KIOS Research and Innovation Center of Excellence

Title of Presentation

First Author[1] **Second Author**[2] **Third Author**[1] **Fourth Author**[1]

[1]KIOS Research and Innovation Center of Excellence and the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Cyprus, Cyprus

[2]Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Imperial College, London

University of Cyprus
Imperial College London

Conference Title

Date of presentation

Funded by:



Part I

Title of Part 1

There Is No Largest Prime Number

The proof uses *reductio ad absurdum*.

Theorem

There is no largest prime number.

1. **Suppose p were the largest prime number.**
2. Let q be the product of the first p numbers.
3. Then $q+1$ is not divisible by any of them.
4. But $q+1$ is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first p numbers.

- one
- two
  - three
  - four

There Is No Largest Prime Number

The proof uses *reductio ad absurdum*.

Theorem

There is no largest prime number.

1. Suppose p were the largest prime number.
2. Let q be the product of the first p numbers.
3. Then $q+1$ is not divisible by any of them.
4. But $q+1$ is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first p numbers.

- one
- two
  - three
  - four

There Is No Largest Prime Number

The proof uses *reductio ad absurdum*.

Theorem

There is no largest prime number.

1. Suppose p were the largest prime number.
2. Let q be the product of the first p numbers.
3. Then $q + 1$ is not divisible by any of them.
4. But $q + 1$ is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first p numbers.

- one
- two
  - three
  - four



Part II

Title of Part 2

Block Examples

Alert Block

- Item 1
- Item 2
- **Alerted text**

Example

Example Block

- Item 1
- Item 2
- **Alerted text**