NavAI Technical Assessment Report

Generated on January 04, 2026 at 07:35 PM

Overall Score

67/100

■ NEEDS IMPROVEMENT: The presenter needs to work on improving their visual aids and providing more detailed explanations to support their points. With more practice and feedback, the presenter has the potential to deliver a strong presentation.

The presenter demonstrated a good understanding of the technical aspects of the project and provided clear explanations of the project's purpose and goals. However, the presentation was limited by poor visual aids and a lack of depth in certain areas. With more engaging visuals and detailed explanations, the presenter could have made a stronger impact. The presenter showed potential in their communication skills, but could improve with more practice and feedback.

■ Category Breakdown



Category	Score	Rating
Technical Depth	67/100	Good
Clarity Of Explanation	67/100	Good
Originality	60/100	Good
Implementation Understanding	65/100	Good
Presentation Formatting	60/100	Good
Visual Aids Quality	50/100	Needs Work
Diagrams And Charts	50/100	Needs Work
Code Quality	60/100	Good
Problem Solving	65/100	Good
Communication Skills	65/100	Good

■ Strengths

• The presenter provided a clear introduction to the topic of House Price Prediction using Machine Learning, stating 'House price production using machine learning. So the main focus of my project is to predict house prices using machine learning.', which set the tone for the rest of the presentation.

• The presenter demonstrated a good understanding of the technical aspects of the project, such as the use of random forest models, as seen in Topic 6 where they stated 'I'm using random forest. I'm using random forest model for this....'

• The presenter was able to provide clear explanations of the project's purpose and goals, such as in Topic 3 where they stated 'Okay. So, basically, the purpose of my project is to build a machine learning model....'

■■ Areas for Improvement

• The presenter's visual aids were limited and of poor quality, with a score of 5/10 for visual quality throughout the presentation. For example, the slide titled 'HOUSE PRICE PREDICTION USING MACHINE LEARNING' was simple and lacked engaging visuals. To improve, the

presenter could use more diagrams, charts, and images to support their explanations.

• The presenter's explanation of certain topics, such as the use of the internet in Topic 7, was unclear and lacked depth. To improve, the presenter could provide more detailed explanations and examples to support their points.

■ Visual & Presentation Feedback

Slide Design

The slide design was simple and lacked creativity. The presenter could use more colors, images, and diagrams to make the slides more engaging.

Diagrams & Charts

There were no diagrams or flowcharts observed in the presentation. The presenter could use diagrams to illustrate the machine learning model and its components.

Code Presentation

No code was presented in the slides. The presenter could include code snippets to demonstrate their implementation.

Suggestions

Use more images, diagrams, and charts to support explanations. Use a consistent color scheme and font throughout the presentation. Consider using interactive visual aids, such as videos or animations, to make the presentation more engaging.

■ Content Feedback

Structure

The presentation followed a clear structure, starting with an introduction and then delving into the technical aspects of the project. However, the presenter could have provided more transitions between topics to make the presentation flow better.

Technical Depth

The presentation lacked depth in certain areas, such as the explanation of the internet in Topic 7. The presenter could have provided more examples and details to support their points.

Missing Topics

The presentation could have benefited from more discussion on the limitations and potential applications of the machine learning model. The presenter could have also provided more information on the data used to train the model.