

Team 2

I pledge my Honor that I have abided by the Stevens Honor System.

Kush Parmar , Jo-Anne Rivera, Simerjeet Mudhar

Query 2

$$1. \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i)]^2 =$$

Knowing that β_0 and β_1 represent the constants of the regression model we can take derivation in regards to them.

Derivation for β_0

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_0} [\sum_{i=1}^n [y_i - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i)]^2] = 0 \rightarrow \text{chain rule } y_i - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i) = u \rightarrow$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial u} (2u) \rightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial \beta_0} (2(y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)) \rightarrow 2(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \beta_0} y_i - \frac{\partial u}{\partial \beta_0} \beta_0 - \frac{\partial u}{\partial \beta_0} \beta_1 x_i)$$

$$= 2(0 - 1 - 0) = -2$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_0} [\sum_{i=1}^n -2[y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i]] = 0 \rightarrow -2 \sum_{i=1}^n y_i + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_0 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_1 x_i = 0 \rightarrow$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^n y_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_1 x_i = 0 \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_1 x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \rightarrow$$

$$n\beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_1 x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \rightarrow \beta_0 = \bar{y} - \beta_1 \bar{x}$$

Derivation for β_1

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_1} [\sum_{i=1}^n [y_i - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i)]^2] = 0 \rightarrow \text{chain rule } y_i - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i) = u \rightarrow$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial u} (2u) \rightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial \beta_1} (2(y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)) \rightarrow 2(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \beta_1} y_i - \frac{\partial u}{\partial \beta_1} \beta_0 - \frac{\partial u}{\partial \beta_1} \beta_1 x_i)$$

$$= 2(0 - 0 - x_i) = -2x_i$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_1} [\sum_{i=1}^n -2[y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i](x_i)] = 0 \rightarrow -2 \sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_0 x_i + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_1 x_i^2 = 0$$

$$\beta_0 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i \quad \text{One possible answer is } \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i - \beta_0 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

Alternatively substitute the equation found for β_0

$$(\bar{y} - \beta_1 \bar{x}) n\bar{x} + \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i \rightarrow \text{Simplifies to } \beta_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i - n\bar{y}(\bar{x})}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - n(\bar{x})^2}$$

2. Our derivatives in number one were set to zero, hence we can see our equations come out to be:

$$\beta_0 = \bar{y} - \beta_1 \bar{x}$$

$$\beta_1 n(\bar{x})^2 = n(\bar{y})(\bar{x}) - \beta_0 n(\bar{x}) \rightarrow \beta_1 (\bar{x})^2 + \beta_0 (\bar{x}) = (\bar{y})(\bar{x}) \rightarrow (\bar{y})(\bar{x}) - \beta_1 (\bar{x})^2 = \beta_0 (\bar{x}) \rightarrow (\bar{y}) - \beta_1 (\bar{x}) = \beta_0$$

$$3. \beta_1 = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} n & \sum y_i \\ \sum x_i & \sum x_i y_i \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} n & \sum x_i \\ \sum x_i & \sum x_i^2 \end{vmatrix}} = \frac{n \sum x_i y_i - \sum x_i y_i}{n \sum x_i^2 - \sum x_i^2} = \frac{\sum x_i y_i (n-1)}{\sum x_i^2 (n-1)} = \frac{\sum x_i y_i}{\sum x_i^2} = \frac{\sum y_i}{\sum x_i}$$

Since $\beta_0 = \bar{y} - \beta_1 (\bar{x})$ and $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i$, $\bar{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum y_i$:

$$\beta_0 = \bar{y} - \frac{\sum y_i}{\sum x_i} (\bar{x}) = \bar{y} - \frac{\sum y_i}{\sum x_i} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum x_i \right) = \bar{y} - \sum y_i \left(\frac{1}{n} \right) = \bar{y} - \bar{y} = 0$$

$$(\beta_0, \beta_1) = \left(0, \frac{\sum y_i}{\sum x_i} \right)$$

4.

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 SSE}{\partial \beta_0^2} & \frac{\partial^2 SSE}{\partial \beta_0 \partial \beta_1} \\ \frac{\partial^2 SSE}{\partial \beta_0 \partial \beta_1} & \frac{\partial^2 SSE}{\partial \beta_1^2} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} n & 0 \\ 0 & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_0^2} (n \beta_0 + n \beta_1 \bar{x} - n \bar{y}) \\ & \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_0} n \beta_0 + \frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_0} n \beta_1 \bar{x} - \frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_0} n \bar{y} \right) \\ & (n \cdot 1 + 0 - 0) = n \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_0 \partial \beta_1} (\bar{y} - \beta_1 \bar{x}) n \bar{x} + \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i \\ & \frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_0 \partial \beta_1} [(\bar{y} - \beta_1 \bar{x}) n \bar{x} + n \beta_1 (\bar{x})^2 - n(\bar{x} * \bar{y})] \\ & [((\bar{y} - \beta_1 \bar{x}) n \bar{x}) \frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_0} + (n \beta_1 (\bar{x})^2) \frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_0} - (n(\bar{x} * \bar{y})) \frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_0}] = [0 + 0 - 0] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_1^2} (\bar{y} - \beta_1 \bar{x}) n \bar{x} + \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i \\ & \frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_1} (\bar{y} - \beta_1 \bar{x}) n \bar{x} + \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_1} \right) \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_1} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i \\ & \frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_1} (\bar{y} - \beta_1 \bar{x}) n \bar{x} + \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_1} \right) \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_1} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i = (0 + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - 0) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$5. \quad \begin{vmatrix} n & 0 \\ 0 & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \end{vmatrix} \rightarrow \text{critical point} - (\beta_0 = 0, \beta_1 = \frac{\sum y_i}{\sum x_i})$$

The Hessian matrix is symmetric. Since n represents the number of values in a given dataset as seen with $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$, n is a non-zero, positive value. The given Hessian matrix is in its reduced form. Since the pivots are positive, we can conclude that this is a positive definite matrix.

6. According to the multivariable second derivative test, critical point is a local minimum if:

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_0^2}(\beta_0, \beta_1) > 0 \text{ and } \frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_0^2}(\beta_0, \beta_1) \cdot \frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_1^2}(\beta_0, \beta_1) - \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_0 \partial \beta_1}(\beta_0, \beta_1) \right)^2 > 0$$

$$n > 0 \text{ and } n \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - 0 > 0$$

Both of these are true, due to how n is a positive value since it represents the number of values in a dataset. Moreover $(n \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - 0)$ represents a positive value since the

$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$ summation will always result in a positive value since x_i is squared.

Also, according to the slides, upon proving that the Hessian matrix is positive definite, the critical point is proven to be the unique minimizer of SSE.

