

# M4P55 Commutative Algebra

Lectured by Prof Alexei Skorobogatov

Typed by David Kurniadi Angdinata

Autumn 2019

**Syllabus**

## Contents

<b>0</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Rings and ideals</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Polynomials and formal power series</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Zero-divisors, nilpotents, units</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Prime ideals and maximal ideals</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Nilradical and the Jacobson radical</b>	<b>7</b>

## 0 Introduction

Lecture 1  
Thursday  
03/10/19

The prerequisites are

- groups,
- rings,
- fields, and
- a solid linear algebra.

This course is good for

- algebraic geometry, and
- algebraic number theory.

The following are books.

- M Reid, Undergraduate commutative algebra, 1995
- M F Atiyah and I G Macdonald, Introduction to commutative algebra, 1969

The following is the structure of the course.

- Generalities on rings, such as ideals, and examples.
- Localisation of rings between a ring  $R$  and the fraction field  $K$  of  $R$ , such as  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
- Finiteness conditions of Noetherian rings and Artinian rings.
- Integral closure and normal rings, such as  $\mathbb{Z}[i] \subset \mathbb{Q}(i)$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}] \subset \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}\right] \subset \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ .
- Discrete valuation rings.
- Completion of rings with topology.

# 1 Rings and ideals

**Definition 1.1.** A **commutative ring** is a set  $(A, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$  such that

1.  $(A, +, 0)$  is an abelian group,
2. for all  $x, y, z \in A$ ,
  - $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$ ,
  - $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$ ,
  - $x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$ , and
3. for all  $x \in A$ ,  $x \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot x = x$ .

**Remark 1.2.**

- One is uniquely determined by 3, since  $1' = 1' \cdot 1 = 1$ .
- If  $1 = 0$ , then  $0 = x \cdot 0 = x \cdot 1 = x$ , since

$$x \cdot 0 = x \cdot (0 + 0) = x \cdot 0 + x \cdot 0,$$

so  $x \cdot 0 = 0$ . So every element is zero. Hence  $R = \{0\}$ .

**Definition 1.3.** A **homomorphism of rings**  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a map such that for all  $x, y \in A$ ,

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y), \quad f(xy) = f(x)f(y), \quad f(1) = 1.$$

**Example.** If  $A \subset B$  is closed under  $+$  and  $\cdot$ , and  $1 \in A$ , then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & B \\ x & \longmapsto & x \end{array}$$

is a homomorphism.

**Remark 1.4.**

- A composition of homomorphisms is a homomorphism.
- An **isomorphism** is a bijective homomorphism.

**Definition 1.5.** A subset  $I$  of a ring  $A$  is an **ideal** if  $I$  is a subgroup of the additive group  $(A, +)$  which is closed under multiplication by elements of  $A$ , so  $xI \subset I$  for any  $x \in A$ . Sometimes this is written as  $I \triangleleft A$ . In this case the **quotient group**  $A/I$  is naturally a ring, where  $(x + I)(y + I)$  is defined as  $xy + I$ .

**Proposition 1.6.** Let  $I$  be an ideal of a commutative ring  $A$ . Then there is a natural bijection between the ideals  $J \subset A$  such that  $I \subset J$  and the ideals of  $A/I$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & A/I \\ x & \longmapsto & x + I \end{array}$$

be the natural surjective map. Send  $J$  to its image under this map. □

**Definition 1.7.** If  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism, then

$$\text{Ker } f = \{x \in A \mid f(x) = 0\}$$

is an ideal in  $A$ , and

$$\text{Im } f = f(A) \cong A / \text{Ker } f \subset B.$$

## 2 Polynomials and formal power series

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $R$  be a ring. The **polynomial ring** with coefficients in  $R$  is

$$R[x] = \{a_0 + \cdots + a_n x^n \mid a_i \in R, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}.$$

The addition is coefficient-wise, and the multiplication is given by the formula

$$\left(\sum_{i \geq 0} a_i x^i\right) \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} b_j x^j\right) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \left(\sum_{j+k=i, j \geq 0, k \geq 0} a_j b_k\right) x^i,$$

where all but finitely many coefficients are zero. Define

$$R[x_1, \dots, x_n] = R[x_1] \cdots [x_n] = \left\{ \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 0} a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \mid a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \in R \right\},$$

where all but finitely many coefficients  $a_{i_1, \dots, i_n}$  are equal to zero.

**Definition 2.2.** The **ring of formal power series** with coefficients in  $R$  is

$$R[[t]] = \{a_0 + a_1 t + \dots \mid a_i \in R\}.$$

The addition is coefficient-wise, and the multiplication is given by the formula

$$\left(\sum_{i \geq 0} a_i t^i\right) \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} b_j t^j\right) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \left(\sum_{j+k=i, j \geq 0, k \geq 0} a_j b_k\right) t^i.$$

Define

$$R[[t_1, \dots, t_n]] = R[[t_1]] \cdots [[t_n]].$$

In  $R[[t]]$  many products equal one unlike in  $R[t]$ , for example  $(1-t)(1+t+\dots) = 1$ .

## 3 Zero-divisors, nilpotents, units

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $A$  be a ring. An element  $x \in A$  is a **zero-divisor** if  $x \neq 0$  but  $xy = 0$  for some  $y \neq 0$  in  $A$ . A ring without zero-divisors is called an **integral domain**. An element  $x \in A$  is **nilpotent** if  $x^n = 0$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . A **unit**  $x \in A$  is an element such that  $xy = 1$  for some  $y \in A$ . The units of  $A$  form a group under multiplication, denoted by  $A^*$ , or  $A^\times$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $x \in A$ . Then the set

$$\langle x \rangle = \{xy \mid y \in A\}$$

is an ideal. Such ideals are called **principal ideals**.

**Remark.**  $x \in A^*$  if and only if  $\langle x \rangle = A$ , and  $R$  is a field if and only if  $R^* = R \setminus \{0\}$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $A$  be a non-zero ring. Then the following are equivalent.

1.  $A$  is a field.
2. There are no ideals in  $A$  other than  $\langle 0 \rangle$  and  $A$ .
3. Every non-zero homomorphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is injective.

*Proof.*

1  $\implies$  2 Clear.

2  $\implies$  3  $\text{Ker } f \subset A$  is an ideal. Since  $f \neq 0$ ,  $\text{Ker } f \neq A$ . Hence  $\text{Ker } f = 0$ .

3  $\implies$  1 Take any  $x \neq 0$  in  $A$ . Look at  $\langle x \rangle$ . Define  $B = A/\langle x \rangle$ . Then take  $f : A \rightarrow B$  to be the natural surjective map. If  $f$  is not identically zero, we get a contradiction with 3.

□

## 4 Prime ideals and maximal ideals

**Definition 4.1.** An ideal  $I \subset A$  is called **prime** if  $I \neq A$  and if whenever  $xy \in I$ , then  $x \in I$  or  $y \in I$ . An ideal  $J \subset A$  is called **maximal** if there is no ideal  $J'$  such that  $J \subsetneq J' \subsetneq A$ .

**Notation.** The set of prime ideals of  $A$  is called the **spectrum** of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\text{Spec } A$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** An ideal  $I \subset A$  is prime if and only if  $A/I$  is an integral domain.

*Proof.* Obvious. □

**Lemma 4.3.** An ideal  $J \subset A$  is maximal if and only if  $A/J$  is a field.

*Proof.* Obvious. □

**Proposition 4.4.** If  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a ring homomorphism and  $I \subset B$  is a prime ideal, then  $f^{-1}(I)$  is a prime ideal of  $A$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $f^{-1}(I)$  is an ideal in  $A$ . Suppose  $xy \in f^{-1}(I)$  for some  $x, y \in A$ . Then  $f(x)f(y) = f(xy) \in I$ . Since  $I$  is prime,  $f(x) \in I$  or  $f(y) \in I$ , so  $x \in f^{-1}(I)$  or  $y \in f^{-1}(I)$ . □

So we get a canonical map

$$\begin{aligned} f^* : \text{Spec } B &\longrightarrow \text{Spec } A \\ I \subset B &\longmapsto f^{-1}(I) \subset A \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 4.5.** If  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a ring homomorphism, then  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ , where  $\mathfrak{p} \subset B$  is a prime ideal, is a prime ideal. But this is false for maximal ideals. Let  $A = \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $B = \mathbb{Q}$ , and let  $f(x) = x$ . Then  $\langle 0 \rangle \subset \mathbb{Q}$  is a maximal ideal and  $f^{-1}(\langle 0 \rangle) = \langle 0 \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}$  is not a maximal ideal. For example,  $\langle 0 \rangle \subsetneq \langle 2 \rangle \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** Let  $A$  be a non-zero ring. Then  $A$  has at least one maximal ideal. In particular,  $\text{Spec } A$  is not empty.

The proof is based on Zorn's lemma. Let  $S$  be a set. Then a **partial order** is a binary relation  $\leq$  such that

- $x \leq x$  for all  $x \in S$ ,
- $x \leq y \leq z$  implies that  $x \leq z$ , and
- $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$  imply that  $x = y$ ,

where not all pairs are comparable. A **chain**  $T \subset S$  is a subset in which every two elements are comparable.

**Lemma 4.7** (Zorn). Suppose that  $S$  is a partially ordered set such that every chain  $T \subset S$  has an upper bound, that is an element  $t \in S$  such that  $x \leq t$  for all  $x \in T$ . Then  $S$  has a maximal element, that is there exists  $s \in S$  such that if  $x \in S$  and  $x \geq s$ , then  $x = s$ .

Zorn's lemma is equivalent to the axiom of choice.

*Proof of Theorem 4.6.* Let  $\Sigma$  be the set of all ideals of  $A$  which are not equal to  $A$ . Then  $\langle 0 \rangle \in \Sigma$ , so  $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$ . Equip  $\Sigma$  with partial order given by inclusion. Enough to check the assumption of Zorn's lemma. Suppose  $T$  is a chain of ideals, so it is a collection of ideals  $J_i$  for  $i \in T$ . Consider instead

$$I = \bigcup_{i \in T} J_i.$$

Claim that  $T$  is a chain implies that  $I$  is an ideal. Then  $x \in I$  implies that  $x \in J_i$  for some  $i$ . Take any  $x, y \in I$ . Then  $x \in J_i$  and  $y \in J_k$  for some  $i, k \in T$ , so  $T$  is a chain, hence  $i \leq k$  or  $k \leq i$ , so  $J_i \subset J_k$  or  $J_k \subset J_i$ . Without loss of generality assume  $J_i \subset J_k$ . Then  $x, y \in J_k$ , so  $x + y \in J_k \subset I$ . Clearly,  $I$  is an upper bound. □

Lecture 3  
Wednesday  
09/10/19

**Corollary 4.8.** *Any ideal of  $A$  is contained in a maximal ideal of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* If  $I \subset A$  is an ideal, apply Theorem 4.6 to  $A/I$ . □

**Corollary 4.9.** *Any non-unit of  $A$  is contained in a maximal ideal.*

*Proof.* Apply Corollary 4.8 to  $\langle a \rangle$ . □

**Example.** The maximal ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are  $\langle p \rangle$ , where  $p$  is prime.

**Definition 4.10.** A ring  $A$  is **local** if  $A$  has exactly one maximal ideal.

**Example.** Any field is a local ring. If  $k$  is a field, then  $k[[t]]$  is a local ring.

**Lemma 4.11** (Prime avoidance). *Let  $A$  be a ring and let  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$  be a prime ideal. Suppose that  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  are ideals in  $A$  such that  $\bigcap_{j=1}^n I_j \subset \mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $I_j \subset \mathfrak{p}$  for some  $j$ . If, moreover,  $\bigcap_{j=1}^k I_j = \mathfrak{p}$ , then  $I_j = \mathfrak{p}$  for some  $j$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $I_j$  is not a subset of  $\mathfrak{p}$  for any  $j$ . Then there exists  $x_j \in I_j$  such that  $x_j \notin \mathfrak{p}$ . Hence

$$x_1, \dots, x_n \in I_1 \dots I_n \subset \bigcap_{j=1}^n I_j \subset \mathfrak{p},$$

so  $x_1(x_2 \dots x_n) \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $x_1 \notin \mathfrak{p}$  implies that  $x_2 \dots x_n \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime we get a contradiction. For the second claim, we know that some  $I_j \subset \mathfrak{p}$ . But  $\mathfrak{p} = \bigcap_{j=1}^k I_j \subset I_k$  for all  $k$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{p} = I_j$ . □

## 5 Nilradical and the Jacobson radical

**Proposition 5.1.** *The set  $\mathcal{N}(A)$  consisting of all nilpotents of the ring  $A$  and zero is an ideal. Then  $\mathcal{N}(A)$  is called the **nilradical** of  $A$ . The quotient  $A/\mathcal{N}(A)$  has no nilpotents.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $x \in A$  is nilpotent, so  $x^n = 0$ . For any  $a \in A$ ,  $(ax)^n = a^n x^n = 0$ . Let  $x$  and  $y$  be nilpotents. Say  $x^n = y^m = 0$ . Then

$$(x+y)^{n+m} = \sum_{i,j \geq 0, i+j=n+m} a_{ij} x^i y^j, \quad a_{ij} \in A.$$

Clearly, either  $i \geq n$  or  $j \geq m$ . Then  $a_{ij} x^i y^j = 0$ . Therefore,  $(x+y)^{n+m} = 0$ , hence  $x+y \in \mathcal{N}(A)$ . If  $x + \mathcal{N}(A)$  is nilpotent in  $A/\mathcal{N}(A)$ , then  $x^n + \mathcal{N}(A) = \mathcal{N}(A)$  is the trivial coset. Hence  $x^n \in \mathcal{N}(A)$ . Thus  $(x^n)^m = 0$  for some  $m$ . □

**Definition 5.2.** A ring  $A$  such that  $\mathcal{N}(A) = 0$  is called a **reduced ring**.

**Proposition 5.3.**  $\mathcal{N}(A)$  is the intersection of all prime ideals of  $A$ .

*Proof.*

- ⊂ Let  $I$  be the intersection of all prime ideals of  $A$ . Let  $f \in A$  be such that  $f^n = 0$ . Take any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ . We know that  $f^n = 0 \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $f(f \dots f) \in \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  prime implies that  $f \in \mathfrak{p}$ , so  $f \in I$ .
- ⊃ Let us prove the converse. Suppose  $f$  is not nilpotent, so  $f^n \neq 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . We will show that there exists a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$  that does not contain  $f$ . Let us consider all ideals of  $A$  that do not contain  $f^m$ , where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be the set of ideals  $J \subset A$  such that

$$J \cap \{f^m \mid m \geq 1\} = \emptyset.$$

The zero ideal  $\langle 0 \rangle$  is in  $\Sigma$ . So  $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$ . Equip  $\Sigma$  with a partial order given by inclusion. Applying Zorn's lemma we obtain that  $\Sigma$  contains a maximal element. Call it  $\mathfrak{p}$ . By construction,  $\mathfrak{p} \cap \{f^m \mid m \geq 1\} = \emptyset$ , so  $f \notin \mathfrak{p}$ . It remains to prove that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime. Enough to prove that if  $x \notin \mathfrak{p}$  and  $y \notin \mathfrak{p}$ , then  $xy \notin \mathfrak{p}$ . Consider the ideal  $\mathfrak{p} + \langle x \rangle \supsetneq \mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p}$  is maximal in  $\Sigma$ , thus  $\mathfrak{p} + \langle x \rangle$  is not in  $\Sigma$ . By definition of  $\Sigma$  there exists  $n \geq 1$  such that  $f^n \in \mathfrak{p} + \langle x \rangle$ . Similarly, there exists  $m \geq 1$  such that  $f^m \in \mathfrak{p} + \langle y \rangle$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{p} + \langle x \rangle)(\mathfrak{p} + \langle y \rangle) \subset \mathfrak{p} + \langle xy \rangle$ . In particular,  $f^{n+m} = f^n \cdot f^m \in \mathfrak{p} + \langle xy \rangle$ . If  $xy \in \mathfrak{p}$ , then  $f^{n+m} \in \mathfrak{p}$ , which is not possible. Therefore,  $xy \notin \mathfrak{p}$ . So  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal that does not contain  $f$ . □

Lecture 4  
Thursday  
10/10/19

**Definition 5.4.** The **Jacobson radical**  $\mathcal{J}(A)$  is the intersection of all maximal ideals of  $A$ .

**Proposition 5.5.**  $x \in \mathcal{J}(A)$  if and only if  $1 - xy \in A^*$  for all  $y \in A$ .

*Proof.*

$\Rightarrow$  Let  $x \in \mathcal{J}(A)$ . Suppose there exists  $y \in A$  such that  $1 - xy$  is not a unit. By Corollary 4.9 every non-unit is contained in a maximal ideal. Say  $M \subset A$  is a maximal ideal and  $1 - xy \in M$ . But  $x \in \mathcal{J}(A) \subset M$ . Then  $1 = (1 - xy) + xy \in M$ , but then  $M = A$ . A contradiction.

$\Leftarrow$  Given  $x \in A$  such that  $1 - xy \in A^*$  for all  $y \in A$ , we must have  $x \in \mathcal{J}(A)$ . If  $x \notin \mathcal{J}(A)$ , then there exists a maximal ideal  $M \subset A$  such that  $x \notin M$ . Then  $M + \langle x \rangle = A \ni 1$ . Thus  $1 = m + xy$ , where  $y \in A$ . But by assumption  $1 - xy \in A^*$ , so  $m \in A^*$ . But then  $M = A$ . A contradiction.

□

**Definition 5.6.** Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $A$ . The **radical** of  $I$  is the set

$$\text{rad } I = \{x \in A \mid \exists n \geq 1, x^n \in I\}.$$

**Proposition 5.7.** The radical of  $I$  is the intersection of all prime ideals of  $A$  that contain  $I$ .

*Proof.* Apply Proposition 5.3 to  $A/I$ .

□