Review Policy:

AAIES appreciate your interest in considering conference **submissions**. Maintaining the **calibre** and integrity of the conference depends heavily on **the** review procedure. In order to guarantee equity, clarity, and uniformity, we have devised the below protocols for our evaluators:

Confidentiality: All submissions and review conversations are kept completely private. Reviewers are prohibited from sharing any information with anyone other than the review committee regarding submissions or the review procedure.

Conflict of Interest: When it comes to the submissions papers are assigned to evaluate, reviewers are required to disclose any potential conflicts of interest. Relationships on a personal level, teamwork in the workplace, or outright rivalry with the writers can all lead to conflicts.

Fairness and Impartiality: Reviewers are required to assess contributions impartially and only on the basis of their originality, quality, significance, and connection to the conference themes. It is important to stay away from biases based on the author's identity, association, or reputation.

Expertise: Reviewers should possess the necessary knowledge and experience in the field of the submissions they are tasked with evaluating. Reviewers should notify the program chairs as soon as they feel unfit to examine a particular submission.

Timeliness: Reviewers must follow the schedule that has been established for the review procedure. For writers to enhance work quailty and for conference organizers to make well-informed judgments, timely and helpful feedback is crucial.

Constructive Feedback: Reviews have to be in-depth, considerate, and constructive. Feedback need to **centre** on a contribution's strengths and faults, offering concrete recommendations for **improvements** wherever feasible.

Confidential Review Platform: Review submissions should be made using implemented safe online review platform, which is confidential. Reviewers and program chairmen can communicate more easily thanks to this platform, which also guarantees anonymity.

Review Criteria: Reviewers will receive assessment criteria that are unique to the conference tracks or categories of submissions. These standards will direct the review procedure and provide uniformity in assessments **of the** submissions.

Appeals' Procedure: Authors may file an appeal against any judgments rendered throughout the review procedure. The program **chair** will assess appeals in conjunction with pertinent reviewers, with an emphasis on maintaining impartiality and openness.

Reviewer Recognition: AAIES team is very grateful for the work of our reviewers, who are essential to the success of our conference. Reviewers may be asked to join the program committee for next editions, and their efforts will be recognized in conference materials.

Kindly confirm that you have read and comprehended the above review policy and that you agree to adhere by its principles and rules by accepting to evaluate entries for the conference.

AAIES appreciate your dedication to both the success of **the** conference and scientific quality.