?		

Potential for information bias?		
Potential for confounding?		
Was there a clear rationale for the sample size estimation?		
Are the analytic strategies clearly described? Were the data analytic methods appropriate for the research question and study design?		
What are the results?		
What are the study results?		
1. How strong was the association		

What are the study results?	
1. How strong was the association between exposure and outcome (e.g. rate ratio or hazard ratio or odds ratio)?	
2. How precise was the estimate of the association (i.e. confidence intervals around the point estimates or p-values)?	

Can you apply the results to patient care?		
1. Were the study participants similar to the patient in your practice?		
-Does your patient match the study inclusion criteria?		
-If not, are there compelling reasons why the results should not apply to your patient?		
Were all clinically important outcomes considered?		
3. Do the results of this study fit with other available evidence?		
In summary:		
What are the major strengths of this study?		
What are the major limitations of this study?		
Are there any major ethical concerns with this study?		