

# UNIFORM LATTICES WITH TORSION AND CLOSED MANIFOLDS WITH $\mathbb{Q}$ -ACYCLIC UNIVERSAL COVERS: THE ODD-TORSION OBSTRUCTION AND THE INDEX AT INFINITY

ABSTRACT. We clarify the status of the problem of realizing torsionful uniform lattices as fundamental groups of closed manifolds with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -acyclic universal cover. Compactly supported Lefschetz numbers do not vanish for fixed-point-free proper maps on noncompact manifolds: the translation  $x \mapsto x + 1$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  has  $L_c = -1$ . This reflects an “index at infinity,” made precise via Čech cohomology of the one-point compactification and a comparison theorem for manifolds. For uniform lattices, a deep theorem of Fowler rules out the case of odd prime torsion; the purely 2-primary case remains open. We also record Fowler’s constructions showing that torsion (including 2-torsion) can occur in fundamental groups of closed manifolds with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -acyclic universal covers, so torsion-freeness is not a general topological consequence of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -acyclicity of the universal cover.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
Notation	2
2. Compactly supported cohomology of a $\mathbb{Q}$ -acyclic universal cover	2
2.1. Compactly supported cohomology and proper maps	2
2.2. Orientability and Poincaré duality	2
2.3. The computation	2
3. Proper maps and a fixed-point-free counterexample	3
3.1. Compactly supported Lefschetz number	3
3.2. Proper homotopy invariance	3
3.3. The translation on $\mathbb{R}$	3
4. Čech cohomology at infinity and the “index at infinity”	3
4.1. One-point compactification and proper extensions	4
4.2. Čech compact supports	4
4.3. Comparison for manifolds	4
5. Finite free actions and the correct Euler characteristic formula	5
5.1. Transfer and invariants	5
5.2. Averaging formula	5
6. Uniform lattices: the odd-torsion obstruction and the open 2-primary case	6
6.1. Fowler’s odd-torsion obstruction	6
6.2. Torsion does occur in manifold groups with $\mathbb{Q}$ -acyclic universal cover	6
6.3. Resolution of the Problem	6
References	6

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a real semisimple Lie group and  $\Gamma < G$  a *uniform lattice*, i.e.  $\Gamma$  is discrete and  $G/\Gamma$  is compact. If  $\Gamma$  has torsion, then  $G/\Gamma$  is an orbifold rather than a manifold. This motivates the following question.

---

*Date:* February 13, 2026.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57N65, 55N35, 55M20, 22E40, 57R67.

*Key words and phrases.* uniform lattice, torsion, rationally acyclic universal cover, compact supports, Čech cohomology, one-point compactification, Lefschetz number.

**Problem.** Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a uniform lattice in a real semisimple Lie group, and that  $\Gamma$  contains an element of order 2. Is it possible for  $\Gamma$  to be the fundamental group of a closed manifold  $M$  whose universal cover  $\widetilde{M}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -acyclic?

A common strategy is to compute  $H_c^*(\widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Q})$  by Poincaré duality with compact supports and then attempt to apply a Lefschetz-type fixed point theorem to finite-order deck transformations. Two facts govern the outcome:

- compactly supported Lefschetz numbers of proper maps detect a contribution from infinity, so fixed-point-free proper maps can have  $L_c \neq 0$ ;
- nevertheless, for uniform lattices with *odd* torsion there is a genuine obstruction, proved by Fowler, using controlled surgery and  $\rho$ -invariants.

The goal of this note is to present a self-contained account of these points and to state the best current resolution of the lattice problem.

**Notation.** All manifolds are compact connected topological manifolds without boundary unless explicitly stated otherwise. Cohomology is singular cohomology with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -coefficients unless denoted by  $\check{H}^*$  (Čech cohomology). For a locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ ,  $H_c^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$  denotes compactly supported cohomology.

## 2. COMPACTLY SUPPORTED COHOMOLOGY OF A $\mathbb{Q}$ -ACYCLIC UNIVERSAL COVER

### 2.1. Compactly supported cohomology and proper maps.

**Definition 2.1** (Compactly supported cohomology). Let  $X$  be locally compact Hausdorff. Define

$$H_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) := \varinjlim_{K \subseteq X \text{ compact}} H^i(X, X \setminus K; \mathbb{Q}).$$

**Lemma 2.2** (Proper maps act on  $H_c^*$ ). If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is proper between locally compact Hausdorff spaces, then pullback on relative cohomology induces natural maps

$$f^* : H_c^i(Y; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) \quad \text{for all } i \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* For each compact  $K \subseteq Y$ , properness implies  $f^{-1}(K)$  is compact. Thus  $f$  is a map of pairs  $(X, X \setminus f^{-1}(K)) \rightarrow (Y, Y \setminus K)$ , inducing pullbacks  $H^i(Y, Y \setminus K) \rightarrow H^i(X, X \setminus f^{-1}(K))$  compatible with the direct system in  $K$ . Passing to the direct limit gives  $f^*$  on  $H_c^i$ .  $\square$

### 2.2. Orientability and Poincaré duality.

**Lemma 2.3.** If  $X$  is a connected simply connected topological manifold, then  $X$  is orientable.

*Proof.* The orientation local system is classified by the sign homomorphism  $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  recording whether loops preserve or reverse local orientation. If  $\pi_1(X) = 0$ , this homomorphism is trivial, so the local system is constant and  $X$  is orientable.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4** (Poincaré duality with compact supports). Let  $X$  be an oriented  $n$ -manifold without boundary. Then cap product with the Borel–Moore fundamental class induces natural isomorphisms

$$H_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_{n-i}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \quad \text{for all } i \geq 0.$$

*Reference.* See [5, Thm. 3.35].  $\square$

### 2.3. The computation.

**Definition 2.5** ( $\mathbb{Q}$ -acyclic). A space  $X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -acyclic if  $\widetilde{H}_i(X; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 2.6** (Compactly supported cohomology of a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -acyclic universal cover). Let  $M^n$  be a closed connected  $n$ -manifold and let  $X = \widetilde{M}$  be its universal cover. If  $X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -acyclic, then

$$H_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}, & i = n, \\ 0, & i \neq n, \end{cases} \quad \text{and hence} \quad \chi_c(X; \mathbb{Q}) = (-1)^n.$$

*Proof.* The universal cover  $X$  is a connected simply connected  $n$ -manifold, hence orientable by Lemma 2.3. By Theorem 2.4,

$$H_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_{n-i}(X; \mathbb{Q}).$$

Since  $X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -acyclic,  $H_j(X; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$  for  $j > 0$  and  $H_0(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ . Therefore  $H_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$  for  $i < n$ , while  $H_c^n(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_0(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ . For  $i > n$ ,  $H_{n-i}(X; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$  in negative degree, so  $H_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ . The Euler characteristic follows from the definition of  $\chi_c$ .  $\square$

### 3. PROPER MAPS AND A FIXED-POINT-FREE COUNTEREXAMPLE

**3.1. Compactly supported Lefschetz number.** Assume  $H_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q})$  is finite-dimensional for all  $i$  and vanishes for  $i \gg 0$ . For a proper self-map  $f : X \rightarrow X$ , define the compactly supported Lefschetz number

$$L_c(f; X) := \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \operatorname{tr}(f^* : H_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q})) \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

### 3.2. Proper homotopy invariance.

**Definition 3.1.** A homotopy  $H : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$  between maps  $f_0, f_1 : X \rightarrow Y$  is *proper* if  $H$  is proper.

**Lemma 3.2** (Proper homotopy invariance). *Let  $f_0, f_1 : X \rightarrow Y$  be proper maps between locally compact Hausdorff spaces. If  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  are properly homotopic, then  $f_0^* = f_1^* : H_c^i(Y; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q})$  for all  $i$ .*

*Proof.* Fix a compact  $K \subseteq Y$  and a proper homotopy  $H : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$  between  $f_0$  and  $f_1$ . Then  $H^{-1}(K)$  is compact in  $X \times [0, 1]$ , so its projection  $L := \operatorname{pr}_X(H^{-1}(K)) \subseteq X$  is compact. If  $x \notin L$ , then  $(x, t) \notin H^{-1}(K)$  for all  $t$ , hence  $H(x, t) \notin K$  for all  $t$ . Thus  $H$  restricts to a homotopy of pairs

$$H : (X, X \setminus L) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow (Y, Y \setminus K)$$

between  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  as maps of pairs  $(X, X \setminus L) \rightarrow (Y, Y \setminus K)$ . Homotopy invariance of relative cohomology implies equality of the induced maps  $H^i(Y, Y \setminus K) \rightarrow H^i(X, X \setminus L)$ . Passing to the direct limit over compact  $K$  yields  $f_0^* = f_1^*$  on  $H_c^i$ .  $\square$

### 3.3. The translation on $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 3.3** (Fixed-point-free proper map with  $L_c \neq 0$ ). *Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the translation  $f(x) = x + 1$ . Then  $f$  is a fixed-point-free homeomorphism (hence proper) and*

$$L_c(f; \mathbb{R}) = -1 \neq 0.$$

*Proof.* The map  $f$  is a homeomorphism, hence proper, and  $\operatorname{Fix}(f) = \emptyset$ .

Compute  $H_c^*(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{Q})$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}$  is an oriented 1-manifold, Theorem 2.4 gives  $H_c^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_0(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$  and  $H_c^i(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$  for  $i \neq 1$ . Hence  $L_c(f; \mathbb{R}) = -\operatorname{tr}(f^*|H_c^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{Q}))$ .

Define a homotopy  $H : \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $H(x, t) = x + t$ , from  $\operatorname{id}$  to  $f$ . If  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is compact, say  $K \subseteq [-M, M]$ , then  $H^{-1}(K) \subseteq [-M - 1, M] \times [0, 1]$ , which is compact. Thus  $H$  is a proper homotopy, so by Lemma 3.2 we have  $f^* = \operatorname{id}^*$  on  $H_c^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{Q})$ . Therefore  $\operatorname{tr}(f^*) = 1$  and  $L_c(f; \mathbb{R}) = -1$ .  $\square$

## 4. ČECH COHOMOLOGY AT INFINITY AND THE “INDEX AT INFINITY”

Theorem 3.3 reflects a general phenomenon: for proper maps on noncompact spaces, compactly supported traces can record a contribution from infinity. To formalize this for universal covers with potentially wild end structure, it is convenient to use Čech cohomology on the one-point compactification.

**4.1. One-point compactification and proper extensions.** Let  $X$  be noncompact locally compact Hausdorff and let  $X^+ = X \sqcup \{\infty\}$  be the one-point compactification. A neighborhood basis of  $\infty$  is given by  $U_K := (X \setminus K) \cup \{\infty\}$  as  $K$  ranges over compact subsets of  $X$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *If  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is proper, then  $f$  extends uniquely to a continuous map  $f^+ : X^+ \rightarrow X^+$  with  $f^+(\infty) = \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $f^+|_X = f$  and  $f^+(\infty) = \infty$ . Continuity on  $X$  is clear. If  $U_K$  is a neighborhood of  $\infty$  in  $X^+$ , then properness implies  $f^{-1}(K)$  is compact, hence  $U_{f^{-1}(K)}$  is a neighborhood of  $\infty$  and  $f^+(U_{f^{-1}(K)}) \subseteq U_K$ . Thus  $f^+$  is continuous at  $\infty$ .  $\square$

**4.2. Čech compact supports.** For a compact Hausdorff space  $Y$ , write  $\check{H}^*(Y; \mathbb{Q})$  for Čech cohomology and  $\check{H}^*(Y; \mathbb{Q})$  for reduced Čech cohomology. Relative Čech cohomology satisfies tautness/continuity for closed subsets of compact Hausdorff spaces; see [6, §6.6–§6.8].

**Definition 4.2** (Compactly supported Čech cohomology). For locally compact Hausdorff  $X$ , define

$$\check{H}_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) := \check{H}^i(X^+; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \check{H}^i(X^+, \{\infty\}; \mathbb{Q}).$$

If  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is proper, define the reduced Čech Lefschetz number of  $f^+$  by

$$\check{L}(f^+; X^+) := \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \operatorname{tr} \left( (f^+)^* : \check{H}^i(X^+; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \check{H}^i(X^+; \mathbb{Q}) \right),$$

whenever these traces are defined.

**4.3. Comparison for manifolds.** On manifolds (more generally, locally contractible paracompact spaces), singular and Čech cohomology agree; see [6, §6.9] or [2, Ch. III]. We use this to compare singular compact supports with Čech compact supports.

**Proposition 4.3** (Singular vs. Čech compact supports on manifolds). *Let  $X$  be a topological manifold. Then the natural comparison maps yield canonical isomorphisms*

$$H_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \check{H}_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) \quad \text{for all } i,$$

*natural for proper maps. Consequently, for any proper  $f : X \rightarrow X$ ,*

$$L_c(f; X) = \check{L}(f^+; X^+)$$

*whenever the traces defining either side are finite.*

*Proof.* For each compact  $K \subseteq X$ , both  $X$  and  $X \setminus K$  are locally contractible and paracompact. The comparison theorem gives natural isomorphisms  $H^i(X, X \setminus K; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \check{H}^i(X, X \setminus K; \mathbb{Q})$  for all  $i$ . Passing to direct limits over compact  $K$  yields a natural isomorphism

$$H_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \varinjlim_K \check{H}^i(X, X \setminus K; \mathbb{Q}).$$

On the other hand, by Definition 4.2 and tautness of Čech cohomology at the closed subset  $\{\infty\} \subseteq X^+$ , one has

$$\check{H}_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \check{H}^i(X^+, \{\infty\}; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \varinjlim_K \check{H}^i(X^+, U_K; \mathbb{Q}).$$

Excision identifies  $\check{H}^i(X^+, U_K; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \check{H}^i(X, X \setminus K; \mathbb{Q})$ , hence  $\check{H}_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \varinjlim_K \check{H}^i(X, X \setminus K; \mathbb{Q})$ . Combining yields  $H_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \check{H}_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q})$ . Naturality for proper maps follows from naturality of the comparison map and Lemma 4.1. Equality of Lefschetz traces follows by taking traces through these canonical isomorphisms.  $\square$

**Remark 4.4** (Why Čech matters at  $\infty$ ). The point  $\infty$  in  $X^+$  need not be locally contractible (for instance,  $X$  may have wildly embedded ends). Singular cohomology need not satisfy the continuity needed to identify  $H_c^*(X)$  with  $H^*(X^+, \{\infty\})$  in such generality. Čech (or Alexander–Spanier) cohomology is specifically designed to satisfy tautness for closed subsets of compact Hausdorff spaces; Proposition 4.3 then recovers the usual singular compactly supported theory for manifolds.

## 5. FINITE FREE ACTIONS AND THE CORRECT EULER CHARACTERISTIC FORMULA

A second natural idea is to try to rule out torsion using “multiplicativity” of  $\chi_c$  under finite covers. In the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -acyclic setting the correct statement is an averaging formula, not multiplicativity.

## 5.1. Transfer and invariants.

**Lemma 5.1** (Transfer and invariants for  $H_c^*$ ). *Let  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  be a finite regular covering of locally compact Hausdorff spaces with finite deck group  $G$  and  $|G| < \infty$ . Over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , pullback induces an isomorphism*

$$p^* : H_c^i(Y; \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q})^G \quad \text{for all } i,$$

onto the  $G$ -invariant subspace.

*Proof.* For each compact  $K \subseteq Y$ , the restriction  $p : (X, X \setminus p^{-1}(K)) \rightarrow (Y, Y \setminus K)$  is a finite regular covering of pairs. The classical cochain-level transfer for finite coverings produces maps

$$\text{tr}_K : H^i(X, X \setminus p^{-1}(K); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^i(Y, Y \setminus K; \mathbb{Q})$$

such that  $\text{tr}_K \circ p^* = |G| \cdot \text{id}$  and  $p^* \circ \text{tr}_K = \sum_{g \in G} g^*$ . These maps are compatible as  $K$  increases, hence pass to direct limits and define  $\text{tr} : H_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_c^i(Y; \mathbb{Q})$  satisfying the same identities.

Over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $|G|$  is invertible. Thus  $p^*$  is injective since  $\text{tr} \circ p^* = |G| \text{id}$ . Moreover, if  $v \in H_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q})^G$ , then

$$p^* \left( \frac{1}{|G|} \text{tr}(v) \right) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g^* v = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} v = v,$$

so  $v$  lies in the image of  $p^*$ . Hence  $p^*$  identifies  $H_c^i(Y; \mathbb{Q})$  with the invariants.  $\square$

## 5.2. Averaging formula.

**Proposition 5.2** (Averaging formula). *Let  $X$  be a manifold such that  $H_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q})$  is finite-dimensional for all  $i$  and vanishes for  $i \gg 0$ . Let a finite group  $G$  act freely on  $X$  by homeomorphisms, and set  $Y := X/G$ . Then*

$$\chi_c(Y; \mathbb{Q}) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} L_c(g; X).$$

*Proof.* Let  $V^i := H_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q})$  and define the averaging operator  $P^i := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g^* \in \text{End}(V^i)$ . Then  $P^i$  is the projection onto  $(V^i)^G$ , hence  $\text{tr}(P^i) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(V^i)^G$ .

Since the action is free and  $G$  is finite,  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  is a finite regular covering with deck group  $G$ . By Lemma 5.1,  $H_c^i(Y; \mathbb{Q}) \cong (V^i)^G$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_c(Y; \mathbb{Q}) &= \sum_i (-1)^i \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_c^i(Y; \mathbb{Q}) = \sum_i (-1)^i \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} (V^i)^G \\ &= \sum_i (-1)^i \text{tr}(P^i) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \sum_i (-1)^i \text{tr}(g^* | V^i) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} L_c(g; X). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Corollary 5.3** (No divisibility obstruction in the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -acyclic case). *Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -acyclic  $n$ -manifold and let  $G$  be a finite group acting freely on  $X$  by homeomorphisms. Then there is a character  $\lambda : G \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_c(X/G; \mathbb{Q}) &= \frac{(-1)^n}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \lambda(g), \\ \chi_c(X/G; \mathbb{Q}) &\in \{(-1)^n, 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.6,  $H_c^n(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$  and  $H_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$  for  $i \neq n$ . Hence each  $g \in G$  acts on  $H_c^n(X; \mathbb{Q})$  by multiplication by  $\lambda(g) \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ . Since  $g$  has finite order,  $\lambda(g)$  is a root of unity in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , so  $\lambda(g) \in \{\pm 1\}$ . Thus  $L_c(g; X) = (-1)^n \lambda(g)$  and Proposition 5.2 gives the first displayed formula. If  $\lambda$  is trivial, the sum is  $|G|$ ; if nontrivial, it is 0.  $\square$

## 6. UNIFORM LATTICES: THE ODD-TORSION OBSTRUCTION AND THE OPEN 2-PRIMARY CASE

### 6.1. Fowler's odd-torsion obstruction.

**Definition 6.1** ( $\mathbb{Q}$ -homology manifold). A locally compact space  $X$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homology  $n$ -manifold if for every  $x \in X$ ,

$$H_i(X, X \setminus \{x\}; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}, & i = n, \\ 0, & i \neq n. \end{cases}$$

If, moreover,  $X$  is an absolute neighborhood retract (ANR), we call it an *ANR  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homology manifold*.

Every closed topological manifold is an ANR  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homology manifold.

**Theorem 6.2** (Fowler). *Let  $\Gamma$  be a uniform lattice in a real semisimple Lie group. If  $\Gamma$  contains an element of order  $p$  for some odd prime  $p$ , then there exists no closed ANR  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homology manifold  $X$  (in particular, no closed manifold) with  $\pi_1(X) \cong \Gamma$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -acyclic universal cover.*

*Reference.* This is Fowler's Theorem 5.1.1 in [3, §5.1]. See also [7, §7] for context and [1] for a workshop report account.  $\square$

### 6.2. Torsion does occur in manifold groups with $\mathbb{Q}$ -acyclic universal cover.

**Theorem 6.3** (Fowler). *For each integer  $n \geq 2$  there exists a closed manifold  $M$  whose universal cover  $\widetilde{M}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -acyclic and such that  $\pi_1(M)$  contains an element of order  $n$ . In particular, there exist examples with 2-torsion.*

*Reference.* This is Proposition 4.5.1 and Corollary 4.5.2 of [3, §4.5]. (A retraction onto a group containing  $\mathbb{Z}/n$  forces  $n$ -torsion in  $\pi_1(M)$ .)  $\square$

### 6.3. Resolution of the Problem.

**Corollary 6.4** (Best current answer). *Let  $\Gamma$  be a uniform lattice in a real semisimple Lie group and assume  $\Gamma$  contains an element of order 2.*

- (a) *If  $\Gamma$  contains an element of order  $p$  for some odd prime  $p$ , then  $\Gamma$  is not isomorphic to the fundamental group of any closed manifold whose universal cover is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -acyclic.*
- (b) *If all torsion in  $\Gamma$  is 2-primary, the existence problem is open in general.*

*Proof.* (a) Apply Theorem 6.2. (b) This is the remaining case isolated in [3, §5.1] and discussed in [7, §7].  $\square$

*Remark 6.5.* Theorems 3.3 and 4.3 explain why a naive Lefschetz/Euler characteristic approach cannot settle the open case: a finite-order deck transformation is a proper, fixed-point-free homeomorphism of a noncompact manifold, and  $L_c$  may be supported entirely at infinity.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Banagl, U. Bunke, and S. Weinberger, *Stratified Spaces: Joining Analysis, Topology and Geometry*, Oberwolfach Reports **8** (2011), no. 4, 3217–3286. DOI: 10.4171/OWR/2011/56.
- [2] G. E. Bredon, *Sheaf Theory*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics **170**, Springer, 1997.
- [3] J. A. Fowler, *Poincaré Duality Groups and Homology Manifolds*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Chicago, 2009.
- [4] J. A. Fowler, *Finiteness properties for some rational Poincaré duality groups*, Illinois J. Math. **56** (2012), no. 2, 281–299.
- [5] A. Hatcher, *Algebraic Topology*, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [6] E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, McGraw–Hill, 1966.
- [7] S. Weinberger, *Variations on a Theme of Borel: An Essay on the Role of the Fundamental Group in Rigidity*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **213**, Cambridge University Press, 2022.