

M4P33 Algebraic Geometry

Lectured by Dr Genival Da Silva Jr
Typeset by David Kurniadi Angdinata

Spring 2019

Contents

0	Introduction	3
1	Affine varieties	4
2	Projective varieties	8
3	Morphisms	11
4	Rational maps	14
5	Nonsingular varieties	16
6	Intersections in projective space	17
7	The 27 lines on a cubic surface	21
8	Grassmannians	24
9	Divisors on curves	25
10	Elliptic curves	29

0 Introduction

I will not follow a particular book, but everything I am going to say will be contained in one of the following books.

Lecture 1
Friday
11/01/19

- I Shafarevich, Basic algebraic geometry, 1974
- R Hartshorne, Algebraic geometry, 1977
- J Harris, Algebraic geometry: a first course, 1992

1 Affine varieties

Notation 1.1.

- R is a commutative ring with unity.
- K is a field.
- $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is the ring of polynomials in n variables.
- \mathbb{A}^n is K^n as a set.

Definition 1.2. Let $S \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ then

$$Z(S) = \{x \in \mathbb{A}^n \mid \forall f \in S, f(x) = 0\}$$

is called the **zero locus** of S . Subsets of \mathbb{A}^n that are of this form are called **affine varieties**.

Remark 1.3. Some authors call **algebraic set** the object $Z(S)$. We will not follow this notation.

Example 1.4.

- Single points $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$. $p = Z(S)$ where $S = \{x_1 - p_1, \dots, x_n - p_n\}$.
- $\mathbb{A}^n = Z(0)$.
- $\emptyset = Z(1)$.
- Subspaces of $\mathbb{A}^n = K^n$.
- If $X = Z(f_1, \dots, f_n) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ and $Y = Z(g_1, \dots, g_m) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ are affine varieties then

$$X \times Y = Z(f_1, \dots, f_n, g_1, \dots, g_m) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{n+m}$$

is a variety.

Remark 1.5. If $S \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $I = \langle S \rangle$ then $Z(S) = Z(I)$.

Theorem 1.6 (Hilbert's basis theorem). *If R is Noetherian then $R[x]$ is Noetherian.*

Corollary 1.7. *Every ideal in $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is finitely generated.*

Definition 1.8. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ then

$$I(X) = \{f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid \forall x \in X, f(x) = 0\}.$$

Example 1.9. $I(p) = I((p_1, \dots, p_n)) = \langle x_1 - p_1, \dots, x_n - p_n \rangle$.

Goal is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{\text{affine varieties in } \mathbb{A}^n\} & \leftrightarrow & \{\text{ideals of } K[x_1, \dots, x_n]\} \\ X & \mapsto & I(X) \\ Z(J) & \leftarrow & J \end{array}.$$

$$Z(I(X)) = X \text{ but } I(Z(J)) \supseteq J.$$

Example 1.10. $J = \langle x^2 + 1 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}[x] = I(\emptyset) = I(Z(x^2 + 1))$.

Proposition 1.11.

- If $X \subseteq Y$ then $I(Y) \subseteq I(X)$. If $I \subseteq J$ then $Z(J) \subseteq Z(I)$.
- $X \subseteq Z(I(X))$ and $S \subseteq I(Z(S))$.
- If X is affine then $Z(J(X)) = X$. If $X = Z(S)$ then take Z of $S \subseteq I(Z(S))$.

Example 1.12. Let $J \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x]$. $J = \langle f \rangle$, where $f = (x - x_1)^{k_1} \dots (x - x_n)^{k_n}$.

Definition 1.13. Let $I \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be an ideal.

$$I \subseteq \sqrt{I} = \{f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, f^n \in I\}.$$

If $\sqrt{I} = I$, we say I is a **radical ideal**. (Exercise: \sqrt{I} is an ideal, $I \subseteq \sqrt{I}$, and $\sqrt{I} = \bigcap_{p \text{ prime}} p$)

Theorem 1.14 (Hilbert's Nullstellensatz). $I(Z(J)) = \sqrt{J}$. If $\sqrt{J} = J$ then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{\text{affine varieties}\} & \leftrightarrow & \{\text{radical ideals}\} \\ X & \mapsto & I(X) \\ Z(J) & \leftarrow & J \end{array}.$$

Proposition 1.15.

1. $Z(S) \cup Z(T) = Z(ST)$.
2. $\bigcap_i Z(S_i) = Z(\bigcup_i S_i)$.
3. $Z(0) = \mathbb{A}^n$ and $Z(1) = \emptyset$.

Proof.

1. If $p \in Z(S) \cup Z(T)$, then $f(p) = 0$ for $f \in S$ or $f \in T$, so $f(p) = 0$ for $f \in ST$, where

$$ST = \left\{ \sum_{i \in I, I \text{ finite}} s_i t_i \right\} \subseteq S \cap T,$$

with equality if $S + T = R$. If $p \in Z(ST)$, there exists f such that $f(p) = 0$ for $f \in S$ or $f(p) = 0$ for $f \in T$, so $p \in Z(S) \cup Z(T)$.

□

Definition 1.16. The **Zariski topology** on \mathbb{A}^n is the topology generated by closed sets of the form $Z(S)$. By the above proposition this is a topology.

Example 1.17. \mathbb{A}^1 is not Hausdorff.

Definition 1.18. A topological space X is **irreducible** if it cannot be expressed as a union $X = A \cup B$, where A and B are proper and closed subsets. \emptyset is not considered irreducible.

Example 1.19. \mathbb{A}^1 .

Example 1.20. Any non-empty open set of irreducible X is dense and irreducible. Suppose A is open then $X = A^c \cup \overline{A}$. Since X is irreducible then $A^c = X$, a contradiction, or $\overline{A} = X$. Suppose A is reducible. Let $A = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$, where B and C are closed. Then $X = A^c \cup (B \cup C)$. $A^c = X$ or $B \cup C = X$, which are contradictions.

Example 1.21. If A is irreducible then \overline{A} is also irreducible. Suppose \overline{A} is not irreducible. $\overline{A} = (\overline{A} \cap B) \cup (\overline{A} \cap C)$. Take $\bigcap A$, $A = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$, a contradiction.

Definition 1.22. An affine variety is **irreducible** if it is irreducible as a topological space.

Remark 1.23. A **quasi-affine variety** is an open set of an affine variety.

Proposition 1.24.

1. $I(X \cup Y) = I(X) \cap I(Y)$.
2. $Z(I(X)) = \overline{X}$ for any $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$.

Proof.

1. If $f \in I(X \cup Y)$ then $f(p) = 0$ for all $p \in X \cup Y$, so $f \in I(X)$ and $f \in I(Y)$.
2. We know that $X \subseteq Z(I(X))$ hence $\overline{X} \subseteq Z(I(X))$. Now, let Y be a closed set containing X , that is $X \subseteq Y$. Then

$$I(Y) \subset I(X) \implies Z(I(X)) \subset Z(I(X)) = Y,$$

so any closed set containing Y contains $Z(I(X))$.

□

Proposition 1.25. X is irreducible if and only if $I(X)$ is prime.

Proof.

\implies Let $f, g \in I(X)$.

$$X \subseteq Z(fg) = Z(f) \cup Z(g) \implies X = (X \cap Z(f)) \cup (X \cap Z(g)).$$

$Z(f) \subseteq X$, so $f \in I(X)$, or $Z(g) \subseteq X$, so $g \in I(X)$.

\Leftarrow Exercise.

□

Example 1.26. \mathbb{A}^n .

Definition 1.27. If $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$, the **coordinate ring** of X is

$$A(X) = \frac{A}{I(X)} = \frac{K[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{I(X)}.$$

Example 1.28. Let $f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be irreducible. If $n = 3$, $Z(f)$ is a surface. If $n = 2$, $Z(f)$ is a curve.

Example 1.29. Let $y - x^2 \in K[x, y]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(X) &= \frac{K[x, y]}{\langle y - x^2 \rangle} \cong K[x, x^2] \rightarrow K[x] \\ \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} x^i x^{2j} &= \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} x^{2j+i} \mapsto \sum_n b_n x^n \end{aligned}$$

Example 1.30. Let $xy - 1 \in K[x, y]$. Then

$$A(X) = \frac{K[x, y]}{\langle xy - 1 \rangle} \cong K\left[x, \frac{1}{x}\right].$$

$A(X)$ cannot be $K[x]$.

Definition 1.31. A **Noetherian** topological space X is a topological space such that if

$$C_1 \supseteq C_2 \supseteq \dots$$

is a decreasing chain of closed sets then there is a k such that $C_k = C_{k+1} = \dots$.

Example 1.32. \mathbb{A}^n . Recall that if $A \subset B$ then $I(B) \subset I(A)$. So using the definition above,

$$I(C_1) \subseteq I(C_2) \subseteq \dots$$

Since $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is Noetherian then $I(C_i)$ stabilises. So $I(C_k) = I(C_{k+1}) = \dots$, but taking Z , we recover C_k so C_k stabilises as well.

Lecture 3
Tuesday
15/01/19

Theorem 1.33. *If X is Noetherian then any non-empty closed subset can be expressed as a finite union of irreducible closed sets $X = Y_1 \cup \cdots \cup Y_n$. Moreover, if we require that $Y_i \subseteq Y_j$ then this expression is unique.*

Proof. Let C be the collection of closed sets that do not satisfy that property. Let Y be a minimum closed inside C , in particular Y is reducible, so $Y = Y' \cup Y''$, for Y', Y'' closed. Hence $Y', Y'' \notin C$, so they can be expressed as a finite union of irreducibles, a contradiction. If $Y_i \not\subseteq Y_j$, then suppose

$$Y_1 \cup \cdots \cup Y_n = X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_n.$$

Then $Y_1 \subset X_1 \cup X_n$, in particular $Y_1 = \bigcup_j (Y_1 \cap X_j)$, so there is a j such that $Y_1 \cap X_j = Y_1$, so $Y_1 \subset X_j$. We can assume $j = 1$ and repeat the same argument to find that $Y_1 = X_1$, so consider $\overline{Y \setminus Y_1} = Y_2 \cup \cdots \cup Y_n$. But

$$Y_2 \cup \cdots \cup Y_n = X_2 \cup \cdots \cup X_n,$$

and the result follows by induction. \square

Corollary 1.34. *Any affine variety in \mathbb{A}^n can be expressed equally as a union of irreducible algebraic varieties.*

Definition 1.35. The **dimension** of a topological space is the supremum of n where

$$Y_0 \subset \cdots \subset Y_n$$

is a sequence of irreducible closed sets.

Example 1.36. Dimension of \mathbb{A}^1 is one.

Definition 1.37. Let A be a ring and \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal, then the **height** of \mathfrak{p} is the supremum of n where

$$\mathfrak{p}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{p}_n \subset \mathfrak{p},$$

where \mathfrak{p}_i are prime. The **Krull dimension** of A is

$$\sup_{\mathfrak{p} \text{ prime}} \text{height}(\mathfrak{p}).$$

Proposition 1.38. *If Y is affine then $\dim(Y) = \dim(A(Y))$.*

Proof. Let C be a closed and irreducible set $C \subset Y$, then $I(C) \supset I(Y)$, then $I(C)$ is prime. \square

Proposition 1.39. *Let K be a field and B be an integral domain which is a finitely generated algebra, then*

- $\dim(B)$ is the transcendence degree of $K(B)$ over K , and
- if $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq B$ is prime, then

$$\text{height}(\mathfrak{p}) + \dim\left(\frac{B}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) = \dim(B).$$

Proof. Atiyah Macdonald chapter 11. \square

Proposition 1.40 (Krull Hauptidealsatz). *Let A be a Noetherian ring and $f \in A$ not a zero divisor and not a unit. Then every prime ideal containing f has height one.*

Proof. Atiyah Macdonald page 122. \square

Proposition 1.41. *A Noetherian integral domain A is a UFD if and only if every prime ideal I of height one is principal.*

Theorem 1.42. *An irreducible variety $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ has dimension $n - 1$ if and only if $Y = Z(f)$ where f is an irreducible polynomial in $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.*

Proof.

\implies If Y has dimension $n - 1$ then $I(Y)$ has height one, by the above proposition $I(Y) = \langle f \rangle$, so $Y = Z(f)$.

\impliedby Let $I = I(Y)$ then I is prime, by the Krull Hauptidealsatz we have that I has height one, so $\dim(Y) = n - 1$. \square

Lecture 4
Friday
18/01/19

2 Projective varieties

Definition 2.1. The **projective space** \mathbb{P}^n is defined as

$$\mathbb{P}^n = \frac{\mathbb{A}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}}{\{x \sim \lambda x \mid \lambda \in K^*\}}.$$

A point in \mathbb{P}^n is written as $[a_0 : \dots : a_n] = \overline{(a_0, \dots, a_n)}$.

Definition 2.2. A **graded ring** R is a ring together with a decomposition

$$R = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} R_d,$$

where R_d are abelian groups and $R_k \cdot R_t \subseteq R_{k+t}$.

Example 2.3. $K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ is a graded ring, where R_d are monomials of degree d .

Notation 2.4. Let A be $K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ without the grading and S be $K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ as a graded ring.

Definition 2.5. An ideal $I \subseteq S$ is **homogeneous** if

$$I = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} (I \cap S_d).$$

If $f = f_0 + \dots + f_d$, then $f_i \in I$.

Remark 2.6. I is homogeneous if and only if $I = \langle f_0, \dots, f_n \rangle$, where f_i are homogeneous.

Lemma 2.7. If I, J are homogeneous then

1. $I + J$ is homogeneous,
2. IJ is homogeneous,
3. $I \cap J$ is homogeneous, and
4. \sqrt{I} is homogeneous.

Proof.

4. Let $f = f_0 + \dots + f_d \in \sqrt{I}$ then

$$f^n = (f_0 + \dots + f_d)^n = f_d^n + \dots \in I \quad \implies \quad f_d^n \in I \quad \implies \quad f_d \in \sqrt{I},$$

so $f - f_d \in \sqrt{I}$, by induction $f_i \in \sqrt{I}$.

□

Definition 2.8. If f is homogeneous of degree k then

$$f(\lambda \cdot x) = \lambda^k \cdot f(x),$$

in particular $f(x) = 0$ if and only if $f(\lambda \cdot x) = 0$, so it makes sense to define

$$Z(f) = \{x \in \mathbb{P}^n \mid f(x) = 0\}.$$

More generally, if $I \subseteq S$ is a homogeneous ideal then

$$Z(I) = \{x \in \mathbb{P}^n \mid f \in I \text{ homogeneous, } f(x) = 0\}.$$

Definition 2.9. A subset $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ is called a **projective variety** if $X = Z(T)$ for some homogeneous ideal T .

Proposition 2.10.

- $Z(S) \cup Z(T) = Z(ST)$.
- $\bigcap_{\alpha} Z(S_{\alpha}) = Z(\bigcup_{\alpha} S_{\alpha})$.
- $Z(0) = \mathbb{P}^n$ and $Z(1) = \emptyset$.

Definition 2.11. We define the **Zariski topology** on \mathbb{P}^n by taking closed sets to be $Z(T)$ for some T .

Definition 2.12.

- A projective variety is **irreducible** if it is an irreducible topological space.
- An open subset of a projective variety is called a **quasi-projective variety**.
- The **dimension** of a projective variety is its dimension as a topological space.
- If $T \subseteq S$ then

$$I(T) = \langle f \in S \mid f \text{ homogeneous, } \forall p \in T, f(p) = 0 \rangle.$$

Definition 2.13. If X is a projective variety the **homogeneous coordinate ring** is

$$S(X) = \frac{S}{I(X)}.$$

Definition 2.14. If $f \in S$ is linear and homogeneous, we call $Z(f)$ a **hyperplane**.

Proposition 2.15.

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i : U_i = \mathbb{P}^n \setminus Z(x_i) &\rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n \\ [x_0 : \dots : x_n] &\mapsto \left(\frac{x_0}{x_i}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_i} \right) \end{aligned}$$

is a homeomorphism in the Zariski topology.

Proof. Let $\phi = \phi_0$ and $U = U_0$, let $C \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ be a closed set then we claim that $\phi^{-1}(C)$ is closed. Indeed, let $C = Z(S)$, then $\phi^{-1}(C) = Z(S') \cup U$ where

$$S' = \left\{ x_0^d \cdot f\left(\frac{x_1}{x_0}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_0}\right) \mid f \in S \right\}.$$

Similarly, let $A \subseteq U$ is closed, we claim that $\phi(A)$ is closed. Let \overline{A} be its closure in \mathbb{P}^n , then $\overline{A} = Z(B)$, so $\phi(A) = Z(B')$ where

$$B' = \{f(1, x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid f \in B\}.$$

So we conclude that ϕ is a homeomorphism. □

Note. $\langle 1 \rangle = S$ and $\langle x_0, \dots, x_n \rangle \subsetneq S$ map to \emptyset under Z . So in order to have a one-to-one correspondence we need the following.

- $Z(I) = \emptyset$ if and only if $\sqrt{I} \supseteq \langle x_0, \dots, x_n \rangle$. If we consider $Z(I)$ in \mathbb{A}^{n+1} , note that $x \in Z(I)$ if and only if $\lambda x \in Z(I)$. So $Z(I) = \emptyset$ if and only if $Z(I) \subseteq \{0\}$. So $\sqrt{I} \supseteq \langle x_0, \dots, x_n \rangle$.
- $I(Z(J)) = \sqrt{J}$ if $Z(J) \neq \emptyset$, since $I(Z(J)) = I(Z_a(J)) = \sqrt{J}$.

Corollary 2.16.

$$\begin{aligned} \{ \text{projective varieties} \} &\longleftrightarrow \{ \text{homogeneous radical ideals not } \langle x_0, \dots, x_n \rangle \}, \\ \{ \text{irreducible projective varieties} \} &\longleftrightarrow \{ \text{homogeneous radical prime ideals} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 2.17. \mathbb{P}^n is irreducible.

Lecture 5
Monday
21/01/19

Proposition 2.18.

- \mathbb{P}^n is Noetherian, that is satisfies the descending chain condition.
- Every projective variety can be written as a unique union of irreducible projective varieties. We call **irreducible components** the irreducible varieties in that decomposition.

Theorem 2.19. Let $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be an irreducible projective variety. Then

$$\dim(S(Y)) = \dim(Y) + 1.$$

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i : U = \mathbb{P}^n \setminus Z(x_i) &\rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n \\ [x_0 : \dots : x_n] &\mapsto \left(\frac{x_0}{x_i}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_i} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and $Y_i = \phi_i(Y \cap U_i)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} K[x_1, \dots, x_n] &\rightarrow (S(Y)_{x_i})_0 \\ f(x_1, \dots, x_n) &\mapsto \frac{x_i^{\partial f} f\left(\frac{x_1}{x_i}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_i}\right)}{x_i^{\partial f}}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$A(Y_i) = \frac{K[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{I(Y_i)} \cong (S(Y)_{x_i})_0,$$

moreover $S(Y)_{x_i} \cong A(Y_i)[x_i, x_i^{-1}]$. So

$$\dim(S(Y)) = \dim(S(Y)_{x_i}) = \dim(A(Y_i)[x_i, x_i^{-1}]) = \text{tra}(K(Y_i)(x_i)) = \dim(Y_i) + 1.$$

Therefore if $Y_i \neq \emptyset$, $\dim(Y_i) = \dim(S(Y)) - 1$ for all i , but since U_i cover Y we have $\dim(Y) = \max\{\dim(Y_i)\}$. (Exercise: if $\{U_n\}_n$ is a finite cover of a topological space Y then $\dim(Y) = \max\{\dim(Y_i)\}$) Since $\dim(Y_i)$ are the same if $Y_i \neq \emptyset$, we conclude that $\dim(Y) = \dim(Y_d)$ for some d . \square

Proposition 2.20. Every Noetherian topological space is compact.

Proof. Let X be a Noetherian topological space and let $\{U_n\}$ be a cover of X . So consider C , the collection of the union of finitely many open sets of $\{U_n\}$. Since X is Noetherian C has a maximum element, say $U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n$. If $U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n \subsetneq X$ then there is $x \in X$ not in the union, and we can find another $U_{\alpha_0} \ni x$. But then

$$U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n \cup U_{\alpha_0} \supsetneq U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n,$$

a contradiction. So $X = U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n$. \square

Corollary 2.21. \mathbb{P}^n , \mathbb{A}^n , affine varieties, and projective varieties are all compact in the Zariski topology.

Definition 2.22. A variety X is **complete** if for any other variety Y , the projection $X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is closed.

Example 2.23. \mathbb{P}^n is complete. \mathbb{A}^n is not complete.

Lecture 6
Tuesday
22/01/19

3 Morphisms

Definition 3.1. Suppose Y is a quasi-affine variety and $p \in Y$. We say that a function $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ is **regular** at p if there are $g, h \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $U \ni p$ such that $f = g/h$ in U with $h \neq 0$. A function is **regular** if it is regular for every $p \in Y$.

Example 3.2. Local is not global. Let $X = Z(x_1x_4 - x_2x_3) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^4$ and $U = X \setminus Z(x_2, x_4)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \quad U &\rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \\ (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) &\mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{x_1}{x_2} & x_2 \neq 0 \\ \frac{x_3}{x_4} & x_4 \neq 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

is a regular function.

Definition 3.3. Let Y be a quasi-projective variety, $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$, and $p \in Y$. We say that f is **regular** at p if there are g, h homogeneous polynomials of the same degree and an open set $U \ni p$ such that $f = g/h$ on U and $h \neq 0$.

Lemma 3.4. A regular function is continuous.

Proof. It is enough to show that $f^{-1}(p)$ is closed. Since f is regular $f = g/h$ on some neighbourhood U , then $f^{-1}(p) \cap U = Z(g - ph) \cap U$. \square

Remark 3.5. If X is irreducible then $f = g$ on $U \subseteq X$, then $f = g$ on X . Because the set where $f - g = 0$ is closed and dense.

Definition 3.6. We will use the term **variety** to denote an affine, quasi-affine, projective, or quasi-projective variety.

Definition 3.7. A **morphism** is $f : X \rightarrow Y$ if f is continuous and for every $U \subseteq Y$ and every function $g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ the composition $g \circ f$ is regular.

Remark 3.8.

- Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ then the composition $g \circ f$ of these two morphisms is the composition of f and g as functions.
- A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an **isomorphism** if there is a morphism $g : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $f \circ g = id$ and $g \circ f = id$.

Definition 3.9. Let X be a variety. Denote the set of all regular functions of X by $\mathcal{O}(X)$. If $p \in X$ the **local ring** at $p \in X$ is

$$\mathcal{O}_p = \varinjlim_{U \ni p} (\mathcal{O}(U)).$$

An element of \mathcal{O}_p is a pair (U, f) , where $p \in U$ and f is regular at p , moreover $(U, f) \sim (V, g)$ if $f = g$ on $U \cap V$.

Definition 3.10. Let Y be an irreducible variety, the **function field** $K(Y)$ of Y is the field whose elements are pairs (U, f) where U is open and f is regular on U , and

$$(U, f) + (V, g) = (U \cap V, f + g).$$

Remark 3.11.

- $K(Y)$ is indeed a field for if $(U, f) \neq 0$ then $U^{-1} = U \setminus Z(f)$, so $(U^{-1}, 1/f)$ is the inverse to (U, f) .
- $K(Y)$ is the quotient field of $A(Y)$ or $S(Y)$.
- $\mathcal{O}(Y) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_p \hookrightarrow K(Y)$ for all $p \in Y$.

Theorem 3.12. *If $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ is an irreducible affine variety with coordinate ring $A(Y)$ then*

1. $\mathcal{O}(Y) = A(Y)$,
2. for all $p \in Y$, if $\mathfrak{m}_p = \{f \in A(Y) \mid f(p) = 0\}$ then we have a one-to-one correspondence

$$\{ \text{points of } Y \} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \{ \text{maximal ideals of } A(Y) \},$$

3. for all $p \in Y$, $\mathcal{O}_p \cong A(Y)_{\mathfrak{m}_p}$ and $\dim(\mathcal{O}_p) = \dim(Y)$, and
4. $K(Y)$ is the quotient field of $A(Y)$.

Proof.

1. Notice that there is a natural map $A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(Y)$ with kernel $I(Y)$, so there is an injection $A(Y) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(Y)$, that is

$$A(Y) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(Y) \subseteq \bigcap_{p \in Y} \mathcal{O}_p = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m}_p} A(Y)_{\mathfrak{m}_p} = A(Y),$$

so $A(Y) = \mathcal{O}(Y)$.

2. We know that points of Y correspond to maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_p \supseteq I(Y)$. Taking the quotient, we get maximal ideals inside $A(Y)$.
3. There is a natural map $A(Y)_{\mathfrak{m}_p} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_p$, which is injective by $\alpha : A(Y) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(Y)$, and it is surjective by definition of \mathcal{O}_p . Moreover,

$$\dim(\mathcal{O}_p) = \dim(A_p)_{\mathfrak{m}_p} = \text{height}(\mathfrak{m}_p) = \dim(Y).$$

4. The quotient field of $A(Y)$ is the quotient field of \mathcal{O}_p for all p , by 3, which is $K(Y)$ by definition.

□

Theorem 3.13. *Let $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be irreducible and projective. Then*

1. $\mathcal{O}(Y) = K$,
2. for all $p \in Y$, \mathfrak{m}_p as before, $\mathcal{O}_p \cong (S(Y)_{\mathfrak{m}_p})_0$, and
3. $K(Y) \cong (S(Y)_{(0)})_0$.

Proof. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i : U_i = \mathbb{P}^n \setminus Z(x_i) &\rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n \\ [x_0 : \cdots : x_n] &\mapsto \left(\frac{x_0}{x_i}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_i} \right) \end{aligned}$$

gives $\phi_i^* : A(Y_i) \cong (S(Y)_{x_i})_0$ and $Y_i = \phi_i(Y \cap U_i)$.

1. $K \subseteq \mathcal{O}(Y)$. Take $f \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$, so f is regular at each Y_i , but $\mathcal{O}(Y_i) \cong A(Y_i)$, also by ϕ_i^* , $A(Y_i) \cong (S(Y)_{x_i})_0$. Thus $f = g_i/x_i^{n_i}$, where $n_i = \deg(g_i)$, in particular $x_i^{n_i}f \in S(Y)_{n_i}$. Now, set $N \geq \sum_i n_i$, then $S(Y)_N \cdot f \subseteq S(Y)_N$, so we can iterate this process to obtain $S(Y)_N \cdot f^q \subseteq S(Y)_N$. In particular $x_0^N f \in S$, hence $S(Y)[f]$ is contained in $x_0^{-N} S(Y)$. Therefore f is integral since $S(Y)[f]$ is finitely generated. There are $a_i \in S$ such that

$$f^k + a_1 f^{k-1} + \cdots + a_k = 0.$$

Since f is homogeneous of degree zero we can take the constant terms of a_i and still have an equation, hence $a_i \in K$.

2. Let $p \in Y$, then $p \in Y_i$, by the previous theorem we know that $\mathcal{O}_p \cong A(Y_i)_{\mathfrak{m}_p}$. By ϕ_i^* , $\mathcal{O}_p \cong \left((S(Y)_{x_i})_{\mathfrak{m}_p} \right)_0$, but since $x_i \notin \mathfrak{m}_p$, hence $\mathcal{O}_p \cong \left(S(Y)_{\mathfrak{m}_p} \right)_0$.
3. Recall that the quotient field of Y is $K(Y) = K(Y_i)$, but $K(Y_i)$ is the quotient field of the coordinate ring $A(Y_i)$, by ϕ_i^* , this is $\left(S(Y)_{(0)} \right)_0$.

□

Lecture 8
Monday
28/01/19

Proposition 3.14. *Let X be an irreducible variety and Y be an irreducible affine variety, then we have a bijection*

$$\alpha : \text{Hom}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(A(Y), \mathcal{O}(X)),$$

the set of morphisms from X to Y to the set of K -algebra homomorphisms.

Proof. Given a morphism $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$, by definition of morphism, ϕ takes regular functions at Y to regular functions at X . So if $f \in A(Y)$ then $\phi \circ f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$. Conversely, let $h : A(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X)$ be a homomorphism of K -algebras. Recall that $A(Y) = A/I(Y) = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I(Y)$. Take $\overline{x_i} \in A(Y)$ and let $y_i = h(\overline{x_i}) \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : X &\rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n \\ p &\mapsto (y_1(p), \dots, y_n(p)) \end{aligned}$$

We claim that $\text{Im}(\psi) \subseteq Y$, but since $Y = Z(I(Y))$, it is enough to show that if $f \in I(Y)$ then $f(\psi(p)) = 0$.

$$f(\psi(p)) = f(y_1(p), \dots, y_n(p)) = f(h(\overline{x_1}(p)), \dots, h(\overline{x_n}(p))) = h(f(x_1, \dots, x_n))(p) = 0.$$

□

Lemma 3.15. *If X, Y are as before then $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism if and only if $\psi_i = x_i \circ \psi$ are regular functions.*

Proof. Suppose ψ_i are regular functions, then if p is a polynomial $p \circ \psi$ is regular, but since regular functions are quotients of polynomials, we conclude that $f \circ \psi$ is regular for any regular function f . □

Corollary 3.16. *If X, Y are affine then $X \cong Y$ if and only if $A(X) \cong A(Y)$.*

Corollary 3.17. *The correspondence $X \mapsto A(X)$ induces an arrow reversing correspondence between the category of affine varieties and the category of K -integral domains.*

Lecture 9 is a problem class.
Lecture 10 is a problem class.

Lecture 9
Tuesday
29/01/19
Lecture 10
Friday
01/02/19

4 Rational maps

Lecture 11
Monday
04/02/19

Definition 4.1. Let X, Y be varieties. A **rational map** $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$ is a pair (U, f_U) where $U \subseteq X$ is open and f_U is a morphism on U and we identify $(U, f_U) \sim (V, g_V)$ if $f_U = g_V$ on $U \cap V$.

Lemma 4.2. If X, Y are varieties and $\phi, \psi : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\phi = \psi$ on $U \subseteq X$, then $\phi = \psi$ on X .

Proof. We can assume that $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ for some n , and hence we reduce to the case where $Y = \mathbb{P}^n$. So the product is $\phi \times \psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n$. Let $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n = Z(x_i y_j - x_j y_i)$. Since $\phi = \psi$ on U , $(\phi \times \psi)(U) \subseteq \Delta$, so $(\phi \times \psi)(\overline{U}) = (\phi \times \psi)(X) \subseteq \Delta$. \square

Definition 4.3.

- A **dominant rational map** is a rational map $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$, such that $f_U(U)$ is dense for some, and hence all, (U, f_U) .
- A **birational map** is a dominant rational map $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$ such that f admits an inverse $g : Y \dashrightarrow X$.

Theorem 4.4. For any two varieties X, Y we have a correspondence

$$\{ \text{dominant rational maps } f : X \rightarrow Y \} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \{ K\text{-algebra homomorphisms } K(Y) \rightarrow K(X) \}.$$

Proof. Given a rational map $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$ and let $g \in K(Y)$. Let f_U be a representative of f then we have that if $(V, g) = g$, $g \circ f_U \in K(X)$. Since we can cover Y using affine varieties, we can assume Y is affine then $K(Y) = K(A(Y))$. If we start with a homomorphism $\theta : K(Y) \rightarrow K(X)$, let $y_1, \dots, y_n \in A(Y)$ be the generators of $A(Y)$, then $\theta(y_i) \in K(X)$. We can find U such that $\theta(y_i)$ are regular at U . Then this induces a map $A(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U)$. But then we have a morphism $U \rightarrow Y$, and moreover this is the inverse of the map we defined previously. \square

Definition 4.5.

- A field extension L/K is **separably generated** if there is a transcendence basis $\{x_i\}$ for L/K such that L is a separable algebraic extension of $K(\{x_i\})$.
- Primitive element theorem. If L/K is finite and separable then $L/K(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in L$. If L is infinite and β_1, \dots, β_n are generators for L/K then $\alpha = c_1 \beta_1 + \dots + c_n \beta_n$ for $c_i \in K$.
- If K is perfect, any finitely generated extension L/K is separably generated.

Theorem 4.6. Any variety X of dimension n is birational to a hypersurface $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$.

Proof. Since $K(X) = K$ is finitely generated, by the theorem above it is separably generated. So we can find a transcendence basis $x_1, \dots, x_n \in K$ such that $K/k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is finite and separable. By the primitive element theorem, $K = k(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$ for some y which is algebraic over $k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, so y is the solution of a polynomial equation f in $k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. In particular if we clear denominators we get a polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$ in \mathbb{A}^{n+1} , by taking $Z(f)$ we get a hypersurface and taking its projective closure we get a hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} . \square

Corollary 4.7. The following are equivalent.

- $F : X \dashrightarrow Y$ is birational.
- There exist U, V such that $F : U \rightarrow V$ is an isomorphism.
- $K(Y) \cong K(X)$.

Definition 4.8. The **blow-up** of \mathbb{A}^n at the origin 0 , denoted by $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}^n}$, is $Z(x_i y_j - x_j y_i) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathbb{A}^n} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \\ & \searrow \pi & \downarrow \pi_1 : (x, y) \mapsto x \\ & & \mathbb{A}^n \end{array}$$

Lecture 12
Tuesday
05/02/19

Proposition 4.9.

1. Let $P \in \mathbb{A}^n$, if $P \neq 0$ then $\pi^{-1}(P)$ is a single point, and $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}^n} \setminus \pi^{-1}(0) \cong \mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}$.
2. $\pi^{-1}(0) \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.
3. Points of $\pi^{-1}(0)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the set of lines through the origin.
4. $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}^n}$ is irreducible.

Proof.

1. If $P \neq 0$ then $y_j = x_j y_i / x_i$ and this is true for every j , so this gives a unique point in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} .
2. Obvious.
3. A line through the origin is given by $x_i = t a_i$ for $t \neq 0$. Taking π^{-1} of this line we get $x_i = t a_i$ and $y_i = t a_i = a_i$. In other words if $x \neq 0$, $\pi^{-1}(X) = (X, [X])$.
4. $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}^n} \setminus \pi^{-1}(0) \cong \mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}$ is dense and irreducible, by 3.

□

Definition 4.10. If $Y \ni 0$ is a closed subvariety of \mathbb{A}^n we define the **blow-up** of Y at 0 by $\widetilde{Y} = \overline{\pi^{-1}(Y \setminus \{0\})}$. More generally, we can blow-up any point by taking an affine change of coordinates. We also get a birational map $\pi : \widetilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$.

Example 4.11. Let $Y = Z(y^2 - x^2(x+1))$. The equations of the blow-up are

$$\begin{cases} y^2 = x^2(x+1) \\ xu = yt \end{cases},$$

where $[t : u] \in \mathbb{P}^1$. Suppose $t \neq 0$.

$$\begin{cases} y^2 = x^2(x+1) \\ y = xu \end{cases} \implies (xu)^2 = x^2(x+1) \implies x^2(u^2 - x - 1) = 0.$$

Example 4.12. Let $y^2 = x^3$.

$$\begin{cases} y^2 = x^3 \\ y = xu \end{cases} \implies (xu)^2 = x^3 \implies x^2(u^2 - x) = 0.$$

5 Nonsingular varieties

Definition 5.1. Let $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ be an affine variety of dimension r , and suppose $I(Y) = \langle f_1, \dots, f_k \rangle$. Y is **nonsingular** at $P \in Y$ if $\text{rank} \left(\frac{\partial f_i(P)}{\partial x_j} \right) = n - r$. Y is **nonsingular** if it is nonsingular at every $P \in Y$.

Lecture 13
Friday
08/02/19

Example 5.2. Let $x^2 = x^4 + y^4 \subseteq \mathbb{A}^2$, so $f = x^2 - x^4 - y^4$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 2x - 4x^3 = 0 &\implies x(1 - 2x^2) = 0 \implies x = 0 \text{ or } 2x^2 = 1, \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = -9y^3 = 0 &\implies y = 0 \implies x^2 = x^4 \implies x = 0 \text{ or } x^2 = 1, \end{aligned}$$

so $\text{Sing}(Y) = \{(0, 0)\}$.

Example 5.3. Let $Y = Z(f) = Z(y^2 - x^3)$.

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = -3x^2 = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 2y = 0,$$

so $\text{Sing}(Y) = \{(0, 0)\}$.

Definition 5.4. Let A be a Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , and residue field $A/\mathfrak{m} = K$. A is a **regular local ring** if $\dim_K(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2) = \dim(A)$.

Note. $(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)^*$ is called the **Zariski-tangent space**.

Claim that $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ is a K -vector space for $K = A/\mathfrak{m}$.

Theorem 5.5. Let $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ be an affine variety. Then Y is nonsingular at P if and only if \mathcal{O}_P is a regular local ring.

Proof. Let $P = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in Y$ with corresponding maximal ideal $I_P = \langle x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n \rangle$. We define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_P: A = K[x_1, \dots, x_n] &\rightarrow K^n \\ f &\mapsto \left(\frac{\partial f(P)}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f(P)}{\partial x_n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\theta((x_i - a_i)(x_j - a_j)) = 0$, hence $\theta_P(I_P^2) = 0$, in particular we have an isomorphism $I_P/I_P^2 \cong K^n$. By the isomorphism, if $\alpha = I(Y) = \langle f_1, \dots, f_t \rangle$ then the rank of $\frac{\partial f_i(P)}{\partial x_j}$ corresponds to the dimension of α under the isomorphism, which is $\bar{\alpha}$ in I_P/I_P^2 , $(\alpha + I_P)/I_P^2$. Now $\mathcal{O}_P = (A/\alpha)_{I_P}$. If $\mathfrak{m} = (I_P + \alpha)/\alpha$ then $\mathfrak{m}^2 = (I_P^2 + \alpha)/\alpha$, so $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 = I_P/(I_P^2 + \alpha)$. So

$$r = \dim \left(\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{\mathfrak{m}^2} \right) = \dim \left(\frac{I_P}{I_P^2 + \alpha} \right) = \dim \left(\frac{I_P}{I_P^2} \right) - \dim \left(\frac{I_P^2 + \alpha}{I_P^2} \right) = n - \text{rank} \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} \right).$$

So \mathcal{O}_P is regular if and only if $\text{rank} \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} \right) = n - r$. □

Definition 5.6. Let X be a variety. X is **nonsingular** at P if \mathcal{O}_P is a regular local ring.

Theorem 5.7. Let Y be a variety. Then $\text{Sing}(Y)$ is a proper and closed set. The set of nonsingular points of Y is open and dense.

Proof. Prove that $\text{Sing}(Y)$ is closed, first. We know that the rank of the Jacobian is at most $n - r$, therefore the singular points occurs when the rank is less than $n - r$, which is to say that $\text{Sing}(Y)$ is given by the vanishing of the $(n - r) \times (n - r)$ minors of $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}$ and $I(Y)$, hence is closed. To prove that it is proper $\text{Sing}(Y) \subsetneq Y$. □

Lecture 14 is a problem class.
Lecture 15 is a problem class.

Lecture 14
Monday
11/02/19
Lecture 15
Tuesday
12/02/19

6 Intersections in projective space

Lecture 16
Friday
15/02/19

Theorem 6.1. *Let $Y, Z \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ be varieties, with $\dim(Y) = r$ and $\dim(Z) = s$ then every irreducible component has dimension at least $r + s - n$.*

Proof. Suppose Z is a hypersurface. Then if $Y \subseteq Z$ the theorem holds, and if $Y \not\subseteq Z$ the theorem is true by homework 1. Let Z be general. Consider the diagonal in \mathbb{A}^{2n} given by the image of the isomorphism $P \mapsto P \times P$, then $Y \cap Z$ corresponds to $(Y \times Z) \cap \Delta$. Recall that

$$\Delta = Z(x_1 - y_1) \cap \cdots \cap Z(x_n - y_n),$$

by the first case n times we have that each irreducible component has dimension

$$(r + s) - n - 2n = r + s - n.$$

□

Theorem 6.2. *Let $Y, Z \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be varieties, where $\dim(Y) = r$ and $\dim(Z) = s$, then each irreducible component of $Y \cap Z$ has dimension at least $r + s - n$. Moreover, if $r + s - n \geq 0$ then $Y \cap Z \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Take the affine cone of Y and Z , $C(Y)$ and $C(Z)$, since $0 \in C(Y) \cap C(Z)$ we apply the previous theorem to get

$$(r + 1) + (s + 1) - (n + 1) = r + s - n + 1,$$

so therefore $Y \cap Z \neq \emptyset$. □

Definition 6.3. A **numerical polynomial** is a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that $f(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $n \gg 0$, for n sufficiently large.

Theorem 6.4.

1. If $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ is a numerical polynomial then there are $c_0, \dots, c_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$f(x) = c_0 \binom{x}{r} + \cdots + c_r \binom{x}{0}.$$

2. If for $n \gg 0$ $\Delta f = f(n+1) - f(n) = q$ and q is a numerical polynomial, then there exists p such that for $n \gg 0$ $p(n) = f(n)$.

Proof.

1. By linear algebra we can find $c_0, \dots, c_r \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$f(x) = c_0 \binom{x}{r} + \cdots + c_r \binom{x}{0},$$

then

$$\Delta f = c_0 \binom{x}{r-1} + \cdots + c_{r-1} \binom{x}{0}.$$

By induction on the degree of f we have that $c_0, \dots, c_{r-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$, but since $f(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $n \gg 0$ then $c_r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

2. If

$$q = c_0 \binom{x}{r} + \cdots + c_r \binom{x}{0},$$

set

$$p = c_0 \binom{x}{r+1} + \cdots + c_r \binom{x}{1}.$$

$\Delta p = q$ gives $\Delta(f - p)(n) = 0$.

□

Definition 6.5.

- Let S be a graded ring. A graded S -module is a module M with a decomposition

$$M = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} M_d,$$

such that $S_k \cdot M_d \subseteq M_{d+k}$.

- Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. The twisted module $M(l)$ is the graded S -module given by $M(l)_k = M_{l+k}$.
- $\text{Ann}(M) = \{x \in S \mid xM = 0\}$.

Theorem 6.6. Let M be a finitely generated graded S -module. Then there is a filtration

$$0 = M^0 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M^r = M,$$

such that $M^i/M^{i-1} \cong (S/\mathfrak{p}_i)(l_i)$ for some \mathfrak{p}_i prime ideals and $l_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that

- prime $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \text{Ann}(M)$ if and only if $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i$, that is \mathfrak{p}_i are minimal primes of M , and
- for each minimal prime \mathfrak{p} of M the number of times \mathfrak{p} appears in the set $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r\}$ is $\text{len}_{S_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$.

Lecture 17
Monday
18/02/19

Definition 6.7. Let \mathfrak{p} be a minimal prime of a graded S -module M . Then the **multiplicity** of M at \mathfrak{p} is $\text{len}_{S_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$.

Definition 6.8. Let M be a graded $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ -module. The **Hilbert function** of M is $\phi_M(l) = \dim_K(M_l)$.

Theorem 6.9. Let M be a graded $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ -module. Then for $n \gg 0$, there is a unique polynomial $P_M \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that $\phi_M(n) = P_M(n)$. P_M is called the **Hilbert polynomial**. It is a polynomial of degree $\dim(Z(\text{Ann}(M)))$.

Proof. By the previous theorem, M has a filtration

$$0 = M^0 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M^r = M,$$

such that M^i/M^{i-1} is of the form $(S/\mathfrak{p}_i)(l_i)$. Without loss of generality we can assume $M = S/\mathfrak{p}$, since l_i amounts to a translation $z \mapsto z + l_i$. If $\mathfrak{p} = \langle x_0, \dots, x_n \rangle$ then $S/\mathfrak{p} \cong K$, in particular $\phi_M(l_i) = 0$ if $l_i > 0$, but then take $P_M = 0$. We can assume $\dim(0) = -1$ and $\dim(\emptyset) = -1$. Suppose $\mathfrak{p} \neq \langle x_0, \dots, x_n \rangle$. Then there is $x_i \notin \mathfrak{p}$ and consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x_i} M \rightarrow \frac{M}{x_i M} = M'' \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking Hilbert function we get that

$$\phi_{M''}(l) = \phi_M(l) - \phi_M(l-1) = \Delta \phi_M(l-1).$$

Note that $\text{Ann}(M'') = \text{Ann}(M) \cup \{x_i\}$, so $Z(\text{Ann}(M'')) = Z(\mathfrak{p}) \cap Z(x_i)$. Note that

$$\dim(\text{Ann}(M'')) = \dim(Z(\mathfrak{p})) - 1,$$

so we apply induction over $\dim(\text{Ann}(M))$. Thus $\phi_{M''}$ agrees with a polynomial $P_{M''}(n)$ for $n \gg 0$ but then $\Delta \phi_M = P_{M''}$ for $n \gg 0$, so ϕ_M agrees with a polynomial of degree

$$\dim(\text{Ann}(M'')) + 1 = \dim(Z(\mathfrak{p})).$$

□

Definition 6.10. If $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ of dimension r , the **Hilbert polynomial** of Y is the Hilbert polynomial of $S(Y)$. The degree of Y is $r!$ times the leading coefficient of P_Y .

Theorem 6.11.

1. If $Y \neq \emptyset$, then $\deg(Y)$ is a positive integer.
2. $\deg(\mathbb{P}^n) = 1$.
3. If $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2$ with $\dim(Y_i) = r$ and $\dim(Y_1 \cap Y_2) < r$ then $\deg(Y) = \deg(Y_1) + \deg(Y_2)$.
4. If H is a hypersurface generated by f then $\deg(H) = \deg(f)$.

Proof.

1. Obvious.
- 2.

$$\phi_{\mathbb{P}^n}(z) = \binom{z+n}{n} = \frac{1}{n!}(z) \dots (n+1) = \frac{1}{n!}z^n + \dots$$

3. Let $I = I(Y)$, $I_1 = I(Y_1)$, and $I_2 = I(Y_2)$. Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{S}{I} \rightarrow \frac{S}{I_1} \oplus \frac{S}{I_2} \rightarrow \frac{S}{I_1 + I_2} \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking Hilbert function,

$$\phi_{\frac{S}{I_1 + I_2}} = \phi_{\frac{S}{I_1} \oplus \frac{S}{I_2}} - \phi_{\frac{S}{I}}.$$

Since $Z(I_1 + I_2) = Y_1 \cap Y_2$ and $\dim(Y_1 \cap Y_2) < r$ we have that $\phi_{S/I_1 \oplus S/I_2}$ and $\phi_{S/I}$ have the same leading coefficients, hence $\deg(Y) = \deg(Y_1) + \deg(Y_2)$.

4. Suppose $\deg(f) = d$ then consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S(-d) \xrightarrow{f} S \rightarrow \frac{S}{\langle f \rangle} \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking Hilbert functions,

$$\phi_{\frac{S}{\langle f \rangle}}(z) = \phi_S(z) - \phi_S(z-d) = \binom{z+n}{n} - \binom{z-d+n}{n} = \frac{d}{(n-1)!}z^{n-1} + \dots$$

□

Let $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be a projective variety and H a hypersurface then $Y \cap H = Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_k$, where each Z_j has dimension $r-1 = \dim(Y)-1$. Suppose $I(Z_j) = \mathfrak{p}_j$, then each \mathfrak{p}_j is a minimal prime of $S/(I_Y + I_H)$, then the **intersection multiplicity** $i(Y, H; Z_j)$ is the multiplicity of $S/(I_Y + I_H)$ at \mathfrak{p}_j .

Theorem 6.12. Let $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be a variety and H a hypersurface such that $Y \not\subseteq H$. If $Y \cap H = Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_k$ then

$$\sum_{j=1}^k i(Y, H; Z_j) \deg(Z_j) = \deg(Y) \deg(H).$$

Corollary 6.13 (Bézout's theorem). If $Y, H \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ are curves and $Y \cap H = \{P_1, \dots, P_k\}$ then

$$\sum_{j=1}^k i(Y, H; P_j) = \deg(Y) \deg(H).$$

Lecture 18
Tuesday
19/02/19

Proof. Suppose H is generated by f , where $\deg(f) = d$, and let $I = I(Y)$.

$$0 \rightarrow \left(\frac{S}{I}\right)(-d) \xrightarrow{f} \frac{S}{I} \rightarrow \frac{S}{I+I_H} \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking Hilbert polynomials we get

$$\phi_{\frac{S}{I+I_H}}(z) = \phi_{\frac{S}{I_Y}}(z) + \phi_{\frac{S}{I_Y}}(z-d).$$

Let $\deg(Y) = e$, then the right hand side is

$$\frac{e}{r!}z^r + \dots - \left(\frac{e}{r!}(z-d)^r + \dots\right) = \frac{de}{(r-1)!}z^{r-1} + \dots$$

Now on the left hand side, by the structure theorem, there is a filtration

$$0 = M^0 \subseteq \dots \subseteq M^s = M,$$

where $M = S/(I_Y + I_H)$. Then

$$P_M = \sum_{i=1}^s P_i = \sum_{i=1}^s P_{\frac{M^i}{M^{i-1}}},$$

where each $M^i/M^{i-1} = (S/\mathfrak{p}_i)(l_i)$. Since we want to compare the leading coefficient from this with the one from the right hand side, we only care about the P_i 's with degree $r-1$. So the $\mathfrak{p}_j = I(Z_j)$ and the leading term is

$$\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k i(Y, H; Z_j) \deg(Z_j)}{(r-1)!} + \dots$$

□

7 The 27 lines on a cubic surface

Lecture 19
Friday
22/02/19

Theorem 7.1. *Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ be a nonsingular cubic surface given by a polynomial $f(x, y, z, t)$. Then S has exactly 27 lines.*

We start with a lemma.

Lemma 7.2.

1. *Given a point $p \in S$ then there are at most three lines through p . If there are two or three they must be spheres.*
2. *Every plane π intersect S in*
 - *an irreducible cubic,*
 - *a conic and a line, or*
 - *three distinct lines.*

Proof.

1. $l \subseteq S$ gives $T_p(l) = l \subseteq T_p(S)$, by 2 $T_p(S)$ intersect S in at most three lines.
2. We have to prove that there are no multiple lines in the intersection $S \cap \pi$. Changing coordinates if necessary, we can suppose $\pi = \{f = 0\}$ and $l = \{z = 0\}$ is the line in the intersection.

$$f = z^2 \cdot a(x, y, z, t) + t \cdot b(x, y, z, t).$$

Claim that S is singular at $z = t = b = 0$.

$$\text{Jac}(f) = (z^2 a_x + t b_x \quad z^2 a_y + t b_y \quad 2za + z^2 a_z + t b_z \quad z^2 a_t + b + t b_t).$$

Since S is smooth there are no multiple lines.

□

Lemma 7.3. *S has a line.*

Proof.

- Let $P \in S$ and consider $T_P(S)$. Then $T_P(S)$ intersects S in a plane cubic $C = S \cap T_P(S)$ which is singular at P . Otherwise we are done. Then C has to be a nodal or a cuspidal curve. So assume that C is a cuspidal curve, and change coordinates if necessary, assume that $P = [0 : 0 : 1 : 0]$ and $T_P(S) = \{t = 0\}$. So the equation of f has the shape

$$f = x^2 z - y^3 + g t,$$

for some g of homogeneous degree two.

- We consider the point $P_\alpha = [1 : \alpha : \alpha^3 : 0] \in C \subset S$, consider the plane $x = 0$ and the line $P_\alpha Q$ in \mathbb{P}^3 passing through P_α and intersecting this plane $x = 0$ at $Q = (0, y, z, t)$. The line through P_α and Q is $\lambda P_\alpha + \mu Q$ and it lies inside S if

$$f(\lambda P_\alpha + \mu Q) = 0.$$

After expanding this we have

$$P_\alpha Q \subset S \iff A(y, z, t) = B(y, z, t) = C(y, z, t) = 0,$$

for A, B, C to be determined. There is a polynomial $R(\alpha)$ of degree 27 such that $R(\alpha) = 0$ if and only if $A = B = C$ have a common zero.

Lecture 20
Monday
25/02/19

- Let $f(x, y, z, t)$ be a polynomial, then the **polar form** of f is

$$f_1(x, y, z, t, x', y', z', t') = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \cdot x' + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \cdot y' + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \cdot z' + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \cdot t',$$

where $P = (x, y, z, t)$ and $Q = (x', y', z', t')$. Then

$$f(\lambda P + \mu Q) = \lambda^3 f(P) + \lambda^2 \mu f_1(P, Q) + \lambda \mu^2 f_1(Q, P) + \mu^3 f(Q).$$

The polar form of $f = x^2 z - y^3 + gt$ is

$$f_1 = 2xzx' - 3y^2y' + x^2z' + g(x, y, z, t)t' + tg_1,$$

where g_1 is the polar form of g . Recall $P_\alpha = (1, \alpha, \alpha^2, 0)$ and $Q = (0, y, z, t)$, so

$$\{f(\lambda P + \mu Q) = 0\} = PQ \subseteq S \iff f(P) = f_1(P, Q) = f_1(Q, P) = f(Q) = 0.$$

Thus

$$\begin{cases} A = z - 3\alpha^2 y + g(1, \alpha, \alpha^3, 0)t \\ B = -3\alpha y^2 + g_1(1, \alpha, \alpha^3, 0, 0, y, z, t)t \\ C = -y^3 + g(0, y, z, t)t \end{cases}.$$

- Note that

$$g(1, \alpha, \alpha^3, 0) = a^6 + \dots$$

If $l = 0$,

$$z = 3\alpha^2 y + g(P)t = 3\alpha^2 y + [a^6]t.$$

Applying this to $B = 0$ we have

$$B = -3\alpha y^2 + g_1(1, \alpha, \alpha^3, 0, 0, y, 3\alpha^2 y - [a^6]t, t)t = b_0 y^2 + b_1 y t + b_2 t^2,$$

where

$$b_0 = -3\alpha, \quad b_1 = 6\alpha^5 + \dots, \quad b_2 = -2\alpha^9 + \dots$$

Substituting z in C we get

$$C = c_0 y^3 + c_1 y^2 t + c_2 y t^2 + c_3 t^3,$$

where

$$c_0 = -1, \quad c_1 = 9\alpha^4 + \dots, \quad c_2 = -6\alpha^8 + \dots, \quad c_3 = \alpha^{12} + \dots$$

By Sylvester theorem B and C have a common zero if and only if

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} -3\alpha & 6\alpha^5 & -2\alpha^9 & & \\ & -3\alpha & 6\alpha^5 & -2\alpha^9 & \\ & & -3\alpha & 6\alpha^5 & -2\alpha^9 \\ -1 & 9\alpha^4 & -6\alpha^8 & \alpha^{12} & \\ & -1 & 9\alpha^4 & -6\alpha^8 & \alpha^{12} \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

if and only if

$$\alpha^{27} \det \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 6 & -2 & & \\ & -3 & 6 & -2 & \\ & & -3 & 6 & -2 \\ -1 & 9 & -6 & 1 & \\ & -1 & 9 & -6 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \alpha^{27} + \dots = 0.$$

This concludes the proof that S has a line because we know that the matrix has at least one root and for each root we get a value of α such that the line $P_\alpha Q \subseteq S$.

□

Proposition 7.4. *Let l be a line in S , then there are five pairs of lines (l_i, l'_i) intersecting l such that*

- $l \cup l_i \cup l'_i$ is coplanar, and
- $(l_i \cup l'_i) \cap (l_j \cup l'_j) = \emptyset$.

Proof. Given any plane $\Pi \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$, if Π contains a line l of S then $\Pi \cap S$ is l and a conic. l is given by $z = t = 0$.

$$f = Ax^2 + Bxy + Cy^2 + Dx + Ey + F, \quad A, B, C, D, E, F \in K[z, t].$$

We want to prove that there are exactly five planes Π_i such that $f|_{\Pi_i}$ is a singular conic. The conic given by f is singular if and only if

$$\Delta = \det \begin{pmatrix} A & B & D \\ B & C & E \\ D & E & F \end{pmatrix} = 4ACF + BDE - AE^2 - B^2F - CD^2 = 0.$$

Δ is four times the usual determinant if $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$. Notice that Δ is a form of degree five in two variables z and t . We know that l, l_i, l'_i could be of two types.

1. $l : (t = 0), l_1 : (x = 0), l'_1 : (y = 0)$.
2. $l : (t = 0), l_1 : (x = 0), l'_1 : (x = t)$.

Assume we are in case 1. Suppose $z = 0$ is a solution, then we have to prove that z^2 is not a solution. Then the equation of f is

$$f = txy + gz.$$

So $B = t + az$, where $a \in K$, then $\Delta \equiv -t^2F \pmod{z^2}$. If $F \neq 0$ then Δ is non-zero, but F is non-zero because F is nonsingular, thus there are no multiple roots. \square

Corollary 7.5. *S has at least two distinct lines.*

Proof. Just take l_1 and l_2 . \square

Lemma 7.6. *If $l_1, \dots, l_4 \in \mathbb{P}^3$ are disjoint lines then*

- *either all four lines lie on a smooth quadric and they have an infinite number of transversals,*
- *or the four lines do not lie in any quadric and they have either one or two common transversals.*

Proof. Any three lines lie in a smooth quadric Q . \square

Lemma 7.7.

- *Any line not the seventeen lines intersect exactly three of the lines l_1, \dots, l_5 .*
- *Conversely, given $ijk \subset \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ there is a line passing through l_i, l_j, l_k .*

8 Grassmannians

Lecture 22
Friday
01/03/19

Definition 8.1. Let V be a vector space of dimension n , then

$$G(k; n) = \{S \subseteq V \mid S \text{ subspace of dimension } k\}.$$

Remark 8.2. A point in $G(k; n)$ can be expressed as a basis $[v_1, \dots, v_k]$ for a k -dimensional space.

Theorem 8.3. The map

$$\begin{aligned} p: G(k; n) &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\bigwedge^k(V)\right) \cong \mathbb{P}^{C_k-1} \\ [v_1, \dots, v_k] &\mapsto [v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k] \end{aligned}$$

is an embedding. That is, image of p is closed.

Example 8.4. Claim that a line $L \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ gives a point in $G(2; 4) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^5$. $G(2; 4)$ is a quadric in \mathbb{P}^5 given by $Z(xs - yt + zw)$.

Proof. Now we will see the coordinates of the map p . Given a vector space V of dimension n and a vector subspace $S \subseteq V$ of dimension k , then let v_1, \dots, v_n be a basis for V , and s_1, \dots, s_k be a basis for S , then the basis for S can be seen as a $k \times n$ matrix

$$M_S = \begin{pmatrix} s_{11} & \dots & s_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ s_{k1} & \dots & s_{kn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we change the basis for S then the matrix above gets multiplied by an invertible $k \times k$ matrix. Then this $k \times k$ matrix acts on the $k \times k$ minors of M_S . Suppose the first minor K_1 is non-zero then choose the inverse of that minor as a base change so that M_S will have the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b_{11} & \dots & b_{1n-k} \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & 1 & b_{kn-k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This gives a correspondence between matrices M_S with first non-zero minor and $\mathbb{A}^{k(n-k)}$. Therefore, the image of p has dimension $k(n-k)$. \square

Similarly, we can define flag varieties. Given a vector space V and **flag**

$$0 \subseteq V_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq V_n \subseteq V$$

of vector subspaces of dimension V_i , the **flag variety** denoted by $F(V)$ is the set of flags on V .

9 Divisors on curves

Definition 9.1. A **Weil divisor** is a formal finite sum

$$D = \sum_i a_i Y_i, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

of algebraic subvarieties of codimension one.

Definition 9.2. More generally, an **algebraic cycle** is a formal sum of codimension p subvarieties

$$C = \sum_i a_i Y_i \subseteq X, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By integrating algebraic cycles, we get a map from the space of p -cycles into the cohomology of the variety. **Hodge conjecture** states that this defines a bijection.

Example 9.3. In case $\dim(X) = 1$, then a divisor is just a sum of points with multiplicity. If $K = \mathbb{C}$ and

$$f = \frac{(z-1)(z-2)}{(z-3)(z-4)},$$

then $(f) = \bar{1} + \bar{2} - \bar{3} - \bar{4}$.

Definition 9.4. Let $K = \mathbb{C}$ and $\dim(X) = 1$. Let $D, V \subseteq X$. Then **linear equivalence** is $D \sim V$ if and only if $D - V = (f)$ for $f \in K(X)$.

Definition 9.5. The **class group** is divisors modulo \sim .

Definition 9.6. Let $X \subseteq Y$ be a subvariety, then

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,Y} = \{(U, f) \mid f \text{ regular at } U, U \cap Y \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Let f be a rational function, then

$$(f) = \sum_Y v_Y(f) Y,$$

where v_Y is the valuation associated to $\mathcal{O}_{X,Y}$.

Definition 9.7. Let X be a smooth projective curve.

- A **divisor** D is a formal sum $K_1 p_1 + \cdots + K_n p_n$ of points, where $K_i \in \mathbb{Z}$,
- We say a divisor D is **effective** if $K_i \geq 0$.
- Given two divisors D, E , $D \geq E$ if and only if $D - E \geq 0$.
- The **degree** of D , denoted $\deg(D)$, is the sum $\sum_{i=1}^n K_i$.

Remark 9.8. Degree gives a map $\deg : \text{Div} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. The set of all divisors on X has a natural group structure given by addition, we denote this group by $\text{Div}(X)$.

Notation 9.9. The subgroup of **degree zero divisors** is denoted by $\text{Div}^0(X)$.

Definition 9.10.

- For a non-zero homogeneous polynomial $f \in S(X)$ the **divisor** of f is

$$(f) = \text{div}(f) = \sum_{a \in V_X(f)} \text{mult}_a(f) \cdot a \in \text{Div}(f).$$

By Bézout's theorem, $\deg(\text{div}(f)) = \deg(X) \deg(f)$.

Lecture 23
Monday
04/03/19

- If $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ not containing X , then the **intersection** of X and Y is

$$X \cdot Y = \sum_{a \in X \cap Y} \text{mult}_a(X, Y) \cdot a.$$

Example 9.11. Let $X = Z(xz - y^2)$ and $Y = Z(z)$ then $X \cap Y = \{[1 : 0 : 0]\}$, so

$$X \cdot Y = 2 \cdot [1 : 0 : 0].$$

Lemma 9.12. $\text{mult}_a(fg) = \text{mult}_a(f) + \text{mult}_a(g)$ gives $\text{div}(fg) = \text{div}(f) + \text{div}(g)$.

Proof. Recall that $\text{mult}_a(f) = \text{len}(\mathcal{O}_a / \langle f \rangle) = \dim_K(\mathcal{O}_a / \langle f \rangle)$. Thus there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}_a}{\langle f \rangle} \xrightarrow{g} \frac{\mathcal{O}_a}{\langle fg \rangle} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}_a}{\langle g \rangle} \rightarrow 0.$$

□

Definition 9.13. Let $f \in K^*(X)$, then if $f = g/h$ we define $\text{mult}_a(f) = \text{mult}_a(g) - \text{mult}_a(h)$.

If we take a different representation of f , say $f = g'/h'$, then $g/h = g'/h'$ gives $gh' = hg'$, so

$$\begin{cases} \text{mult}_a(gh') = \text{mult}_a(g) + \text{mult}_a(h') \\ \text{mult}_a(hg') = \text{mult}_a(h) + \text{mult}_a(g') \end{cases} \implies \text{mult}_a(g) - \text{mult}_a(h) = \text{mult}_a(g') - \text{mult}_a(h').$$

Analogously, we have

$$\text{div}(f) = \sum_{a \in Z(g) \cup Z(h)} \text{mult}_a(f) a = \text{div}(g) - \text{div}(h).$$

Example 9.14. Let $f = xy/(x - y)^2$ on \mathbb{P}^1 . Then

$$\text{div}(f) = [1 : 0] + [0 : 1] - 2[1 : 1].$$

Remark 9.15. Note that $\deg(\text{div}(f))$ is always zero because

$$\deg(\text{div}(f)) = \deg(\text{div}(g)) - \deg(\text{div}(h)) = (\deg(X))(\deg(g)) - (\deg(X))(\deg(h)) = 0.$$

Definition 9.16. A divisor on X is called principal if it is of the form $\text{div}(f)$ for some $f \in K^*(X)$. We denote the subgroup of all principal divisors by $\text{Prin}(X)$.

Definition 9.17. The quotient

$$\text{Pic}(X) = \frac{\text{Div}(X)}{\text{Prin}(X)}$$

is called the **Picard group** of X . Restricting to degree zero divisors, we get

$$\text{Pic}^0(X) = \frac{\text{Div}^0(X)}{\text{Prin}(X)},$$

where $\text{Div}^0(X)$ are the divisors of degree zero.

By the degree map $\deg : \text{Div}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\frac{\text{Pic}(X)}{\text{Pic}^0(X)} \cong \frac{\text{Div}(X)}{\text{Div}^0(X)} \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

Example 9.18. Every degree zero divisor is principal. Suppose

$$D = K_1 [a_{1,0} : a_{1,1}] + \cdots + K_n [a_{n,0} : a_{n,1}], \quad \sum_{i=1}^n K_i = 0,$$

then set

$$f [x_0 : x_1] = \prod_{i=1}^n (a_{i,1}x_0 - a_{i,0}x_1)^{K_i}.$$

So $\text{Pic}^0(\mathbb{P}^1) = \{0\}$ so $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^1) = \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 9.19 (Nakayama lemma). *If R is local with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} and M is finitely generated then $M = \mathfrak{m}M$ gives $M = 0$.*

Corollary 9.20. *If R is local with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} then $\langle t_1, \dots, t_n \rangle = \mathfrak{m}$ if and only if $\langle \overline{t_1}, \dots, \overline{t_n} \rangle = \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$.*

Proof. Let $N = \langle t_1, \dots, t_n \rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$. Suppose $\langle \overline{t_1}, \dots, \overline{t_n} \rangle = \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$. Then

$$N + \mathfrak{m}^2 = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{m}^2 \implies \frac{N + \mathfrak{m}^2}{N} = \frac{\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{m}^2}{N} \implies \mathfrak{m} \left(\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{N} \right) = \frac{\mathfrak{m}}{N} \implies \frac{\mathfrak{m}}{N} = 0,$$

so $\langle t_1, \dots, t_n \rangle = \mathfrak{m}$. □

Lemma 9.21. *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth curve, and $I_a \subseteq \mathcal{O}_a$ be the maximal ideal of the local ring \mathcal{O}_a .*

1. I_a is principal, so $I_a = \langle \phi_a \rangle$ with $\text{mult}_a(\phi_a) = 1$.
2. Any non-zero $\phi \in \mathcal{O}_a$ can be written as $c\phi_a^m$, where $m = \text{mult}_a(\phi)$.

Proof.

1. Since \mathcal{O}_a is regular, $\dim(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2) = 1$, in particular $\mathfrak{m} \neq \mathfrak{m}^2$ and we can find $\phi_a \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$, so $\langle \phi_a \rangle = \mathfrak{m}$. Thus any ideal has to be of the form $\langle \phi_a^k \rangle$ since $\langle \phi_a \rangle$ is maximal.
2. Take $\phi \in \mathcal{O}_a$ non-zero then $\langle \phi \rangle = \langle \phi_a^m \rangle$ gives $\phi = c\phi_a^m$, so

$$\text{mult}(\phi) = \text{mult}(c\phi_a^m) = \text{mult}(c) + \text{mult}(\phi_a^m) = m \cdot \text{mult}(\phi_a) = m.$$

□

Lemma 9.22. *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth curve, and $a \in X$.*

1. If $f, g \in S$, of same degree with $\text{mult}(X, f) \geq m$ and $\text{mult}(X, g) \geq m$ then
 - $\text{mult}_a(X, \lambda f + \mu g) \geq m$, and
 - there exist λ, μ such that $\text{mult}_a(X, \lambda f + \mu g) \geq m + 1$.
2. Let $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ be another curve and $m = \text{mult}_a(X, Y)$. If $f \in S$ with $\text{mult}_a(X, f) \geq m$ then $\text{mult}_a(Y, f) \geq m$.

Proof.

1. Write $f = u\phi_a^m$ and $g = v\phi_a^m$, so for any λ, μ we have $\lambda f + \mu g = (\lambda u + \mu v)\phi_a^m$ so $\text{mult}_a(\lambda f + \mu g) \geq m$, and we can find λ', μ' such that $\lambda' u + \mu' v = 0$ at a , so that $\text{mult}_a(\lambda f + \mu g) \geq m + 1$.
2. Let $I(X) = \langle g \rangle$, $I(Y) = \langle h \rangle$, and $k = \text{mult}_a(X, f) \geq m = \text{mult}_a(X, h)$. $f = u\phi_a^k$ and $h = v\phi_a^m$, so $\langle f \rangle \subset \langle h \rangle$. $\langle f, g \rangle \subset \langle g, h \rangle$, and we also have $\langle f, h \rangle \subset \langle g, h \rangle$, so $\text{mult}_a(f, h) \geq \text{mult}_a(g, h)$ gives $\text{mult}_a(Y, f) \geq \text{mult}_a(X, Y) = m$.

□

Lemma 9.23. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be smooth and $g, h \in S(X)$.*

1. *If $\text{div}(g) = \text{div}(h)$ then g, h are linearly dependent on $S(X)$.*
2. *If h is linear and $\text{div}(g) \geq \text{div}(h)$ then $h \mid g$ in $S(X)$.*

Proof.

1. By Bézout's theorem, $\deg(X) \cdot \deg(g) = \deg(X) \cdot \deg(h)$, so $\deg(g) = \deg(h)$. We know by the previous lemma that $\text{mult}_a(\lambda g + \mu h) \geq m_a$, and we can find $b \in X$ such that $\text{mult}_b(\lambda g + \mu h) \geq m_b + 1$. Summing up, we have

$$\sum_{a \in X} \text{mult}_a(\lambda g + \mu h) \geq d \deg(X) + 1,$$

but $\lambda g + \mu h$ has degree d , so this is a contradiction unless $\lambda g + \mu h = 0$, that is g, h are linearly dependent.

2. Exercise.

□

Proposition 9.24. *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ be a smooth cubic. Then for all distinct $a, b \in X$ we have $a - b \neq 0$, so there is no rational function ϕ such that $\text{div}(\phi) = a - b$.*

Proof. Assume that the result is false. Then there are $f, g \in S(X)$ of degree d such that

- there are points a_1, \dots, a_{3d-1} and $a \neq b$ on X such that

$$\text{div}(g) = a_1 + \dots + a_{3d-1} + a, \quad \text{div}(f) = a_1 + \dots + a_{3d-1} + b,$$

- among a_1, \dots, a_{3d-1} , there are at least $2d$ distinct points, since we can multiply f and g by a linear polynomial with distinct roots so the degree increases by one but the number of distinct points increases by three.

Pick a minimal d . If $d = 1$ then

$$\text{div}(g) = a_1 + a_2 + a, \quad \text{div}(f) = a_1 + a_2 + b,$$

so $a = b = \Psi(a_1, a_2)$, a contradiction. So $d > 1$. Consider $(\lambda f + \mu g)$, so

$$\text{div}(\lambda f + \mu g) \geq a_1 + \dots + a_{3d-1}.$$

We can choose λ, μ such that

$$\text{div}(\lambda f + \mu g) \geq a_1 + \dots + a_{3d-1} + c,$$

for any given c . By Bézout's theorem,

$$\text{div}(\lambda f + \mu g) = a_1 + \dots + a_{3d-1} + c.$$

So we can choose a and b , so that $a = \Psi(a_1, a_2)$ and $b = \Psi(a_1, a_3)$.

$$\text{div}(f) = (a_1 + a_2 + \Psi(a_1, a_2)) + a_3 + \dots + a_{3d-1}, \quad \text{div}(g) = (a_1 + a_3 + \Psi(a_1, a_3)) + a_2 + \dots + a_{3d-1}.$$

Set l, l' linear polynomials such that

$$\text{div}(l) = a_1 + a_2 + \Psi(a_1, a_2), \quad \text{div}(l') = a_1 + a_3 + \Psi(a_1, a_3).$$

The quotient by $\text{div}(l)$ and $\text{div}(l')$ gives a polynomial whose divisor is

$$a_4 + \dots + a_{3d-1} + a_3, \quad a_4 + \dots + a_{3d-1} + a_2,$$

but since we chose d minimum this gives a contradiction.

□

10 Elliptic curves

Definition 10.1. An **abelian variety** A is a smooth connected projective variety which has a group structure such that addition and taking inverse are regular functions.

Lecture 25
Friday
08/03/19

Definition 10.2. An **elliptic curve** is a one-dimensional abelian variety.

Proposition 10.3. Let X be an elliptic curve in \mathbb{P}^2 , and fix $a_0 \in X$, then there is a bijection

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi: X &\rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X) = \frac{\text{Div}^0(X)}{\text{Prin}(X)} \\ a &\mapsto a - a_0 \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

- Φ is injective by the last proposition.
- Φ is surjective. Suppose

$$D = a_1 + \cdots + a_m - b_1 - \cdots - b_m.$$

Consider the function l with $\text{div}(l) = a_1 + a_2 + \Psi(a_1, a_2)$, so

$$D = D + \text{div}(l) = \text{div}(l) - \Psi(a_1, a_2) + a_3 + \cdots$$

So we can assume $D = a_1 - b_1 = \Phi(\cdot)$.

$$a_0 + a_1 + \Psi(a_0, a_1) - b_1 - \Psi(a_0, a_1) - \Psi(b_1, \Psi(a_0, a_1)) = 0,$$

so

$$D = a_1 - b_1 = \Psi(b_1, \Psi(a_0, a_1)) - a_0 = \Phi(\Psi(b_1, \Psi(a_0, a_1))).$$

Thus Φ is surjective.

□

We know that $X \cong \text{Pic}^0(X)$, which is a group. But what is the expression for $g_1 + g_2$ for $g_1, g_2 \in X$? $\Phi(g_1 + g_2) = \Phi(g_1) + \Phi(g_2)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 + g_2 &= \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(g_1) + \Phi(g_2)) = \Phi^{-1}(g_1 - g_0 + g_2 - g_0) = \Phi^{-1}(\Psi(g_0, \Psi(g_1, g_2)) - g_0) \\ &= \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(\Psi(g_0, \Psi(g_1, g_2)))) = (\Phi^{-1} \circ \Phi)(\Psi(g_0, \Psi(g_1, g_2))) = \Psi(g_0, \Psi(g_1, g_2)). \end{aligned}$$