# M4P55 Commutative Algebra

Lectured by Prof Alexei Skorobogatov Typed by David Kurniadi Angdinata

Autumn 2019

Syllabus

## Contents

0	Introduction	3
1	Rings and ideals	4
2	Polynomials and formal power series	5
3	Zero-divisors, nilpotents, units	5
4	Prime ideals and maximal ideals	6
5	Nilradical and the Jacobson radical	7
6	Localisation of rings	9

## 0 Introduction

The prerequisites are

- groups,
- rings,
- fields, and
- $\bullet\,$  a solid linear algebra.

This course is good for

- algebraic geometry, and
- algebraic number theory.

The following are books.

- M Reid, Undergraduate commutative algebra, 1995
- M F Atiyah and I G Macdonald, Introduction to commutative algebra, 1969

The following is the structure of the course.

- Generalities on rings, such as ideals, and examples.
- Localisation of rings between a ring R and the fraction field K of R, such as  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
- Finiteness conditions of Noetherian rings and Artinian rings.
- Integral closure and normal rings, such as  $\mathbb{Z}[i] \subset \mathbb{Q}(i)$  and  $\mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{-3}\right] \subset \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}\right] \subset \mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{-3}\right)$ .
- Discrete valuation rings.
- Completion of rings with topology.

Lecture 1 Thursday 03/10/19

## 1 Rings and ideals

**Definition 1.1.** A commutative ring is a set  $(A, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$  such that

- 1. (A, +, 0) is an abelian group,
- 2. for all  $x, y, z \in A$ ,
  - $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$ ,
  - $\bullet \ x \cdot y = y \cdot x,$
  - $x \cdot (y+z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$ , and
- 3. for all  $x \in A$ ,  $x \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot x = x$ .

#### Remark 1.2.

- One is uniquely determined by 3, since  $1' = 1' \cdot 1 = 1$ .
- If 1 = 0, then  $0 = x \cdot 0 = x \cdot 1 = x$ , since

$$x \cdot 0 = x \cdot (0+0) = x \cdot 0 + x \cdot 0$$

so  $x \cdot 0 = 0$ . So every element is zero. Hence  $R = \{0\}$ .

**Definition 1.3.** A homomorphism of rings  $f: A \to B$  is a map such that for all  $x, y \in A$ ,

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y),$$
  $f(xy) = f(x) f(y),$   $f(1) = 1.$ 

**Example.** If  $A \subset B$  is closed under + and  $\cdot$ , and  $1 \in A$ , then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & B \\ x & \longmapsto & x \end{array}$$

is a homomorphism.

#### Remark 1.4.

- A composition of homomorphisms is a homomorphism.
- An **isomorphism** is a bijective homomorphism.

**Definition 1.5.** A subset I of a ring A is an **ideal** if I is a subgroup of the additive group (A, +) which is closed under multiplication by elements of A, so  $xI \subset I$  for any  $x \in A$ . Sometimes this is written as  $I \triangleleft A$ . In this case the **quotient group** A/I is naturally a ring, where (x + I)(y + I) is defined as xy + I.

**Proposition 1.6.** Let I be an ideal of a commutative ring A. Then there is a natural bijection between the ideals  $J \subset A$  such that  $I \subset J$  and the ideals of A/I.

Proof. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & A/I \\ x & \longmapsto & x+I \end{array}$$

be the natural surjective map. Send J to its image under this map.

**Definition 1.7.** If  $f: A \to B$  is a homomorphism, then

$$Ker f = \{x \in A \mid f(x) = 0\}$$

is an ideal in A, and

$$\operatorname{Im} f = f(A) \cong A / \operatorname{Ker} f \subset B.$$

## 2 Polynomials and formal power series

**Definition 2.1.** Let R be a ring. The **polynomial ring** with coefficients in R is

$$R[x] = \{a_0 + \dots + a_n x^n \mid a_i \in R, \ n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}.$$

The addition is coefficient-wise, and the multiplication is given by the formula

$$\left(\sum_{i\geq 0} a_i x^i\right) \left(\sum_{j\geq 0} b_j x^j\right) = \sum_{i\geq 0} \left(\sum_{j+k=i,\ j\geq 0,\ k\geq 0} a_j b_k\right) x^i,$$

where all but finitely many coefficients are zero. Define

$$R[x_1, \dots, x_n] = R[x_1] \dots [x_n] = \left\{ \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \ge 0} a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} \mid a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \in R \right\},\,$$

where all but finitely many coefficients  $a_{i_1,...,i_n}$  are equal to zero.

**Definition 2.2.** The ring of formal power series with coefficients in R is

$$R[[t]] = \{a_0 + a_1t + \dots \mid a_i \in R\}.$$

The addition is coefficient-wise, and the multiplication is given by the formula

$$\left(\sum_{i\geq 0} a_i t^i\right) \left(\sum_{j\geq 0} b_j t^j\right) = \sum_{i\geq 0} \left(\sum_{j+k=i, \ j\geq 0, \ k\geq 0} a_j b_k\right) x^i.$$

Define

$$R[[t_1,\ldots,t_n]] = R[[t_1]]\ldots[[t_n]].$$

In R[[t]] many products equal one unlike in R[t], for example  $(1-t)(1+t+\ldots)=1$ .

## 3 Zero-divisors, nilpotents, units

**Definition 3.1.** Let A be a ring. An element  $x \in A$  is a **zero-divisor** if  $x \neq 0$  but xy = 0 for some  $y \neq 0$  in A. A ring without zero-divisors is called an **integral domain**. An element  $x \in A$  is **nilpotent** if  $x^n = 0$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . A **unit**  $x \in A$  is an element such that xy = 1 for some  $y \in A$ . The units of A form a group under multiplication, denoted by  $A^*$ , or  $A^{\times}$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $x \in A$ . Then the set

$$\langle x \rangle = \{ xy \mid y \in A \}$$

is an ideal. Such ideals are called principal ideals.

**Remark.**  $x \in A^*$  if and only if  $\langle x \rangle = A$ , and R is a field if and only if  $R^* = R \setminus \{0\}$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** Let A be a non-zero ring. Then the following are equivalent.

- 1. A is a field.
- 2. There are no ideals in A other than  $\langle 0 \rangle$  and A.
- 3. Every non-zero homomorphism  $f: A \to B$  is injective.

Proof.

- $1 \implies 2$  Clear.
- $2 \implies 3 \text{ Ker } f \subset A \text{ is an ideal. Since } f \neq 0, \text{ Ker } f \neq A. \text{ Hence Ker } f = 0.$
- 3  $\Longrightarrow$  1 Take any  $x \neq 0$  in A. Look at  $\langle x \rangle$ . Define  $B = A/\langle x \rangle$ . Then take  $f: A \to B$  to be the natural surjective map. If f is not identically zero, we get a contradiction with 3.

Lecture 2

Tuesday 08/10/19

#### 4 Prime ideals and maximal ideals

**Definition 4.1.** An ideal  $I \subset A$  is called **prime** if  $I \neq A$  and if whenever  $xy \in I$ , then  $x \in I$  or  $y \in I$ . An ideal  $J \subset A$  is called **maximal** if there is no ideal J' such that  $J \subseteq J' \subseteq A$ .

**Notation.** The set of prime ideals of A is called the **spectrum** of A and is denoted by Spec A.

**Lemma 4.2.** An ideal  $I \subset A$  is prime if and only if A/I is an integral domain.

$$Proof.$$
 Obvious.

**Lemma 4.3.** An ideal  $J \subset A$  is maximal if and only if A/J is a field.

$$Proof.$$
 Obvious.

**Proposition 4.4.** If  $f: A \to B$  is a ring homomorphism and  $I \subset B$  is a prime ideal, then  $f^{-1}(I)$  is a prime ideal of A.

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $f^{-1}(I)$  is an ideal in A. Suppose  $xy \in f^{-1}(I)$  for some  $x, y \in A$ . Then  $f(x) f(y) = f(xy) \in I$ . Since I is prime,  $f(x) \in I$  or  $f(y) \in I$ , so  $x \in f^{-1}(I)$  or  $y \in f^{-1}(I)$ .

So we get a canonical map

$$\begin{array}{cccc} f^{*} & : & \operatorname{Spec} B & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Spec} A \\ & I \subset B & \longmapsto & f^{-1}\left(I\right) \subset A \end{array}.$$

Lecture 3 Wednesday 09/10/19

**Remark 4.5.** If  $f: A \to B$  is a ring homomorphism, then  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ , where  $\mathfrak{p} \subset B$  is a prime ideal, is a prime ideal. But this is false for maximal ideals. Let  $A = \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $B = \mathbb{Q}$ , and let f(x) = x. Then  $\langle 0 \rangle \subset \mathbb{Q}$  is a maximal ideal and  $f^{-1}(\langle 0 \rangle) = \langle 0 \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}$  is not a maximal ideal. For example,  $\langle 0 \rangle \subsetneq \langle 2 \rangle \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** Let A be a non-zero ring. Then A has at least one maximal ideal. In particular, Spec A is not empty.

The proof is based on Zorn's lemma. Let S be a set. Then a partial order is a binary relation  $\leq$  such that

- $x \le x$  for all  $x \in S$ ,
- $x \le y \le z$  implies that  $x \le z$ , and
- $x \le y$  and  $y \le x$  imply that x = y,

where not all pairs are comparable. A chain  $T \subset S$  is a subset in which every two elements are comparable.

**Lemma 4.7** (Zorn). Suppose that S is a partially ordered set such that every chain  $T \subset S$  has an upper bound, that is an element  $t \in S$  such that  $x \leq t$  for all  $x \in T$ . Then S has a maximal element, that is there exists  $s \in S$  such that if  $x \in S$  and  $x \geq s$ , then x = s.

Zorn's lemma is equivalent to the axiom of choice.

Proof of Theorem 4.6. Let  $\Sigma$  be the set of all ideals of A which are not equal to A. Then  $\langle 0 \rangle \in \Sigma$ , so  $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$ . Equip  $\Sigma$  with partial order given by inclusion. Enough to check the assumption of Zorn's lemma. Suppose T is a chain of ideals, so it is a collection of ideals  $J_i$  for  $i \in T$ . Consider instead

$$I = \bigcup_{i \in T} J_i.$$

Claim that T is a chain implies that I is an ideal. Then  $x \in I$  implies that  $x \in J_i$  for some i. Take any  $x, y \in I$ . Then  $x \in J_i$  and  $y \in J_k$  for some  $i, k \in T$ , so T is a chain, hence  $i \leq k$  or  $k \leq i$ , so  $J_i \subset J_k$  or  $J_k \subset J_i$ . Without loss of generality assume  $J_i \subset J_k$ . Then  $x, y \in J_k$ , so  $x + y \in J_k \subset I$ . Clearly, I is an upper bound.

Corollary 4.8. Any ideal of A is contained in a maximal ideal of A.

*Proof.* If  $I \subset A$  is an ideal, apply Theorem 4.6 to A/I.

Corollary 4.9. Any non-unit of A is contained in a maximal ideal.

*Proof.* Apply Corollary 4.8 to  $\langle a \rangle$ .

**Example.** The maximal ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are  $\langle p \rangle$ , where p is prime.

**Definition 4.10.** A ring A is **local** if A has exactly one maximal ideal.

**Example.** Any field is a local ring. If k is a field, then k[[t]] is a local ring.

**Lemma 4.11** (Prime avoidance). Let A be a ring and let  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$  be a prime ideal. Suppose that  $I_1, \ldots, I_n$  are ideals in A such that  $\bigcap_{j=1}^n I_j \subset \mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $I_j \subset \mathfrak{p}$  for some j. If, moreover,  $\bigcap_{j=1}^k I_j = \mathfrak{p}$ , then  $I_j = \mathfrak{p}$  for some j.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $I_j$  is not a subset of  $\mathfrak{p}$  for any j. Then there exists  $x_j \in I_j$  such that  $x_j \notin \mathfrak{p}$ . Hence

$$x_1, \ldots, x_n \in I_1 \ldots I_n \subset \bigcap_{j=1}^n I_j \subset \mathfrak{p},$$

so  $x_1(x_2...x_n) \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $x_1 \notin \mathfrak{p}$  implies that  $x_2...x_n \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime we get a contradiction. For the second claim, we know that some  $I_j \subset \mathfrak{p}$ . But  $\mathfrak{p} = \bigcap_{j=1}^k I_j \subset I_k$  for all k. Hence  $\mathfrak{p} = I_j$ .

#### 5 Nilradical and the Jacobson radical

Lecture 4 Thursday 10/10/19

**Proposition 5.1.** The set  $\mathcal{N}(A)$  consisting of all nilpotents of the ring A and zero is an ideal. Then  $\mathcal{N}(A)$  is called the **nilradical** of A. The quotient  $A/\mathcal{N}(A)$  has no nilpotents.

*Proof.* Suppose  $x \in A$  is nilpotent, so  $x^n = 0$ . For any  $a \in A$ ,  $(ax)^n = a^n x^n = 0$ . Let x and y be nilpotents. Say  $x^n = y^m = 0$ . Then

$$(x+y)^{n+m} = \sum_{i,j>0, i+j=n+m} a_{ij}x^iy^j, \quad a_{ij} \in A.$$

Clearly, either  $i \geq n$  or  $j \geq m$ . Then  $a_{ij}x^iy^j = 0$ . Therefore,  $(x+y)^{n+m} = 0$ , hence  $x+y \in \mathcal{N}(A)$ . If  $x + \mathcal{N}(A)$  is nilpotent in  $A/\mathcal{N}(A)$ , then  $x^n + \mathcal{N}(A) = \mathcal{N}(A)$  is the trivial coset. Hence  $x^n \in \mathcal{N}(A)$ . Thus  $(x^n)^m = 0$  for some m.

**Definition 5.2.** A ring A such that  $\mathcal{N}(A) = 0$  is called a **reduced ring**.

**Proposition 5.3.**  $\mathcal{N}(A)$  is the intersection of all prime ideals of A.

Proof.

- $\subset$  Let I be the intersection of all prime ideals of A. Let  $f \in A$  be such that  $f^n = 0$ . Take any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ . We know that  $f^n = 0 \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $f(f \dots f) \in \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  prime implies that  $f \in \mathfrak{p}$ , so  $f \in I$ .
- $\supset$  Let us prove the converse. Suppose f is not nilpotent, so  $f^n \neq 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . We will show that there exists a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$  that does not contain f. Let us consider all ideals of A that do not contain  $f^m$ , where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be the set of ideals  $J \subset A$  such that

$$J \cap \{f^m \mid m \ge 1\} = \emptyset.$$

The zero ideal  $\langle 0 \rangle$  is in  $\Sigma$ . So  $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$ . Equip  $\Sigma$  with a partial order given by inclusion. Applying Zorn's lemma we obtain that  $\Sigma$  contains a maximal element. Call it  $\mathfrak{p}$ . By construction,  $\mathfrak{p} \cap \{f^m \mid m \geq 1\} = \emptyset$ , so  $f \notin \mathfrak{p}$ . It remains to prove that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime. Enough to prove that if  $x \notin \mathfrak{p}$  and  $y \notin \mathfrak{p}$ , then  $xy \notin \mathfrak{p}$ . Consider the ideal  $\mathfrak{p} + \langle x \rangle \supseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p}$  is maximal in  $\Sigma$ , thus  $\mathfrak{p} + \langle x \rangle$  is not in  $\Sigma$ . By definition of  $\Sigma$  there exists  $n \geq 1$  such that  $f^n \in \mathfrak{p} + \langle x \rangle$ . Similarly, there exists  $m \geq 1$  such that  $f^m \in \mathfrak{p} + \langle y \rangle$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{p} + \langle x \rangle) (\mathfrak{p} + \langle y \rangle) \subset \mathfrak{p} + \langle xy \rangle$ . In particular,  $f^{n+m} = f^n \cdot f^m \in \mathfrak{p} + \langle xy \rangle$ . If  $xy \in \mathfrak{p}$ , then  $f^{n+m} \in \mathfrak{p}$ , which is not possible. Therefore,  $xy \notin \mathfrak{p}$ . So  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal that does not contain f.

**Definition 5.4.** The Jacobson radical  $\mathcal{J}(A)$  is the intersection of all maximal ideals of A.

**Proposition 5.5.**  $x \in \mathcal{J}(A)$  if and only if  $1 - xy \in A^*$  for all  $y \in A$ .

Proof.

- $\implies$  Let  $x \in \mathcal{J}(A)$ . Suppose there exists  $y \in A$  such that 1 xy is not a unit. By Corollary 4.9 every non-unit is contained in a maximal ideal. Say  $M \subset A$  is a maximal ideal and  $1 xy \in M$ . But  $x \in \mathcal{J}(A) \subset M$ . Then  $1 = (1 xy) + xy \in M$ , but then  $M \neq A$ . A contradiction.
- $\Leftarrow$  Given  $x \in A$  such that  $1 xy \in A^*$  for all  $y \in A$ , we must have  $x \in \mathcal{J}(A)$ . If  $x \notin \mathcal{J}(A)$ , then there exists a maximal ideal  $M \subset A$  such that  $x \notin M$ . Then  $M + \langle x \rangle = A \ni 1$ . Thus 1 = m + xy, where  $y \in A$ . But by assumption  $1 xy \in A^*$ , so  $m \in A^*$ . But then M = A. A contradiction.

**Definition 5.6.** Let I be an ideal of A. The **radical** of I is the set

$$\operatorname{rad} I = \{ x \in A \mid \exists n \ge 1, \ x^n \in I \}.$$

**Proposition 5.7.** The radical of I is the intersection of all prime ideals of A that contain I.

*Proof.* Apply Proposition 5.3 to A/I.

Lecture 5 Tuesday 15/10/19

**Definition 5.8.** Let I be an indexing set. For each  $i \in I$  we are given a ring  $R_i$ . Consider the product set  $\prod_{i \in I} R_i$ . This is  $(x_i)_{i \in I}$  for  $x_i \in R_i$ . Define

$$0 = (0)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} R_i, \qquad 1 = (1)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} R_i.$$

Define addition and multiplication coordinate-wise, so

$$(a_i)_{i \in I} + (b_i)_{i \in I} = (a_i + b_i)_{i \in I}, \qquad (a_i)_{i \in I} \cdot (b_i)_{i \in I} = (a_i \cdot b_i)_{i \in I}, \qquad (a_i)_{i \in I}, (b_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} R_i.$$

Then  $\prod_{i \in I} R_i$  is a ring, the **product of rings**.

A warning is if I has at least two elements, then  $\prod_{i \in I} R_i$  has zero-divisors.

**Example.**  $R_1 \times R_2$  has  $(1,0) \cdot (0,1) = (0,0) = 0$ .

If  $h_i: R \to R_i$  is a ring homomorphism for  $i \in I$ , then  $(h_i)_{i \in I}$  is a ring homomorphism  $R \to \prod_{i \in I} R_i$ .

**Remark 5.9.** Let  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  for  $i \in I$  be all prime ideals of R. Let  $h_i : R \to R/\mathfrak{p}_i$ . Then

$$h = (h_i)_{i \in I} : R \to \prod_{i \in I} R/\mathfrak{p}_i$$

is a homomorphism, and

$$\operatorname{Ker} h = \bigcap_{i \in I} \operatorname{Ker} h_i = \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathfrak{p}_i = \mathcal{N}(R).$$

So there is an injective map

$$R/\mathcal{N}\left(R\right)\hookrightarrow\prod_{i\in I}R/\mathfrak{p}_{i},$$

a product of integral domains. Now take  $f_j: R \to R/M_j$ , so if we take the indexing set J to be the set of all maximal ideals of R, then we obtain an injective map

$$R/\mathcal{J}\left(R\right)\hookrightarrow\prod_{j\in J}R/M_{j},$$

a product of fields.

## 6 Localisation of rings

**Example.** Fix a prime p. Then

$$\mathbb{Z} \subset \left\{ \frac{m}{p^k} \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, \ k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\} \subset \mathbb{Q}.$$

**Definition 6.1.** A subset S of a ring A is called a **multiplicative set** if  $1 \in S$  and  $0 \notin S$ , and S is closed under multiplication.

#### Example 6.2.

- Let  $a \in A$  be a non-nilpotent. Then  $\{1, a, \dots\}$  is a multiplicative set.
- Let  $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq A$  be a prime ideal. Then  $A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  is a multiplicative set. Indeed, if  $x \notin \mathfrak{p}$  and  $y \notin \mathfrak{p}$  then  $xy \notin \mathfrak{p}$  by the definition of a prime ideal.
- If we have a family  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  for  $i \in I$  of prime ideals, then  $A \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{p}_i$  is a multiplicative set.
- $A^*$  is a multiplicative set.
- All non-zero-divisors in A form a multiplicative set.
- Let  $I \subseteq A$  be an ideal. Then  $1 + I = \{1 + x \mid x \in I\}$  is a multiplicative set.

**Definition 6.3.** Consider  $A \times S$  and the equivalence relation on  $A \times S$  defined as

$$(a,s) \sim (b,t)$$
  $\iff$   $\exists u \in S, \ u (at - bs) = 0.$ 

Check that this is indeed an equivalence relation. <sup>1</sup> The following is some notation.

- The equivalence class of (a, s) is written as a/s. For example, if  $t \in S$ , then a/s = at/st.
- The set of equivalence classes is denoted by  $S^{-1}A$ .

Define

$$\frac{a}{s} + \frac{b}{t} = \frac{at + bs}{st}, \qquad \frac{a}{s} \cdot \frac{b}{t} = \frac{ab}{st}.$$

Need to check that these operations are well-defined. <sup>2</sup> Define  $\frac{0}{1}$  as the zero of  $S^{-1}A$ , and  $\frac{1}{1}$  as the one of  $S^{-1}A$ . Then  $S^{-1}A$  is a ring, the **localisation of** A with respect to a multiplicative set S.

Lemma 6.4. There is a ring homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{cccc} f & : & A & \longrightarrow & S^{-1}A \\ & & x & \longmapsto & \frac{x}{1} \end{array}.$$

This f is injective if and only if S has no zero-divisors.

*Proof.* If S contains a zero-divisor, say u, then there exists  $a \in A$  for  $a \neq 0$  such that ua = 0. Then

$$f(a) = \frac{a}{1} = \frac{au}{u} = \frac{0}{u} = 0.$$

So Ker f contains a, hence f is not injective. If f has no zero-divisors, then  $u \cdot a = u(a-0) \neq 0$  if  $a \neq 0$  and any  $u \in S$ . Hence  $f(a) \neq 0$ .

If A is an integral domain, then Ker f = 0. So  $A \hookrightarrow S^{-1}A$ .

Lecture 6 Thursday 16/10/19

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Exercise

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ Exercise

**Example.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ .

• If  $S = \{1, a, \dots\}$ , then

$$S^{-1}\mathbb{Z} = \left\{\frac{n}{a^m} \ \middle| \ n \in \mathbb{Z}, \ m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\}.$$

• If  $S = \mathbb{Z} \setminus p\mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$S^{-1}\mathbb{Z} = \left\{ \frac{n}{m} \mid p \nmid m \right\}.$$

• If  $S = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \bigcup_{p_i \text{ prime}} p_i \mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$S^{-1}\mathbb{Z} = \left\{ \frac{n}{m} \mid p_i \nmid m \right\}.$$

- If  $S = \mathbb{Z}^* = \{\pm 1\}$ , then  $S^{-1}\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$ .
- If  $S = \{\text{all non-zero elements}\}\$ , then  $S^{-1}\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Q}$ .
- If  $S = \{1 + I \mid I \subset \mathbb{Z} \text{ ideal}\} = \{1 + nk \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , then

$$S^{-1}\mathbb{Z} = \left\{ \frac{m}{1+nk} \mid m, k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

where n is fixed.

**Example.** Let R = k[x], where k is a field.

- If  $S = k[x]^* = k^*$ , then  $S^{-1}k[x] = k[x]$ .
- If  $S = \{\text{all non-zero elements}\}$ , then

$$S^{-1}k[x] = k(x) = \left\{ \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \mid g(x) \text{ arbitrary non-zero polynomial} \right\}.$$

**Example 6.5.** Let k be a field, and let  $A = k[x,y]/\langle xy \rangle$ . Note that A has zero-divisors, since xy = 0 in A, but  $x \neq 0$  in A and  $y \neq 0$  in A. Then  $S = \{1, x, ...\}$  is a multiplicative set, since  $x^n \neq 0$  in A for n = 1, 2, ..., because no power of the polynomial x is in  $\langle xy \rangle$ . What is  $S^{-1}A$ ? Let  $f: A \to S^{-1}A$ . Then  $a \in \text{Ker } f$  if and only if a/1 = 0/1, if and only if  $u \cdot (a \cdot 1 - 0 \cdot 1) = 0$  for some  $u \in S$ , if and only if u = 0. Let  $u \neq 0$ . Then u = 1 is not interesting. Take u = x and u = y, then u = 0, hence  $u \in S$  is an ideal. So  $u \in S$  is an ideal. So  $u \in S$  in general,

$$a = \sum_{i,j \ge 0} a_{ij} x^i y^j \equiv a_{00} + \sum_{i \ge 1} a_{i0} x^i + \sum_{j \ge 1} a_{0j} y^j \mod \langle xy \rangle.$$

Then  $\operatorname{Ker} f = yA = \langle y \rangle$ , since  $\sum_{j \geq 1} a_{0j} y^j$  goes to zero, since it is annihilated by x, and  $x^n \cdot \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i x^i$  is never zero in A. Thus f(A) = k[x], and

$$S^{-1}A = \left\{ \frac{f\left(x\right)}{x^{n}} \mid f\left(x\right) \in k\left[x\right], \ n \geq 0 \right\} = k\left[x, x^{-1}\right] = \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, \ a_{i} = 0 \text{ for almost all } i} a_{i}x^{i} \mid a_{i} \in k \right\}.$$