

The electric fields of two EM waves in vacuum are both described by:

$$\mathbf{E} = E_0 \sin(kx - \omega t)\hat{y}$$

The "wave number" k of wave 1 is larger than that of wave 2, $k_1 > k_2$. Which wave has the larger frequency f ?

- A. Wave 1
- B. Wave 2
- C. impossible to tell

ANNOUNCEMENTS

- Projects graded! Sync to see your detailed grades.
- Projected grades sent out
- Homework 9 will be posted by tomorrow morning (Apologies, I'm jet lagged)

For a wave on a 1d string that hits a boundary between 2 strings of different material we get,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{f}(z < 0) &= \tilde{A}_I e^{i(k_1)z - \omega t} + \tilde{A}_R e^{i(-k_1)z - \omega t} \\ \tilde{f}(z > 0) &= \tilde{A}_T e^{i(k_2)z - \omega t}\end{aligned}$$

where continuity (BCs) give,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{A}_R &= \left(\frac{k_1 - k_2}{k_1 + k_2} \right) \tilde{A}_I \\ \tilde{A}_T &= \left(\frac{2k_1}{k_1 + k_2} \right) \tilde{A}_I\end{aligned}$$

Is the transmitted wave in phase with the incident wave?

- A) Yes, always B) No, never C) Depends

For a wave on a 1d string that hits a boundary between 2 strings of different material we get,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{f}(z < 0) &= \tilde{A}_I e^{i(k_1)z - \omega t} + \tilde{A}_R e^{i(-k_1)z - \omega t} \\ \tilde{f}(z > 0) &= \tilde{A}_T e^{i(k_2)z - \omega t}\end{aligned}$$

where continuity (BCs) give,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{A}_R &= \left(\frac{k_1 - k_2}{k_1 + k_2} \right) \tilde{A}_I \\ \tilde{A}_T &= \left(\frac{2k_1}{k_1 + k_2} \right) \tilde{A}_I\end{aligned}$$

Is the reflected wave in phase with the incident wave?

- A) Yes, always B) No, never C) Depends

In matter we have,

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} &= \rho_f & \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} &= 0 \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{E} &= -\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} & \nabla \times \mathbf{H} &= \mathbf{J}_f + \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t}\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\mathbf{D} = \epsilon_0 \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P} \quad \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{B}/\mu_0 - \mathbf{M}$$

If there are no free charges or current, is $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0$?

- A. Yes, always
- B. Yes, under certain conditions (what are they?)
- C. No, in general this will not be true
- D. ??

In a non-magnetic, linear dielectric,

$$v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu\epsilon}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu\epsilon_r\epsilon_0}} = \frac{c}{\sqrt{\epsilon_r}}$$

How does v compare to c ?

- A. $v > c$ always
- B. $v < c$ always
- C. It depends

In linear dielectrics, $\mathbf{D} = \epsilon_0 \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P} = \epsilon \mathbf{E}$. In a linear dielectric is $\epsilon > \epsilon_0$?

- A. Yes, always
- B. No, never
- C. Sometimes, it depends on the details of the dielectric.

For our reflected and transmitted waves, how many unknowns have we introduced?

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}_R &= \widetilde{E}_R e^{i(k_R z - \omega_R t)} \hat{n}_R \\ \mathbf{E}_T &= \widetilde{E}_T e^{i(k_T z - \omega_T t)} \hat{n}_T\end{aligned}$$

- A. 2
- B. 4
- C. 8
- D. 12
- E. None of the above

For our reflected and transmitted waves, how many unknowns have we introduced?

$$\mathbf{E}_R = \widetilde{E_R} e^{i(k_I z - \omega_I t)} \hat{n}_I$$
$$\mathbf{E}_T = \widetilde{E_T} e^{i(k_T z - \omega_I t)} \hat{n}_I$$

- A. 2
- B. 4
- C. 8
- D. 12
- E. None of the above