An Exquisite movement definition & recognition through the Motion-Matrix

| 1st Author Name  Affiliation  City, Country  E-mail address | 2nd Author Name  Affiliation  City, Country  E-mail address | 3rd Author Name  Affiliation  City, Country  E-mail address |
| --- | --- | --- |

# ABSTRACT

Paste the appropriate copyright/license statement here. ACM now supports three different publication options:

* ACM copyright: ACM holds the copyright on the work. This is the historical approach.
* License: The author(s) retain copyright, but ACM receives an exclusive publication license.
* Open Access: The author(s) wish to pay for the work to be open access. The additional fee must be paid to ACM.

This text field is large enough to hold the appropriate release statement assuming it is single-spaced in Times New Roman 8-point font. Please do not change or modify the size of this text box.

Each submission will be assigned a DOI string to be included here.

The gesture input, which is a means for interacting with the computer and human beings, is gradually developing. However, efforts to achieve accurate gesture recognition on existing platforms are reaching their limits.

The purpose of this study is to define Motion Matrix, a PPI protocol. Motion Matrix extends conventional gesture recognition, which can be used to associate whole motion with the unit-module interactions. In other words, the aim is to improve the accuracy of recognition by summarizing the difference in the human feeling by the Motion Matrix rather than the simple sensor value even if the same motion is performed.

We try to measure simple motion by using various methods such as video analysis and sensory analysis, and find out the parts that were problematic in conventional motion recognition and esture recognition. After that, we will look at what can be improved and complemented by using the Motion Matrix, and we aim to improve it so that it can be applied to more complicated and sophisticated motion in the future.

## Author Keywords

Authors’ choice; of terms; separated; by semicolons; commas, within terms only; this section is required.

## ACM Classification Keywords

H.5.m. Information interfaces and presentation (e.g., HCI): Miscellaneous; See<http://acm.org/about/class/1998> for the full list of ACM classifiers. This section is required.

# INTRODUCTION

Research related to the perception of human civilization is a field that is widely progressed along with the development of technology. Particularly, due to the development of computers, there is an increasing demand of users for input devices based on motion recognition.

A system for evaluating the accuracy of human motion is currently representative of 3D motion capture, using Depth cameras, an in-depth analysis of qualified athletic specialists, and finally subjective evaluation of oneself.

In the case of 3D motion capture, it is expensive for a capture system that uses accurate measurement, and in the case of using a skin-mounted marker, normal motion measurement such as other data other than measurement data is interfered. In addition, it requires a lot of time and labor to generate and analyze data for a professional workforce. Therefore, these systems have limitations such as the necessity of measurement in the laboratory.

As an alternative, a capture method using a depth camera (representative: Kinect, lip motion, etc.) has appeared. It is a system that is often used for research and commercial purposes with low cost and ease of use. However, the accuracy of this system is insufficient when compared with the method using the sensor. For example, inappropriate lighting or clothing can interfere with camera measurements. In addition, when the range of the camera is set to about 2 m square, it is reported that errors such as recognizing the exercise equipment or object similar to the person are operated in addition to the measurement of the range.

There are two types of measurement that can be directly measured by a person, such as an expert or a person. In both cases, it can be inaccurate because subjective judgment is involved. And even in the case of experts, it is doubtful that accuracy is required if many people need to observe and measure. If you yourself are a non-expert, the criteria for measurement are less accurate. In particular, if you are a non-expert, In the case of motion, it is very difficult to do exactly with given criteria.

Recently, in order to overcome the problems of various measurement methods, motion measurement methods using sensors such as IMU (Inertial Measurement Units) have been developed in various ways and are being researched. IMU uses inertial motion and three-way (3d) data acquisition and measurement using relatively low-cost sensors such as accelerometers and gyroscopes. Therefore, many researchers are currently conducting motion measurement research using IMU. It is a tendency to focus on solving the problem of how to process the data and how to measure the operation accurately and quickly when measuring the operation using the IMU sensor which is being developed recently.

In this paper, we develop a protocol for more accurate and stable data processing in this measurement process, and design a method to define and transmit the movements in each operation. It aims to provide accurate feedback on exercise techniques (or postures) even in a supervisor-free environment.

# related works

There are not many studies to divide and classify the actions one by one. In this paper, we propose a new class of Motion Capture and Motion Quality for Knee Osteoarthritis Using Accelerometers, which is one of the most popular motion capture researches conducted by Martin O'Raily in the past 10 years. Data were measured. The subjects were provided with text and picture instructions and proceeded as they understood. The exercise proceeded in three types: the standing hamstring curl (SHC), reverse hip abduction (RHA), and lying straight leg raise (SLR).




Figure 1 Example of SHC, RHA and, SLR

Experimental results show some problems. It is impossible to distinguish other motions except three motions. In particular, the fact that a specialist group is indispensable for discrimination is a major difficulty in terms of cost. Basically, in the case of experiments using non-experts, the discrimination was unclear and the results were not good. And all the steps seem to be automated, but there is also a drawback that people have to do it all the way to actually iterating data.

Another study, The initial results shows that the WBASN foot pressure measuring system can be used as a quantifiable measure for detecting player injuries. The overhead squat operation is measured through the wireless body area sensor network (WBASN). The purpose of this study is not in motion sensing. In the case of motion sensing, the data is verified by the coaches in charge. In the end, it is a study to classify and divide judge's judgment to determine the degree of injury or weakness of the athlete.

The Motion Matrix is ​​a study that uses the sensor-based data to define the procedures and determine the accuracy of the motion classification tasks that must be done by the experts themselves.

Max Matthews' Radio Baton is the oldest musical motion perception study with the goal of practicing human orchestra conduct. Although the operation in this study is very different from the existing command, it has been attracting attention as the best research which is still quoted in the present. This is because it is a study that focuses more on the perfection and coordination of music than on textbooks. This problem has also been pointed out in other articles such as Smartphone-based Music Conducting, Pinocchio: Conducting a virtual symphony orchestra, and Virtual Maestro: An Interactive Conducting System. It is a study of motion recognition method pursuing the completion of art with human feeling rather than perfection of motion.

The Motion Matrix is ​​a study that uses the sensor-based data to define the procedures and determine the accuracy of the motion classification tasks that must be done by the experts themselves.

In the case of Everybody Dance Now, which is a representative study of motion recognition through artificial intelligence, it is aimed that the public can produce the image which is seen as an expert of dance through the learned model. However, in the present study, it is found that the image is a false image due to the trembling occurring between the frame and the frame. Especially, according to experts, the results of this study are similar to the actual dance movements, but they are not precisely matched for unknown reasons and the shape is very flat. Motion Matrix focuses on this 'unknown reason' and conducts research. In other words, when a professional or a non-expert defines a movement and completes a procedure, it aims at being able to distinguish what kind of action we are already doing just by comparing the data with the data we have.

# SYSTEM DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION

On each page your material should fit within a rectangle of 7 x 9.25 in (18 x 23.5 cm), centered on a US letter page (8.5x11 in), beginning 0.75 in (1.9 cm) from the top of the page, with a 0.33 in (0.85 cm) space between two 3.3 in (8.4 cm) columns. Right margins should be justified, not ragged. Please be sure your document and PDF are US letter and not A4.

# Interaction design and data mapping

The styles contained in this document have been modified from the default styles to reflect ACM formatting conventions. For example, content paragraphs like this one are formatted using the Normal style.

# Conclusion

본 논문에서는

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Sample text: We thank all the volunteers, and all publications support and staff, who wrote and provided helpful comments on previous versions of this document. Authors 1, 2, and 3 gratefully acknowledge the grant from NSF (#1234-2012-ABC). This is just an example.

# References format

References must be the same font size as other body text. References should be in alphabetical order by last name of first author. Example reference formatting for individual journal articles [3], articles in conference proceedings [7], books [9], theses [10], book chapters [11], an entire journal issue [6], websites [1,4], tweets [1], patents [5], and online videos [8] is given here. This formatting is a slightly edited version of the format automatically generated by the ACM Digital Library (http://dl.acm.org) as “ACM Ref”. More details of reference formatting are available at:

<http://www.acm.org/publications/submissions/latex_style>

Note that the Hyperlink style used throughout this document uses blue links; however, URLs that appear in the references section may appear in black.

# REFERENCES

1. @\_CHINOSAUR. 2014. VENUE IS TOO COLD. #BINGO #CHI2016. Tweet. (1 May, 2014). Retrieved February 2, 2014 from https://twitter.com/\_CHINOSAUR/status/461864317415989248
2. ACM. How to Classify Works Using ACM’s Computing Classification System. 2014. Retrieved August 22, 2014 from [http://www.acm.org/class/how\_to\_use.html](http://www.acm.org/class/how_to_use.html%20)
3. Ronald E. Anderson. 1992. Social impacts of computing: Codes of professional ethics. *Soc Sci Comput Rev* 10, 2: 453-469.
4. Anna Cavender, Shari Trewin, Vicki Hanson. 2014. Accessible Writing Guide. Retrieved August 22, 2014 from <http://www.sigaccess.org/welcome-to-sigaccess/resources/accessible-writing-guide/>
5. Morton L. Heilig. 1962. Sensorama Simulator, U.S. Patent 3,050,870, Filed January 10, 1961, issued August 28, 1962.
6. Jofish Kaye and Paul Dourish. 2014. Special issue on science fiction and ubiquitous computing. *Personal Ubiquitous Comput*. 18, 4 (April 2014), 765-766. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00779-014-0773-4>
7. Scott R. Klemmer, Michael Thomsen, Ethan Phelps-Goodman, Robert Lee, and James A. Landay. 2002. Where do web sites come from?: capturing and interacting with design history. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (CHI '02), 1-8. <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/503376.503378>
8. Psy. 2012. Gangnam Style. Video. (15 July 2012.). Retrieved August 22, 2014 from <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9bZkp7q19f0>
9. Marilyn Schwartz. 1995. *Guidelines for Bias-Free Writing.* Indiana University Press.
10. Ivan E. Sutherland. 1963. *Sketchpad, a Man-Machine Graphical Communication System*. Ph.D Dissertation. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA.
11. Langdon Winner. 1999. Do artifacts have politics? In *The Social Shaping of Technology* (2nd. ed.), Donald MacKenzie and Judy Wajcman (eds.). Open University Press, Buckingham, UK, 28-40.