| Insight 1 | | |
|-----------|--|--|
| Link | https://public.tableau.com/app/profile/kendarius.sterling/viz/TableauKendariusSterlingProject1/StateUnemployment?publish=yes | |
| Summary | According to the bar chart the state with the lowest unemployment percentage is North Dakota (2.87%) which is a lot lower than the second lowest state South Dakota (4.49%). On researching further, it was found that this is due to the state's flourishing mining industry. | |
| Design | Bar charts are a good way to show difference in the magnitude of quantities (in the case, unemployment rates). I also created two calculated variables - Labor Force for the total number of people looking for work in the state and a variable called Unemployment rate that uses the state's labor force and the sum of the total people employed in the state to find the unemployment rate for the whole state. | |
| Resource | N/A | |
| S | | |

| Insight 2 | | |
|-----------|---|--|
| Link | https://public.tableau.com/app/profile/kendarius.sterling/viz/TableauKendariusSte | |
| | rlingProject1/PublicSectorasEmployer?publish=yes | |
| | | |
| Summary | The map shows that the regions where the public sector contributes the most to | |
| | the total employment for the state are District of Columbia (25.40%), | |
| | Alaska(25.12%), Virginia(20.44%), Maryland(22.28%), New Mexico(22.14%) | |
| | and South Dakota(15.28%). All of these states are related to either the federal | |
| | government or have some highly valued natural resource such as oil. | |
| Design | The map is useful for us to see the relative positions of different regions. In | |
| | addition to that I created two calculated variables called Publicwork_Number that | |
| | uses the PublicWork variable and the Employed variable to convert the | |
| | percentage from public work into an absolute number and Public Sector | |
| | Employment percentage that uses the state's total employment and sum of the | |
| | PublicWork_number variable that was created earlier to find the contribution of | |
| | the public sector to total employment. | |
| Resource | N/A | |
| S | | |

| Insight 3 | | |
|-----------|--|--|
| Link | https://public.tableau.com/app/profile/kendarius.sterling/viz/TableauKendariusSte | |
| | rlingProject1/Dashboard1?publish=yes | |
| Summary | Using the dashboard we can see that the poorest states Mississippi (\$21,057), Louisiana (\$24,981), Alabama (\$24,092) have a smaller proportion of their labor | |
| | Louisiana (\$24,981), Alabama (\$24,092) have a smaller proportion of their labor | |
| | force being employed by the private sector than the national average (79.43%) - | |
| | Mississippi (75.90%), Louisiana (78.84%), Alabama (78.29%). | |

| Design | I first created variables for the employment for each sector similar to the public sector employment percentage mentioned in Insight 2. In addition to that, I created two variables using the Income per cap variable and the total pop variable to find the total income for the state and I divided the total income for the state using the total population for the state to find the income per capita for the state. I used this State income per capita variable to color-code the map using temperature diverging where red represents low income and green represents high income and then I used the sectoral employment variables to make a pie chart. The map and the pie chart are connected through a state filter to make them work in conjugation to derive insights for states with low income per capita. |
|---------------|--|
| Resource s | N/A |