Dodona Learning Path: Pedagogical & Technical Framework

1 Criminology Student Profile

Criminology students typically come from a social science orientation with varying levels of mathematical preparation. They approach statistics primarily as practical tools for understanding crime data rather than as abstract mathematical concepts. Many initially approach statistics with apprehension due to limited prior exposure to formal statistical training. However, when presented through relevant criminological examples, students typically demonstrate stronger engagement and comprehension.

2 Purpose of the Learning Path

This sample exercises follows the learning path that supports the development of statistical reasoning skills using crime-relevant examples and contexts. By embedding statistical concepts within criminological scenarios, the learning path aims to:

- Make abstract statistical concepts more concrete and relevant
- Demonstrate the practical utility of statistics in criminological research
- Build confidence through familiar contexts
- Develop critical analytical skills for evidence-based criminology
- Bridge the gap between theory and application
- Reduce statistics anxiety through contextual relevance

3 Pedagogical Framework and Course Structure

3.1 Bloom's Taxonomy Integration

The course uses Bloom's Taxonomy (Anderson et al., 2009; Krathwohl, 2002) to structure a progressive learning journey:

Cognitive Level	Questions	Numbers	Percent of Course
Remember	2	1-2	13
Understand	2	3-4	13
Apply	3	5-7	20
Analyse	3	8-10	20
Evaluate	4	11-14	27
Create	1	15	7

This distribution emphasizes higher-order thinking skills (54% at Analyse-Evaluate-Create levels) while building a strong foundation.

3.2 Question-Bloom Level Mapping

The following table shows how each question aligns with specific cognitive levels and learning intentions:

Question Title	Bloom Level	Number	Learning Intention
Level of Measurement	Remember	Q1	Identify and classify data types
Descriptive vs. Inferential	Remember	Q2	Differentiate statistical approaches
Null Hypothesis	Understand	Q3	Understand hypothesis testing
Central Tendency	Understand	Q4	Identify outlier sensitivity
Crime Rate Calculation	Apply	Q5	Apply formulas to calculate rates
Crime Rates and National Average	Apply	Q6	Compare local to national statistics
Sampling Distribution	Apply	Q7	Apply sampling concepts
Chi-square	Analyse	Q8	Analyse categorical relationships
Histogram Interpretation	Analyse	Q9	Analyse distributions
Boxplot Interpretation	Analyse	Q10	Identify outliers and distributions
Scatterplot of Unemployment	Evaluate	Q11	Evaluate variable relationships
Partial Correlation	Evaluate	Q12	Evaluate complex relationships
Significance Test Interpretation	Evaluate	Q13	Evaluate statistical significance
Spurious Correlation	Evaluate	Q14	Evaluate causation vs. correlation
Research Design Creation	Create	Q15	Design original research methods

4 Learning Support Strategies

4.1 Scaffolding Approach

Three key scaffolding techniques (Wood et al., 1976) support student development:

- **Question Design**
  - Early exercises provide more guidance; later ones require more independence
  - Content progresses from simple to complex criminological contexts
- **Multi-level Hint System**
  - Conceptual reminders → Procedural guidance → Worked examples
  - Progressive withdrawal of support through the course
- **Feedback as a Learning Tool**
  - Precise identification of conceptual errors
  - Metacognitive prompts for reflection
  - Visual explanations for complex concepts

4.2 Anxiety Reduction Features

- **Incremental Difficulty**: Building confidence through early successes
- **Contextualization**: Using crime-related scenarios for relevance

- **Immediate Feedback**: Non-judgmental guidance on errors
- **Multiple Attempts**: Reducing pressure to get it right the first time
- **Clear Expectations**: Explicitly stated learning objectives
- **Visual Supports**: Varied presentation for different learning styles
- **Consistent Structure**: Reduced cognitive load through predictable formats

4.3 Example Content and Feedback

4.3.1 Sample Exercise Structure

- **Title**: Invloed van Uitschieters op Centrummaten
- **Context**: Inbraakcijfers in 10 wijken in Gent: [5, 7, 6, 8, 5, 9, 6, 7, 40, 7]
- **Scenario**: "Als criminoloog analyseer je inbraakcijfers voor 10 wijken in Gent. Je merkt dat één wijk een opvallend hoog aantal inbraken heeft."
- **Question**: Welke uitspraak is correct over de representativiteit van centrummaten voor deze dataset?
  - A) De mediaan geeft een beter beeld van het "typische" inbraakcijfer omdat deze minder wordt beïnvloed door de uitschieter
  - B) Het gemiddelde geeft een beter beeld van de algemene veiligheidssituatie
  - C) Beide centrummaten zijn even representatief voor deze dataset
  - D) Geen van beide centrummaten is geschikt voor het analyseren van deze data

4.3.2 Feedback Examples

- **Correct Answer**: "Juist! De mediaan (7) wordt minder beïnvloed door de extreme waarde van 40 in wijk 9. Het gemiddelde (10) wordt sterk omhoog getrokken door deze uitschieter. Bij het rapporteren van 'typische' inbraakcijfers aan beleidsmakers zou de mediaan een accurater beeld geven."
- **Incorrect Answer**: "Niet correct. Het gemiddelde (10) wordt sterk beïnvloed door de uitschieter van 40 inbraken in één wijk. Dit geeft een vertekend beeld van de 'typische' wijk, aangezien 9 van de 10 wijken tussen 5-9 inbraken hebben."

4.3.3 Progressive Hint System
- **Conceptual Hints**:
  - "Het gemiddelde is gevoelig voor uitschieters, wat belangrijk is bij het analyseren van misdaadcijfers."
  - "Let op: bij een chi-kwadraattoets is de nulhypothese dat er geen verband bestaat tussen de variabelen."

- **Procedural Hints**:
  - "Bereken zowel het gemiddelde als de mediaan en vergelijk de resultaten."
  - "Visualiseer de data met een boxplot om de positie van de uitschieter te zien."

- **Interpretive Hints**:
  - "Een correlatie van 0,7 tussen werkloosheid en misdaad betekent een sterk verband, maar nog geen causaal verband."
  - "Bij het interpreteren van p-waarden, onthoud dat 'niet significant' niet hetzelfde is als 'geen effect'."

4.3.4   Supplemental Learning Resources

- **Interactive Elements**: Video tutorials, interactive simulations
- **Practice Materials**: Additional exercises with worked examples

5   Platform Implementation and Monitoring

5.1   Performance Tracking

The Dodona platform provides:

- Comprehensive dashboards for student progress monitoring
- Performance breakdowns by course section
- Identification of conceptual gaps requiring intervention

5.2   Technical Implementation

- **R scripts** for automated answer validation and feedback
- **Markdown** for content presentation
- **JSON configuration** files for exercise parameters

5.3   Accessibility and Integration

- **Language Support**: Dutch content with terminology aligned to student knowledge
- **Visual Alternatives**: Text descriptions for all visual elements
- **Auto-grading**: Automated evaluation with detailed feedback
- **Progress Tracking**: Monitoring of completion rates and performance

6   Future Development

Planned enhancements include:

- R Studio integration for complex data analysis
- Advanced multivariate statistical methods
- Adaptive learning pathways based on performance

This learning path combines technical accuracy with pedagogical insight to create an inclusive environment where criminology students build confidence through meaningful engagement with statistical concepts.

7   References

Anderson, L. W., Krathwohl, D., Airasian, P., Cruikshank, K., Mayer, R., Pintrich, P., Raths, J., & Wittrock, M. (Eds.). (2009). *A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives* (Abridged ed., [Nachdr.]). Longman.

Krathwohl, D. R. (2002). A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy: An Overview. *Theory Into Practice*, *41*(4), 212–218.

Wood, D., Bruner, J. S., & Ross, G. (1976). The Role of Tutoring in Problem Solving. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *17*(2), 89–100. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.1976.tb00381.x