



KI'018

29 août 2016

There Is No Largest Prime Number

The proof uses *reductio ad absurdum*.



Theorem

There is no largest prime number.

1. Suppose p were the largest prime number.
2. Consider the number $q = p + 1$.
3. But q is not prime, as it is divisible by p .
4. But $q + 1$ is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first p numbers.

There Is No Largest Prime Number

The proof uses *reductio ad absurdum*.



Theorem

There is no largest prime number.

1. Suppose p were the largest prime number.
2. Let q be the product of the first p numbers.
3. $q + 1$ is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first p numbers.

There Is No Largest Prime Number

The proof uses *reductio ad absurdum*.



Theorem

There is no largest prime number.

1. Suppose p were the largest prime number.
2. Let q be the product of the first p numbers.
3. Then $q + 1$ is not divisible by any of them.
4. But $q + 1$ is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first p numbers.

A longer title



- ▶ one
- ▶ two