

## Lecture 8

**Nonparametric regression: splines**

Denote

$$\mathcal{P}_m = \{p : p(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i x^{i-1}, c_1, \dots, c_m, x \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Next, consider an interval  $[a, b)$  ( $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $-\infty < a < b < \infty$ ). Let  $a = \tau_0 < \tau_1 < \dots < \tau_k < \tau_{k+1} = b$ ,  $\tau_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and denote  $\Delta_k = \{\tau_i\}_{i=0}^{k+1}$  a **partition of  $[a, b)$  into  $k+1$  subintervals**  $[\tau_i, \tau_{i+1})$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, k$ .

**Definition** Let  $\Delta_k$  be a partition of  $[a, b)$ , then

$$\mathcal{PP}_m(\Delta_k) = \{p : \exists p_0, \dots, p_k \in \mathcal{P}_m \text{ such that } p(x) = p_i(x) \text{ for } x \in [\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}), i = 0, \dots, k\}$$

is the **space of piecewise polynomials of order  $m$  based on  $\Delta_k$** .

**Definition**

Let  $\Delta_k$  be a partition of  $[a, b)$ . Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $M = (m_1, \dots, m_k)$  be a vector of integers with  $1 \leq m_i \leq m$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Then, the space

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_m(M, \Delta_k) = \left\{ s : \exists s_0, \dots, s_k \in \mathcal{P}_m \text{ such that } s(x) = s_i(x) \text{ for } x \in [\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}), i = 0, \dots, k \right. \\ \left. \text{and } s_{i-1}^{(j)}(\tau_i) = s_i^{(j)}(\tau_i), j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1-m_i, i = 1, \dots, k \right\} \end{aligned}$$

is the **space of polynomial splines of order  $m$  and multiplicities  $M$  based on  $\Delta_k$** .

Thus, a polynomial spline is a piecewise polynomial with the additional conditions on connection of pieces:  $s_{i-1}^{(j)}(\tau_i) = s_i^{(j)}(\tau_i)$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1-m_i$ .

If all  $m_i = m$ , then  $s_{i-1}(\tau_i)$  and  $s_i(\tau_i)$  are unrelated and  $\mathcal{S}_m(M = (m, \dots, m), \Delta_k) = \mathcal{PP}_m(\Delta_k)$ .

If all  $m_i = 1$ , then  $\mathcal{S}_m(M = (1, \dots, 1), \Delta_k) \subset \mathcal{C}^{m-2}[a, b]$  is the *smoothest space of polynomial splines*. Indeed, if the pieces were joined together any smoother, then the knots would disappear.

Further we will deal with  $\mathcal{S}_m(M = (1, \dots, 1), \Delta_k)$  only and will denote it just  $\mathcal{S}_m(\Delta_k)$ . Note that one can define  $\mathcal{S}_m(\Delta_k)$  also by  $\mathcal{S}_m(\Delta_k) = \mathcal{PP}_m \cap \mathcal{C}^{m-2}[a, b]$ .

**Theorem**  $\mathcal{S}_m(\Delta_k)$  is a linear vector space of dimension  $k + m$ . Proof: See Schumaker (2007) *Spline functions: basic theory*, p.110.

Idea: to see that the dimension is  $k + m$  note that each piece  $s_i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m c_{ij} x^{j-1}$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, k$ , so that there are  $m \cdot (k + 1)$  parameters  $c_{ij}$ . Thereby, there are  $(m - 1) \cdot k$

continuity conditions on  $c_{ij}$ :  $s_{i-1}^{(j)}(\tau_i) = s_i^{(j)}(\tau_i)$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Hence, the space dimension is  $m(k+1) - (m-1)k = mk + m - mk + k = m + k$  (=number of parameters minus number of constraints).

Let now consider a basis on this space. Denote for some  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$

$$(x - \tau)_+^j = \begin{cases} (x - \tau)^j, & x \geq \tau \\ 0, & x < \tau, \end{cases}$$

with the convention  $0^0 = 1$ .

### Theorem

A basis for  $\mathcal{S}_m(\Delta_k)$  is given by

$$\{1, (x - \tau_0), \dots, (x - \tau_0)^{m-1}, (x - \tau_1)_+^{m-1}, \dots, (x - \tau_k)_+^{m-1}\}.$$

Proof: See Schumaker (2007), pp. 111 – 112; see also Lemma 14.1 in Györfi et al. (2002).

Idea: Each element of this basis vector is obviously from  $\mathcal{S}_m(\Delta_k)$  and the dimension of this basis is  $k + m$ , it remains to check linear independence using its definition.

This basis is known as **truncated polynomial basis**.

This theorem says that any  $s \in \mathcal{S}_m(\Delta_k)$  can be represented as

$$s(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i (x - \tau_0)^{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_{m+i} (x - \tau_i)_+^{m-1}$$

for some suitable  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . However, the truncated polynomial basis is not well suited for numerical calculations: to evaluate  $s(x)$  at  $x \in [\tau_k, \tau_{k+1})$  one needs to calculate *all* basis elements. Additionally, this basis is far from being orthogonal and is often badly conditioned. Therefore, we consider another basis, which is more “local”.

To  $\Delta_k$  let define  $\tau_{-m+1} = \tau_{-m+2} = \dots = \tau_0 = a$  and  $b = \tau_{k+1} = \tau_{k+2} = \dots = \tau_{k+m}$  and call  $\tilde{\Delta}_k = \{\tau_i\}_{i=-m+1}^{k+m}$  **an extended partition** for  $\mathcal{S}_m(\Delta_k)$ .

**Definition** Let  $\tilde{\Delta}_k$  be an extended partition associated with  $\mathcal{S}_m(\Delta_k)$ , then the functions

$$N_i(x) = (-1)^m (\tau_{i+m} - \tau_i) [\tau_i, \dots, \tau_{i+m}](x - \cdot)_+^{m-1}, \quad i = -m+1, \dots, k, \quad x \in [a, b)$$

are called **B-splines**. Here

$$[\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k]f = \frac{[\tau_2, \dots, \tau_k]f - [\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{k-1}]f}{\tau_k - \tau_1},$$

with  $[\tau_i]f := f(\tau_i)$  defines the  $k$ -th order divided difference of  $f$ .

Schumaker (2007) proves on p. 116, that  $N_i(x)$ ,  $i = -m + 1, \dots, k$  form a basis for

$\mathcal{S}_m(\Delta_k)$ .

**Example:**  $m = 2$ ,  $i = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} N_1(x) &= (-1)^2(\tau_3 - \tau_1)[\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3](x - \cdot)_+ \\ &= (\tau_3 - \tau_1) \frac{[\tau_2, \tau_3](x - \cdot)_+ - [\tau_1, \tau_2](x - \cdot)_+}{\tau_3 - \tau_1} \\ &= \frac{(x - \tau_3)_+ - (x - \tau_2)_+}{\tau_3 - \tau_2} - \frac{(x - \tau_2)_+ - (x - \tau_1)_+}{\tau_2 - \tau_1}, \end{aligned}$$

so that for  $x \in [\tau_1, \tau_2]$  we find  $N_1(x) = (x - \tau_1)/(\tau_2 - \tau_1)$ , while for  $x \in [\tau_2, \tau_3]$  it holds  $N_1(x) = -(x - \tau_3)/(\tau_3 - \tau_2)$ . For  $x$  outside  $[\tau_1, \tau_3]$   $N_1(x) = 0$ .

Another, recursive definition of B-splines

$$\begin{aligned} N_{i,1}(x) &= \begin{cases} 1, & x \in [\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}) \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases} \\ N_{i,m}(x) &= \begin{cases} \frac{x - \tau_i}{\tau_{i+m-1} - \tau_i} N_{i,m-1}(x) + \frac{\tau_{i+m} - x}{\tau_{i+m} - \tau_{i+1}} N_{i+1,m-1}(x), & x \in [\tau_i, \tau_{i+m}) \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $i = -m + 1, \dots, k$  and with convention  $0/0 = 0$ . Here  $N_{i,j}(x)$  denotes the  $i$ -th basis function for  $\mathcal{S}_j(\Delta_k)$ .

*B-splines in a nutshell*

Each  $N_i(x)$  of order  $m$

1. consists of  $m$  polynomial pieces of degree  $m - 1$ , which join at  $m - 1$  inner knots
2.  $N_i(x) > 0$  for  $x \in [\tau_i, \tau_{i+m})$  and is zero for  $x$ s outside of this interval
3.  $N_i(x)$  overlaps with  $2(m - 1)$  pieces of its neighbors
4.  $\sum_{i=j-m+1}^j N_i(x) = 1$ ,  $x \in [\tau_j, \tau_{j+1})$

Now consider a fixed design regression model with deterministic  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n \in [0, 1]$

$$Y_i = f(x_i) + \epsilon_i, \quad \text{cov}(\epsilon_i \epsilon_j) = \sigma^2 \delta_{ij}, \quad \text{E}(\epsilon_i) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Regression function  $f$  is estimated by regression splines, that is

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}_n &= \arg \min_{s \in \mathcal{S}_m(\Delta_k)} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \{Y_i - s(x_i)\}^2 = N(\cdot) \arg \min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{k+m}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ Y_i - \sum_{j=1}^{k+m} N_j(x_i) \beta_j \right\}^2 \\ &= N(\cdot) \arg \min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{k+m}} (Y - N\beta)^t (Y - N\beta) = N(\cdot) (N^t N)^{-1} N^t Y,\end{aligned}$$

where  $N = \{N(x_1)^t, \dots, N(x_n)^t\}^t$  is the basis matrix with  $N(x) = \{N_1(x), \dots, N_{k+m}(x)\}$  as some basis of  $\mathcal{S}_m(\Delta_k)$ .

For  $x_i$  it is assumed that there is a distribution function  $Q$  with a positive continuous density  $q$ , such that the empirical distribution of  $x_i$ , denoted by  $Q_n$  can be sufficiently good approximated by  $Q$ :

$$\sup_{x \in [0,1]} |Q_n(x) - Q(x)| = o(k^{-1}).$$

For the fixed knots  $\tau_i$  it is assumed, that

$$\int_0^{\tau_i} p(x) dx = i/(k+1), \quad i = 0, \dots, k+1$$

for some positive continuous density  $p(x)$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Such knot sequences are called **regular sequences generated by  $p$** . Under this assumptions we get for  $f \in \mathcal{C}^m$  that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} \int_0^1 \left\{ \hat{f}_n(x) - f(x) \right\}^2 q(x) dx &= \left\{ \frac{k\sigma^2}{n} \int_0^1 \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} dx + \frac{k^{-2m} \mathcal{B}_{2m}}{(2m)!} \int_0^1 \frac{\{f^{(m)}(x)\}^2}{\{p(x)\}^{2m}} dx \right\} \{1 + o(1)\}, \\ &= AMISE\{\hat{f}_n\} \{1 + o(1)\}.\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{B}_{2m}$  is the Bernoulli number. Moreover, this asymptotic mean integrated squared error is minimized by

$$\begin{aligned}\text{(i)} \quad q_{opt}^a(x) &= \frac{\sqrt{p(x)}}{\int_0^1 \sqrt{p(x)} dx}, \\ \text{(ii)} \quad p_{opt}^a(x) &= \frac{\{f^{(m)}(x)\}^{\frac{4}{4m+1}}}{\int_0^1 \{f^{(m)}(x)\}^{\frac{4}{4m+1}} dx}, \\ \text{(iii)} \quad k_{opt}^a &= \left[ \frac{\mathcal{B}_{2m} n}{(2m-1)! \sigma^2} \left( \int_0^1 \{f^{(m)}(x)\}^{\frac{4}{4m+1}} dx \right)^{-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{2m+1}} \int_0^1 \{f^{(m)}(x)\}^{\frac{4}{4m+1}} dx.\end{aligned}$$

For equidistant knots one can employ usual unbiased risk estimators to get the optimal number of knots. For example,

$$GCV(k) = \frac{\|\hat{f}_n - f\|^2}{[1 - \text{tr}\{N(N^t N)^{-1} N^t\}/n]^2} = \frac{\|\hat{f}_n - f\|^2}{(1 - k/n)^2}.$$