

Chapter 2

Basics of Algorithm Analysis

Computational Tractability

As soon as an Analytic Engine exists, it will necessarily guide the future course of the science. Whenever any result is sought by its aid, the question will arise - By what course of calculation can these results be arrived at by the machine in the shortest time? - *Charles Babbage*



Charles Babbage (1864)



Analytic Engine (schematic)

Polynomial-Time

Brute force. For many non-trivial problems, there is a natural brute force search algorithm that checks every possible solution.

- Typically takes 2^N time or worse for inputs of size N.
- Unacceptable in practice.

n ! for stable matching with n men and n women

Desirable scaling property. When the input size doubles, the algorithm should only slow down by some constant factor C.

> There exists constants c > 0 and d > 0 such that on every input of size N, its running time is bounded by $c N^d$ steps.

Def. An algorithm is poly-time if the above scaling property holds.

choose $C = 2^d$

Worst-Case Analysis

Worst case running time. Obtain bound on largest possible running time of algorithm on input of a given size N.

- Generally captures efficiency in practice.
- Draconian view, but hard to find effective alternative.

Average case running time. Obtain bound on running time of algorithm on random input as a function of input size N.

- Hard (or impossible) to accurately model real instances by random distributions.
- Algorithm tuned for a certain distribution may perform poorly on other inputs.

Worst-Case Polynomial-Time

Def. An algorithm is efficient if its running time is polynomial.

Justification: It really works in practice!

- Although $6.02 \times 10^{23} \times N^{20}$ is technically poly-time, it would be useless in practice.
- In practice, the poly-time algorithms that people develop almost always have low constants and low exponents.
- Breaking through the exponential barrier of brute force typically exposes some crucial structure of the problem.

Exceptions.

- Some poly-time algorithms do have high constants and/or exponents, and are useless in practice.
- Some exponential-time (or worse) algorithms are widely used because the worst-case instances seem to be rare.

simplex method
Unix grep

Why It Matters

Table 2.1 The running times (rounded up) of different algorithms on inputs of increasing size, for a processor performing a million high-level instructions per second. In cases where the running time exceeds 10^{25} years, we simply record the algorithm as taking a very long time.

	n	$n \log_2 n$	n^2	n^3	1.5^n	2^n	$n!$
$n = 10$	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	4 sec
$n = 30$	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	18 min	10^{25} years
$n = 50$	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	11 min	36 years	very long
$n = 100$	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	1 sec	12,892 years	10^{17} years	very long
$n = 1{,}000$	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	1 sec	18 min	very long	very long	very long
$n = 10{,}000$	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	2 min	12 days	very long	very long	very long
$n = 100{,}000$	< 1 sec	2 sec	3 hours	32 years	very long	very long	very long
$n = 1{,}000{,}000$	1 sec	20 sec	12 days	31,710 years	very long	very long	very long

2.2 Asymptotic Order of Growth

Asymptotic Order of Growth

Upper bounds. $T(n)$ is $O(f(n))$ if there exist constants $c > 0$ and $n_0 \geq 0$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ we have $T(n) \leq c \cdot f(n)$.

Lower bounds. $T(n)$ is $\Omega(f(n))$ if there exist constants $c > 0$ and $n_0 \geq 0$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ we have $T(n) \geq c \cdot f(n)$.

Tight bounds. $T(n)$ is $\Theta(f(n))$ if $T(n)$ is both $O(f(n))$ and $\Omega(f(n))$.

Ex: $T(n) = 32n^2 + 17n + 32$.

- $T(n)$ is $O(n^2)$, $O(n^3)$, $\Omega(n^2)$, $\Omega(n)$, and $\Theta(n^2)$.
- $T(n)$ is not $O(n)$, $\Omega(n^3)$, $\Theta(n)$, or $\Theta(n^3)$

Strict Upper bounds. $T(n)$ is $o(f(n))$ if for every constants $c > 0$ there exists $n_0 \geq 0$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ we have $T(n) < c \cdot f(n)$.

Strict Lower bounds $T(n)$ is $\omega(f(n))$ if for every constants $c > 0$ there exists $n_0 \geq 0$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ we have $T(n) > c \cdot f(n)$.

Notation

Slight abuse of notation. $T(n) = O(f(n))$.

- Asymmetric:
  - $f(n) = 5n^3$; $g(n) = 3n^2$
  - $f(n) = O(n^3) = g(n)$
  - but $f(n) \neq g(n)$.
- Better notation: $T(n) \in O(f(n))$.

Meaningless statement. Any comparison-based sorting algorithm requires at least $O(n \log n)$ comparisons.

- Statement doesn't "type-check."
- Use Ω for lower bounds.

Properties

Transitivity.

- If $f = O(g)$ and $g = O(h)$ then $f = O(h)$.
- If $f = \Omega(g)$ and $g = \Omega(h)$ then $f = \Omega(h)$.
- If $f = \Theta(g)$ and $g = \Theta(h)$ then $f = \Theta(h)$.

Additivity.

- If $f = O(h)$ and $g = O(h)$ then $f + g = O(h)$.
- If $f = \Omega(h)$ and $g = \Omega(h)$ then $f + g = \Omega(h)$.
- If $f = \Theta(h)$ and $g = O(h)$ then $f + g = \Theta(h)$.

Theorem (Master Method) Consider the recurrence

$$T(n) = aT(n/b) + f(n), \tag{1}$$

where a, b are constants. Then

(A) If $f(n) = O(n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon})$ for some constant $\varepsilon > 0$, then $T(n) = O(n^{\log_b a})$.

(B) If $f(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$, then $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \log n)$.

(C) If $f(n) = \Omega(n^{\log_b a + \varepsilon})$ for some constant $\varepsilon > 0$, and if f satisfies the smoothness condition $af(n/b) \leq cf(n)$ for some constant $c < 1$, then $T(n) = \Theta(f(n))$.