## Response to reviewers: Chemically Selective Transport in a Cross-linked $H_{\rm II}$ Phase Lyotropic Liquid Crystal Membrane

Authors: Benjamin J. Coscia and Michael R. Shirts

We thank the reviewers for carefully reading over our manuscript and providing helpful comments. We have taken the suggestions into consideration and made appropriate revisions to the manuscript document. All changes to the text have been documented below. In cases where we modified the text we included the original text (denoted by "Original text:") followed by the new text (denoted by "New text:").

## Response to Reviewer 1

1. The manuscript, "Chemically Selective Transport in a Cross-Linked H<sub>II</sub> Phase Lyotropic Liquid Crystal Membrane," is an interesting effort that provides solid and never-before-developed insights into how structure and chemistry impact separations in an important new class of membrane materials. In particular, the ability to focus on and explain in a rather complete manner that complex mechanisms that lead to results that are below the Stokes-Einstein limit is impressive. While somewhat verbose at times, the manuscript is communicated in a clear manner, and it should be of interest to a number of researchers in the field. As such, publication is recommended after the following minor points are addressed by the manuscript.

Author reply: We thank the reviewer for their positive impression and feedback and address their specific concerns below.

2. The explanation regarding the transport of water molecules in the tail region relative to water molecules in the pore region for the 5% (by weight) water system that occurs in the paragraph that begins at the end of page 20 is rather unsatisfying. While certain statistics are pointed to, there is not a full explanation provided here. Expanding this point in order would strengthen the manuscript.

Author reply: We thank the reviewer for pushing us to strengthen our description of tail water transport in the 5 wt% water system, as our point could be confusing without proper explanation.

Original text: "This anomaly is likely a consequence of lower density in the distal tails relative to the pores, leading to faster diffusion in the tails, as well as slowed diffusion of water molecules in the pores via hydrogen bonds with other water molecules and association with sodium ions. Water molecules are less likely to hydrogen bond with each other while in the distal tail region since they are interspersed between chains, while those in the pores stay in close proximity to each other. We observed about 9 times more hydrogen bonding between water molecules near the pore center versus those in the distal tail region. Additionally, an average of 65% of water molecules in the pores of the 5 wt% water system are associated with a sodium ion each frame compared to 44% in the 10 wt% water system. There is a negligible amount of hydrogen bonds between water molecules and monomer head groups.

New text: "This behavior is likely due to the much more crowded pore environment in the 5 wt% versus the 10 wt% water system. Monomer head groups are concentrated about 2Å closer to the pore centers in the 5 wt% versus the 10 wt% water system (see Figure 2) which leaves less space for water molecules and restricts their movement among the relatively immobile monomer head groups. The consequently high energetic barrier to diffusion enhances the favorability of associative interactions between water and monomer components. The 95th percentile lifetime of hydrogen bonds between water molecules located near the pore center is 2.1 ns in the 5 wt% system compared to 0.5 ns in the 10 wt% system. However, since we only saved simulation output every 0.5 ns, it is likely that the true hydrogen bond lifetimes in the 10 wt% system are shorter than this value. Additionally, there are more frequent and longer periods of association between water and sodium ions. An average of 65% of water molecules in the pores of the 5 wt% water system associate with a sodium ion each frame compared to 44% in the 10 wt% water system. There are about half as many water molecules in the 5 wt% water system which allows a larger fraction of them to associate with sodium ions. The lifetime of associations between sodium ions and water is 7.5 ns and 3.0 ns in 5 and 10 wt% water systems respectively."

3. The final paragraph of the conclusions of the manuscript ends on a blunt, and somewhat pessimistic, note. That is, there is no doubt that there are complicated interactions that will occur in many membrane separations, and that it is not always easy to predict beforehand what the results will be. However, the strategy outlined by this effort provides at least a footing by which to attack certain problems. This work provides atomistic modeling that does more than just allow one to think critically about nanostructured membranes. In fact, one might argue that one does not necessarily need such complex computational powers to think critically about nanostructured membranes. Instead, one might argue that these kinds of efforts provide insights that would not necessarily be obvious from simple "chemical intuition" points of view that are typically relied upon by many membrane scientists. As such, it might be useful to highlight where this effort provided insights that were not necessarily obvious as the end of the manuscript. This might serve as a means by which to entice experimentalist to incorporate these kinds of efforts into their own work such that the pace at which discoveries are made by the entire community is increased.

Author reply: We thank the reviewer for encouraging us to strengthen our conclusions and to end on a more positive note. We have modified our final paragraph with this in mind and to emphasize that these types of studies are very valuable in identifying transport behavior that might not be obvious based solely on intuition or bulk scale experiments.

Original text: "The findings here are likely too complex to point towards a clear design of an optimal LLC membrane for a particular separation. This work does demonstrate that there is a large range of solute-membrane interactions resulting from the chemically complex and inhomogeneous LLC membrane structure. The varying chemical functionality of the solutes themselves gives rise to an immense diversity of transport behavior. This behavior suggests that a large range of chemical selectivities may be possible in self-assembled nanoporous membranes. Atomistic modeling gives us the opportunity to observe these interactions at the molecular scale, at least approximately, so that we can think more critically about nanostructured membrane design."

New text: "Our work demonstrates that there are important, atomistic features of nanostructed, self-assembled materials that molecular simulation can play a key role in elucidating. The complex interplay between the inhomogeneous membrane structure, solute size and solute functionality leads to a variety of solute-membrane interactions, many of which are quite subtle. For example, association between polar groups and ions is seldom mentioned in the literature, but we could not give a complete mechanistic explanation of observed transport behavior without its consideration. We also observed that water and solutes partition into the tails, an area previously assumed to be devoid of solutes, which offers another route towards selectivity. The interactions we observed, along with those that may arise in other self-assembled nanoporous membranes, have the potential to produce an immense diversity of transport behavior, suggesting that a previously unexplored range of chemical selectivities may be possible. Atomistic molecular modeling is likely to be highly useful in uncovering important mechanisms that will inspire and accelerate novel design by experimentalists."

## Response to Reviewer 2

1. Using all-atom classical molecular dynamics (MD) simulations, the authors examined the transport properties of water, sodium ions and a total of 20 small polar solutes in a model lyotropic liquid crystal (LLC) membrane, specifically the hexagonal HII phase formed by the liquid crystal monomer Na-GA3C11. This is a very detailed and brilliantly done molecular simulation study, where the data was carefully analyzed to provide molecular-level insights about these systems that will be very useful to experimentalists working with this type of membrane for water purification purposes. I only have the following minor comments:

Author reply: We thank the reviewer for the kind assessment of our work. We address their comments below.

2. The water model used by the authors was not explicitly mentioned in Section 2 of the paper. From the Results section it is inferred that they used the TIP3P water model. The authors should explicitly mention their choice of water model in Section 2, and justify this choice.

Author reply: We thank the reviewer for pointing out that we are missing this essential detail. We have added the following text to section 2.1 of the main text:

New text: "We simulated water molecules using the TIP3P water model because it is the water model that GAFF and other AMBER family parameters were optmized with."

We added the following citation in support of our justification:

Florov, Petra, Petr Sklenovsk, Pavel Ban, and Michal Otyepka. Explicit Water Models Affect the Specific Solvation and Dynamics of Unfolded Peptides While the Conformational Behavior and Flexibility of Folded Peptides Remain Intact. Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation 6, no. 11 (November 9, 2010): 356979. https://doi.org/10.1021/ct1003687.

3. The Methods section should contain more details about the model membranes, for example the length of the pores in the z-direction, the range of pore sizes (I understand they vary with water content), and the range of distances between the center of adjacent pores.

Author reply: We thank the reviewer for this suggestion. We agree that it would be useful to our readers if we included more details about our models. We have added the following text to Section 2.2 of our Methods.

New text: "The equilibrated unit cells have different geometries depending on water content. The average distance between pores is 4.15 + /- 0.08 nm and 4.41 + /- 0.07 nm in the 5 and 10 wt% water systems respectively. The length of the pores, accounting for tortuosity (see Section 2.11), is 9.03 + /- 0.12 nm and 8.07 + /- 0.11 nm in the 5 and 10 wt% water systems respectively. The approximate size of the pore region increases with water content (see Section 3.1), however we did not quantify the pore radius because its definition is ambiguous. As described in our previous work, there is not a hard partition between the pore and tail regions. Rather, there is a gradual transition from the hydrophilic to hydrophobic region."

4. I think most of the text related to preparation of the model systems (Section S2, Supporting Information), should be moved into the Methods section of the paper. I believe these simulation setup details are important to researchers working with somewhat similar systems.

Author reply: We thank the reviewer for their suggestion. We've edited Section 2.2 of the main text to include a consolidated discussion of the data and analysis presented in Section S2, while leaving the full discussion in Section S2.

Old text: "We observed that some water partitions into the distal tail region of our system and therefore built our initial configurations with water in both regions, close to the expected equilibrium partition (see Section S2 of the Supporting Information). We define the distal tail region to be ca. 1.5 nm from the pore center based on the minimum in the radial distribution function of water (see Section 2.11). The amount of water present in the distal tail region may or may not be experimentally consistent but it is necessary for our results to be thermodynamically consistent; otherwise, water would slowly leak from the pore into the tail region over several hundred nanoseconds. We iteratively adjusted the pore radius in our systems until the appropriate amount of water fit in the pores after running the GROMACS command gmx solvate. We placed water molecules in the distal tail region one at a time in random locations with a short energy minimization between each insertion. When studying transport of water in the pores, we limited the calculations to water molecules that spent greater than 95% of their time outside of the distal tail region. We justify this decision with better context in Section 3.2.1.

First, we equilibrated the initial configuration using the 'wet' equilibration procedure described in our previous work. Then we cross-linked the equilibrated solvated configuration using the cross-linking procedure also described in our previous work."

New text: "We initially attempted to equilibrate our system with water by allowing water molecules to naturally penetrate the membrane from a water bath separating periodic images of the system in the z-direction. We allowed a dry, previously equilibrated system to further equilibrate in coexistence with a 3 nm-thick (in the z-direction) layer of water. Although the water appears to equilibrate after 1000 ns of

simulation, the density of water along the pore axis is close to zero at the membrane center, implying that there is a kinetic barrier to water filling the pores which we will be unable to overcome using conventional simulations. See Section S2 of the Supporting Information for an expanded explanation and supporting figures.

We observed water enters into the distal tail region of our system. We define the distal tail region to be ca. 1.5 nm from the pore center based on the minimum in the radial distribution function of water (see Section 2.11). Therefore we aimed to build our initial configurations with water in both regions, close to the expected equilibrium partition. The final amount of water present in the distal tail region may or may not be experimentally consistent but it is necessary for our results to be thermodynamically consistent; otherwise, water would slowly leak from the pore into the tail region over several hundred nanoseconds.

To determine the approximate ratio of water in the pores to tails, we equilibrated 4 systems where we initially placed water in the pores and in the tails in addition to a water reservoir between periodic images. We filled the pores with water by running the GROMACS command gmx solvate on our initial configuration followed by the removal of any water molecules placed in the distal tail region. This method requires that we vary the monomer starting radius since the vacant space dictates the pore's water content. We then placed a defined number of water molecules into the distal tail region one at a time with a short energy minimization between each insertion. We chose to test systems with initial pore radii of 5, 6, 7 and 8 Å with tail and pore water compositions given in Table S2. We found that systems started with more water in the pores than tails tended to equilibrate relatively quickly with an approximate ratio of 3 water molecules in the pores to 2 in the tails.

We removed the water reservoir and allowed the pore and tail water contents to equilibrate with 5 and 10 wt% total water with a 3:2 ratio of pore to tail water. We considered the water content equilibrated once the water contents plateaued. The 5 wt% system did not plateau until  $\sim 600 \text{ ns}$  while the 10 wt% water system equilibrated within the first 100 ns of simulation (see Figure S3a and Figure S3b of the Supporting Information). The equilibrated pores contain 72% and 69% of the total water in the 5 and 10 wt% systems respectively. We cross-linked the equilibrated solvated systems, then allowed them to equilibrate further for 100 ns. The water contents in each region does not change significantly in either case (see Figure S4 of the Supporting Information). The 'wet' equilibration and cross-linking procedures follow the methods outlined in our previous work."