M4P55 Commutative Algebra

Lectured by Prof Alexei Skorobogatov Typed by David Kurniadi Angdinata

Autumn 2019

Syllabus

Contents

0	Introduction	3
1	Rings and ideals	4
2	Polynomials and formal power series	5
3	Zero-divisors, nilpotents, units	5
4	Prime ideals and maximal ideals	6
5	Nilradical and the Jacobson radical	7
6	Localisation of rings	9
7	$\operatorname{Spec} R$ as a topological space	12
8	Determinants	14
9	Modules	14

0 Introduction

The prerequisites are

- groups,
- rings,
- fields, and
- $\bullet\,$ a solid linear algebra.

This course is good for

- algebraic geometry, and
- algebraic number theory.

The following are books.

- M Reid, Undergraduate commutative algebra, 1995
- M F Atiyah and I G Macdonald, Introduction to commutative algebra, 1969

The following is the structure of the course.

- Generalities on rings, such as ideals, and examples.
- Localisation of rings between a ring R and the fraction field K of R, such as \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{Q} .
- Finiteness conditions of Noetherian rings and Artinian rings.
- Integral closure and normal rings, such as $\mathbb{Z}[i] \subset \mathbb{Q}(i)$ and $\mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{-3}\right] \subset \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}\right] \subset \mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{-3}\right)$.
- Discrete valuation rings.
- Completion of rings with topology.

Lecture 1 Thursday 03/10/19

1 Rings and ideals

Definition 1.1. A commutative ring is a set $(A, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ such that

- 1. (A, +, 0) is an abelian group,
- 2. for all $x, y, z \in A$,
 - $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$,
 - $\bullet \ x \cdot y = y \cdot x,$
 - $x \cdot (y+z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$, and
- 3. for all $x \in A$, $x \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot x = x$.

Remark 1.2.

- One is uniquely determined by 3, since $1' = 1' \cdot 1 = 1$.
- If 1 = 0, then $0 = x \cdot 0 = x \cdot 1 = x$, since

$$x \cdot 0 = x \cdot (0+0) = x \cdot 0 + x \cdot 0$$

so $x \cdot 0 = 0$. So every element is zero. Hence $R = \{0\}$.

Definition 1.3. A homomorphism of rings $f: A \to B$ is a map such that for all $x, y \in A$,

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y),$$
 $f(xy) = f(x) f(y),$ $f(1) = 1.$

Example. If $A \subset B$ is closed under + and \cdot , and $1 \in A$, then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & B \\ x & \longmapsto & x \end{array}$$

is a homomorphism.

Remark 1.4.

- A composition of homomorphisms is a homomorphism.
- An **isomorphism** is a bijective homomorphism.

Definition 1.5. A subset I of a ring A is an **ideal** if I is a subgroup of the additive group (A, +) which is closed under multiplication by elements of A, so $xI \subset I$ for any $x \in A$. Sometimes this is written as $I \triangleleft A$. In this case the **quotient group** A/I is naturally a ring, where (x + I)(y + I) is defined as xy + I.

Proposition 1.6. Let I be an ideal of a commutative ring A. Then there is a natural bijection between the ideals $J \subset A$ such that $I \subset J$ and the ideals of A/I.

Proof. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & A/I \\ x & \longmapsto & x+I \end{array}$$

be the natural surjective map. Send J to its image under this map.

Definition 1.7. If $f: A \to B$ is a homomorphism, then

$$Ker f = \{x \in A \mid f(x) = 0\}$$

is an ideal in A, and

$$\operatorname{Im} f = f(A) \cong A / \operatorname{Ker} f \subset B.$$

2 Polynomials and formal power series

Definition 2.1. Let R be a ring. The **polynomial ring** with coefficients in R is

$$R[x] = \{a_0 + \dots + a_n x^n \mid a_i \in R, \ n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}.$$

The addition is coefficient-wise, and the multiplication is given by the formula

$$\left(\sum_{i\geq 0} a_i x^i\right) \left(\sum_{j\geq 0} b_j x^j\right) = \sum_{i\geq 0} \left(\sum_{j+k=i,\ j\geq 0,\ k\geq 0} a_j b_k\right) x^i,$$

where all but finitely many coefficients are zero. Define

$$R[x_1, \dots, x_n] = R[x_1] \dots [x_n] = \left\{ \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \ge 0} a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} \mid a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \in R \right\},\,$$

where all but finitely many coefficients $a_{i_1,...,i_n}$ are equal to zero.

Definition 2.2. The ring of formal power series with coefficients in R is

$$R[[t]] = \{a_0 + a_1t + \dots \mid a_i \in R\}.$$

The addition is coefficient-wise, and the multiplication is given by the formula

$$\left(\sum_{i\geq 0} a_i t^i\right) \left(\sum_{j\geq 0} b_j t^j\right) = \sum_{i\geq 0} \left(\sum_{j+k=i,\ j\geq 0,\ k\geq 0} a_j b_k\right) x^i.$$

Define

$$R[[t_1,\ldots,t_n]] = R[[t_1]]\ldots[[t_n]].$$

In R[[t]] many products equal one unlike in R[t], for example $(1-t)(1+t+\ldots)=1$.

3 Zero-divisors, nilpotents, units

Definition 3.1. Let A be a ring. An element $x \in A$ is a **zero-divisor** if $x \neq 0$ but xy = 0 for some $y \neq 0$ in A. A ring without zero-divisors is called an **integral domain**. An element $x \in A$ is **nilpotent** if $x^n = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. A **unit** $x \in A$ is an element such that xy = 1 for some $y \in A$. The units of A form a group under multiplication, denoted by A^* , or A^{\times} .

Definition 3.2. Let $x \in A$. Then the set

$$\langle x \rangle = \{ xy \mid y \in A \}$$

is an ideal. Such ideals are called principal ideals.

Remark. $x \in A^*$ if and only if $\langle x \rangle = A$, and R is a field if and only if $R^* = R \setminus \{0\}$.

Proposition 3.3. Let A be a non-zero ring. Then the following are equivalent.

- 1. A is a field.
- 2. There are no ideals in A other than $\langle 0 \rangle$ and A.
- 3. Every non-zero homomorphism $f: A \to B$ is injective.

Proof.

- $1 \implies 2$ Clear.
- $2 \implies 3 \operatorname{Ker} f \subset A$ is an ideal. Since $f \neq 0$, $\operatorname{Ker} f \neq A$. Hence $\operatorname{Ker} f = 0$.
- 3 \Longrightarrow 1 Take any $x \neq 0$ in A. Look at $\langle x \rangle$. Define $B = A/\langle x \rangle$. Then take $f: A \to B$ to be the natural surjective map. If f is not identically zero, we get a contradiction with 3.

Lecture 2

Tuesday 08/10/19

4 Prime ideals and maximal ideals

Definition 4.1. An ideal $I \subset A$ is called **prime** if $I \neq A$ and if whenever $xy \in I$, then $x \in I$ or $y \in I$. An ideal $J \subset A$ is called **maximal** if there is no ideal J' such that $J \subseteq J' \subseteq A$.

Notation. The set of prime ideals of A is called the **spectrum** of A and is denoted by Spec A.

Lemma 4.2. An ideal $I \subset A$ is prime if and only if A/I is an integral domain.

$$Proof.$$
 Obvious.

Lemma 4.3. An ideal $J \subset A$ is maximal if and only if A/J is a field.

$$Proof.$$
 Obvious.

Proposition 4.4. If $f: A \to B$ is a ring homomorphism and $I \subset B$ is a prime ideal, then $f^{-1}(I)$ is a prime ideal of A.

Proof. It is easy to see that $f^{-1}(I)$ is an ideal in A. Suppose $xy \in f^{-1}(I)$ for some $x, y \in A$. Then $f(x) f(y) = f(xy) \in I$. Since I is prime, $f(x) \in I$ or $f(y) \in I$, so $x \in f^{-1}(I)$ or $y \in f^{-1}(I)$.

So we get a canonical map

$$\begin{array}{cccc} f^{*} & : & \operatorname{Spec} B & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Spec} A \\ & I \subset B & \longmapsto & f^{-1}\left(I\right) \subset A \end{array}.$$

Lecture 3 Wednesday 09/10/19

Remark 4.5. If $f: A \to B$ is a ring homomorphism, then $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$, where $\mathfrak{p} \subset B$ is a prime ideal, is a prime ideal. But this is false for maximal ideals. Let $A = \mathbb{Z}$, let $B = \mathbb{Q}$, and let f(x) = x. Then $\langle 0 \rangle \subset \mathbb{Q}$ is a maximal ideal and $f^{-1}(\langle 0 \rangle) = \langle 0 \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is not a maximal ideal. For example, $\langle 0 \rangle \subsetneq \langle 2 \rangle \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 4.6. Let A be a non-zero ring. Then A has at least one maximal ideal. In particular, Spec A is not empty.

The proof is based on Zorn's lemma. Let S be a set. Then a partial order is a binary relation \leq such that

- $x \le x$ for all $x \in S$,
- $x \le y \le z$ implies that $x \le z$, and
- $x \le y$ and $y \le x$ imply that x = y,

where not all pairs are comparable. A chain $T \subset S$ is a subset in which every two elements are comparable.

Lemma 4.7 (Zorn). Suppose that S is a partially ordered set such that every chain $T \subset S$ has an upper bound, that is an element $t \in S$ such that $x \leq t$ for all $x \in T$. Then S has a maximal element, that is there exists $s \in S$ such that if $x \in S$ and $x \geq s$, then x = s.

Zorn's lemma is equivalent to the axiom of choice.

Proof of Theorem 4.6. Let Σ be the set of all ideals of A which are not equal to A. Then $\langle 0 \rangle \in \Sigma$, so $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$. Equip Σ with partial order given by inclusion. Enough to check the assumption of Zorn's lemma. Suppose T is a chain of ideals, so it is a collection of ideals J_i for $i \in T$. Consider instead

$$I = \bigcup_{i \in T} J_i.$$

Claim that T is a chain implies that I is an ideal. Then $x \in I$ implies that $x \in J_i$ for some i. Take any $x, y \in I$. Then $x \in J_i$ and $y \in J_k$ for some $i, k \in T$, so T is a chain, hence $i \leq k$ or $k \leq i$, so $J_i \subset J_k$ or $J_k \subset J_i$. Without loss of generality assume $J_i \subset J_k$. Then $x, y \in J_k$, so $x + y \in J_k \subset I$. Clearly, I is an upper bound.

Corollary 4.8. Any ideal of A is contained in a maximal ideal of A.

Proof. If $I \subset A$ is an ideal, apply Theorem 4.6 to A/I.

Corollary 4.9. Any non-unit of A is contained in a maximal ideal.

Proof. Apply Corollary 4.8 to $\langle a \rangle$.

Example. The maximal ideals of \mathbb{Z} are $\langle p \rangle$, where p is prime.

Definition 4.10. A ring A is **local** if A has exactly one maximal ideal.

Example. Any field is a local ring. If k is a field, then k[[t]] is a local ring.

Lemma 4.11 (Prime avoidance). Let A be a ring and let $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ be a prime ideal. Suppose that I_1, \ldots, I_n are ideals in A such that $\bigcap_{j=1}^n I_j \subset \mathfrak{p}$. Then $I_j \subset \mathfrak{p}$ for some j. If, moreover, $\bigcap_{j=1}^k I_j = \mathfrak{p}$, then $I_j = \mathfrak{p}$ for some j.

Proof. Suppose that I_j is not a subset of \mathfrak{p} for any j. Then there exists $x_j \in I_j$ such that $x_j \notin \mathfrak{p}$. Hence

$$x_1, \ldots, x_n \in I_1 \ldots I_n \subset \bigcap_{j=1}^n I_j \subset \mathfrak{p},$$

so $x_1(x_2...x_n) \in \mathfrak{p}$. Then $x_1 \notin \mathfrak{p}$ implies that $x_2...x_n \in \mathfrak{p}$. Since \mathfrak{p} is prime we get a contradiction. For the second claim, we know that some $I_j \subset \mathfrak{p}$. But $\mathfrak{p} = \bigcap_{j=1}^k I_j \subset I_k$ for all k. Hence $\mathfrak{p} = I_j$.

5 Nilradical and the Jacobson radical

Lecture 4 Thursday 10/10/19

Proposition 5.1. The set $\mathcal{N}(A)$ consisting of all nilpotents of the ring A and zero is an ideal. Then $\mathcal{N}(A)$ is called the **nilradical** of A. The quotient $A/\mathcal{N}(A)$ has no nilpotents.

Proof. Suppose $x \in A$ is nilpotent, so $x^n = 0$. For any $a \in A$, $(ax)^n = a^n x^n = 0$. Let x and y be nilpotents. Say $x^n = y^m = 0$. Then

$$(x+y)^{n+m} = \sum_{i,j>0, i+j=n+m} a_{ij}x^iy^j, \quad a_{ij} \in A.$$

Clearly, either $i \geq n$ or $j \geq m$. Then $a_{ij}x^iy^j = 0$. Therefore, $(x+y)^{n+m} = 0$, hence $x+y \in \mathcal{N}(A)$. If $x + \mathcal{N}(A)$ is nilpotent in $A/\mathcal{N}(A)$, then $x^n + \mathcal{N}(A) = \mathcal{N}(A)$ is the trivial coset. Hence $x^n \in \mathcal{N}(A)$. Thus $(x^n)^m = 0$ for some m.

Definition 5.2. A ring A such that $\mathcal{N}(A) = 0$ is called a **reduced ring**.

Proposition 5.3. $\mathcal{N}(A)$ is the intersection of all prime ideals of A.

Proof.

- \subset Let I be the intersection of all prime ideals of A. Let $f \in A$ be such that $f^n = 0$. Take any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$. We know that $f^n = 0 \in \mathfrak{p}$. Then $f(f \dots f) \in \mathfrak{p}$ and \mathfrak{p} prime implies that $f \in \mathfrak{p}$, so $f \in I$.
- \supset Let us prove the converse. Suppose f is not nilpotent, so $f^n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. We will show that there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ that does not contain f. Let us consider all ideals of A that do not contain f^m , where $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let Σ be the set of ideals $J \subset A$ such that

$$J \cap \{f^m \mid m \ge 1\} = \emptyset.$$

The zero ideal $\langle 0 \rangle$ is in Σ . So $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$. Equip Σ with a partial order given by inclusion. Applying Zorn's lemma we obtain that Σ contains a maximal element. Call it \mathfrak{p} . By construction, $\mathfrak{p} \cap \{f^m \mid m \geq 1\} = \emptyset$, so $f \notin \mathfrak{p}$. It remains to prove that \mathfrak{p} is prime. Enough to prove that if $x \notin \mathfrak{p}$ and $y \notin \mathfrak{p}$, then $xy \notin \mathfrak{p}$. Consider the ideal $\mathfrak{p} + \langle x \rangle \supseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Since \mathfrak{p} is maximal in Σ , thus $\mathfrak{p} + \langle x \rangle$ is not in Σ . By definition of Σ there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $f^n \in \mathfrak{p} + \langle x \rangle$. Similarly, there exists $m \geq 1$ such that $f^m \in \mathfrak{p} + \langle y \rangle$. Then $(\mathfrak{p} + \langle x \rangle) (\mathfrak{p} + \langle y \rangle) \subset \mathfrak{p} + \langle xy \rangle$. In particular, $f^{n+m} = f^n \cdot f^m \in \mathfrak{p} + \langle xy \rangle$. If $xy \in \mathfrak{p}$, then $f^{n+m} \in \mathfrak{p}$, which is not possible. Therefore, $xy \notin \mathfrak{p}$. So \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal that does not contain f.

Definition 5.4. The Jacobson radical $\mathcal{J}(A)$ is the intersection of all maximal ideals of A.

Proposition 5.5. $x \in \mathcal{J}(A)$ if and only if $1 - xy \in A^*$ for all $y \in A$.

Proof.

- \implies Let $x \in \mathcal{J}(A)$. Suppose there exists $y \in A$ such that 1 xy is not a unit. By Corollary 4.9 every non-unit is contained in a maximal ideal. Say $M \subset A$ is a maximal ideal and $1 xy \in M$. But $x \in \mathcal{J}(A) \subset M$. Then $1 = (1 xy) + xy \in M$, but then $M \neq A$. A contradiction.
- \Leftarrow Given $x \in A$ such that $1 xy \in A^*$ for all $y \in A$, we must have $x \in \mathcal{J}(A)$. If $x \notin \mathcal{J}(A)$, then there exists a maximal ideal $M \subset A$ such that $x \notin M$. Then $M + \langle x \rangle = A \ni 1$. Thus 1 = m + xy, where $y \in A$. But by assumption $1 xy \in A^*$, so $m \in A^*$. But then M = A. A contradiction.

Definition 5.6. Let I be an ideal of A. The **radical** of I is the set

$$\operatorname{rad} I = \{ x \in A \mid \exists n \ge 1, \ x^n \in I \}.$$

Proposition 5.7. The radical of I is the intersection of all prime ideals of A that contain I.

Proof. Apply Proposition 5.3 to A/I.

Lecture 5 Tuesday 15/10/19

Definition 5.8. Let I be an indexing set. For each $i \in I$ we are given a ring R_i . Consider the product set $\prod_{i \in I} R_i$. This is $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ for $x_i \in R_i$. Define

$$0 = (0)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} R_i, \qquad 1 = (1)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} R_i.$$

Define addition and multiplication coordinate-wise, so

$$(a_i)_{i \in I} + (b_i)_{i \in I} = (a_i + b_i)_{i \in I}, \qquad (a_i)_{i \in I} \cdot (b_i)_{i \in I} = (a_i \cdot b_i)_{i \in I}, \qquad (a_i)_{i \in I}, (b_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} R_i.$$

Then $\prod_{i \in I} R_i$ is a ring, the **product of rings**.

A warning is if I has at least two elements, then $\prod_{i \in I} R_i$ has zero-divisors.

Example. $R_1 \times R_2$ has $(1,0) \cdot (0,1) = (0,0) = 0$.

If $h_i: R \to R_i$ is a ring homomorphism for $i \in I$, then $(h_i)_{i \in I}$ is a ring homomorphism $R \to \prod_{i \in I} R_i$.

Remark 5.9. Let \mathfrak{p}_i for $i \in I$ be all prime ideals of R. Let $h_i : R \to R/\mathfrak{p}_i$. Then

$$h = (h_i)_{i \in I} : R \to \prod_{i \in I} R/\mathfrak{p}_i$$

is a homomorphism, and

$$\operatorname{Ker} h = \bigcap_{i \in I} \operatorname{Ker} h_i = \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathfrak{p}_i = \mathcal{N}(R).$$

So there is an injective map

$$R/\mathcal{N}\left(R\right)\hookrightarrow\prod_{i\in I}R/\mathfrak{p}_{i},$$

a product of integral domains. Now take $f_j: R \to R/M_j$, so if we take the indexing set J to be the set of all maximal ideals of R, then we obtain an injective map

$$R/\mathcal{J}\left(R\right)\hookrightarrow\prod_{j\in J}R/M_{j},$$

a product of fields.

6 Localisation of rings

Example. Fix a prime p. Then

$$\mathbb{Z} \subset \left\{ \frac{m}{p^k} \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, \ k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\} \subset \mathbb{Q}.$$

Definition 6.1. A subset S of a ring A is called a **multiplicative set** if $1 \in S$ and $0 \notin S$, and S is closed under multiplication.

Example 6.2.

- Let $a \in A$ be a non-nilpotent. Then $\{1, a, \dots\}$ is a multiplicative set.
- Let $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq A$ be a prime ideal. Then $A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ is a multiplicative set. Indeed, if $x \notin \mathfrak{p}$ and $y \notin \mathfrak{p}$ then $xy \notin \mathfrak{p}$ by the definition of a prime ideal.
- If we have a family \mathfrak{p}_i for $i \in I$ of prime ideals, then $A \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{p}_i$ is a multiplicative set.
- A^* is a multiplicative set.
- All non-zero-divisors in A form a multiplicative set.
- Let $I \subseteq A$ be an ideal. Then $1 + I = \{1 + x \mid x \in I\}$ is a multiplicative set.

Definition 6.3. Consider $A \times S$ and the equivalence relation on $A \times S$ defined as

$$(a,s) \sim (b,t)$$
 \iff $\exists u \in S, \ u (at - bs) = 0.$

Check that this is indeed an equivalence relation. ¹ The following is some notation.

- The equivalence class of (a, s) is written as a/s. For example, if $t \in S$, then a/s = at/st.
- The set of equivalence classes is denoted by $S^{-1}A$.

Define

$$\frac{a}{s} + \frac{b}{t} = \frac{at + bs}{st}, \qquad \frac{a}{s} \cdot \frac{b}{t} = \frac{ab}{st}.$$

Need to check that these operations are well-defined. ² Define $\frac{0}{1}$ as the zero of $S^{-1}A$, and $\frac{1}{1}$ as the one of $S^{-1}A$. Then $S^{-1}A$ is a ring, the **localisation of** A with respect to a multiplicative set S.

Lemma 6.4. There is a ring homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{cccc} f & : & A & \longrightarrow & S^{-1}A \\ & & x & \longmapsto & \frac{x}{1} \end{array}.$$

This f is injective if and only if S has no zero-divisors.

Proof. If S contains a zero-divisor, say u, then there exists $a \in A$ for $a \neq 0$ such that ua = 0. Then

$$f(a) = \frac{a}{1} = \frac{au}{u} = \frac{0}{u} = 0.$$

So Ker f contains a, hence f is not injective. If f has no zero-divisors, then $u \cdot a = u(a-0) \neq 0$ if $a \neq 0$ and any $u \in S$. Hence $f(a) \neq 0$.

If A is an integral domain, then Ker f = 0. So $A \hookrightarrow S^{-1}A$.

Lecture 6 Thursday 16/10/19

¹Exercise

 $^{^2}$ Exercise

Example. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$.

• If $S = \{1, a, \dots\}$, then

$$S^{-1}\mathbb{Z} = \left\{ \frac{n}{a^m} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, \ m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\}.$$

• If $S = \mathbb{Z} \setminus p\mathbb{Z}$, then

$$S^{-1}\mathbb{Z} = \left\{ \frac{n}{m} \mid p \nmid m \right\}.$$

• If $S = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \bigcup_{p_i \text{ prime}} p_i \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$S^{-1}\mathbb{Z} = \left\{ \frac{n}{m} \mid p_i \nmid m \right\}.$$

- If $S = \mathbb{Z}^* = \{\pm 1\}$, then $S^{-1}\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$.
- If $S = \{\text{all non-zero elements}\}\$, then $S^{-1}\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Q}$.
- If $S = \{1 + I \mid I \subset \mathbb{Z} \text{ ideal}\} = \{1 + nk \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, then

$$S^{-1}\mathbb{Z} = \left\{ \frac{m}{1+nk} \mid m, k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

where n is fixed.

Example. Let R = k[x], where k is a field.

- If $S = k[x]^* = k^*$, then $S^{-1}k[x] = k[x]$.
- If $S = \{\text{all non-zero elements}\}$, then

$$S^{-1}k\left[x\right] = k\left(x\right) = \left\{\frac{f\left(x\right)}{g\left(x\right)} \mid g\left(x\right) \text{ arbitrary non-zero polynomial}\right\}.$$

Example 6.5. Let k be a field, and let $A = k[x,y]/\langle xy \rangle$. Note that A has zero-divisors, since xy = 0 in A, but $x \neq 0$ in A and $y \neq 0$ in A. Then $S = \{1, x, ...\}$ is a multiplicative set, since $x^n \neq 0$ in A for n = 1, 2, ..., because no power of the polynomial x is in $\langle xy \rangle$. What is $S^{-1}A$? Let $f: A \to S^{-1}A$. Then $a \in \text{Ker } f$ if and only if a/1 = 0/1, if and only if $u \cdot (a \cdot 1 - 0 \cdot 1) = 0$ for some $u \in S$, if and only if ua = 0. Let $a \neq 0$. Then u = 1 is not interesting. Take u = x and a = y, then xy = 0, hence $y \in \text{Ker } f$. Then f is a homomorphism, hence Ker f is an ideal. So $\langle y \rangle = yA \subset \text{Ker } f$. In general,

$$a = \sum_{i,j \ge 0} a_{ij} x^i y^j \equiv a_{00} + \sum_{i \ge 1} a_{i0} x^i + \sum_{j \ge 1} a_{0j} y^j \mod \langle xy \rangle.$$

Then Ker $f = yA = \langle y \rangle$, since $\sum_{j \geq 1} a_{0j} y^j$ goes to zero, since it is annihilated by x, and $x^n \cdot \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i x^i$ is never zero in A. Thus f(A) = k[x], and

$$S^{-1}A = \left\{ \frac{f\left(x\right)}{x^{n}} \mid f\left(x\right) \in k\left[x\right], \ n \ge 0 \right\} = k\left[x, x^{-1}\right] = \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, \ a_{i} = 0 \text{ for almost all } i} a_{i}x^{i} \mid a_{i} \in k \right\}.$$

Lemma 6.6 (Universal property of localisation). Let A be a ring, and $S \subset A$ a multiplicative set. Let $g: A \to B$ be a ring homomorphism such that g(s) is a unit in B for all $s \in S$. Then there exists a unique ring homomorphism $h: S^{-1}A \to B$ such that $g = h \circ f$ where $f: A \to S^{-1}A$ is the canonical map, so

Lecture 7 Thursday 17/10/19

$$A \\ f \downarrow \qquad g \\ S^{-1}A \xrightarrow{\exists !h} B$$

Proof. Define

This is well-defined, that is if a/s = b/t then $g(a)g(s)^{-1} = g(b)g(t)^{-1}$. This is a ring homomorphism. ⁴ Now easy to check that

$$(h \circ f)(a) = h\left(\frac{a}{1}\right) = \frac{g(a)}{g(1)} = \frac{g(a)}{1} = g(a), \quad a \in A.$$

Moreover, if $h': S^{-1}A \to B$ and $g = h' \circ f$ then for all $a \in A$ we have $(h' \circ f)(a) = g(a)$. Since h' is a ring homomorphism, for all $s \in S$, h'(1/s) = 1/h'(s/1) = 1/g(s). Hence

$$h'\left(\frac{a}{s}\right) = h'\left(\frac{a}{1}\right)h'\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) = \frac{h'\left(f\left(a\right)\right)}{h'\left(f\left(s\right)\right)} = \frac{g\left(a\right)}{g\left(s\right)} = h\left(\frac{a}{s}\right).$$

For all ideal $I \subseteq A$, set

$$S^{-1}I = \left\{ \frac{i}{s} \in S^{-1}A \mid i \in I, \ s \in S \right\},\,$$

the ideal of $S^{-1}A$ generated by f(I).

Proposition 6.7. Let $S \subset A$ be a multiplicative subset, and let I_1, \ldots, I_n be ideals of A. Then

1.
$$S^{-1}(I_1 + \dots + I_n) = S^{-1}I_1 + \dots + S^{-1}I_n$$
,

2.
$$S^{-1}(I_1 \cdot \dots \cdot I_n) = S^{-1}I_1 \cdot \dots \cdot S^{-1}I_n$$

3.
$$S^{-1}(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} I_i) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} S^{-1}I_i$$
, and

4.
$$S^{-1}(\operatorname{rad} I) = \operatorname{rad} S^{-1}I$$
 for every ideal I .

Proof. Exercise. 5

There is a map

$$\{\text{ideals } I \text{ of } A\} \to \{\text{ideals } S^{-1}I \text{ of } S^{-1}A\}.$$

Proposition 6.8. Every ideal of $S^{-1}A$ is of the form $S^{-1}I$ for some ideal $I \subseteq A$.

Proof. Let J be any ideal of $S^{-1}A$. Define $I = f^{-1}A$. Know I is an ideal of A. Claim that $J = S^{-1}I$. Say $a/s \in J$. Since J is an ideal, $s(a/s) \in J$, so $a/1 \in J$, so $a \in I$. Hence $a/s \in S^{-1}I$. So $J \subseteq S^{-1}I$. Conversely, $f(I) = f(f^{-1}(J)) \subseteq J$. Thus $S^{-1}I \subseteq J$.

Theorem 6.9. The only prime ideals of $S^{-1}A$ are of the form $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$ where \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal of A such that $\mathfrak{p} \cap S = \emptyset$. Hence there is a bijection

$$\left\{ \ \ prime \ ideals \ of \ S^{-1}A \ \right\} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \left\{ \ \ prime \ ideals \ of \ A \ that \ do \ not \ intersect \ S \ \right\}.$$

Proof. Prove $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$ is prime if \mathfrak{p} is prime and $\mathfrak{p} \cap S = \emptyset$. Say $a/s \cdot b/t \in S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$ for $a/s, b/t \in S^{-1}A$. This implies v(abu-cst)=0 for some $u,v\in S$ and $c\in \mathfrak{p}$. Hence $abuv=cstv\in \mathfrak{p}$, so $ab\in \mathfrak{p}$, as u and v are units, so $a\in \mathfrak{p}$ or $b\in \mathfrak{p}$. Hence $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$ is prime. Next note that $f^{-1}\left(S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}\right)=\mathfrak{p}$, assuming $\mathfrak{p} \cap S=\emptyset$. For if $a\in A$ lies in $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$ then by definition there exists $s\in S$ such that $sa\in \mathfrak{p}$. Then s is a unit and so $a\in \mathfrak{p}$. Hence \mathfrak{p} is uniquely determined by $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$. Now let \mathfrak{q} be an arbitrary prime ideal of $S^{-1}A$. Then certainly $\mathfrak{q}=S^{-1}I$ for $I=f^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$. But the preimage of a prime ideal is prime. So I is prime. Moreover, $I\cap S=\emptyset$ as no $s\in S$ is in \mathfrak{q} , since \mathfrak{q} is prime, so \mathfrak{q} contains no units.

 $^{^3}$ Exercise

⁴Exercise

⁵Exercise

7 Spec R as a topological space

A set X with a collection \mathcal{U} of subsets $U \subset X$ is called a **topological space** if the following properties hold.

Lecture 8 Tuesday 22/10/19

- 1. \mathcal{U} contains \emptyset and X.
- 2. If U and U' are in \mathcal{U} , then $U \cap U'$ is in \mathcal{U} .
- 3. If U_i are in \mathcal{U} , where i is an element of an indexing set S, then $\bigcup_{i \in S} U_i$ is in \mathcal{U} .

Then the elements of \mathcal{U} are called **open subsets** of X. The following is an equivalent definition. A set X with a family \mathcal{V} of subsets $V \subset X$ is called a **topological space** if the following properties hold.

- 1. \mathcal{V} contains \emptyset and X.
- 2. If V and V' are in V, then $V \cup V'$ is in V.
- 3. If V_i are in \mathcal{V} , where i is an element of an indexing set S, then $\bigcap_{i \in S} V_i$ is in \mathcal{V} .

Then the elements of \mathcal{U} are called **closed subsets** of X. For the equivalence, if U is in \mathcal{U} , then define the closed subsets as $X \setminus U$ for U in \mathcal{U} , and vice versa. Let R be a ring with unity. Let $I \subset R$ be an ideal. Let V_I be the set of all prime ideals in R that contain I. Define $U_I = \operatorname{Spec} R \setminus V_I$.

Proposition 7.1. The collection of subsets $V_I \subset \operatorname{Spec} R$, for all ideals $I \subset R$, satisfies 1, 2, 3 of closed subsets, hence defines a topology on $\operatorname{Spec} R$.

Proof.

- 1. If I = 0 is the zero ideal, then $V_0 = \operatorname{Spec} R$, all prime ideals of R. If I = R, then no prime ideals of R contain R, so $V_R = \emptyset$, so 1 holds.
- 2. It is enough to check that $V_I \cup V_J = V_{IJ} = V_{I\cap J}$. Note that $IJ \subset I \cap J$. An element of V_I is a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \supset I$, so $\mathfrak{p} \supset IJ$. Conversely, let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal such that $IJ \subset \mathfrak{p}$. Claim that $I \subset \mathfrak{p}$ or $J \subset \mathfrak{p}$. Suppose not. Then there exists $x \in I$ such that $x \notin \mathfrak{p}$ and there exists $y \in J$ such that $y \notin \mathfrak{p}$. Then $xy \in IJ \subset \mathfrak{p}$. This contradicts the definition of prime ideals. So the claim is proved. Thus 2 holds.
- 3. J_i for $i \in S$ is a collection of ideals. Claim that $\bigcap_{i \in S} \mathbf{V}_{J_i} = \mathbf{V}_J$, where $J = \sum_{i \in S} J_i$ is the smallest ideal of R containing all J_i for $i \in S$. The elements of J are finite sums, where each summand is in some J_i . If $\mathfrak{p} \supset J_i$ for $i \in S$, then $\mathfrak{p} \supset J$. Conversely, if $\mathfrak{p} \supset J_i$, then $\mathfrak{p} \supset J_i$ for all $i \in S$.

Recall that if $f: A \to B$ is a homomorphism of rings, then $f^*: \operatorname{Spec} B \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ sends any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset B$ to the inverse image $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$, which is a prime ideal in A. This breaks down for maximal ideals.

Example. Take $f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q}$, then $f^{-1}(0) = 0$, which is not maximal in \mathbb{Z} .

A map of topological spaces is **continuous** if the inverse image of any open set is open. Equivalently, the inverse images of closed sets are closed.

Proposition 7.2. f^* is a continuous map.

Proof. Let I be an ideal in A. We need to show that $(f^*)^{-1}(V_I) = V_J$ for some ideal J in B. Let J be the smallest ideal in B containing f(I).

- \subset Fix \mathfrak{p} in V_I , a prime ideal in A such that $\mathfrak{p} \supset I$. The elements of the left hand side that are mapped to \mathfrak{p} by f^* are the prime ideals $\mathfrak{q} \subset B$ such that $\mathfrak{p} = f^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$. We have $I \subset \mathfrak{p}$, so $f(I) \subset f(\mathfrak{p}) \subset \mathfrak{q}$, so $J \subset \mathfrak{q}$, by definition of J.
- \supset Take any prime ideal $\mathfrak{q} \subset B$ such that $J \subset \mathfrak{q}$. We have $I \subset f^{-1}(f(I)) \subset f^{-1}(J) \subset f^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$, so $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$ is a prime ideal in A containing I. This ideal is exactly $f^*(\mathfrak{q})$, so $f^*(\mathfrak{q})$ is in V_I . Since $\mathfrak{q} \in (f^*)^{-1}(f^*(\mathfrak{q})) \subset (f^*)^{-1}(V_I)$, so we are done.

The following are particular cases.

• Assume f is surjective. Then $B \cong A/\operatorname{Ker} f$. Then

Lecture 9 Wednesday 23/10/19

So in this case f^* is injective and its image is $V_{\text{Ker }f}$.

• Let S be a multiplicative set in A. Let $f: A \to S^{-1}A$ be the associated canonical map. By Theorem 6.9 the prime ideals of $S^{-1}A$ are $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$, where \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal in A such that $\mathfrak{p} \cap S = \emptyset$. Thus $f^*: \operatorname{Spec} S^{-1}A \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ is injective and its image consists of $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ such that $\mathfrak{p} \cap S = \emptyset$.

Example.

- Let k be a field. Then Spec k is one point.
- Let R = k[x], an integral domain. This is a PID, so every ideal is $\langle p(x) \rangle$, where $p(x) \in k[x]$ is monic. Then $\langle p(x) \rangle$ is prime if and only if p(x) is irreducible, so

$$\operatorname{Spec} k\left[x\right] = \left\{\left\langle 0\right\rangle\right\} \cup \left\{\left\langle p\left(x\right)\right\rangle \mid p\left(x\right) \text{ is monic and irreducible}\right\}.$$

In particular, if k is algebraically closed, such as $k = \mathbb{C}$, then

Spec
$$k[x] = \{\langle 0 \rangle\} \cup \{\langle x - a \rangle \mid a \in k\}$$
.

• Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$, a PID. Then

Spec
$$\mathbb{Z} = \{\langle 0 \rangle\} \cup \{\langle p \rangle \mid p \text{ is a prime number}\}.$$

- Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[i]$ be the Gaussian integers, a PID. The tautological map $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}[i]$ gives rise to $f^* : \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[i] \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$. Take a usual prime p and decompose p into a product of primes in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.
 - $-2 = (1+i)(1-i) = -i(1+i)^2$, where 1+i is a prime in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.
 - If $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$, then p = (a + bi)(a bi). In this case a + bi and a bi are not associated primes.
 - If $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$, then p stays prime in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

Then

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}\left[i\right] & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z} \\ \langle 0 \rangle & \longmapsto & \langle 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1+i \rangle & \longmapsto & \langle 2 \rangle & \operatorname{ramified} \\ \langle 3 \rangle & \longmapsto & \langle 3 \rangle & \operatorname{inert} \\ \langle 1+2i \rangle, \langle 1-2i \rangle & \longmapsto & \langle 5 \rangle & \operatorname{split} \end{array}$$

- Let R be an integral domain and let k be the fraction field of R, so $f: R \hookrightarrow k$. Then Spec $k = \{\langle 0 \rangle\}$ and $f^*: \operatorname{Spec} k \to \operatorname{Spec} R$.
- Let k be a field, so $f: k \hookrightarrow k[x]$. Then $f^*: \operatorname{Spec} k[x] \to \operatorname{Spec} k$. If $\mathfrak{p} \subset k[x]$, then $\mathfrak{p} \cap k = \{\langle 0 \rangle\}$, otherwise if \mathfrak{p} contains a unit of k[x] then $\mathfrak{p} = k[x]$, a contradiction.

Usually, every point of a topological space is a closed subset. But this is not always true. Recall that if Y is a subset of a topological space X, then the **closure** of Y is the smallest closed subset of X containing Y. It is the same as the intersection of all closed subsets containing Y. Claim that if $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$ is a prime ideal, then the closure of \mathfrak{p} is $V_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Any closed subset of Spec R containing \mathfrak{p} is V_J , where $J \subset \mathfrak{p}$. This V_J visibly contains $V_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Hence $V_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the intersection of all such V_J .

Example. In Spec \mathbb{Z} , the point $\langle p \rangle$ is closed, because $V_{\langle p \rangle} = \{\langle p \rangle\}$. The point $\langle 0 \rangle$ is not closed, as $V_{\langle 0 \rangle} = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}$. The closure of $\langle 0 \rangle$ is all of Spec \mathbb{Z} .

Example. Let $R = k[[t]] = \{a_0 + a_1t + \dots \mid a_i \in k\}$, a local ring. Its unique maximal ideal is $\langle t \rangle$. This is also a unique non-zero prime ideal. ⁶ All ideals are $\langle 0 \rangle$ and $\langle t^n \rangle$. Then Spec $k[[t]] = \{\langle 0 \rangle, \langle t \rangle\}$. Similarly, $\langle 0 \rangle$ is not a closed point, since its closure is Spec k[[t]], and $\langle t \rangle$ is a closed point.

 $^{^6{\}rm Exercise}$

8 Determinants

Let R be a commutative ring with unity. Let A be a matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ for $a_{ij} \in R$. Then

Lecture 10 Thursday 24/10/19

$$\det A = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n} \operatorname{sgn} \pi \cdot a_{1\pi(1)} \cdot \dots \cdot a_{n\pi(n)} \in R,$$

where $\operatorname{sgn}: \mathcal{S}_n \to \{\pm 1\}$. Let

 $M_{ij} = \det(A \text{ without } j\text{-th column and } i\text{-th row}) \in R.$

Then

$$(-1)^{j+1} a_{i1} \mathbf{M}_{j1} + \dots + (-1)^{j+n} a_{in} \mathbf{M}_{jn} = \begin{cases} \det A & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}.$$

Define the **adjoint matrix** of A as the $n \times n$ matrix A^{\vee} with entries $(A^{\vee})_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} M_{ji}$, so

$$A^{\vee} = \left(\left(-1 \right)^{i+j} \mathcal{M}_{ij} \right)^{\mathsf{T}}.$$

Then $A \cdot A^{\vee} = A^{\vee} \cdot A = \det A \cdot I_n$, where I_n is the identity matrix.

9 Modules

Definition 9.1. Let A be a commutative ring with unity. An A-module M is an abelian group with an additional structure $A \times M \to M$ such that

$$\lambda\left(x+y\right)=\lambda x+\lambda y, \qquad \left(\mu+\lambda\right)x=\mu x+\lambda x, \qquad \mu\left(\lambda x\right)=\left(\mu\lambda\right)x, \qquad 1x=x, \qquad \lambda,\mu\in R, \qquad x,y\in M.$$

Example 9.2.

- If R is a field, then an R-module is the same as a vector space.
- If $R = \mathbb{Z}$, then an R-module is the same as an abelian group. Remark that if G is an abelian group then $n \cdot g = g + \cdots + g$.
- \bullet If R is any ring, then subgroups of R that are R-modules are the same as ideals.
- If k is a field, then k[x]-modules are vector spaces V over k equipped with a linear transformation $L:V\to V$. Here x acts on V as L.

Definition 9.3. If M and N are R-modules, then a **homomorphism of** R-modules $f: M \to N$ is a homomorphism of abelian groups such that f(rx) = rf(x) for all $x \in M$ and all $r \in R$.

Definition 9.4. Let $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,N)$ be the set of R-module homomorphisms $M \to N$.

This is an abelian group. Moreover, it is an R-module. If $r \in R$ and $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N)$ then $r \cdot f$ sends $x \in M$ to $rf(x) \in N$. Warning that if R is not commutative $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is just an abelian group.

Definition 9.5. Let M and N be submodules of an R-module. Define

$$(N:M) = \{r \in R \mid rM \subset N\}.$$

This is an ideal in R.

Example. The annihilator of M is

$$(0:M) = \{r \in R \mid rM = 0\} = \operatorname{Ann} M.$$

Definition 9.6. An *R*-module *M* is **finitely generated** if there are elements $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in M$ such that for any $m \in M$ there are $r_1, \ldots, r_n \in R$ such that $m = r_1x_1 + \cdots + r_nx_n$.

Example. There is a **free** finitely generated module

$$R^{\oplus n} = \{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mid t_i \in R\},\,$$

with coordinate-wise addition and multiplication.

Remark. Any finitely generated R-module is a quotient of a free finitely generated R-module. Indeed, define

$$f_i: R^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow M$$

 $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \longmapsto t_1 x_1 + \dots + t_n x_n$

Comment that JM is the smallest submodule of M containing all elements rm for $r \in J$ and $m \in M$, so

$$JM = \{ \text{finite sums } r_1 m_1 + \dots + r_k m_k \} \subset M.$$

Lemma 9.7. Let A be a ring. Let M be a finitely generated A-module. Let $J \subset A$ be an ideal such that JM = M. Then there is an $a \in J$ such that (1 - a)M = 0.

Proof. If M=0, then it is fine. Suppose $M\neq 0$ and m_1,\ldots,m_n are generators of M. Then $m_i\in M=JM$, so

$$m_1 = x_{11}m_1 + \dots + x_{1n}m_n$$

$$\vdots$$

$$m_n = x_{n1}m_1 + \dots + x_{nn}m_n$$

for $x_{ij} \in J$. Define $X = (x_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_n \end{pmatrix} = X \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_n \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad (\mathbf{I}_n - X) \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_n \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Consider the adjoint matrix $(I_n - X)^{\vee}$. Then

$$(\mathbf{I}_n - X)^{\vee} (\mathbf{I}_n - X) \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_n \end{pmatrix} = 0 \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \det(\mathbf{I}_n - X) \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_n \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

We have $\det(I_n - X) \in A$. Then $\det(I_n - X)$ is a product of diagonal entries $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_{ii})$, plus other terms but every non-diagonal term contains at least one factor in J, so is in J. Finally, $\det(I_n - X) = 1 - a$, where $a \in J$. Now, $(1 - a) m_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Hence (1 - a) M = 0.

Lecture 11 Tuesday 29/10/19

Remark. If M is not finitely generated then this is false, such as $A = \mathbb{Z}$ and $M = \mathbb{Q}$. If p is a prime, then $p\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}$. So for $J = \langle p \rangle$ we have JM = M. But no non-zero integer annihilates \mathbb{Q} , since \mathbb{Q} is not a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -module.

Corollary 9.8. Let R be a ring and let M be a finitely generated R-module. If $f: M \to M$ is a surjective R-module endomorphism, then f is an isomorphism.

Proof. Define A=R[t]. Let us equip M with the structure of an A-module. Define $t\cdot m=f(m)$ for $m\in M$. This makes sense because f(rx)=rf(x) for all $r\in R$. Then M is finitely generated also as an A-module. If f(M)=M, then tM=M. Take $J=\langle t\rangle\subset A$. By Lemma 9.7 there exists $a\in \langle t\rangle$ such that (1-a)M=0. Take $v\in M$ such that f(v)=0. Then tv=0, so av=0. Since (1-a)v=0, we conclude v=0.

Theorem 9.9 (Nakayama's lemma). Let A be a ring and let $J \subset A$ be an ideal contained in the Jacobson radical $\mathcal{J}(A)$. If M is a finitely generated A-module such that JM = M, then M = 0.

Proof. Lemma 9.7 implies that there exists $a \in J$ such that (1-a)M = 0. But $a \in \mathcal{J}(A)$, so 1-a is a unit in A. Then there exists $u \in A$ such that u(1-a) = 1. Hence M = u(1-a)M = 0.

Corollary 9.10. Let A be a ring and J an ideal contained in the Jacobson radical of A. Suppose M is an A-module, and $N \subset M$ is a submodule such that M/N is a finitely generated A-module. Then M = N + JM implies M = N.

Proof. Apply Nakayama's lemma to M/N. Indeed, we have M/N = J(M/N), so M/N = 0.

Recall a ring is local when it has a unique maximal ideal. The quotient is called the residue field.

Example. For k a field, $k[[t]] \supset \langle t \rangle$ and $k[[t_1, \ldots, t_n]] \supset \langle t_1, \ldots, t_n \rangle$ are local rings. ⁷

If A is a ring with a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} , and $S = A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$, then $S^{-1}A$ is a local ring.

Example. If $A = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathfrak{p} = \langle p \rangle$, then $\langle p \rangle \supset \mathbb{Z}_{\langle p \rangle} = \{a/b \mid (b,p) = 1\}$.

Theorem 9.11. Let R be a local ring with maximal ideal J and residue field k = R/J. Let M be a finitely generated R-module.

- 1. M/JM is a finite-dimensional vector space over k.
- 2. Let v_1, \ldots, v_n be a basis of M/JM as a vector space over k. Choose $\widetilde{v_1}, \ldots, \widetilde{v_n} \in M$ to be representatives of v_1, \ldots, v_n respectively. That is, $v_i = \widetilde{v_i} + JM$. Then $\widetilde{v_1}, \ldots, \widetilde{v_n}$ generate M as an R-module. Moreover, this is a minimal set of generators of M. That is, no proper subset generates M.
- 3. All minimal sets of generators of M are obtained in this way. In particular, all such sets have n elements.

Proof. J is the Jacobson radical of A.

- 1. Any quotient of a finitely generated R-module is a finitely generated R-module. Hence M/JM is a finitely generated R-module. But if $x \in J$ then $x \cdot M/JM = 0$. So R acts on M/JM via the quotient k = R/J. One says that the action of R descends to an action of R. Thus M/JM is a R-module, which is finitely generated. In other words, M/JM is a finite-dimensional R-vector space.
- 2. Consider $N = R\widetilde{v_1} + \dots R\widetilde{v_n} = \{r_1\widetilde{v_1} + \dots + r_n\widetilde{v_n} \mid r_i \in R\} \subset M$. Then M/JM is generated by v_1, \dots, v_n , hence M = N + JM, since M/JM = N/JN. By Corollary 9.10 we have M = N. If a proper subset of $\widetilde{v_1}, \dots, \widetilde{v_n}$ generates M, then a proper subset of v_1, \dots, v_n generates an n-dimensional vector space, a contradiction.

⁷Exercise