

**kk**  
**15 April 2025**

**Qualitative Analysis - Positive**

- You were able to start with a simple thank you, which shows your appreciation for the opportunity
- You kept your introduction brief, which is a good way to grab the interviewer's attention
- You were straightforward and to the point, which can be seen as a sign of confidence

**Qualitative Analysis - Areas of Improvement**

- You could have elaborated more on your skills and experiences, as the transcription is quite brief
- You did not provide any specific examples of your accomplishments, which would have been helpful in understanding your capabilities
- You could have asked a question or shown interest in the position, which would have demonstrated your enthusiasm for the role
- You did not provide any information about your background or qualifications, which would have been useful in evaluating your fit for the position
- You could have ended with a stronger statement, such as expressing your excitement for the opportunity or thanking the interviewer again, to leave a lasting impression



### Detailed Evaluation Metrics

| No. | Items to look out for  | Middle Column     | 5 point scale / Answer  |
|-----|--|-------------------|---|
| 1.  | Did the speaker speak with confidence? <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Posture</li><li>• Smile</li><li>• Eye Contact</li><li>• Energetic Start</li></ul> | Poor              | <b>Good</b><br><b>Satisfactory</b><br><b>Good</b><br><b>Poor</b>  |
| 2.  | Was the content interesting and as per the guidelines provided?  | Poor              | The content was not interesting and did not follow the guidelines provided.   |
| 3.  | Who are you and what are your skills, expertise, and personality traits?   | Poor              | You did not provide any information about yourself, skills, expertise, and personality traits, which is a major drawback.   |
| 4.  | Why are you the best person to fit this role?  | Needs Improvement | 2   |
| 5.  | How are you different from others?   | Needs Improvement | 1   |
| 6.  | What value do you bring to the role?   | Needs Improvement | 1   |
| 7.  | Did the speech have a structure of Opening, Body, and Conclusion?  | Poor              | The speech lacked a structure of Opening, Body, and Conclusion, as it only contained a single phrase.   |
| 8.  | Did the speaker vary their tone, speed, and volume while delivering the speech/presentation?   | Satisfactory      | You maintained a consistent tone, however, the speaking speed was too fast, and the volume was not varied, which made the speech sound monotonous.                        |
| 9.  | How was the quality of research for the topic? Did the speech demonstrate good depth? Did they cite sources?   | Poor              | The quality of research for the topic was non-existent, as there was no depth or content provided, and there were no citations to support any claims.                     |
| 10. | How convinced were you with the overall speech on the topic? Was it persuasive? Will you consider them for the job/opportunity?                                  | Poor              | I was not convinced with the overall speech on the topic, as it lacked substance and persuasiveness, and based on this, I would not consider you for the job/opportunity. |