# M3P15 Algebraic Number Theory

Lectured by Dr Ana Caraiani Typeset by David Kurniadi Angdinata Spring 2019

## Contents

0	Mot	tivation and overview	3	
1	Rings			
		Commutative rings		
		Integral domains		
	1.3	Unique factorisation domains	8	
	1.4	Principal ideal domains	Ć	
		Euclidean domains		
	1.6	Summary of rings	10	
	1.7	Gaussian integers	11	
	1.8	Eisenstein integers	12	
	1.9	Summary of Euclidean domains	13	

#### Motivation and overview 0

The goal of this course will be to introduce algebraic number theory, specifically the arithmetic of finite extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , with an emphasis on quadratic extensions as a rich source of examples. We will start with some motivation and then review the necessary background from ring theory. We will then discuss unique factorisation domains, principal ideal domains and Euclidean domains. These tools will be enough to study Gaussian integers and Eisenstein integers in-depth. To understand more general number fields, we will need some more commutative algebra. We will discuss the structure theorem for finitely generated abelian groups and the notion of integral closure. We will also introduce norms, traces, and discriminants. We will show that rings of integers in number fields are Dedekind domains and we will state and prove unique factorisation for Dedekind domains. We will then study the splitting of prime ideals in quadratic fields. We will define

Lecture 1 Friday 11/01/19

- 1. P Samuel, Algebraic theory of numbers, 1970
- 2. M Trifkovic, Algebraic theory of quadratic numbers, 2013

Algebraic number theory developed from

• trying to generalise known properties of integers, such as unique factorisation, to finite extensions of

the class group and prove that it is always finite. We will end with a discussion of the groups of units. For

quadratic fields, a good reference with many examples is 2. Another reference we will use is 1.

• trying to solve Diophantine equations in a systematic way. For example, Fermat's equation

$$x^n + y^n = z^n, \qquad n \ge 2, \qquad x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . A question is when can we write n as

$$n = a^2 + b^2, \qquad a, b \in \mathbb{Z}?$$

Some observations.

• If  $n = a_1^2 + b_1^2$ ,  $m = a_2^2 + b_2^2$ ,

$$m \cdot n = (a_1 a_2 + b_1 b_2)^2 + (a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1)^2$$
.

• Every  $n \ge 0$  can be written as a product

$$n = p_1^{k_1} \dots p_r^{k_r}, \qquad k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1},$$

where  $p_i$  are prime numbers. Irreducibles are such that only divisors are 1 and  $p_i$ . Primes are such that  $p_i \mid mn$  gives  $p_i \mid m$  or  $p_i \mid n$ . Irreducibles and primes are equivalent in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

• Only care about  $p_i$  with odd exponent.

When can we write

$$p = a^2 + b^2, \qquad a, b \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where p is prime? An observation is that

$$p = 2, 5, 13, 17, 29, 37, \dots$$

is ok, and

$$p \neq 3, 7, 11, 19, 23, \dots$$

is not ok. A conjecture is if  $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$ , then  $p \neq a^2 + b^2$ , otherwise this is ok.

**Theorem 0.1.** If  $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$  then  $p \neq a^2 + b^2$ .

*Proof.*  $a^2 + b^2 \equiv 0 \mod p$  and  $a, b \not\equiv 0 \mod p$  if and only if

$$\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^2 \equiv -1 \mod p,$$

if and only if  $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = 1$ , so  $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$ .

Remark 0.2. Proof tells us that  $n \neq a^2 + b^2$  whenever n has a prime factor  $p_i \equiv 3 \mod 4$  with odd exponent  $k_i$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, r$ . If every  $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$  is of the form  $p = a^2 + b^2$ , then we understand the general case,

$$n = a^2 + b^2$$
  $\iff$   $\forall p_i \mid n, \ p_i \equiv 3 \mod 4, \ k_i \in 2\mathbb{Z}.$ 

**Theorem 0.3.** If  $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$  then

$$p = a^2 + b^2, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Factorisation in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  for  $i^2 = 1$  is  $p = a^2 + b^2 = (a + bi)(a - bi)$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

$$\mathbb{Z}[i] = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}i = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}\$$

is the subring of **Gaussian integers** in  $\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q}$ , an extension  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^2+1)$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  of degree two, a quadratic field. We will understand prime factorisation in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , and in more general finite extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Theorem 0.4** (Unique factorisation in  $\mathbb{Z}$ ). Any  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}$  can be written uniquely as a product of primes, up to permuting the prime factors or changing their signs.

**Proposition 0.5** (Division algorithm). Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $b \neq 0$ , there exist  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that a = qb + r such that  $0 \leq r < |b|$ .

**Proposition 0.6** (Euclid's algorithm). Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $ab \neq 0$ . There exist a greatest common divisor  $\gcd(a, b) \mid a \text{ and } \gcd(a, b) \mid b, \text{ and } r, s \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } ar + bs = \gcd(a, b)$ .

*Proof.* Consider  $I = \{ma + nb \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . gcd (a, b) will be the smallest positive element of I.

Let  $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  be the ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$  generated by a, b. Proof of Euclid's algorithm shows I is generated by  $\gcd(a, b)$ . In fact, every ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is generated by one element, that is it is **principal**.

**Proposition 0.7** (Euclid's lemma). If  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  is prime, then

$$p \mid ab$$
,  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$   $\Longrightarrow$   $p \mid a \text{ or } p \mid b$ .

Proof of Theorem 0.4.

- All  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  has a prime divisor by taking  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ , the smallest divisor of n.
- Prime factorisation exists. Let n be the smallest integer which does not have one.
- Uniqueness.  $n = p_2 \dots p_n = q_2 \dots q_n$  Euclid's lemma gives  $p_1 \mid q_1$ , up to reordering, so  $p_1 = \pm q_1$ , and continue.

### 1 Rings

#### 1.1 Commutative rings

Lecture 2 Monday 14/01/19

**Definition 1.1.** A **ring** is commutative and with unity. A **unit** in a ring R is an element  $a \in R$  such that there exists  $b \in R$  with  $a \cdot b = 1$ .

- The set of units forms a group under multiplication, denoted by  $R^{\times}$ .
- If  $b \in R$  exists such that ab = 1 then b is unique.

If  $R \setminus \{0\} = R^{\times}$ , then R is a **field**.

#### Example 1.2.

- $\bullet \ \mathbb{Z}^{\times} = \{\pm 1\}.$
- $\bullet \ \mathbb{Q}^{\times} = \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}.$
- $\mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{2}\right]^{\times} \supseteq \{\pm 1, \epsilon^n\}$ , where  $\epsilon = 1 + \sqrt{2}$ .

$$\mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{2}\right] = \left\{a + b\sqrt{2} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}.$$

$$(1+\sqrt{2})(-1+\sqrt{2})=2-1=1$$
.  $\epsilon^n=\epsilon^m$  for  $n,m\in\mathbb{Z}$  and  $n\geq m$  if and only if  $\epsilon^{n-m}=1$ .

**Definition 1.3.** Let R be a ring. An **ideal**  $I \subseteq R$  is an additive subgroup, so  $x, y \in I$  gives  $x + y \in I$ , which absorbs multiplication. If  $x \in I$  and  $a \in R$  then  $ax \in I$ .

Fact. If  $\phi: R \to S$  a ring homomorphism then  $Ker(\phi) \subseteq R$  is an ideal. Conversely, if  $I \subseteq R$  is an ideal, can define

$$\frac{R}{I} = \frac{R}{\sim}$$

as the set of equivalence classes modulo I, that is a+I for  $a\in R$ , via  $a\sim b$  for  $a,b\in R$  if  $a-b\in I$ .

**Proposition 1.4.** R/I has ring structure induced by

$$(a+I) + (b+I) = (a+b) + I,$$
  
 $(a+I) \cdot (b+I) = (a \cdot b) + I,$ 

and a canonical surjective ring homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \to & \frac{R}{I} \\ a & \mapsto & a+I \end{array}.$$

Check that  $a - a' \in I$  and  $b - b' \in I$  gives

$$(a+b) - (a'+b') = (a-a') + (b-b') \in I,$$
  
 $ab - a'b' = a(b-b') + b'(a-a') \in I.$ 

**Theorem 1.5** (First isomorphism theorem for rings). Let  $\phi: R \to S$  be a ring homomorphism. Then we have a canonical ring isomorphism

$$\frac{R}{Ker(\phi)} \rightarrow \phi(R) \subset S ,$$

$$r + Ker(\phi) \mapsto \phi(r) ,$$

for  $r \in R$ .

**Example 1.6.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{5}\right] = \left\{a + b\sqrt{5} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ .

• Let I be the ideal  $11\mathbb{Z} \oplus (4-\sqrt{5})\mathbb{Z}$ . A question is what is R/I? Claim that

$$\frac{R}{I} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{11\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{F}_{11},$$

the finite field with 11 elements. Write down  $\phi: R \to \mathbb{Z}/11\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $Ker(\phi) = I$ , then result follows from Theorem 1.5. Such a  $\phi$  would have to satisfy

$$\phi\left(4-\sqrt{5}\right) = 0, \qquad \phi\left(11\right) = 0$$

 $\phi\left(\sqrt{5}\right) = \phi\left(4\right) = 4 \mod 11.$ 

$$\phi: \quad \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \left[ \sqrt{5} \right] \quad \to \quad \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{11\mathbb{Z}} \quad .$$

$$\sqrt{5} \quad \mapsto \quad 4$$

Still have to check that

$$16 = \phi(5)^2 = \phi(\sqrt{5}^2) = \phi(5) = 5 \mod 11.$$

Ok because  $16 \equiv 5 \mod 11$ .

• What can we say about R/J, where

$$J = \left\langle 9, 4 - \sqrt{5} \right\rangle = 9R + \left(4 - \sqrt{5}\right)R$$

is generated over R? R/J is trivial and  $\langle 9, 4 - \sqrt{5} \rangle = R$ .

#### Definition 1.7.

- If I, J are ideals in a ring R, we say that I divides J if  $J \subseteq I$ .
- We can form ideals

$$I \cap J = \{r \mid r \in I, \ r \in J\},\$$

$$I + J = \{r + s \mid r \in I, \ s \in J\},\$$

$$I \cdot J = \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} s_{i} \mid r_{i} \in I, \ s_{i} \in J, \ i = 1, \dots, n\right\}.$$

• I, J are said to be **relatively prime** if I + J = R.

**Theorem 1.8** (Chinese remainder theorem). Let I, J be two relatively prime ideals of R. Then

$$\frac{R}{IJ} \cong \frac{R}{I} \times \frac{R}{J}.$$

Remark 1.9. If  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ , all ideals are principal and Theorem 1.8 specialises to usual Chinese remainder theorem.

*Proof.* Find surjective ring homomorphism

with kernel  $I \cdot J$ .

**Definition 1.10.** A ring R is **Noetherian** if it satisfies the **ascending chain condition** on ideals, that is any infinite sequence of ideals

$$I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots$$

stabilises.

**Example 1.11.**  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  are Noetherian.  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \ldots]$  is not Noetherian.

#### 1.2 Integral domains

**Definition 1.12.** A ring R is an **integral domain (ID)** if ab = 0 for  $a, b \in R$  gives a = 0 or b = 0. **Example 1.13.** 

Lecture 3 Tuesday 15/01/19

- $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$  are IDs.
- $\mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{5}\right]/\left\langle 4-\sqrt{5}\right\rangle = \mathbb{Z}/11\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{F}_{11}$ , since  $I = 11\mathbb{Z} \oplus \left(4-\sqrt{5}\right)\mathbb{Z} = \left(4-\sqrt{5}\right)\cdot\mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{5}\right]$ , because  $11 = \left(4-\sqrt{5}\right)\left(4+\sqrt{5}\right) = 16-5$ . Thus

$$\frac{\mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{5}\right]}{\langle 11 \rangle} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{5}\right]}{\langle 4 - \sqrt{5} \rangle} \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{5}\right]}{\langle 4 - \sqrt{5} \rangle} = \mathbb{F}_{11} \times \mathbb{F}_{11},$$

which is no longer an ID.

Remark 1.14. An ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$  is **prime** if  $ab \in \mathfrak{p}$  gives  $a \in \mathfrak{p}$  or  $b \in \mathfrak{p}$ .  $(a + \mathfrak{p})(b + \mathfrak{p}) = 0$  in  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  gives  $a + \mathfrak{p} = 0$ , that is  $a \in \mathfrak{p}$ , or  $b + \mathfrak{p} = 0$ , that is  $b \in \mathfrak{p}$ . This is equivalent to asking that  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  is an ID.

IDs are well-suited to studying divisibility.  $a \mid b$  in R if there exists c such that ac = b.

**Lemma 1.15.** Let R be an ID. If  $a \mid b$  and  $b \mid a$ , then there exist  $c, d \in R^{\times}$  such that ac = b and bd = a.

*Proof.*  $a \mid b$  gives there exists c such that ac = b and  $b \mid a$  gives there exists d such that bd = a for  $c, d \in R$ . acd = bd = a if and only if a(cd - 1) = 0. R is an ID gives a = 0 or cd = 1. If a = 0, then b = 0, so c = d = 1.

**Definition 1.16.** Let R be an ID.

- We say  $a \in R$  is **irreducible** if
  - -a is not a unit, and
  - -a = bc for  $b, c \in R$  then either b or c is in  $R^{\times}$ .
- We say  $a \in R$  is **prime** if
  - -a is not a unit, and
  - $-a \mid bc \text{ gives } a \mid b \text{ or } a \mid c.$
- $\langle 0 \rangle$  is prime if and only if R is an ID.

Remark 1.17. Over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , these two notions are equivalent, but not in general. If R is an ID and  $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$  is prime, then a is irreducible.

*Proof.* Let  $b, c \in R$  be such that a = bc, so  $b \mid a$  and  $c \mid a$ . Because a is prime, a = bc gives  $a \mid b$  or  $a \mid c$ . Say  $a \mid b$  happens. There exists  $d \in R^{\times}$  such that a = bd. a = bc gives b(d - c) = 0.  $b \neq 0$ , because  $a \neq 0$ , so d = c, that is c is a unit.

Remark 1.18. If  $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$  is irreducible, a does not have to be prime.

**Example 1.19.**  $\mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{-5}\right] = \left\{a + b\sqrt{-5} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$  is the ring of integers of  $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{-5}\right)$ , an extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  of degree two, a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ .  $6 = 2 \cdot 3 = \left(1 + \sqrt{-5}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \sqrt{-5}\right)$ . Claim that these are two factorisations of 6 into irreducible elements.

• 2 is irreducible. Why? Assume  $2 = \alpha \beta$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{-5}\right]$ . Goal is that  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  is a unit. We will use

$$N: \quad \mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{-5}\right] \quad \to \quad \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \\ a + \sqrt{-5}b \quad \mapsto \quad \left(a + \sqrt{-5}b\right)\left(a - \sqrt{-5}b\right) = a^2 + 5b^2 \quad ,$$

which is multiplicative.  $N(2) = 4 = N(\alpha) N(\beta)$ . If  $N(\alpha) = 1$ , then  $\alpha$  is a unit.  $N(\alpha) = N(\beta) = 2$  gives  $a^2 + 5b^2 = 2$ , which has no solutions, a contradiction.

• 2 and  $1 + \sqrt{-5}$  do not differ by units, since N(2) = 4 and  $N(1 + \sqrt{-5}) = 6$ .

Upshot is that 2 is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{-5}\right]$  but not prime.

#### 1.3 Unique factorisation domains

Let R be an ID. We define an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on R by  $a \sim b$  if  $a \mid b$  and  $b \mid a$ , or there exist  $c, d \in R^{\times}$  such that a = bc and b = da.

**Definition 1.20.** An ID R has unique factorisation if for all  $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$  there is a factorisation  $a = u \cdot p_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot p_r$ , where  $u \in R^{\times}$  and the  $p_i$  are irreducible. This is unique in the sense that, if there exists another factorisation  $v \cdot q_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot q_s$ , where  $v \in R^{\times}$  and the  $q_i$  are irreducible, then r = s, and up to reordering  $p_i \sim q_i$ , for  $i = 1, \ldots, r = s$ . An ID with this property is called an unique factorisation domain (UFD),

**Example 1.21.**  $\mathbb{Z}$ , but not  $\mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{-5}\right]$ .

**Lemma 1.22.** If R is a UFD, then  $p \in R \setminus \{0\}$  is irreducible gives p is prime.

Proof. Exercise.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 1.23.** Let R be an ID. The following conditions are equivalent.

- R is a UFD.
- R satisfies ascending chain condition for principal ideals, that is every infinite sequence

$$\langle a_1 \rangle \subseteq \langle a_2 \rangle \subseteq \dots$$

stabilises after finitely many steps, and every irreducible in R is prime.

If R is a UFD, can define  $d(a) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  as the number of irreducible factorisations of a. d(a) = 0 if and only if  $a \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$  is a unit.

**Lemma 1.24.** Let R be a UFD and  $a \mid b$  for  $a, b \in R$ . Then

- $d(a) \leq d(b)$ , and
- $b \mid a \text{ if and only if } d(a) = d(b).$

Lecture 4
Friday
18/01/19

*Proof.* Let  $a = u \cdot p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_{d(a)}$  and  $b = v \cdot q_1 \cdot \dots \cdot q_{d(b)}$ .  $a \mid b \text{ gives } b = a \cdot c \text{ for } c \in R \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $c = w \cdot r_1 \cdot \dots \cdot r_{d(c)}$ .

$$v \cdot q_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot q_{d(b)} = u \cdot w \cdot p_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot p_{d(a)} \cdot r_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot r_{d(c)}.$$

Uniqueness of factorisation gives d(b) = d(a) + d(c), so  $d(b) \ge d(a)$ . Equality if and only if d(c) = 0 if and only if c is a unit, if and only if  $b \mid a$ .

Proof of Theorem 1.23.

 $\implies$  Assume R is a UFD. Irreducibles are prime. Let

$$\langle a_1 \rangle \subseteq \langle a_2 \rangle \subseteq \dots \implies \qquad \cdots \mid a_2 \mid a_1 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad d(a_1) \ge \cdots \ge 0.$$

This sequence stabilises after finitely many steps. There exists n such that

$$d(a_n) = d(a_{n+1}) = \dots \implies a_n \sim a_{n+1} \sim \dots \implies \langle a_n \rangle = \langle a_{n+1} \rangle = \dots$$

For all  $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$ , claim that a has a factorisation into irreducibles. If  $a_1 = a$ , irreducible. Otherwise  $a = b \cdot c$  for  $b, c \in R \setminus \{0\}$  not units. If both irreducible, done. If not, say b not irreducible,  $a_2 = b$ . a = bc for c not a unit gives  $\langle a \rangle \subseteq \langle b \rangle$ . Redoing the process here,

$$\langle a_1 \rangle \subsetneq \langle a_2 \rangle \subsetneq \dots$$

By ascending chain condition, this process terminates, getting a contradiction, so a has factorisation into irreducibles. The factorisation of a is unique, up to units and reordering. Let

$$a = u \cdot p_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot p_r = v \cdot q_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot q_s$$
.

 $p_1$  irreducible gives  $p_1$  is prime, so  $p_1 \mid q_i$  for some i, where  $q_i$  is irreducible, so  $p_1 \sim q_i$ . Cancel out  $p_1, q_i$  and repeat.

Remark 1.25.  $\mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{-5}\right]$  is not a UFD because 2 is irreducible but not prime.

#### 1.4 Principal ideal domains

**Definition 1.26.** An ID R is a **principal ideal domain (PID)** if every ideal of R is principal.

Example 1.27.

- Fields.
- $\mathbb{Z}$  follows from Euclid's algorithm.

Theorem 1.28. A PID R is a UFD.

*Proof.* Check two characterising properties.

• Ascending chain condition. Let

$$\langle a_1 \rangle \subseteq \langle a_2 \rangle \subseteq \dots$$

Consider

$$I = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle a_n \rangle.$$

Claim that I is an ideal of R. Say  $x \in I$  and  $r \in R$ . Want  $rx \in I$ . There exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  such that  $x \in \langle a_n \rangle$ , so  $rx \in \langle a_n \rangle$  and  $rx \in I$ . Say  $x, y \in I$ . Then  $x \in \langle a_n \rangle$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  and  $y \in \langle a_m \rangle$  for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . If  $m \geq n$  then  $x \in \langle a_m \rangle$ , so  $x + y \in \langle a_m \rangle$  gives  $x + y \in I$ . Otherwise  $y \in \langle a_n \rangle$ , so  $x + y \in \langle a_n \rangle$  gives  $x + y \in I$ . Hence  $I \subseteq R$  is an ideal, so I is principal, that is there exists  $a \in R$  such that  $I = \langle a \rangle$ . There exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  such that  $a \in \langle a_n \rangle$ . Have inclusions

$$\langle a \rangle \subseteq \langle a_n \rangle \subseteq \langle a_m \rangle \subseteq \langle a \rangle$$
.

All inclusions are equalities, so  $\langle a_m \rangle = \langle a_n \rangle$  for all  $m \geq n$ .

• Exercise: irreducibles are prime.

Remark 1.29.

- $\mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{-5}\right]$  is not a PID. Follows from Theorem 1.28 and failure of unique factorisation.  $\langle 2, 1+\sqrt{-5}\rangle$  is not a principal ideal. (Exercise: check this)
- A UFD that is not a PID.  $\mathbb{Q}[x,y]$  is a UFD but  $\langle x,y \rangle$  is not principal.  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  is a UFD but  $\langle 2,x \rangle$  is not principal.

#### 1.5 Euclidean domains

#### Definition 1.30.

- A Euclidean norm on an ID R is a function  $\phi: R \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  such that for all  $a, b \in R \setminus \{0\}$  there exist  $q, r \in R$  such that a = qb + r and
  - either r = 0,
  - $\text{ or } \phi(r) < \phi(b).$
- An ID that admits a Euclidean norm is called a Euclidean domain.

Sometimes, add condition

$$\phi\left(ab\right) \ge \phi\left(b\right). \tag{1}$$

If  $\phi$  is a Euclidean norm as in definition, can use  $\phi$  to construct  $\psi$  Euclidean norm satisfying (1).

**Theorem 1.31.** If R is a Euclidean domain, then R is a PID, so R is a unique factorisation domain.

Lecture 5 Monday 21/01/19

*Proof.* Let  $I \subseteq R$  be an ideal. Assume  $I \neq \langle 0 \rangle$  Goal is that I is generated by one element  $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $0 \neq a \in I$  be an element such that  $\phi(a)$  is minimal along the values of  $\phi$  on I.  $\langle a \rangle \subseteq I$ . We will show that we have an equality. Let  $b \in I \setminus \langle a \rangle$ . Apply property of  $\phi$  to b and a, b = qa + r.  $r \neq 0$ , otherwise  $a \mid b$  gives  $b \in \langle a \rangle$ .  $r = b - qa \in I$  but  $\phi(r) < \phi(a)$ , a contradiction.

#### Example 1.32.

• Z, with Euclidean norm

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} & \to & \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \\ n & \mapsto & |n| \end{array}.$$

• Gaussian integers.  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , with Euclidean norm given by restriction to  $\mathbb{Z}[i] \subset \mathbb{C}$  of complex absolute value

$$\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\} \quad \to \quad \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$$

$$a+ib \quad \mapsto \quad (a+ib) (a-ib) = a^2 + b^2 .$$

• Eisenstein integers. Let  $1 \neq \omega \in \mathbb{C}$  be a primitive cube root of unity, so  $\omega = \frac{-1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}$ . The subring

$$\mathbb{Z}\left[\omega\right] = \left\{a + b\omega \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \subset \mathbb{C}$$

is Euclidean, with Euclidean norm given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\} & \to & \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \\ a+b\omega & \mapsto & a^2-ab+b^2 \end{array}.$$

Remark 1.33.

- In all these examples, norm is multiplicative. This does not have to hold true, such as  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ , with Euclidean norm  $f \mapsto \deg(f)$ .
- There are PIDs that do not admit a Euclidean norm, such as  $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-19}}{2}\right]$ .

#### 1.6 Summary of rings

 $\{\text{commutative rings}\} \supseteq \{\text{IDs}\} \supseteq \{\text{PIDs}\} \supseteq \{\text{Euclidean domains}\}.$ 

- $\mathbb{Q}[x,y]/xy$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_3[x]/x^2$  are commutative rings but not IDs.
- $\mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{-5}\right]$  is an ID but not a UFD, since  $6=2\cdot 3=\left(1+\sqrt{-5}\right)\cdot \left(1-\sqrt{-5}\right)$ .
- $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  is a UFD but not a PID, since (2, x) is not principal.
- $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-19}}{2}\right]$  is a PID but not a Euclidean domain.

#### 1.7 Gaussian integers

The Gaussian integers are

$$\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{Q}(i) \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

We will crucially use the norm

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N: & \mathbb{Z}\left[i\right] & \to & \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \\ & a+bi & \mapsto & (a+bi)\left(a-bi\right) = a^2 + b^2 \end{array},$$

which is not the same as the Euclidean norm.

*Note.* This is multiplicative.

**Proposition 1.34.** If  $u \in \mathbb{Z}[i]^{\times}$  then N(u) = 1.

*Proof.*  $N \mid_{\mathbb{Z}[i]\setminus\{0\}} \geq 1$ , N is multiplicative, and N(1) = 1. uv = 1 gives  $N(u) \cdot N(v) = 1$ , so  $N(u) \geq 1$  and  $N(v) \geq 1$  gives N(u) = N(v) = 1.

 $N\left(u\right)=u\cdot\overline{u}=1.\ u=a+bi\in\mathbb{Z}\left[i\right]^{\times}$  if and only if  $a^{2}+b^{2}=1$ , if and only if  $(a,b)=(\pm1,0)$ , that is  $u=\pm1,$  or  $(a,b)=(0,\pm1),$  that is  $u=\pm i.$ 

Remark 1.35.  $\mathbb{Z}[i]^{\times} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}, +)$  as groups.

**Proposition 1.36.** Given  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ ,  $\beta \neq 0$ , there exist  $\kappa, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  such that  $\alpha = \kappa \beta + \lambda$  and either  $\lambda = 0$  or  $N(\lambda) < N(\beta)$ , so N is Euclidean and  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  has unique factorisation.

Proof.  $\mathbb{Z}[i] \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a lattice.  $\alpha = \kappa \beta + \lambda$  if and only if  $\alpha/\beta = \kappa + \lambda/\beta$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  for  $\beta \neq 0$ .  $\alpha/\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  lands inside one of the unit squares in the lattice spanned by  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Open unit discs centred at the vertices of the unit square cover the entire square.  $\alpha/\beta$  is in the unit disc centred at  $\kappa$  if and only if  $N(\alpha/\beta - \kappa) < 1$ . Let  $\lambda/\beta = \alpha/\beta - \kappa$  and  $N(\lambda/\beta) < 1$  if and only if  $N(\lambda) < N(\beta)$ . Choose  $\kappa$  to be one vertex such that  $\alpha/\beta$  is in the open unit disc centred at  $\kappa$ .  $\lambda = \beta(\alpha/\beta - \kappa)$  gives  $N(\lambda) < N(\beta)$ .

**Lemma 1.37** (Special case of quadratic reciprocity). If p is an odd prime, then -1 is a square mod p if and only if  $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$ .

The following is the decomposition of primes in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

- $2 = (1+i)(1-i) = (-i)(1+i)^2$ . Notice that i(1+i) = i 1 = -(1-i). Up to units in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]^{\times}$  these prime factors are the same. This is a **ramified** prime.
- $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$ . p = (a + bi)(a bi), which are distinct primes in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . This is a **split** prime.
- $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$ . p stays prime. If not,  $a + bi \mid p$ , so  $N(a + bi) \mid N(p) = p^2$  gives  $N(a + bi) = p = a^2 + b^2$ , which cannot happen. This is an **inert** prime.

Quadratic reciprocity gives that there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $p \mid n^2 + 1 = (n+i) \, (n-i)$ . Assume p stays prime, or irreducible, in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , so  $p \mid n+i$  or  $p \mid n-i$ . By conjugating, we see  $p \mid n+i$  if and only if  $p \mid n-i$ , so  $p \mid (n+i) - (n-i) = 2i$ . Taking N, see  $N(p) = p^2 \nmid 4 = N(2i)$ , a contradiction.

**Theorem 1.38.**  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  is of the form  $n = a^2 + b^2$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  if and only if for all  $p \mid n$  such that  $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$  the exponent of p in n is even.

**Theorem 1.39.** The only solutions to the Diophantine equation  $x^2 + 1 = y^3$  are x = 0 and y = 1.

*Proof.*  $(x+i)(x-i) = y^3$ . Are x+i, x-i coprime in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ ? If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  dividing both, then  $\mathfrak{p} \mid 2i$ , that is  $N(\mathfrak{p}) \mid 4$ , so  $2 \mid y$  gives  $8 \mid y^3$ . But  $x^3 + 1 \equiv 1, 2, 5 \mod 8$  gives  $\gcd(x+i, x-i) = 1$ , so

$$\begin{cases} x + i = uz^3 = (uz)^3 \\ x - i = \overline{u}\overline{z}^3 = (\overline{u}\overline{z})^3 \end{cases},$$

for  $u \in \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$ .

$$x - i = (a + bi)^3 = a^3 - b^3i + 3a^2bi - 3ab^2,$$

for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Looking at coefficients of i,  $1 = 3a^2b - b^3$ , so a = 0 and b = -1. Plugging this back in we get x = 0 and y = 1.

Lecture 6 Tuesday 22/01/19

#### 1.8 Eisenstein integers

The **Eisenstein integers** are  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  for  $\omega = \frac{-1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}$ . This is a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ , since

$$(a+b\omega)(c+d\omega) = ac + (ad+bc)\omega + bd\omega^2 = (ac-bd) + (ad+bc-bd)\omega.$$

What is  $\mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{-3}\right] \subset \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ ? Both are subrings of  $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{-3}\right) = \mathbb{Q}[x]/\langle x^3 + 3\rangle$ .

- In  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$ ,  $4 = 2 \cdot 2 = (1 + \sqrt{-3}) \cdot (1 \sqrt{-3})$ , where  $2, 1 + \sqrt{-3}, 1 \sqrt{-3}$  are all irreducible.
- $\pi = \frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  and  $\pi^6 = 1$ , but  $\pi \notin \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$ .
- $\mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{-3}\right]$  is not integrally closed in  $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{-3}\right)$ , but  $\mathbb{Z}\left[\omega\right]$  is its integral closure and it is integrally closed in  $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{-3}\right)$ .
- $\omega^2 + \omega + 1 = 0$ , so  $\omega$  is an algebraic integer in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega] \setminus \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$ .

**Proposition 1.40.** If  $u \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]^{\times}$  then N(u) = 1, where

$$N: \quad \mathbb{Z}[\omega] \quad \to \quad \mathbb{Z}$$
  
 $a+b\omega \quad \mapsto \quad (a+b\omega)(a+b\overline{\omega}) = a^2 - ab + b^2$ .

*Proof.* Multiplicative because it is the restriction of  $z \in \mathbb{C} \mapsto |z|^2$  to  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ .

Holds true in any imaginary quadratic field  $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{-d}\right)$ .  $a^2 - ab + b^2 = 1$  if and only if  $(a,b) = (\pm 1,0)$ , that is  $u = \pm 1$ , or  $(a,b) = (0,\pm 1)$ , that is  $u = \pm \omega$ , or  $(a,b) = \pm (1,1)$ , that is  $u = \pm (1+\omega) = \pm \pi$ . Remark 1.41.  $\mathbb{Z}\left[\omega\right]^{\times} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}, +)$ .

**Theorem 1.42.**  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  is a Euclidean domain, with Euclidean norm given by  $N(a+b\omega)=a^2-ab+b^2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega] \setminus \{0\}$ . There exists  $\kappa, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  such that  $\alpha = \kappa \beta + \lambda$  and  $N(\lambda) < N(\beta)$ . Use geometric proof.  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega] = \{a + b\omega \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{C}$  is tiled by parallelograms of  $\mathbb{C}$ , which are translates of a parallelogram at  $\pi$ . Want to take  $\kappa$  to be a vertex of a parallelogram such that  $N(\kappa - \alpha/\beta) < 1$ . Parallelogram covered by interior of unit discs centred at lattice points, so ok. Let  $\lambda = \beta (\alpha/\beta - \kappa)$ , so  $N(\lambda)/N(\lambda) < 1$ .

Lecture 7 is a problem class.

**Lemma 1.43** (Special case of quadratic reciprocity). If  $p \neq 3$  is an odd prime, then -3 is a square mod p if and only if  $p \equiv 1 \mod 3$ .

The following is the decomposition of primes in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ .

- 3 ramifies.  $3 = -(\sqrt{-3})^2$ , which is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ .
- $p \equiv 2 \mod 3$  stays inert in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ . Because N is multiplicative and p cannot be written as  $a^2 ab + b^2$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- $p \equiv 1 \mod 3$  splits as a product of distinct prime factors  $\mathfrak{p}, \overline{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ . p divides  $a^2 ab + b^2$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p \nmid a, b$ , so p divides  $(2a b)^2 + 3b^2$ . Take  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$  odd such that  $z^2 \equiv -3 \mod p$ , and let  $b = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a = (z + 1)/2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . To show that p splits in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ , let  $p \mid a^2 a + 1 = (a + \omega)(a + \overline{\omega})$  for  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Using unique factorisation,  $p \mid a + \omega$  or  $p \mid a + \overline{\omega}$ . In fact, since  $a + \omega, a + \overline{\omega}$  are complex conjugates,  $p \mid a + \omega$  and  $p \mid a + \overline{\omega}$ , so  $p \mid \omega \overline{\omega} = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2} \frac{-1 \sqrt{-3}}{2} = \sqrt{-3}$ . But (3, p) = 1, a contraduction. Thus  $p = \mathfrak{p}\overline{\mathfrak{p}} = N(\mathfrak{p})$ . Check that  $\mathfrak{p}/\overline{\mathfrak{p}} \neq u \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]^{\times}$ , (Exercise) so p splits.

Remark 1.44. These three possible behaviours have to do with the structure of  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]/\langle p \rangle$ .

- If this is a field, p is inert.
- If this is of the form  $\mathbb{F}_1 \times \mathbb{F}_2$ , p is split.
- If this is of the form  $\mathbb{F}[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$ , p os ra, of oed.

Lecture 7 Friday 25/01/19 Lecture 8 Monday 28/01/19

#### 1.9 Summary of Euclidean domains

- $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  are norm Euclidean. Using geometric proof,  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega] \subset \mathbb{C}$  are lattices.
- $\mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{-5}\right]$  is not a UFD, so not Euclidean, since  $6 = 2 \cdot 3 = (1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 \sqrt{-5})$ . What goes wrong if we try to adapt geometric proof from  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ ? Unit discs do not cover all of the area of  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- The ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_7, \mathcal{O}_{11} \subset \mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{-7}\right)$  both are norm Euclidean. Adopt proof from  $\mathbb{Z}\left[i\right], \mathbb{Z}\left[\omega\right]$ .
- It is hard to tell which fields are Euclidean and which are not. For example,  $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-19}}{2}\right]$  is not Euclidean but is a PID and a UFD.
- Among real quadratic fields,  $\mathbb{Z}\left(\sqrt{2}\right)$  is Euclidean. The same geometric proof will not work because  $\mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{2}\right] \subset \mathbb{R}$ . (Exercise:  $\mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{2}\right]$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ ) We do have a geometric way to think about this.

$$\frac{\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2}\right)}{\mathbb{Q}} = \left\{ a + b\sqrt{2} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}$$

is a two-dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space.

$$\sigma: \quad \mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2}\right) \quad \to \quad \mathbb{Q}\left(-\sqrt{2}\right)$$
$$a + b\sqrt{2} \quad \mapsto \quad a - b\sqrt{2}$$

is a field automorphism that preserves  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

$$\mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{2}\right] \subset \mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2}\right) \quad \hookrightarrow \quad \mathbb{R}^{2}$$

$$a + b\sqrt{2} \quad \mapsto \quad \left(a + b\sqrt{2}, a - b\sqrt{2}\right)$$

$$1 \quad \mapsto \quad \theta_{1} = (1, 1)$$

$$\sqrt{2} \quad \mapsto \quad \theta_{2} = \left(\sqrt{2}, -\sqrt{2}\right)$$

 $\theta_1, \theta_2$  generate a lattice in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Can do a geometric proof in this, but use  $N(x,y) = x \cdot y$  and areas under hyperbolas.