Assignment 3

Robot Localization and Bayesian Filtering

Deadline: December 14, noon, i.e., 12pm

Perfect score: 100 points for CS460 and 110 points for CS560

Assignment Instructions:

Assignments should be completed **individually** by each student.

Submission Process: Submit your reports electronically as a PDF document through Sakai (sakai.rutgers.edu). For your reports, do not submit Word documents, raw text, or hardcopies etc. Make sure to generate and submit a PDF instead. On the first page of the PDF indicate your name, whether you are taking CS460 or CS560 and your Net ID. Failure to follow these rules will result in a lower grade in the assignment. If the assignment involves coding, you also need to submit a compressed file via Sakai, which contains your results and/or code as requested by the assignment.

Late Submissions: No late submissions are allowed. You will be awarded 0 points for late assignments.

Extra Credit for LATEX: You will receive 4% extra credit points if you submit your answers as a typeset PDF (i.e., using LATEX). Resources on how to use LATEX are available on the course's website. There will be a 2% bonus for electronically prepared answers (e.g., on MS Word, etc.) that are not typeset. Have in mind that these bonuses are computed as percentage of your original grade, i.e., if you were to receive 50 points and you have typesetted your report using LATEX then you get 2 points bonus. If you want to submit a handwritten report, scan it and submit a PDF via Sakai. We will not accept hardcopies. If you choose to submit scanned handwritten answers and we are not able to read them, you will not be awarded any points for the part of the solution that is unreadable.

Precision: Try to be precise in your description and thorough in your evaluation. Have in mind that you are trying to convince skeptical evaluators (i.e., computer scientists...) that your answers are correct.

Collusion, Plagiarism, etc.: Each student must prepare their solutions independently from others, i.e., without using common code, notes or worksheets with other students. You can discuss material about the class that relates to the assignment but you should not be provided the answers by other students and you must write down your answers independently. Furthermore, you must indicate any external sources you have used in the preparation of your solution. This includes both online sources or discussions with other students. Unless explicitly allowed by the assignment, do not plagiarize online sources and in general make sure you do not violate any of the academic standards of the course, the department or the university (the standards are available through the course's website). Failure to follow these rules may result in failure in the course.

Course's website:

https://robotics.cs.rutgers.edu/pracsys/courses/intro-to-computational-robotics/

Assignment Description

There are many opportunities to collect more points than the perfect grade in this assignment: up to 160 points for CS460 students, while the perfect grade is 100 for this group, and up to 140 points for CS560 students, while the perfect grade is 110 for this group. You are free to select which problems to attempt. CS460 students are encouraged to consider the first three problems and attempt first the Particle Filter of Problem 4, then if they have time to attempt the EKF version of Problem 4. CS560 students are encouraged to skip Problem 3 and aim to solve Problem 4 first, i.e., both the Particle Filter and the EKF, and then if they have time to attempt Problem 3. Submit a compressed folder that contains your report and a folder per problem, which requires the submission of data files or scripts.

Problem 1. 10 points - Bayes filter A vacuum cleaning robot is equipped with a cleaning unit to clean the floor. The robot has a binary sensor to detect whether a floor tile is clean or dirty. Neither the cleaning unit nor the sensor are perfect. From previous experience, you know that the robot succeeds in cleaning a dirty floor tile with a probability of

$$P(x_{t+1} = clean | x_t = dirty, u_t = vacuum_clean) = 0.7$$

where x_t is the state of a floor tile at time t, u_t is the control action applied at t and x_{t+1} is the resulting state after the action has been applied. Also you know that vacuum-cleaning the tile when it is clean does not make it dirty.

The probability that the sensor indicates that the floor tile is clean although it is dirty is given by p(z = clean|x =dirty = 0.3, and the probability that the sensor correctly detects a clean tile is given by p(z = clean | x = clean) = 0.9.

Unfortunately, you have no knowledge about the current state of the floor tile. However, after cleaning the tile, the sensor of the robot indicates that it is clean. Compute the probability that the floor tile is clean after the robot has vacuum-cleaned it. Assume a prior distribution for the state at time t as $p(x_t = clean) = c$, where $0 \le c \le 1$.

Problem 2. 15 points - Sampling from a Distribution Use sampling and python (or any other programmatic way you see fit) to generate N samples for the following cumulative distribution function in the range $x \in [-5, 5]$:

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{sign(x)}{2} \sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{2x^2}{\pi}}}.$$

where sign(x) is the sign of x, e.g., sign(-1.6) = -1, sign(5.3) = 1, and sign(0) = 0. Do not generates samples where |x| > 5. Plot a histogram of your data from -5 to 5 with 0.2 increments (i.e., you should have 50 bins). Do this for N = 100, 200, 500, and 1000. You should submit four figures, one for each value of N.

Problem 3. 45 points for CS460 - 25 points for CS560 students - Kalman Filter If you are a CS560 student, you are encouraged to skip this problem and focus first on the implementation of the Extended Kalman Filter instead in Problem 4. which provides more points for you.

Suppose that there is a point mass moving in 2D with the system's state update equation being:

$$q_k = \begin{pmatrix} x_k \\ y_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{k-1} \\ y_{k-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} u_{1,k-1} \\ u_{2,k-1} \end{pmatrix} + \omega_{k-1},$$

where q_k are the point mass coordinates, u_k are the changes in the point mass coordinates given controls and ω_k is a noise vector. Furthermore, assume that it is also possible to collect observations z_k regarding the robot's state q_k with some noise v_k :

$$z_k = \left(\begin{array}{c} z_{x,k} \\ z_{y,k} \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} x_k \\ y_k \end{array}\right) + \nu_k.$$

Assume that the system noise ω is a zero mean Gaussian with the covariance matrix:

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 10^{-4} & 2 \times 10^{-5} \\ 2 \times 10^{-5} & 10^{-4} \end{pmatrix}$$

and the measurement noise is a zero mean Gaussian with the covariance matrix
$$R = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 10^{-2} & 5\times10^{-3} \\ 5\times10^{-3} & 2\times10^{-2} \end{array}\right).$$

For your solution, you should provide: 1) in your report: the Kalman filter update equations for this system, 2) A python file named kalman2d.py that you need to implement to process the given data (a skeleton file will be provided). The python program takes four additional arguments. The first argument is the data file name. The second and third arguments correspond to the initialization of the point mass' coordinates \hat{x}_0 and \hat{y}_0 , respectively. The last argument is a value λ that will be used to initialize the state covariance matrix Σ_0 as $\Sigma_0 = \lambda \cdot I$ in which I is the identity matrix. After getting the predicted (x_k, y_k) values, plot them as a set of 2D points and connect them sequentially using line segments to form a path. In the same plot, also visualize the observations (i.e., $(z_{x,k}, z_{y,k})$) using a different color.

We will provide a data file corresponding to Table 1. Your program should work for data different from this specific set.

Table 1: Sample	e control int	out and observations	for running 1	the Kalman filter.

k	$u_{1,k-1}$	$u_{2,k-1}$	$z_{x,k}$	$z_{y,k}$
1	0.258286754	-0.323660615	2.275352754	0.973676385
2	0.167658082	-0.173943802	2.230101836	0.701036583
3	0.213711214	-0.096513636	2.77765305	0.664235947
4	0.257826742	-0.153303882	2.929903792	0.725217065
5	0.227367085	-0.226875214	3.192038877	0.604513851
6	0.29707499	-0.125012662	3.347010866	0.278565189
7	0.253380049	0.040957103	3.900306915	0.333608292
8	0.434690544	-0.179539834	3.95920546	0.266202459
9	0.233358557	-0.110426773	4.513029017	0.264158685
10	0.309397654	-0.039241497	4.681395671	0.128679188
11	0.268100576	-0.052630663	4.944550247	-0.183817474
12	0.336295529	0.050916321	5.380023776	0.314923847
13	0.383568488	-0.263521311	5.816818264	-0.115412464
14	0.271740175	-0.316833884	5.892258439	-0.677581348
15	0.37813998	-0.100109434	6.35130042	-0.551171782
16	0.32708519	-0.124288625	6.50389161	-0.955727407
17	0.318597789	-0.216869042	6.992389399	-0.951967449
18	0.215326213	-0.334744463	7.043205612	-1.221487912
19	-0.035040957	-0.077543457	7.006712655	-1.476399369
20	0.170197008	-0.062307673	7.076019663	-1.702334042
21	0.325171499	-0.008000277	7.534015162	-1.481437319
22	0.414100752	-0.043273351	8.001067914	-1.49148567
23	0.197609976	-0.391999135	8.211005889	-2.015420805
24	0.409390148	-0.114261647	8.649643037	-2.122464452
25	0.334974442	-0.065947082	8.925195479	-2.247646534

Problem 4. 90 points - Non-Linear Landmark-based Localization This problem asks you to localize a robot, which is moving in a planar environment with identifiable landmarks. The state X_t of the robot is it's 2D position and orientation $\langle x_t, y_t, \theta_t \rangle$. The input regarding the motion of the robot corresponds to the odometry-based motion model, i.e., we have access to odometry measurements $o = \langle \delta_{rot1}, \delta_{trans}, \delta_{rot2} \rangle$. We assume that there are landmarks present in the robot's environment, which are always visible. The robot observes the bearing b^l to each landmark l in the workspace. You are asked to implement the following steps:

A. [5 points] Setup the landmark maps and your visualization. The workspace is a rectangular region 100×100 that contains the robot and N point landmarks (consider N among the values of 4,7,10). You should be able to visualize the robot, including showing its orientation (e.g., as a vector or as a rectangle of the size of your choice - the geometry is not too important for this project as we will not care about collisions), and the point landmarks. Feel free to reuse any visualization code you have from Assignment 2 or use supportive external libraries for visualization purposes. Provide examples of your visualization in your report and briefly decribe the implementation choices you made. Furthermore, generate and submit at least 3 "landmark_ID" data files, where each file has: i) on the first row, the number N of landmarks in the environment, and ii) on each row afterwards, the coordinates of each landmark separated by space. The ID in the filename is just an index (i.e., 0, 1 or 2) to differentiate the landmark maps. Use different values N for each landmark data file. Submit a file with the function that generates these landmark maps and indicate in your report how to call it. This function receives as input the number of landmarks N.

B. [5 points] Setup the "ground truth" robot trajectories. Implement a process for generating and saving "ground truth" robot trajectories $X = \langle X_0, X_1, \dots, X_K \rangle$ inside your workspace, i.e., the sequence of states $X_i = \langle x_i, u_i, \theta_i \rangle$

that the robot trully visits. These trajectories should be fully contained inside the 100×100 workspace region you have defined in your visualization. Decompose these trajectories into a set of K+1 states. Aim for K=100 (if you face computational issues with your project, you can select lower values and explain this in your report).

You are free to choose "ground truth" trajectories of your choise. For instance, you can consider circular or rectangular trajectories of different dimensions, where the robot starts and ends at the same location and the K states are equally spaced. Pick the robot's orientation along these trajectories in a reasonable way (e.g., for a circular trajectory, the robot's forward direction is tangent to the circle). Or you can generate random trajectories, where each new state X_{i+1} is generated from the previous one X_i given some random translations and rotations. For instance, given the random rotations and traslations for a state transition:

$$\delta_{rot1} = random(-max_rotation, max_rotation),$$

$$\delta_{trans} = random(0, max_translation),$$

$$\delta_{rot2} = random(-max_rotation, max_rotation),$$

where you can select the maximum values for these translations and rotations. You can update the next state according to:

$$x_{i+1} = x_i + \delta_{trans} \cdot cos(\theta + \delta_{rot1}),$$

$$y_{i+1} = y_i + \delta_{trans} \cdot sin(\theta + \delta_{rot1}),$$

$$\theta_{i+1} = \theta_i + \delta_{rot1} + \delta_{rot2}.$$

If you generate random trajectories, make sure to ensure that the resulting states remain inside your 100x100 region, so you can visualize them properly.

Describe in your report the process you followed for generating these ground truth robot trajectories. Generate and submit at least 3 "ground_truth_ID" data files, where each one of them has: i) on the first row, the number K+1 of robot states in the ground truth trahjectory and ii) on each consecutive row the coordinates x_i u_i θ_i of the robot's state X_i along the ground trajectory separated by space. The ID in the filename is just an index (i.e., 0, 1 or 2) to differentiate the trajectories. Provide in your report visualizations of your ground truth trajectories generated by your software. The visualizations can correspond to a sequence of arrows, each one of them representing a robot state, or a visualization of the robot's geometry at each state. Submit a file with the function that generates these ground truth trajectories and indicate in your report how to call it. This function can receive as inputs either the parameters of predefined trajectories (e.g., the radiud of a circular trajectory) or the parameters of random trajectories (e.g., the $max_rotation$ and $max_translation$ parameters from the description above). Indicate in your report the interface of your function.

C. [10 points] Define your input data for your robot localization challenges. This involves a process for computing noisy "odometry" measurements and "observations" given your "ground truth" robot trajectories and landmark maps.

In particular, for each state transition X_i to X_{i+1} from a ground truth trajectory, compute the "ground truth" odometry as follows:

$$\delta_{rot1} = atan2(y_{i+1} - y_i, x_{i+1} - x_i) - \theta_i$$

$$\delta_{trans} = \sqrt{(x_{i+1} - x_i)^2 + (y_{i+1} - y_i)^2}$$

$$\delta_{rot2} = \theta_{i+1} - \theta_i - \delta_{rot1}$$

Given these ground truth odometry values, then compute the noisy odometry measurement $o_{i+1} = <\hat{\delta}_{rot1}, \hat{\delta}_{trans}, \hat{\delta}_{rot2}>:$

$$\begin{split} \hat{\delta}_{rot1} &= sample_normal_distribution(\delta_{rot1}, \sigma_{rot1}^2) \\ \hat{\delta}_{trans} &= sample_normal_distribution(\delta_{trans}, \sigma_{trans}^2) \\ \hat{\delta}_{rot2} &= sample_normal_distribution(\delta_{rot2}, \sigma_{rot2}^2) \end{split}$$

Where the "sample_normal_distribution(μ , σ^2)" is a function that samples according to a Gaussian distribution with mean μ and variance σ^2 . You can experiment with different values for σ^2_{rot1} and σ^2_{trans} (reasonable values will depend on the spacing between your robot states in the ground truth trajectories) but initial suggestions to consider are: $\sigma^2_{rot1} = \sigma^2_{rot2} = 0.05^2$ and $\sigma^2_{trans} = 0.1^2$.

From the "ground truth" robot states and the landmark maps you can compute the observations $Z = \langle z_1, \dots, z_k \rangle$, which correspond to the noisy bearings from each robot "ground truth" pose to each landmark. The first observation per trajectory is collected from state X_1 , i.e., the second state in the trajectory. The "ground truth" bearing observation b_i^j of landmark $l^j = \langle x_l^j, y_l^j \rangle$ from state $X_i = \langle x_i, y_i, \theta_i \rangle$ corresponds to:

$$b_i^j = atan2(y_l^j - y_i, x_l^j - x_i) - \theta_i.$$

Assume then a noise model for the bearing observations of landmarks with variance parameter σ_{land}^2 , i.e., the actual, noisy bearing observation $\hat{b_i^j}$ corresponds to:

$$\hat{b_i^j} = sample_normal_distribution(b_i^j, \sigma_{land}^2).$$

You can experiment with different values for σ^2_{land} but initial suggestions to consider are: 3^2 in degrees (or 0.0523599^2 in radian). Note to normalize angles in the $[0,2\pi]$ range when you perform the above operations. Each landmark is unique and they are all visible from every state. Then, your observation is $z_i = \langle b_i^1, \ldots, b_i^L \rangle$, i.e., the list of bearings to all L landmarks as observed from state X_i .

For each pair of "landmark_ID" and "ground_truth_ID" data files with the same ID, your process should generate a "measurements_ID" data file, which contains the following information: i) at the top row, the initial pose of the robot X_0 (i.e., space separated x_0 y_0 θ_0 coordinates); ii) the second row indicates the number K corresponding to the available odometry measurements and landmark observations; iii) then, the rows alternate between reporting the odometry measurement o_i (the odometry readings $\hat{\delta}_{rot1}$ $\hat{\delta}_{trans}$ $\hat{\delta}_{rot2}$ separated by space) and landmark observations z_i (the bearings \hat{b}_i^0 \hat{b}_i^1 ... \hat{b}_i^L separated by space). Generate and submit at least 3 such files that constitute the input to your localization challenges. Submit your script with the function that generates the measurement files when provided as input the landmark map and the ground truth trajectory files. Indicate in your report the name of the corresponding function and how to call it. In your report, plot for each localization input the difference between the "ground truth" odometry measurements $\delta_{rot1}, \delta_{trans}, \delta_{rot2}$ and the noisy ones $(\hat{\delta}_{rot1}, \hat{\delta}_{trans}, \hat{\delta}_{rot2})$ for each robot state. Similarly, plot the average difference between "ground truth" landmark bearings b_i^j and the noisy ones \hat{b}_i^j for each robot state X_i .

D. [35 points] Solve the robot localization problem with a Particle Filter. For each combination of a "landmark_ID" map and a "measurements_ID" data file, use a particle filter process to generate a "PF_estimate_ID" file containing the estimations made by the particle filter regarding the robot's state. The "PF_estimate_ID" data file has the same format as the "ground_truth_ID" data file, i.e., it is a K+1 sequence of robot states starting with the ground truth X_0 followed with the estimated states by the particle filter. Submit the corresponding estimation files. Submit your script with the function that generates the estimation files when provided as input the landmark map and the measurement files. Indicate in your report the name of the corresponding function and how to call it. Visualize the best estimate generated by the particle filter, together with the population of particles (just in terms of x, y coordinates) and present them in comparison to the ground truth robot states. Execute the particle filter algorithm for different number of particles and plot the resulting error between the best estimate and the ground truth robot state. Discuss the resulting error in your report and the impact of different problem parameters (e.g., number of particles, number of landmarks, variance of the motion and observation models, etc.). You should not use an external library that already implements a Particle Filter.

A particle filter represents the robot's state distribution via a set of samples/particles. Refer to the lectures for a detailed explanation. Below is a summary of the approach given the notation of the assignment.

Each particle is an estimate of the robot's state. For each discrete time step t, the particle filter takes as input the set of particles from the previous time p_t and first uses the noisy odometry measurements o_{t+1} and the motion model to propagate these particles forward to generate candidate particles for the next step \bar{p}_{t+1} . In particular, given the j-th particle $p_t^j = \langle x_t^j, y_t^j, \theta_t^j \rangle$ and the odometry measurement $o_{t+1} = \langle \hat{\delta}_{rot1}, \hat{\delta}_{trans}, \hat{\delta}_{rot2} \rangle$, use the motion model to generate the particle \bar{p}_{t+1}^j , i.e., first sample guesses for the true odometry by sampling in the vicinity of the odometry measurements:

$$\bar{\delta}_{rot1} = sample_normal_distribution(\hat{\delta}_{rot1}, \sigma_{rot1}^2)$$

$$\bar{\delta}_{trans} = sample_normal_distribution(\hat{\delta}_{trans}, \sigma_{trans}^2)$$

$$\bar{\delta}_{rot2} = sample_normal_distribution(\hat{\delta}_{rot2}, \sigma_{rot2}^2)$$

Then, use these guesses to define the state estimate of the new particle:

$$\bar{x}_{i+1}^{j} = x_{i}^{j} + \bar{\delta}_{trans} \cdot cos(\theta_{i}^{j} + \bar{\delta}_{rot1})$$

$$\bar{y}_{i+1}^{j} = y_{i}^{j} + \bar{\delta}_{trans} \cdot sin(\theta_{i}^{j} + \bar{\delta}_{rot1})$$

$$\bar{\theta}_{i+1}^{j} = \theta_{i}^{j} + \bar{\delta}_{rot1} + \bar{\delta}_{rot2}$$

These particles are then weighted based on the likelihood of the observed measurements z_{t+1} , i.e., for each particle \bar{p}_{t+1}^j you have to estimate the probability $P(z_{t+1}|\bar{p}_{t+1}^j) = P(\hat{b}_{t+1}^0, \hat{b}_{t+1}^1, \dots \hat{b}_{t+1}^L|\bar{p}_{t+1}^j)$. You can assume that the landmark observations are conditionally independent one from each other given an estimate of the robot's state, so the above probability becomes $\Pi_l P(\hat{b}_{t+1}^l|\bar{p}_{t+1}^j)$. To compute each probability per landmark bearing, you can first compute the expected landmark bearing \bar{b}_{t+1}^l assuming the particle was the true robot state:

$$\bar{b}_{t+1}^{l,j} = atan2(y_l - \bar{y}_{t+1}^j, x_l - \bar{x}_{t+1}^j) - \bar{\theta}_{t+1}^j.$$

Then, $P(\hat{b}_{t+1}^{l}|\bar{p}_{t+1}^{j})$ is equal to the probability of observing \hat{b}_{t+1}^{l} assuming that the ground truth observation is $\bar{b}_{t+1}^{l,j}$ and the observation model follows a Gaussian distribution with variance σ_{land}^{2} .

Once the weight of each particle has been generated, a new set of particles are sampled from the weighted set. See the implementation of the resampling procedure from the lectures. These particles are used as the estimates for the state distribution at the new step p_{t+1} . Use the mean value of these particles as the best estimate at each step t+1.

E. [35 points] Solve the robot localization problem with an Extended Kalman Filter. For each combination of a "landmark_ID" map and a "measurements_ID" data file, use the Extended Kalman Filter (EKF) to generate an "EKF_estimate_ID" file containing the estimations made by the EKF regarding the robot's state. The "EKF_estimate_ID" data file has the same format as the "ground_truth_ID" data file, i.e., it is a K+1 sequence of robot states starting with the ground truth X_0 followed with the estimated states by the EKF. Submit the corresponding estimation files. Submit your script with the function that generates the estimation files when provided as input the landmark map and the measurement files. Indicate in your report the name of the corresponding function and how to call it. Visualize the best estimate generated by the EKF, together with the corresponding uncertainty as an ellipsoid (just in terms of x, y coordinates) and present them in comparison to the ground truth robot states. Plot the resulting error between the best estimate and the ground truth robot state. Discuss the resulting error in your report and the impact of different problem parameters (e.g., number of landmarks, variance of the motion and observation models, etc.). Compare the quality of the result achieved by the EKF against your particle filter implementation as well as the computational cost of each approach. You should not use an external library that already implements an Extended Kalman Filter.

The Extended Kalman Filter estimates a Gaussian approximation of the robot state at each time $N(\mu_{t+1}, \Sigma_{t+1})$, based on the distribution at the previous time $N(\mu_t, \Sigma_t)$, the measured odometry (o_{t+1}) and the observation (z_{t+1}) . Refer to the lectures for a detailed explanation. Below is a summary of the approach given the notation of the assignment.

First generate a prediction of the next mean $\bar{\mu}_{t+1} = \langle \bar{x}_{i+1}, \bar{y}_{i+1}, \bar{\theta}_{i+1} \rangle$ by using the motion model, i.e., use the odometry readings $\langle \hat{\delta}_{rot1}, \hat{\delta}_{trans}, \hat{\delta}_{rot2} \rangle$ to generate the predicted mean:

$$\bar{x}_{i+1} = x_i + \hat{\delta}_{trans} \cdot cos(\theta_i + \hat{\delta}_{rot1})$$

$$\bar{y}_{i+1} = y_i + \hat{\delta}_{trans} \cdot sin(\theta_i + \hat{\delta}_{rot1})$$

$$\bar{\theta}_{i+1} = \theta_i + \hat{\delta}_{rot1} + \hat{\delta}_{rot2}$$

Then, generate the predicted covariance matrix $\bar{\Sigma}_{t+1}$ according to $\bar{\Sigma}_{t+1} = G_{t+1} \Sigma_t G_{t+1}^T + V_{t+1} M_t V_{t+1}^T$, where:

- Σ_t is the covariance matrix computed by the previous iteration of the EKF;
- G_{t+1} is the state Jacobian, i.e., each row i and column j of this matrix expresses the partial derivative of how the i-th state variable at the next step depends on the j-th state variable at the previous step according to the above motion model;
- M_t is the covariance matrix of the motion model's noise, i.e., a diagonal matrix, which stores the variance terms $\sigma_{rot1}^2, \sigma_{trans}^2, \sigma_{rot2}^2$ of the motion model on the diagonal.
- and V_{t+1} is the noise Jacobian, i.e., each row i and column j of this matrix expresses the partial derivative of how the i-th state variable at the next step depends on the j-th noise term according to the above motion model.

Once you have the predicted distribution $N(\bar{\mu}_{t+1}, \bar{\Sigma}_{t+1})$, the next step is to use the landmark observations. First, you compute the expected observation \bar{z}_{t+1} from the predicted mean state $\bar{\mu}_{t+1}$, i.e., for each landmark l, you can computed the expected bearing: $\bar{b}_{t+1} = atan2(y_l - \bar{y}_{t+1}, x_l - \bar{x}_{t+1}) - \bar{\theta}_{t+1}$.

You can then compute, the next state mean according to: $\mu_{t+1} = \bar{\mu}_{t+1} + K_{t+1}(z_{t+1} - \bar{z}_{t+1})$, where K_{t+1} is the Kalman gain matrix regulating how much the next mean should depend on the predicted mean $\bar{\mu}_{t+1}$ versus being influenced by the difference between the true observation z_{t+1} and the expected observation \bar{z}_{t+1} . The expression for the Kalman gain is: $K_{t+1} = \bar{\Sigma}_{t+1} H_{t+1}^T (H_{t+1} \bar{\Sigma}_{t+1} H_{t+1}^T + Q_{t+1})$, where:

- $\bar{\Sigma}_{t+1}$ is the predicted covariance;
- H_{t+1} is the observation Jacobian, i.e., each row i and column j of this matrix expresses the partial derivative of how the i-th observation variable depends on the j-th state variable;
- Q_t is the covariance matrix of the observation model, i.e., a diagonal matrix, which stores the variance terms σ_{land}^2 along the diagonal.

Given the Kalman gain, it is also possible to compute the next state co-variance as $\Sigma_{t+1} = (I - K_{t+1}H_{t+1})\bar{\Sigma}_{t+1}$. You can use the mean μ_{t+1} as the best estimate of the Kalman filter at each step t+1 but you will keep track of both the mean and the covariance matrix at each step.