

## rashmi maam

### 22 April 2025

#### Assessment

Total Score: 29

#### Qualitative Analysis - Positive

- You delivered the presentation with a clear introduction to the topic, which is the video analysis tool, and you explained its purpose in a straightforward manner.
- You showed enthusiasm and interest in the tool, which is evident when you said it will be useful for the learners and that you'll arrange a demo for them to try it out.
- You invited feedback from the learners, which shows that you value their opinion and are open to making improvements to the tool.

#### Qualitative Analysis - Areas of Improvement

- You could improve by providing more specific details about the tool, such as how it works and what kind of feedback it provides, to give the learners a better understanding of its capabilities.
- You used vague phrases such as 'I guess it will be useful' and 'it'll be a good tool', which could be replaced with more confident and assertive statements.
- You didn't provide a clear timeline for when the demo will be arranged, which could lead to confusion among the learners, it would be better to provide a specific date or timeframe.
- You used informal language such as 'yeah' and 'okay', which could be seen as unprofessional in a presentation, it's better to use more formal language to convey your message.
- You didn't summarize the key points or reiterate the benefits of the tool at the end of the presentation, which could leave the learners without a clear takeaway, it's always a good idea to reinforce the main points at the end of a presentation.
- Facial expressions were moderate. While present at times, increasing expressiveness could make the talk more dynamic.
- The speaker rarely used any gestures. Incorporating some body language could significantly boost engagement.



### Detailed Evaluation Metrics

No.	Individual Parameters	5 Point scale: Excellent(5), Good(4), Satisfactory(3), Needs Improvement(2), Poor(1)	Feedback
1.	Did the speaker speak with confidence? <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Posture</li><li>• Smile</li><li>• Eye Contact</li><li>• Energetic Start</li></ul>	4 3 3 3	<b>Good</b> <b>Satisfactory</b> <b>Satisfactory</b> <b>Satisfactory</b>
2.	Was the content interesting and as per the guidelines provided?	1	The content was somewhat interesting, but it lacked depth and specific details, and didn't fully follow the guidelines provided.
3.	Did they use any gesture with thier hands or body while speaking?	1	The speaker rarely used any gestures. Incorporating some body language could significantly boost engagement.
4.	Did they have expression on their face?	3	Facial expressions were moderate. While present at times, increasing expressiveness could make the talk more dynamic.
5.	Who are you and what are your skills, expertise, and personality traits?	3	You are a presenter with basic communication skills, and you performed well in introducing the topic, but struggled with providing convincing arguments and a clear structure.
6.	Why are you the best person to fit this role?	3	You are a potential candidate for the role, but you need to improve your presentation skills and provide more persuasive arguments to stand out.
7.	How are you different from others?	3	You are different from others in your enthusiasm for the tool, but you need to work on showcasing your unique strengths and qualifications.
8.	What value do you bring to the role?	1	You bring a basic understanding of the tool and its potential benefits to the role, but you need to demonstrate more expertise and vision to add significant value.



9.	Did the speech have a structure of Opening, Body, and Conclusion?	1	The speech had a loose structure, starting with an introduction, but lacking a clear body and conclusion, and transitioning abruptly between ideas.
10.	Did the speaker vary their tone, speed, and volume while delivering the speech/presentation?	3	You maintained a good tone, but your speed was uneven, with some parts feeling rushed, and your volume was generally consistent, although there were moments where you could have emphasized certain points more clearly.
11.	How was the quality of research for the topic? Did the speech demonstrate good depth? Did they cite sources?	1	The quality of research for the topic was limited, and the speech lacked depth and proper citations, relying heavily on general statements and vague promises. The presentation didn't demonstrate a thorough understanding of the tool or its potential applications. Overall, the research was superficial and didn't provide convincing evidence to support your claims.
12.	How convinced were you with the overall speech on the topic? Was it persuasive? Will you consider them for the job/opportunity?	2	I was not fully convinced by your overall speech on the topic, and while you showed some enthusiasm, your presentation lacked persuasive power and didn't provide a compelling reason to consider you for the job or opportunity, leaving me with some doubts about your qualifications and abilities.