



KISHIELD

Security Audit

Metatanks Token

April 4, 2022





Table of Contents

1 Audit Summary

2 Project Overview

2.1 Token Summary

2.2 Main Contract Assessed

3 Smart Contract Vulnerability Checks

4 Contract Ownership

4.1 Privileged Functions

5 Important Notes To The Users

6 Findings Summary

6.1 Classification of Issues

6.1 Findings Table

01 Division before Multiplication

02 Variables could be declared as constant

03 Public function that could be declared external

7 Statistics

7.1 Liquidity

7.2 Token Holders

7.3 Liquidity Holders

8 Liquidity Ownership

9 Disclaimer



Audit Summary

This report has been prepared for Metatanks Token on the Binance Chain network. KISHIELD provides both client-centered and user-centered examination of the smart contracts and their current status when applicable. This report represents the security assessment made to find issues and vulnerabilities on the source code along with the current liquidity and token holder statistics of the protocol.

A comprehensive examination has been performed, utilizing Cross Referencing, Static Analysis, In-House Security Tools, and line-by-line Manual Review.

The auditing process pays special attention to the following considerations:

- Ensuring contract logic meets the specifications and intentions of the client without exposing the user's funds to risk.
- Testing the smart contracts against both common and uncommon attack vectors.
- Inspecting liquidity and holders statistics to inform the current status to both users and client when applicable.
- Assessing the codebase to ensure compliance with current best practices and industry standards.
- Verifying contract functions that allow trusted and/or untrusted actors to mint, lock, pause, and transfer assets.
- Thorough line-by-line manual review of the entire codebase by industry experts.

Project Overview

Token Summary

| Parameter | Result |
|---------------|--|
| Address | 0x7afabee1a072f85be84e8b95efb8a317f540f9ec |
| Name | Metatanks |
| Token Tracker | Metatanks (TANKS) |
| Decimals | 5 |
| Supply | 339,151.07645 |
| Platform | Binance Chain |
| compiler | v0.7.4+commit.3f05b770 |
| Optimization | Yes with 200 runs |
| LicenseType | Unlicense |
| Language | Solidity |
| Codebase | https://bscscan.com/ address/0x62AB10448D07211Ab9Cdb681a95916925e13dba2 |
| Url | https://metatanks.net/ |

Main Contract Assessed

| Name | Contract | Live |
|-----------|--|------|
| Metatanks | 0x7afabee1a072f85be84e8b95efb8a317f540f9ec | Yes |

Smart Contract Vulnerability Checks

| Vulnerability | Automatic Scan | Manual Scan | Result |
|---|----------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Unencrypted Private Data On-Chain | Complete | Complete | ✓ Low / No Risk |
| Code With No Effects | Complete | Complete | ✓ Low / No Risk |
| Message call with hardcoded gas amount | Complete | Complete | ✓ Low / No Risk |
| Hash Collisions With Multiple Variable Length Arguments | Complete | Complete | ✓ Low / No Risk |
| Unexpected Ether balance | Complete | Complete | ✓ Low / No Risk |
| Presence of unused variables | Complete | Complete | ✓ Low / No Risk |
| Right-To-Left-Override control character (U+202E) | Complete | Complete | ✓ Low / No Risk |
| Typographical Error | Complete | Complete | ✓ Low / No Risk |
| DoS With Block Gas Limit | Complete | Complete | ✓ Low / No Risk |
| Arbitrary Jump with Function Type Variable | Complete | Complete | ✓ Low / No Risk |
| Insufficient Gas Griefing | Complete | Complete | ✓ Low / No Risk |
| Incorrect Inheritance Order | Complete | Complete | ✓ Low / No Risk |
| Write to Arbitrary Storage Location | Complete | Complete | ✓ Low / No Risk |
| Requirement Violation | Complete | Complete | ✓ Low / No Risk |
| Missing Protection against Signature Replay Attacks | Complete | Complete | ✓ Low / No Risk |
| Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes | Complete | Complete | ✓ Low / No Risk |

| Vulnerability | Automatic Scan | Manual Scan | Result |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Authorization through tx.origin | Complete | Complete | ✓ Low / No Risk |
| Delegatecall to Untrusted Callee | Complete | Complete | ✓ Low / No Risk |
| Use of Deprecated Solidity Functions | Complete | Complete | ✓ Low / No Risk |
| Assert Violation | Complete | Complete | ✓ Low / No Risk |
| Reentrancy | Complete | Complete | ✓ Low / No Risk |
| Unprotected SELFDESTRUCT Instruction | Complete | Complete | ✓ Low / No Risk |
| Unprotected Ether Withdrawal | Complete | Complete | ✓ Low / No Risk |
| Unchecked Call Return Value | Complete | Complete | ✓ Low / No Risk |
| Outdated Compiler Version | Complete | Complete | ✓ Low / No Risk |
| Integer Overflow and Underflow | Complete | Complete | ✓ Low / No Risk |
| Function Default Visibility | Complete | Complete | ✓ Low / No Risk |

Contract Ownership

The contract ownership of Metatanks is not currently renounced. The ownership of the contract grants special powers to the protocol creators, making them the sole addresses that can call sensible ownable functions that may alter the state of the protocol.

The current owner is the address 0x62AB10448D07211Ab9Cdb681a95916925e13dba2 which can be viewed from: [HERE](#)

The owner wallet has the power to call the functions displayed on the privileged functions chart below, if the owner wallet is compromised this privileges could be exploited.

We recommend the team to renounce ownership at the right timing if possible, or gradually migrate to a timelock with governing functionalities in respect of transparency and safety considerations.

Important Notes To The Users:

- The owner cannot mint tokens after initial deployment.
- The transfer function is implemented correctly.
- The owner cannot stop Trading.
- The owner cannot change the max tx amount.
- The owner cannot change the fees amount.
- Auto liquidity is added every 2 days.
- Once the owner renounces ownership of the contract, none of the following are applicable.
- Owner can withdraw all tokens from the contract to the treasury
- Owner can enable/disable autoRebase and AutoAddLiquidity
- Owner can add and remove contracts from the blacklist.
- Owner can set wallets for fee exempt.

Audit Passed



Findings Summary

Classification of Issues

All Issues Found are Informational

| Severity | Description |
|----------|---|
| ● High | Exploits, vulnerabilities or errors that will certainly or probabilistically lead towards loss of funds, control, or impairment of the contract and its functions. Issues under this classification are recommended to be fixed with utmost urgency |
| ● Medium | Bugs or issues with that may be subject to exploit, though their impact is somewhat limited. Issues under this classification are recommended to be fixed as soon as possible. |
| ● Low | Effects are minimal in isolation and do not pose a significant danger to the project or its users. Issues under this classification are recommended to be fixed nonetheless. |
| ● Info | Consistency, syntax or style best practices. Generally pose a negligible level of risk, if any. |

Findings

| Severity | Found |
|----------|-------|
| ● High | 0 |
| ● Medium | 0 |
| ● Low | 1 |
| ● Info | 2 |
| Total | 3 |

Findings

Division before Multiplication

| ID | Severity | Contract | Function |
|----|----------|-----------|--------------------|
| 01 | ● Low | Metatanks | function takeFee() |

Description

Precision Loss. Division before multiplication can result in truncation and less accurate results

Recommendation

Multiplication should be performed before division to not lose precision.

Variables could be declared as constant

| ID | Severity | Contract | Function |
|----|-----------------|-----------|----------------------------------|
| 02 | ● Informational | Metatanks | variables name, symbol, decimals |

Description

Gas Optimization. Variables that are never changed could be declared as constant.

Recommendation

We recommend declaring those variables as constant.

Public function that could be declared external

| ID | Severity | Contract | Function |
|----|-----------------|-----------|--|
| 03 | ● Informational | Metatanks | Function function setPairAddress() & getLiquidityBacking() |

Description

Gas Optimization. Public function that could be declared external

Recommendation

Public functions that are never called by the contract should be declared external to save gas.

Privileged Functions (onlyOwner)

| Function Name | Parameters | Visibility |
|-----------------------|--|------------|
| renounceOwnership | none | public |
| transferOwnership | address newOwner | public |
| transfer | none | external |
| transferFrom | none | external |
| addLiquidity | none | internal |
| swapBack | none | internal |
| withdrawAllToTreasury | none | external |
| withdrawAllToTreasury | none | external |
| setAutoRebase | bool _flag | external |
| setAutoAddLiquidity | bool _flag | external |
| setFeeReceivers | address _liquiditypool, address _treasuryReceiver, address _securityfund, address _furnace | external |
| setWhitelist | address _addr | external |
| setBotBlacklist | address _botAddress, bool _flag | external |
| setPairAddress | address _pairAddress | public |
| setLP | address _address | external |

Statistics

Liquidity Info

| Parameter | Result |
|-----------------|--|
| Pair Address | 0x42Ca67440ec5d3E188F5fef60667613158EecCdf |
| TANKS Reserves | 0.00 TANKS |
| BNB Reserves | 0.00 BNB |
| Liquidity Value | \$0 USD |

Token (TANKS) Holders Info

| Parameter | Result |
|------------------------|-------------------|
| TANKS Percentage Burnt | 0.00% |
| TANKS Amount Burnt | 0 TANKS |
| Top 10 Percentage Own | 100.00% |
| Top 10 Amount Owned | 339,151.076 TANKS |
| Top 10 Aprox Value | \$NaN USD |

LP (TANKS/BNB) Holders Info

| Parameter | Result |
|--------------------------|---------|
| TANKS/BNB % Burnt | 0.00% |
| TANKS/BNB Amount Burnt | 0 TANKS |
| Top 10 Percentage Owned | 0.00% |
| Top 10 Amount Owned | 0 TANKS |
| Locked Tokens Percentage | 0.00% |
| Locked Tokens Amount | 0 TANKS |

* All the data displayed above was taken on-chain at block 16653169

* The tokens on industry-standard burn wallets are not included on the top 10 wallets calculations

Liquidity Ownership

The token does not have liquidity at the moment of the audit, block 16653169

KISHIELD



Disclaimer

KISHIELD has conducted an independent audit to verify the integrity of and highlight any vulnerabilities or errors, intentional or unintentional, that may be present in the codes that were provided for the scope of this audit. This audit report does not constitute agreement, acceptance or advocacy for the Project that was audited, and users relying on this audit report should not consider this as having any merit for financial advice in any shape, form or nature. The contracts audited do not account for any economic developments that may be pursued by the Project in question, and that the veracity of the findings thus presented in this report relate solely to the proficiency, competence, aptitude and discretion of our independent auditors, who make no guarantees nor assurance that the contracts are completely free of exploits, bugs, vulnerabilities or deprecation of technologies.

All information provided in this report does not constitute financial or investment advice, nor should it be used to signal that any persons reading this report should invest their funds without sufficient individual due diligence regardless of the findings presented in this report. Information is provided 'as is', and KISHIELD is under no covenant to the completeness, accuracy or solidity of the contracts audited. In no event will KISHIELD or its partners, employees, agents or parties related to the provision of this audit report be liable to any parties for, or lack thereof, decisions and/or actions with regards to the information provided in this audit report.

The assessment services provided by KISHIELD is subject to dependencies and under continuing development. You agree that your access and/or use, including but not limited to any services, reports, and materials, will be at your sole risk on an as-is, where-is, and as-available basis. Cryptographic tokens are emergent technologies and carry with them high levels of technical risk and uncertainty. The assessment reports could include false positives, false negatives, and other unpredictable results. The services may access, and depend upon, multiple layers of third-parties.