

Semantic Markup for Mathematical Statements*

Michael Kohlhase
FAU Erlangen-Nürnberg
<http://kwarc.info/kohlhase>

October 21, 2020

Abstract

The `statements` package is part of the \LaTeX collection, a version of $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$ that allows to markup $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$ documents semantically without leaving the document format, essentially turning $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$ into a document format for mathematical knowledge management (MKM).

This package provides semantic markup facilities for mathematical statements like Theorems, Lemmata, Axioms, Definitions, etc. in \LaTeX files. This structure can be used by MKM systems for added-value services, either directly from the \LaTeX sources, or after translation.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	The User Interface	2
2.1	Package Options	2
2.2	Statements	2
2.3	Cross-Referencing Symbols and Concepts	10
2.4	Term Redefinition in Recaps	11
3	Configuration of the Presentation	12
4	Limitations	13
5	The Implementation	14
5.1	Package Options	14
5.2	Statements	14
5.3	Cross-Referencing Symbols and Concepts	21
5.4	Term Redefinition in Recaps	23
5.5	Deprecated Functionality	23

*Version v1.5 (last revised 2020/10/17)

1 Introduction

The motivation for the `statements` package is very similar to that for semantic macros in the `modules` package: We want to annotate the structural semantic properties of statements in the source, but present them as usual in the formatted documents. In contrast to the case for mathematical objects, the repertoire of mathematical statements and their structure is more or less fixed.

This structure can be used by MKM systems for added-value services, either directly from the \LaTeX sources, or after translation. Even though it is part of the \LaTeX collection, it can be used independently, like its sister package `proofs`.

\LaTeX [Koh08; sTeX] is a version of $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$ that allows to markup $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$ documents semantically without leaving the document format, essentially turning $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$ into a document format for mathematical knowledge management (MKM). Currently the OMDOC format [Koh06] is directly supported.

2 The User Interface

The `statements` package supplies a semantically oriented infrastructure for marking up mathematical statements: fragments of natural language that state properties of mathematical objects, e.g. axioms, definitions, or theorems. The `statement` package provides an infrastructure for marking up the semantic relations between statements for the OMDOC transformation and uses the `ntheorem` package [MS] for formatting (i.e. transformation to PDF).

2.1 Package Options

<code>defindex</code>	The <code>statements</code> package provides the <code>defindex</code> option to \LaTeX . If this is set, then definienda are automatically passed into the index of the document.
<code>showmeta</code>	Furthermore, the <code>statements</code> package passes the <code>showmeta</code> to the <code>metakeys</code> package. If this is set, then the metadata keys are shown (see [Koh20b] for details and customization options). The <code>nontheorem</code> option tells statements not to load the <code>ntheorem</code> package – in case some other theorem package is already loaded; e.g. by the <code>beamer</code> package and we prefer that. Note that using the <code>nontheorem</code> option in a case where no theorem package is loaded will lead to errors.
<code>mh</code>	The <code>mh</code> option turns on MathHub support; see [Koh20a].

2.2 Statements

All the statements are marked up as environments, that take a `KeyVal` argument that allows to annotate semantic information. Generally, we distinguish two forms of statements:

block statements have explicit discourse markers that delimit their content in the surrounding text, e.g. the boldface word “**Theorem:**” as a start marker and a little line-end box as an end marker of a proof.

flow statements do not have explicit markers, they are interspersed with the surrounding text.

Since they have the same semantic status, they must both be marked up, but styled differently. We distinguish between these two presentational forms with the `display` key, which is allowed on all statement environments. If it has the value `block` (the default), then the statement will be presented in a paragraph of its own, have explicit discourse markers for its begin and end, possibly numbering, etc. If it has the value `flow`, then no extra presentation will be added the semantic information is invisible to the reader. Another key that is present on all statement environments in the `id` key it allows to identify the statement with a name and to reference it with the semantic referencing infrastructure provided by the `sref` package [Koh20d].

2.2.1 Axioms and Assertions

The `assertion` environment is used for marking up statements that can be justified from previously existing knowledge (usually marked with the monikers “Theorem”, “Lemma”, “Proposition”, etc. in mathematical vernacular). The environment `assertion` is used for all of them, and the particular subtype of assertion is given in the `type` key. So instead of `\begin{Lemma}` we have to write `\begin{assertion}[type=lemma]` (see Example 1 for an example).

```
\begin{assertion}[id=sum-over-odds,type=lemma]
  $\sum_{i=1}^n 2i-1=n^2$
\end{assertion}
```

will lead to the result

Lemma 2.1 $\sum_{i=1}^n 2i - 1 = n^2$

Example 1: Semantic Markup for a Lemma in a `module` context

Whether we will see the keyword “Lemma” will depend on the value of the optional `display` key. In all of the `assertion` environments, the presentation expectation is that the text will be presented in italic font. The presentation (keywords, spacing, and numbering) of the `assertion` environment is delegated to a theorem styles from the `ntheorem` environment. For an assertion of type $\langle type \rangle$ the `assertion` environment calls the `ST $\langle type \rangle$ AssEnv` environment provided by the `statements` package; see Figure 2 for a list of provided assertion types. Their formatting can be customized by redefining the `ST $\langle type \rangle$ AssEnv` environment via the `\renewtheorem` command from the `ntheorem` package; see [MS] for details.

The `axiom` environment is similar to `assertion`, but the content has a different ontological status: axioms are assumed without (formal) justification, whereas assertions are expected to be justified from other assertions, axioms or definitions. This environment relegates the formatting to the `STaxiomEnv` environment, which can be redefined for configuration.

`\inlineass` Sometimes we state mathematical properties in passing, e.g. in a phrase like

Value	Explanation
theorem, proposition	an important assertion with a proof
Note that the meaning of theorem (in this case the existence of a proof) is not enforced by OMDoc applications. It can be appropriate to give an assertion the theorem , if the author knows of a proof (e.g. in the literature), but has not formalized it in OMDoc yet.	
lemma	a less important assertion with a proof
The difference of importance specified here is even softer than the other ones, since e.g. reusing a mathematical paper as a chapter in a larger monograph, may make it necessary to downgrade a theorem (e.g. the main theorem of the paper) and give it the status of a lemma in the overall work.	
corollary	a simple consequence
An assertion is sometimes marked as a corollary to some other statement, if the proof is considered simple. This is often the case for important theorems that are simple to get from technical lemmata.	
postulate, conjecture	an assertion without proof or counter-example
Conjectures are assertions, whose semantic value is not yet decided, but which the author considers likely to be true. In particular, there is no proof or counter-example.	
false-conjecture	an assertion with a counter-example
A conjecture that has proven to be false, i.e. it has a counter-example. Such assertions are often kept for illustration and historical purposes.	
obligation, assumption	an assertion on which a proof of another depends
These kinds of assertions are convenient during the exploration of a mathematical theory. They can be used and proven later (or assumed as an axiom).	
rule	a normative assertion
These kinds of assertions can be interpreted procedurally to trigger actions	
observation, remark	if everything else fails
This type is the catch-all if none of the others applies.	

Example 2: Types of Mathematical Assertions

“... $s(o)$ which is positive.”. For this we cannot use the `assertion` environment, which presupposes that its content gives all that is needed to understand the statement. In this situation, we just wrap the phrase in an `\inlineass` to mark it as an assertion. The `\inlinedef` macro accepts the same `id` and `for` keys in its optional argument, and additionally the `verbalizes` key which can be used to point to a full assertion of the concept somewhere else.

`inlineAssertion` The `\inlineass` macro has an environment version `inlineAssertion`, which does the same, but can digest “block content”. The most common case is when the inline definition contains a displayed equation (`\[...\]`).

2.2.2 Symbols

`symboldec` The `symboldec` environment can be used for declaring concepts and symbols. Note that the `symdef` forms from the `modules` package will not do this automatically (but the `definition` environment and the `\inlinedef` macro will for all the definienda; see below). The `symboldec` environment takes an optional keywords argument with the keys `id`, `role`, `title` and `name`. The first is for general identification, the `role` specifies the OPENMATH/OMDOC role, which is one of `object`, `type`, `sort`, `binder`, `attribution`, `application`, `constant`, `semantic-attribution`, and `error` (see the OMDOC specification for details). The `name` key specifies the OPENMATH name of the symbol, it should coincide with the control sequence introduced by the corresponding `\symdef` (if one is present). The `title` key is for presenting the title of this symbol as in other statements. Usually, `axiom` and `symboldec` environments are used together as in Figure 3.

2.2.3 Types

In many cases, we can give additional information for symbols in the form of type assignments. \S TEX does not fix a type system, but allows types to be arbitrary mathematical objects that they can be defined in (imported) modules. The

`\symtype` `\symtype` macro can be used to assign a type to a symbol:

`\symtype[\langle keys \rangle]{\langle sym \rangle}{\langle type \rangle}`

assigns the type $\langle type \rangle$ to a symbol with name $\langle sym \rangle$. For instance

`\symtype[id=plus-nat.type,system=sts]{plus}{\fntype{\Nat,\Nat}\Nat}`

assigns the type $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ (in the `sts` type system) to the symbol `plus`. This states (type assignments are statements epistemologically) that addition is a binary function on natural numbers. The `\symtype` macro supports the keys `id` (for identifiers) and `system` for the type system.

Often, type assignments occur in informal context, where the type assignment is given by a natural language sentence or phrase. For this, the `statements` package supplies the `typedec` environment and the `\inlinetypedec` macro. Both take an optional keyval argument followed by the type. The phrase/sentence is the body of the `typedec` environment and the last argument of the `\inlinetypedec`

`typedec`
`\inlinetypedec`

```

\symdef{zero}{0}
\begin{symboldec}[name=zero,title=The number zero,type=constant]
  The number zero, it is used as the base case of the inductive definition
  of natural numbers via the Peano Axioms.
\end{symboldec}

\symdef{succ}[1]{\prefix{s}{#1}}
\begin{symboldec}[name=succ,title=The Successor Function,type=application]
  The successor function, it is used for the step case of the inductive
  definition of natural numbers via the Peano Axioms.
\end{symboldec}

\symdef{NaturalNumbers}{\mathbb{N}}
\begin{symboldec}[name=succ,title=The Natural Numbers,type=constant]
  The natural numbers inductively defined via the Peano Axioms.
\end{symboldec}

\begin{axiom}[id=peano.P1,title=P1]
  $\text{\texttt{zero}}$ is a natural number.
\end{axiom}
...
\begin{axiom}[id=peano.P5,title=P5]
  Any property $P$ such $P(\text{\texttt{zero}})$ and $P(\text{\texttt{succ}}\{k\})$ whenever $P(k)$
  holds for all $n$ in $\text{\texttt{NaturalNumbers}}$
\end{axiom}

```

will lead to the result

Symbol zero: (The number zero)

The number zero, it is used as the base case of the inductive definition of natural numbers via the Peano Axioms.

Symbol succ: (The Successor Function)

The successor function, it is used for the step case of the inductive definition of natural numbers via the Peano Axioms.

Symbol succ: (The Natural Numbers)

The natural numbers inductively defined via the Peano Axioms.

Axiom 2.2 (P1) 0 is a natural number.

...

Axiom 2.6 (P5) Any property P such $P(0)$ and $P(\succ k)$ whenever $P(k)$ holds for all n in \mathbb{N}

Example 3: Semantic Markup for the Peano Axioms

macro. The symbol name is given in via the `for` key. For convenience, the macro `\thedectype` is bound to the type. So we can use

```
\begin{typedec}[for=plus,id=plus-nat.type]{\fntype{\Nat,\Nat}\Nat}
  $+:\thedectype$ is a binary function on $\Nat$
\end{typedec}
```

instead of the `\syntype` above in an informal setting.

2.2.4 Definitions, and Definienda

definition The `definition` environment is used for marking up mathematical definitions. Its peculiarity is that it defines (i.e. gives a meaning to) new mathematical concepts or objects. These are identified by the `\definiendum` macro, which is used as `\definiendum[⟨keys⟩]{⟨text⟩}`. Here, `⟨text⟩` is the text that is to be emphasized in the presentation. `\definiendum` takes the key `lemma` allows to specify the base form of the name of `⟨text⟩` – e.g. for referencing in a glossary or index. The `name` can be used for giving a system name of the symbol defined (for reference via `\termref`, see Section 2.3). If the `name` key is not given, then the value of the `lemma` key is used as a system name instead if it is given, else `⟨text⟩` is used as fallback. This is usually sufficient for most situations. More keys – e.g. for specifying grammatical features of the term – may come in the future.

```
\symdef{one}{1}
\begin{definition}[id=one.def,for=one]
  $\notatiendum[one]{\one}$ is the successor of $\zero$
  (formally: $\one := \succ\zero$)
\end{definition}
```

will lead to the result

Definition 2.7 1 is the successor of 0 (formally: $1 := s(0)$)

Example 4: A Definition based on Figure 3

\defi The `\defi{⟨text⟩}` macro combines the functionality of the `\definiendum` macro with index markup from the `omdoc` package [Koh20c]: For definienda where the name and `⟨text⟩` do not coincide, use

```
\defi[name=⟨name⟩]{⟨text⟩}[⟨indexkeys⟩]
```

to markup a `definiendum` `⟨text⟩` with system name `⟨name⟩` that appear in the index (where `⟨indexkeys⟩` are passed to the `\omdoc@index*` macros from the `omtext` package) — in other words in almost all definitions of single-word concepts. Again more keys for the first optional argument – e.g. for specifying grammatical features of the term – may come in the future.

For definitions of functional objects, e.g. the image of a function, we have to extend the simple infrastructure by a facility of marking up argument: we have the situation in Figure ??.

```
\symde{imageof}[2]{#1(#2)}
\symdef{image}[1]{\text{Im}(\#1)}
\begin{definintion}
  Let  $F:A\rightarrow B$  be a function and  $A'\subseteq A$ , then we call
  \begin{itemize}
    \item  $\text{imageof}\{f\}A:=\{f(a)\in B\colon a\in A\}$  the
      \defi[name=imageof]{image}$ \pdefi1[the set]{of}{\text{\primvar{A}}}$
      \pdefi2[the function]{under}{\text{\f$}}$.
    \item  $\text{image}\{f\}:=\text{imageof}\{f\}A$  the \defi{image}  $\text{image}\{f\}$ 
      \pdefi1[the function]{of}{\text{\f$}}
  \end{itemize}
\end{definintion}
```

Definition 2.8 Let $F : A \rightarrow B$ be a function and $A' \subseteq A$, then we call

- $f(A) := \{f(a) \in B : a \in A\}$ the **image of A' under f** .
- $\text{Im}(f) := f(A)$ the **image $\text{Im}(f)$ of f**

Example 5: Definition Markup for Functional Objects

We also have the variants `\defii`, `\defiii`, and `\defiv` for (adjectivized) multi-word compounds. Note that if the definiendum contains semantic macros, then we need to specify the `loadmodules` key and also protect the semantic macro. For instance if `\eset` is the semantic macro for \emptyset , then we would use

```
\defii[name=eset-comp]{\protect\eset}{\compatible}[loadmodules]
```

for the definiendum markup.

A `\defi{graph}` consists of `\adefi{vertices}{vertex}` and `\defis{edge}`.

Example 6: Definienda where Lemma and Text Form differ

For the cases where the lemma and *text* are different we can use the variants `\adefi`, `\adefii`, `\adefiii`, and `\adefiv` that have an additional first argument that allows to specify an alternative *text*; see Figure 7. The main use of these is to mark up inflected forms as in Figure 6.

As the greatest number of these are plurals, which tends to be regular (e.g. adding a trailing “s” in English), we provide the variants `\defis`, `\defiis`, `\defiiis`, and `\defivis` for that case: `\defiis{simple}{group}` is equivalent to much longer `\adefii{simple groups}{simple}{group}` (but also see Figure 6).

source		
system name	result	index
\defi{concept}		
concept	concept	concept
\defi[name=csymbol]{concept}		
csymbol	concept	concept
\adefi[name=csymbol]{concepts}{concept}		
csymbol	concepts	concept
\defiii{concept}{group}		
concept-group	concept group	concept group, group - , concept
\defiiii{small}{concept}{group}		
small-concept-group	small concept group	small concept group, concept group - , small

Example 7: Some definienda with Index

For convenience, we also have capitalizing versions of all of the above: `\Defi*` and `\Defi*s`.

Note that the `\definiendum`, `\defi*`, `\adefi*`, and `\defi*s`, macros can only be used inside the definitional situation, i.e. in a `definition` or `symboldec` environment or a `\inlinedef` macro. If you find yourself in a situation where you want to use it outside, you will most likely want to wrap the appropriate text fragment in a `\begin{definition}[display=flow] ...` and `\end{definition}`. For instance, we could continue the example in Figure 3 with the `definition` environment in Figure 4.

Sometimes we define mathematical concepts in passing, e.g. in a phrase like “... $s(o)$ which we call **one**.”. For this we cannot use the `definition` environment, which presupposes that its content gives all that is needed to understand the definition. But we do want to make use of the infrastructure introduced for the `definition` environment. In this situation, we just wrap the phrase in an `\inlinedef` macro that makes them available. The `\inlinedef` macro accepts the same `id` and `for` keys in its optional argument, and additionally the `verbalizes` key which can be used to point to a full definition of the concept somewhere else.

The `\inlinedef` macro has an environment version `inlineDefinition`, which does the same, but can digest “block content”. The most common case is when the inline definition contains a displayed equation (`\[...\]`).

Note that definienda can only be referenced via a `\term` element, if they are only allowed inside a named module, i.e. a `module` environment with a name given by the `id=` key or the `theory=` key on is specified on the definitional environment.

2.2.5 Examples

The `example` environment is a generic statement environment, except that the

for key should be given to specify the identifier what this is an example for. The `example` environment also expects a `type` key to be specified, so that we know whether this is an example or a counterexample.

`\inlineex` The `\inlineex` is analogous to `\inlinedef`, only that it is used for inline examples, e.g. “...mammals, e.g. goats”. Note that we have used an inline example for an inline example. Like `\inlinedef`, the `\inlineex` macro has an environment version `inlineExample` for “block content”.

2.3 Cross-Referencing Symbols and Concepts

If we have defined a concept with the `\definiendum` macro, then we can mark up other occurrences of the term as referring to this concept. Note that this process cannot be fully automatized yet, since that would need advanced language technology to get around problems of disambiguation, inflection, and non-contiguous phrases¹. Therefore, the `\termref` can be used to make this information explicit. It takes the keys

`cdbase` to specify a URI (a path actually, since L^AT_EX cannot load from URIs) where the module can be found.

`cd` to specify the module in which the term is defined. If the `cd` key is not given, then the current module is assumed. If no `cdbase` is specified (this is the usual case), then the CD has to be imported via a `\importmodule` from the `modules` package [KGA20].

`name` to specify the name of the definiendum (which is given in the body of the `\definiendum` or the optional argument). If the `name` key is not specified, then argument of the `\termref` macro is used.

`role` is currently unused.

`\termref[cd=<cd>,name=<name>]{<text>}` will just typeset the link text `<text>` with (if the `hyperref` package is loaded) a hyperlink to the definition in module `<cd>` that defines the concept `<name>`, e.g. that contains `\defi[name=<name>]{<text>}`.

Just as the `\definiendum` macro has the convenience variants `\defi` and `\?defi*`, the `\termref` has variants `\trefi`, `\trefii`, `\trefiii`, and `\trefiv` that take two and three arguments for the parts of the compositum. In the same module, concepts that are marked up by `\defi{<name>}` in the definition can be referenced by `\trefi{<name>}`. Here the link text is just `<name>`. Concepts defined via `\defii{<first>}{<second>}` can be referenced by `\trefii{<first>}{<second>}` (with link text “`<first> <second>`”) and analogously for `\defiii`/`\trefiii` and `\defiv`/`\trefiv`.

`\trefi` For referencing terms outside the current module, the module name can be specified in the first optional argument of the `*trefi*` macros. The first argument is syntactically optional to keep the parallelism to `*defi*` and `*trefi*`. To specify the `cdbase`, we have to resort to the `\termref` macro with the `keyval` arguments.

For term references, where the symbol name and the verbalisation differ the situation is more complex: The optional first argument can be used to spec-

For term references, where the symbol name and the verbalisation differ the situation is more complex: The optional first argument can be used to spec-

¹We do have a program that helps annotate larger text collections spotting the easy cases; see <http://kwarc.info/projects/stex> and look for the program `termin`.

ify the symbol via its name $\langle name \rangle$ and module name $\langle mod \rangle$ in a MMT URI $\langle mod \rangle ? \langle name \rangle$. Note that MMT URIs can be relative:

1. `foo?bar` denotes the symbol `bar` from module `foo`
2. `foo` the module `foo` (the symbol name is induced from the remaining arguments of `*trefi*`)
3. `?bar` specifies symbol `bar` from the current module

Note that the number suffix `i/ii/iii/iv` indicates the number of words in the actual language binding, not in the symbol name.

Note that the `\termref` treatment above is natural for “concepts” declared by the `\termdef` macro from the `modules` package [KGA20]. Concepts are natural language names for mathematical objects. For “symbols”, i.e. symbolic identifiers for mathematical objects used in mathematical formulae, we use the `\symdef` macro from the `modules` package. Sometimes, symbols also have an associated natural language concept, and we want to use the symbol name to reference it (instead of specifying `cd` and `name` which is more inconvenient). For this the `\symref` statements package supplies the `\symref` macro. Like `\termref`, and invocation of `\symref{\cseq}\{text\}` will just typeset $\langle text \rangle$ with a hyperlink to the relevant definition (i.e. the one that has the declaration `for=\cseq` in the metadata argument.)

`\term` The `\term` macro is a variant of the `\termref` macro that marks up a phrase as a (possible) term reference, which does not have a link *yet*. This macro is a convenient placeholder for authoring, where a `\termref` annotation is (currently) too tedious or the link target has not been authored yet. It facilitates lazy flexiformalization workflows, where definitions for mathematical concepts are supplied or marked up by need (e.g. after a `grep` shows that the number of `\term` annotations of a concept is above a threshold). Editors or active documents can also support the `\term` macro like a wiki-like dangling link: a click on `\term{\phrase}` could generate a new editor buffer with a stub definition (an `definition` environment with `\definiendum` macro and appropriate metadata).

2.4 Term Redefinition in Recaps

In many situations, the author “recaps” – i.e. repeats, possibly in abbreviated or adapted form – a definition from the literature. Linguistically, these recaps often come in the form of definitions, but epistemically, the definienda are just term references to the definitions in the literature; we call them **definition recaps**, see [IK15] for a discussion. To accomodate this, we supply the `recaps` key for the `\definiendum` and thus `\defi*` macros. The full functionality: `\definiendum[name=foo,recaps=bar?foobar,lemma=F00]{F00ta}`, which defines a symbol with name `foo` and lemma `F00` in the current module, and which also recaps the symbol `foobar` from module `bar` and shows the definiendum `F00ta` – ostensibly an inflected form of `F00` – is almost never needed.

`\drefi*` Therefore the `statements` package offers the `\drefi*` macros and variants

`\drefi*` `\drefi*s` for plurals and `\Drefi*` for capitalization as above. These provide syntactic sugar for the most important forms: If $\langle uri \rangle$ contains a `?`, then `\drefi[\langle uri \rangle]{\langle name \rangle}` abbreviates `\definiendum[recaps=\langle uri \rangle]{\langle name \rangle}` otherwise $\langle uri \rangle$ represents a theory $\langle thy \rangle$ and `\drefi[\langle thy \rangle]{\langle name \rangle}` abbreviates `\definiendum[recaps=\langle thy \rangle?{\langle name \rangle}]{\langle name \rangle}`.

Figure 8 shows a typical situation: We have an external theory `graphs` – here in the same file for example convenience, and a recap slide in a presentation, which imports or uses this theory. This has a `definition` which gives a telegraphic version of the graph definition from `graphs`. This assumes that theory `graphs` is known from above. An active document player could support this situation, by linking the `\drefi*`-encoded definienda and term references to them to their definitions from the `graphs` theory.

```
\begin{module}[id=graphs]
  \begin{definition}
    A \defi{graph}  $G=\langle V,E \rangle$  consists of a set  $V$  of
    \adefi{vertices}{vertex} and a set  $E \subseteq V \times V$  of
    \defis{edge}.
  \end{definition}
\end{module}
...
\begin{frame}
  \frametitle{Preliminaries: The Relevant Notations}
  \usemodule{graphs}
  \begin{definition}[title=Recap: Graphs]
    A \drefi[graphs]{graph} consists of \drefi[graphs?vertex]{vertices}
    and \drefis[graphs]{edge}.
  \end{definition}
\end{frame}
```

Example 8: Redefinitions in Recaps

3 Configuration of the Presentation

`\defemph` The `\defemph` macro is a configuration hook that allows to specify the style of presentation of the definiendum. By default, it is set to `\bf` as a fallback, since we can be sure that this is always available. It can be customized by redefinition: For instance `\renewcommand{\defemph}[1]{\emph{#1}}`, changes the default behavior to italics.

`\termemph` The `\termemph` macro does the same for the style for `\termref`, it is empty by default. Note the term might carry an implicit hyper-reference to the defining occurrence and that the presentation engine might mark this up, changing this behavior.

`\stDMemph` The `\stDMemph` macro does the same for the style for the markup of the dis-

EdN:1

EdN:2

\STpresent

course markers like “Theorem”. If it is not defined, it is set to `\bf`; that allows to preset this in the class file.¹

Some authors like to lowercase the semantic references, i.e. use “axiom 2.6” instead of the default “Axiom 2.6” to refer to the last axiom in Figure 3. This can be achieved by redefining the `\STpresent` macro, which is applied to the keyword of the `ST*Env` theorem environments.²

Finally, we provide configuration hooks in Figure 9 for the statement types provided by the `statement` package. These are mainly intended for package authors building on `statements`, e.g. for multi-language support. The language bindings are given in the `smultiling` [KG20] package not in `statements` itself.

Environment	configuration macro	value
<code>STtheoremAssEnv</code>	<code>\st@theorem@kw</code>	Theorem
<code>STlemmaAssEnv</code>	<code>\st@lemma@kw</code>	Lemma
<code>STpropositionAssEnv</code>	<code>\st@proposition@kw</code>	Proposition
<code>STcorollaryAssEnv</code>	<code>\st@corollary@kw</code>	Corollary
<code>STconjectureAssEnv</code>	<code>\st@conjecture@kw</code>	Conjecture
<code>STfalseconjectureAssEnv</code>	<code>\st@falseconjecture@kw</code>	Conjecture (false)
<code>STpostulateAssEnv</code>	<code>\st@postulate@kw</code>	Postulate
<code>STobligationAssEnv</code>	<code>\st@obligation@kw</code>	Obligation
<code>STassumptionAssEnv</code>	<code>\st@assumption@kw</code>	Assumption
<code>STobservationAssEnv</code>	<code>\st@observation@kw</code>	Observation
<code>STremarkAssEnv</code>	<code>\st@remark@kw</code>	Remark
<code>STruleAssEnv</code>	<code>\st@rule@kw</code>	Rule
<code>STexampleEnv</code>	<code>\st@example@kw</code>	Example
<code>STaxiomEnv</code>	<code>\st@axiom@kw</code>	Axiom
<code>STdefinitionEnv</code>	<code>\st@definition@kw</code>	Definition
<code>STnotationEnv</code>	<code>\st@notation@kw</code>	Notation

Example 9: Configuration Hooks for statement types

4 Limitations

In this section we document known limitations. If you want to help alleviate them, please feel free to contact the package author. Some of them are currently discussed in the `sTeX` GitHub repository [sTeX].

1. none reported yet

¹EdNOTE: function declarations

²EdNOTE: this does not quite work as yet, since `STpresent` is applied when the label is written. But we would really like to have it applied when the reference is constructed. But for that we need to split the label into keyword and number in package `sref`.

5 The Implementation

5.1 Package Options

We declare some switches which will modify the behavior according to the package options. Generally, an option xxx will just set the appropriate switches to true (otherwise they stay false). package/class.

```

1 \<package>
2 \newif\if@modules@html@\@modules@html@true
3 \DeclareOption{omdocmode}{\@modules@html@false}
4 \newif\ifdef@index\def@indexfalse
5 \DeclareOption{defindex}{\def@indextrue}
6 \newif\if@nthm\@nthmtrue
7 \DeclareOption{nontheorem}{\@nthmfalse}
8 \DeclareOption*{\PassOptionsToPackage{\CurrentOption}{omtext}}
9 \ProcessOptions

```

The next measure is to ensure that some \TeX packages are loaded: `omdoc` for the statement keys, `modules` since we need module identifiers for referencing. Furthermore, we need the `ntheorem` package for presenting statements.

```

10 \RequirePackage{omtext}
11 \RequirePackage[base]{babel}
12 \ifcsdef{proof}{\cslet{proof}{\relax}\cslet{endproof}{\relax}}{}% to redefine if necessary
13 \if@nthm
14 \RequirePackage[hyperref]{ntheorem}
15 \theoremstyle{plain}
16 \else
17 \RequirePackage{amsthm}
18 \fi

```

Now, we define an auxiliary function that lowercases strings

Sometimes it is necessary to fallback to symbol names in order to generate `xml:id` attributes. For this purpose, we define an auxiliary function which ensures the name receives a unique NCName equivalent.³

For the other languages, we set up triggers

```

19 \AfterBabelLanguage{ngerman}{\input{statements-ngerman.ldf}}
20 \AfterBabelLanguage{finnish}{\input{statements-finnish.ldf}}
21 \AfterBabelLanguage{french}{\input{statements-french.ldf}}
22 \AfterBabelLanguage{russian}{\input{statements-russian.ldf}}

```

5.2 Statements

`\STpresent`

```

23 \providecommand\STpresent[1]{#1}

```

³EDNOTE: Hard to be unique here, e.g. the names "foo_bar" and "foo bar" would receive the same `xml:id` attributes... of course we can devise a more complex scheme for the symbol replacement.

```

\define@statement@env We define a meta-macro that allows us to define several variants of statements.
Upon beginning this environment, we first set the KeyVal attributes, then we
decide whether to print the discourse marker based on the value of the display
key, then (given the right Options were set), we show the semantic annotations,
and finally initialize the environment using the appropriate macro. Upon ending
the environment, we just run the respective termination macro.

24 \def\define@statement@env#1{%
25 \ifcsdef{#1}{\cslet{#1}{\relax}\cslet{end#1}{\relax}}{}% to redefine if necessary
26 \newenvironment{#1}[1][\metasetkeys{omtext}{#1}\sref@target\@in@omtexttrue%
27 \ifx\omtext@display\st@flow\def\@env{omtext}\else\def\@env{ST#1Env}%
28 \csname st@#1@initialize\endcsname\fi% display=flow
29 \ifx\omtext@title\@empty\begin{\@env}\else\begin{\@env}[\omtext@title]\fi%
30 \ifx\sref@id\@empty\sref@label@id{here}\else%
31 \sref@label@id{\STpresent{\csname st@#1@kw\endcsname}\~\@currentlabel}\fi%
32 \strut\ignorespacesandpars}
33 {\csname st@#1@terminate\endcsname\end{\@env}%
34 \omtext@post@skip\@in@omtextfalse}}

assertion

35 \newenvironment{assertion}[1][\metasetkeys{omtext}{#1}\sref@target\@in@omtexttrue%
36 \ifx\omtext@display\st@flow\def\@env{omtext}\else\def\@env{ST\omtext@type AssEnv}\fi
37 \ifx\omtext@title\@empty\begin{\@env}\else\begin{\@env}[\omtext@title]\fi%
38 \ifx\sref@id\@empty\sref@label@id{here}\else%
39 \sref@label@id{\STpresent{\csname st@\omtext@type @kw\endcsname}\~\@currentlabel}\fi
40 {\end{\@env}}

\st*@kw We configure the default keywords for the various theorem environments.

41 \def\st@theorem@kw{Theorem}
42 \def\st@lemma@kw{Lemma}
43 \def\st@proposition@kw{Proposition}
44 \def\st@corollary@kw{Corollary}
45 \def\st@conjecture@kw{Conjecture}
46 \def\st@falseconjecture@kw{Conjecture (false)}
47 \def\st@postulate@kw{Postulate}
48 \def\st@obligation@kw{Obligation}
49 \def\st@assumption@kw{Assumption}
50 \def\st@rule@kw{Rule}
51 \def\st@observation@kw{Observation}
52 \def\st@remark@kw{Remark}

Then we configure the presentation of the theorem environments

53 \if@nthm
54 \theorembodyfont{\itshape}
55 \theoremheaderfont{\normalfont\bfseries}
56 \else
57 \theoremstyle{plain}
58 \fi

```

`ST*AssEnv` We define a number of internal assertion environments according to the values of its `type` key.

```

59 \newtheorem{STtheoremAssEnv}{\st@theorem@kw}[section]
60 \newtheorem{STlemmaAssEnv}[STtheoremAssEnv]{\st@lemma@kw}
61 \newtheorem{STpropositionAssEnv}[STtheoremAssEnv]{\st@proposition@kw}
62 \newtheorem{STcorollaryAssEnv}[STtheoremAssEnv]{\st@corollary@kw}
63 \newtheorem{STconjectureAssEnv}[STtheoremAssEnv]{\st@conjecture@kw}
64 \newtheorem{STfalseconjectureAssEnv}[STtheoremAssEnv]{\st@falseconjecture@kw}
65 \newtheorem{STpostulateAssEnv}[STtheoremAssEnv]{\st@postulate@kw}
66 \newtheorem{STobligationAssEnv}[STtheoremAssEnv]{\st@obligation@kw}
67 \newtheorem{STassumptionAssEnv}[STtheoremAssEnv]{\st@assumption@kw}
68 \newtheorem{STobservationAssEnv}[STtheoremAssEnv]{\st@observation@kw}
69 \if@nhtm\theorembodyfont{\rmfamily}\else\theoremstyle{definition}\fi
70 \newtheorem{STremarkAssEnv}[STtheoremAssEnv]{\st@remark@kw}
71 \newtheorem{STruleAssEnv}[STtheoremAssEnv]{\st@rule@kw}

```

`example`

```

72 \def\st@example@initialize{}\def\st@example@terminate{}
73 \define@statement@env{example}
74 \def\st@example@kw{Example}
75 \newtheorem{STexampleEnv}[STtheoremAssEnv]{\st@example@kw}

```

`axiom`

```

76 \def\st@axiom@initialize{}\def\st@axiom@terminate{}
77 \define@statement@env{axiom}
78 \def\st@axiom@kw{Axiom}
79 \newtheorem{STaxiomEnv}[STtheoremAssEnv]{\st@axiom@kw}

```

`symboldec` We use `\symdef@type` from the `modules` package as the visual cue.

```

80 \srefaddidkey{symboldec}
81 \addmetakey{symboldec}{functions}
82 \addmetakey{symboldec}{role}
83 \addmetakey*{symboldec}{title}
84 \addmetakey*{symboldec}{name}
85 \addmetakey{symboldec}{subject}
86 \addmetakey*{symboldec}{display}
87 \newenvironment{symboldec}[1][\metasetkeys{symboldec}{#1}\sref@target\st@indeftrue%
88 \ifx\symboldec@display\st@flow\else\{noindent\stDMemph{\symdef@type} \symboldec@name:}\fi%
89 \ifx\symboldec@title\@empty~\else~(\stDMemph{\symboldec@title})\par\fi}{}

```

5.2.1 Types

EdN:4

`\symtype`

```

4
90 \srefaddidkey{symtype}
91 \addmetakey*{symtype}{system}
92 \addmetakey*{symtype}{for}
93 \newcommand\type@type{Type}

```

⁴EdNOTE: MK@DG; the type element should percolate up.


```

94 \newcommand\syntype[3] [] {\metasetkeys{syntype}{#1}\sref@target%
95 \noindent\type@type \ifx\syntype@\@empty\else (\syntype@system)\fi #2: $#3$}

\inlinetypedec
96 \newcommand\inlinetypedec[3] [] {\metasetkeys{syntype}{#1}\sref@target{\def\thedectype{#2}#3}}

typedec We first define a theorem environment
97 \def\st@typedec@kw{Type Declaration}
98 \newtheorem{STtypedecEnv}[STtheoremAssEnv]{\st@typedec@kw}
and then the environment itself.
99 \newenvironment{typedec}[2] [] {\metasetkeys{omtext}{#1}\sref@target%
100 \def\thedectype{#2}%
101 \ifx\omtext@display\st@flow\def\@@env{omtext}\else\def\@@env{STtypedecEnv}\fi%
102 \ifx\omtext@title\@empty\begin{\@@env}\else\begin{\@@env}[\omtext@title]\fi%
103 \ifx\sref@id\@empty\else\label{typedec.\sref@id}\fi
104 \ifx\sref@id\@empty\sref@label@id{here}\else%
105 \sref@label@id{\STpresent{\csname st@typedec@kw\endcsname}~\@currentlabel}\fi%
106 \ignorespacesandpars}
107 {\end{\@@env}\omtext@post@skip}

definition The definition environment itself is quite similar to the other's but we need to
set the \st@indef switch to suppress warnings from \st@def@target.
108 \newif\ifst@indef\st@indeffalse
109 \ifcsdef{definition}{\cslet{definition}{\relax}\cslet{enddefinition}{\relax}}{\}% to redefine if
110 \newenvironment{definition}[1] [] {\metasetkeys{omtext}{#1}\sref@target\st@indeftrue%
111 \ifx\omtext@display\st@flow\def\@@env{omtext}\else\def\@@env{STdefinitionEnv}\fi%
112 \ifx\omtext@title\@empty\begin{\@@env}\else\begin{\@@env}[\omtext@title]\fi%
113 \ifx\sref@id\@empty\sref@label@id{here}\else%
114 \sref@label@id{\STpresent{\csname st@definition@kw\endcsname}~\@currentlabel}\fi%
115 \ignorespacesandpars}
116 {\end{\@@env}}
117 \def\st@definition@kw{Definition}
118 \newtheorem{STdefinitionEnv}[STtheoremAssEnv]{\st@definition@kw}

notation We initialize the \def\st@notation@initialize{} here, and extend it with func-
tionality below.
119 \def\notemph#1{#1}
120 \def\st@notation@terminate{}
121 \def\st@notation@initialize{}
122 \define@statement@env{notation}
123 \def\st@notation@kw{Notation}
124 \newtheorem{STnotationEnv}[STtheoremAssEnv]{\st@notation@kw}

\st@def@target the next macro is a variant of the \sref@target macro provided by the sref
package specialized for the use in the \definiendum, \defi*, \Defi*, \defi*s,
and \Defi*s macros. \st@def@target{<opt>}{<name>}{<text>} makes a target
with label sref@<opt>@<modulename>@target, if <opt> is non-empty, else with the
label sref@<name>@<modulename>@target (the first time it encounters this sym-
bol; i.e. if \sref@<name>@<modulename>@defined is undefined). And it formats

```

the `\defemph`-emphasized $\langle text \rangle$. Also it generates the necessary warnings for a `\definiendum`-like macro.

```

125 \newcommand\st@def@target[3]{\edef\@symname{#1}\def\@verbname{#2}%
126 \ifst@indef% if we are in a definition or such
127 \@ifundefined{module@id}% if we are not in a module
128 {\PackageWarning{statements}{definiendum in unidentified module}\MessageBreak
129 \protect\definiendum, \protect\defi*,
130 \protect\Defi*, \protect\defi*s, \protect\Defi*s}\MessageBreak
131 can only be referenced when called in a module with id key}}%
132 {% now we are in a module
133 \edef\@cd{\ifx\omtext@theory\@empty\module@uri@uri\else\csname Module\omtext@theory\endcsname\
134 \edef\@cname{\ifx\@symname\@empty\@verbname\else\@symname\fi}%
135 \defemph{\@ifundefined{sref@\@cname \@cd @defined}%
136 {\expandafter\sref@target@ifh{sref@\@cd \@QuestionMark\@cname @target}{#3}}%
137 {#3}}}%
138 %\footnote{sTeX: target sref@\@cname \@cd @target}% for testing targets
139 \expandafter\gdef\csname sref@\@cname \@cd @defined\endcsname{yes}%
140 \ifmetakeys@showmeta\metakeys@show@keys{\@cd\{name:\@cname\}\fi}%
141 \else% st@indef: we are not in a definition or such
142 \PackageError{statements}%
143 {definiendum outside definition context}\MessageBreak
144 \protect\definiendum, \protect\defi,
145 \protect\Defi, \protect\defi*s, \protect\Defi*s}\MessageBreak
146 do not make sense semantically outside a definition.}
147 {Consider wrapping the defining phrase in a \protect\inlinedef}%
148 \fi}% st@indef

```

The `\definiendum` and `\notatiendum` macros are very simple.

`\@termdef` This macro is experimental, it is supposed to be invoked in `\definiendum` to define a macro with the `\definiendum` text, so that can be re-used later in term assignments (see the `modules` package). But in the current context, where we rely on \TeX groupings for visibility, this does not work, since the invocations of `\definiendum` are in `\definition` environments and thus one group level too low. Keeping this for future reference.

```

149 \newcommand\@termdef[2][\def\@test{#1}%
150 \@ifundefined{module@id}{\ifx\@test\@empty\def\@cname{#2}\else\def\@cname{#1}\fi%
151 \termdef\module@id \@cname}{#2}}

```

`\definiendum` We define the auxiliary `\@definiendum` macro which has two additional arguments for the default name and lemma, which can be overwritten by the keys in the first argument. The actual `\definiendum` macro is just a special case without defaults.

```

152 \addmetakey{definiendum}{name}
153 \addmetakey{definiendum}{lemma}
154 \addmetakey{definiendum}{recaps}
155 \newcommand\@definiendum[4][\metasetkeys{definiendum}{#1}% keys, text, default-name, default-
156 \edef\@cname{\ifx\definiendum@name\@empty #3\else\definiendum@name\fi}%
157 \edef\@lemma{\ifx\definiendum@lemma\@empty%

```

```

158 \ifx\definiendum@name\empty #4\else\definiendum@name\fi%
159 \else\definiendum@lemma\fi}%
160 \st@def@target{\@name}{\@lemma}{#2}\usemodule@maybesetcodes}
161 \newcommand\definiendum[2] [] {\@definiendum[#1]{#2}{}}

\notatiendum the notatiendum macro also needs to be visible in the notation and definition
environments
162 \newcommand\notatiendum[2] [] {\notemph{#2}}

We expand the LATEXML bindings for \defi, \defii, \defiii and \defiv
into two instances one will be used for the definition and the other for indexing.

\adefi Again we split the \adefi macro into two parts: \adef does the definiendum bit
and \@adefi handles the last optional argument and does the indexing. We use the
\@verb macro to transport the verbalization. We also factor out the \adefi@at
macro that sets the @@at register for the index. This is re-used by \adefi* below.
163 \newcommand\adefi[3] [] {\def\@verb{#2}\@definiendum[#1]{#2}{#3}{#3}\@adefi}
164 \newcommand\adef@at{%
165 \edef\@at{\ifx\definiendum@name\empty%
166 \ifx\definiendum@lemma\empty\@verb\else\definiendum@lemma\fi%
167 \else\definiendum@name\fi}}
168 \newcommand\@adefi[1] [] {\ifdef@index\adef@at\omdoc@indexi[at=\@at,#1]{\@verb}\fi\xspace}

\defi We split the \defi macro in two: \defi does the definiendum bit and \@defi
handles the last optional argument and does the indexing. The information flow
between them goes via the local \@phrase macro.
169 \newcommand\defi[2] [] {\adefi[#1]{#2}{#2}}
170 \newcommand\defis[2] [] {\adefi[#1]{#2s}{#2}}
171 \newcommand\Defi[2] [] {\adefi[#1]{\capitalize{#2}}{#2}}
172 \newcommand\Defis[2] [] {\adefi[#1]{\capitalize{#2s}}{#2}}

\adefii analogous to \adefi
173 \newcommand\adefii[4] [] {\def\@pone{#3}\def\@ptwo{#4}%
174 \def\@name{#3-#4}\def\@verb{#3 #4}%
175 \@definiendum[#1]{#2}{\@name}{\@verb}\@adefii}
176 \newcommand\@adefii[1] [] {\ifdef@index\adef@at\omdoc@indexii[at=\@at,#1]{\@pone}{\@ptwo}\fi\xspace}

\defii
177 \newcommand\defii[3] [] {\adefii[#1]{#2 #3}{#2}{#3}}
178 \newcommand\defiis[3] [] {\adefii[#1]{#2 #3s}{#2}{#3}}
179 \newcommand\Defii[3] [] {\adefii[#1]{\capitalize{#2 #3}}{#2}{#3}}
180 \newcommand\Defiis[3] [] {\adefii[#1]{\capitalize{#2 #3s}}{#2}{#3}}

\adefiii
181 \newcommand\adefiii[5] [] {\def\@pone{#3}\def\@ptwo{#4}\def\@pthree{#5}%
182 \def\@verb{#3 #4 #5}\def\@name{#3-#4-#5}%
183 \@definiendum[#1]{#2}{\@name}{\@verb}\@adefiii}
184 \newcommand\@adefiii[1] [] {\ifdef@index\adef@at\omdoc@indexiii[at=\@at,#1]{\@pone}{\@ptwo}{\@pthree}\fi\xspace}

```

```

\defiii similar to \defii
185 \newcommand\defiii[4] [] {\adefiii[#1]{#2 #3 #4}{#2}{#3}{#4}}
186 \newcommand\defiiis[4] [] {\adefiii[#1]{#2 #3 #4s}{#2}{#3}{#4}}
187 \newcommand\Defiii[4] [] {\adefiii[#1]{\capitalize{#2 #3 #4}}{#2}{#3}{#4}}
188 \newcommand\Defiiis[4] [] {\adefiii[#1]{\capitalize{#2 #3 #4s}}{#2}{#3}{#4}}

\adefiv
189 \newcommand\adefiv[6] [] {\def\@pone{#3}\def\@ptwo{#4}\def\@pthree{#5}\def\@pfour{#6}%
190 \def\@name{#3-#4-#5-#6}\def\@verb{#3 #4 #5 #6}%
191 \@definiendum[#1]{#2}{\@name}{\@verb}\@adefiv}
192 \newcommand\@adefiv[1] [] {\ifdef@index\adefat\omdoc@indexiv[at=\@at,#1]{\@pone}{\@ptwo}{\@pthr

\defiv similar to \defiii
193 \newcommand\defiv[5] [] {\adefiv[#1]{#2 #3 #4 #5}{#2}{#3}{#4}{#5}}
194 \newcommand\defivs[5] [] {\adefiv[#1]{#2 #3 #4 #5s}{#2}{#3}{#4}{#5}}
195 \newcommand\Defiv[5] [] {\adefiv[#1]{\capitalize{#2 #3 #4 #5}}{#2}{#3}{#4}{#5}}
196 \newcommand\Defivs[5] [] {\adefiv[#1]{\capitalize{#2 #3 #4 #5s}}{#2}{#3}{#4}{#5}}

\pdefi
197 \newcommand\pdefi[1]{\@pdefi}
198 \newcommand\@pdefi[3] [] {\defemph{#2 #3}}

\inlineex
199 \newcommand\inlineex[2] [] {\metasetkeys{omtext}{#1}%
200 \sref@target\sref@label{id{here}}#2}

inlineExample
201 \newenvironment{inlineExample}[1] [] %
202 {\metasetkeys{omtext}{#1}\sref@target\sref@label{id{here}}\ignorespacesandpars}%
203 {\ignorespacesandpars}%

\inlineass
204 \newcommand\inlineass[2] [] {\metasetkeys{omtext}{#1}%
205 \sref@target\sref@label{id{here}}#2}

inlineAssertion
206 \newenvironment{inlineAssertion}[1] [] %
207 {\metasetkeys{omtext}{#1}\sref@target\sref@label{id{here}}\ignorespacesandpars}%
208 {\ignorespacesandpars}%

\inlinedef
209 \newcommand\inline@def@error{\if@in@omtext\else% we are not in an omtext or statement
210 \PackageError{modules}{\protect\inlinedef\space outside a statement!}%
211 {Try wrapping the paragraph in a\MessageBreak
212 \protect\begin{omtext}, \protect\begin{assertion}, \protect\begin{axiom}, ... \MessageBreak
213 whatever is suitable semantically}\fi}
214 \newcommand\inlinedef[2] [] {\metasetkeys{omtext}{#1}%
215 \inline@def@error\sref@target\sref@label{id{here}}\st@indeftrue #2}

```

inlineDefinition

```
216 \newenvironment{inlineDefinition}[1][]{%
217 {\metasetkeys{omtext}{#1}\inline@def@error\sref@target\sref@label{id{here}}\st@indeftrue\ignorespaces}
218 {\ignorespacesandpars}}%
```

5.3 Cross-Referencing Symbols and Concepts

`\termref` `\termref[<keys>]{<text>}` makes a hyperlink with link text *<text>* to the definitional occurrence of the symbol specified by the `name`, `cd`, and `cdbase` keys in *<keys>*. We first set sensible defaults if the keys are not given. If the symbol is defined in the current document (i.e. if the macro `\sref@<name>@<cd>@defined` is defined), then we make a local hyperref, otherwise we punt to `\mod@termref`.

```
219 \addmetakey*{termref}{cd}
220 \addmetakey*{termref}{cdbase}
221 \addmetakey*{termref}{name}
222 \newcommand\termref[2][]{\metasetkeys{termref}{#1}%
223 \ifx\termref@cd@empty\def\termref@cd{\module@uri@uri}\else%
224 \edef\termref@cd{\csname Module\termref@cd\endcsname\@URI}%
225 \fi%
226 \ifx\termref@name@empty\def\termref@name{#2}\fi%
227 \ifundefined{sref@\termref@name @\termref@cd @defined}%
228 {\ifx\termref@cdbase@empty% external reference
229 \mod@termref\termref@cd\termref@name{#2}%
230 \else\sref@href@ifh\termref@cdbase{#2}%
231 \fi}%
232 {\def\@label{sref@\termref@cd@\@QuestionMark\termref@name @target}%
233 \sref@hlink@ifh\@label{#2}}\footnote{termref: internal reference to \@label}
234 }}
```

`\instring` We first define an auxiliary conditional `\instring` that checks if `?` is in the first argument. `\mtref` and `\mdref` use it.

```
235 \def\instring#1#2{TT\fi\begingroup\edef\x{\endgroup\noexpand\in@{#1}{#2}}\x\ifin@}
```

`\mtref` `\mtref{<symspec>}{<text>}{<name>}` assembles a `\termref[cd=<cd>,name=<name>']` by splitting *<symspec>* at the `?` into *<cd>* and *<name>*'. If *<symspec>* contains no `?`, it is interpreted as a bare *<cd>* and *<name>*' is *<name>*.

```
236 \newcommand\mtref[3]{\def\@cd{#1}\def\@name{#2}%
237 \ifx\@cd@empty%
238 \ifx\@name@empty\termref[] {#3}\else\termref[name=\@name]{#3}\fi%
239 \else%
240 \ifx\@name@empty\termref[cd=\@cd]{#3}\else\termref[cd=\@cd,name=\@name]{#3}\fi%
241 \fi}
242 \def\mtref#1?#2\relax{\mtref{#1}{#2}}
243 \newcommand\mtref[3][]{\termemph{if\instring{?}{#1}\mtref #1\relax{#2}\else\termref[cd=#1,}
```

`\tref*`

```
244 \newcommand\trefi[2][]{\mtref{#1}{#2}{#2}}
245 \newcommand\trefii[3][]{\mtref{#1}{#2}{#3}{#2-#3}}
```

```

246 \newcommand\trefiii[4] [] {\@mtref[#1]{#2 #3 #4}{#2-#3-#4}}
247 \newcommand\trefiv[5] [] {\@mtref[#1]{#2 #3 #4 #5}{#2-#3-#4-#5}}
248 \newcommand\trefis[2] [] {\@mtref[#1]{#2s}{#2}}
249 \newcommand\trefiis[3] [] {\@mtref[#1]{#2 #3s}{#2-#3}}
250 \newcommand\trefiiis[4] [] {\@mtref[#1]{#2 #3 #4s}{#2-#3-#4}}
251 \newcommand\trefivs[5] [] {\@mtref[#1]{#2 #3 #4 #5s}{#2-#3-#4-#5}}

```

\Tref*

```

252 \newcommand\Trefi[2] [] {\@mtref[#1]{\capitalize{#2}}{#2}}
253 \newcommand\Trefii[3] [] {\@mtref[#1]{\capitalize{#2 #3}}{#2-#3}}
254 \newcommand\Trefiii[4] [] {\@mtref[#1]{\capitalize{#2 #3 #4}}{#2-#3-#4}}
255 \newcommand\Trefiv[5] [] {\@mtref[#1]{\capitalize{#2 #3 #4 #5}}{#2-#3-#4-#5}}
256 \newcommand\Trefis[2] [] {\@mtref[#1]{\capitalize{#2s}}{#2}}
257 \newcommand\Trefiis[3] [] {\@mtref[#1]{\capitalize{#2 #3s}}{#2-#3}}
258 \newcommand\Trefiiis[4] [] {\@mtref[#1]{\capitalize{#2 #3 #4s}}{#2-#3-#4}}
259 \newcommand\Trefivs[5] [] {\@mtref[#1]{\capitalize{#2 #3 #4 #5s}}{#2-#3-#4-#5}}

```

Now we care about the configuration switches, they are set to sensible values, if they are not defined already. These are just configuration parameters, which should not appear in documents, therefore we do not provide L^AT_EXML bindings for them.

***emph**

```

260 \providecommand{\termemph}[1]{#1}
261 \providecommand{\defemph}[1]{\textbf{#1}}
262 \providecommand{\stDMemph}[1]{\textbf{#1}}

```

EdN:5

\term The `\term` macro is used for wiki-style dangling links with editor support.⁵

```

263 \newcommand\term[2] [] {\def\@test{#1}%
264 \ifx\@test\@empty\else
265 \@ifundefined{module@defs@#1}{\PackageWarning{statements}%
266 {\protect\term} specifies module #1 which is not in
267 scope\MessageBreak import it via e.g. via \protect\importmhmodule}}{}
268 \fi%
269 \PackageWarning{statements}%
270 {Dangling link (\protect\term) for "#2" still needs to be specified}%
271 \textcolor{blue}{\underline{#2}}}

```

\symref The `\symref` macros is quite simple, since we have done all the heavy lifting in the `modules` package: we simply apply `\mod@symref@⟨arg1⟩` to `⟨arg2⟩`.

```

272 \newcommand\symref[2]{\@nameuse{mod@symref@#1}{#2}}

```

X

⁵EdNOTE: MK: document above

5.4 Term Redefinition in Recaps

`\@mdref` We first define an auxiliary macro `\@mdref`, which checks for `?` in the first argument, if not it just calls `\@definiendum`, otherwise it calls `\@mdref`, which assembles the `\@definiendum` after splitting at the `?`.

```

273 \newcommand\@mdref[3] [] {\def\@test{#1}%
274 \if\@instring{?}{#1}%
275 \ifx\@test\@empty\definiendum[name=#3]{#2}\else\definiendum[recaps=#1,name=#3]{#2}\fi%
276 \else%
277 \ifx\@test\@empty\definiendum[name=#3]{#2}\else\definiendum[recaps=#1?#3,name=#3]{#2}\fi%
278 \fi}

```

Actually, we do something else than specified above, but L^AT_EXML will do so.⁶

```

279 \newcommand\drefi[2] [] {\defemph{\@mdref[#1]{#2}{#2}}}
280 \newcommand\drefii[3] [] {\defemph{\@mdref[#1]{#2 #3}{#2-#3}}}
281 \newcommand\drefiii[4] [] {\defemph{\@mdref[#1]{#2 #3 #4}{#2-#3-#4}}}
282 \newcommand\drefiv[5] [] {\defemph{\@mdref[#1]{#2 #3 #4 #5}{#2-#3-#4-#5}}}
283 \newcommand\drefis[2] [] {\defemph{\@mdref[#1]{#2s}{#2}}}
284 \newcommand\drefiis[3] [] {\defemph{\@mdref[#1]{#2 #3s}{#2-#3}}}
285 \newcommand\drefiiis[4] [] {\defemph{\@mdref[#1]{#2 #3 #4s}{#2-#3-#4}}}
286 \newcommand\drefivs[5] [] {\defemph{\@mdref[#1]{#2 #3 #4 #5s}{#2-#3-#4-#5}}}

```

`\Dref*`

```

287 \newcommand\Drefi[2] [] {\defemph{\@mdref[#1]{\capitalize{#2}}{#2}}}
288 \newcommand\Drefii[3] [] {\defemph{\@mdref[#1]{\capitalize{#2 #3}}{#2-#3}}}
289 \newcommand\Drefiii[4] [] {\defemph{\@mdref[#1]{\capitalize{#2 #3 #4}}{#2-#3-#4}}}
290 \newcommand\Drefiv[5] [] {\defemph{\@mdref[#1]{\capitalize{#2 #3 #4 #5}}{#2-#3-#4-#5}}}
291 \newcommand\Drefis[2] [] {\defemph{\@mdref[#1]{\capitalize{#2s}}{#2}}}
292 \newcommand\Drefiis[3] [] {\defemph{\@mdref[#1]{\capitalize{#2 #3s}}{#2-#3}}}
293 \newcommand\Drefiiis[4] [] {\defemph{\@mdref[#1]{\capitalize{#2 #3 #4s}}{#2-#3-#4}}}
294 \newcommand\Drefivs[5] [] {\defemph{\@mdref[#1]{\capitalize{#2 #3 #4 #5s}}{#2-#3-#4-#5}}}

```

5.5 Deprecated Functionality

In this section we centralize old interfaces that are only partially supported any more.

`*defi*`

```

295 \newcommand\defin[2] [] {\defi[#1]{#2}%
296 \PackageWarning{statements}{\protect\defin\space is deprecated, use \protect\defi\space instead}
297 \newcommand\twindef[3] [] {\defii[#1]{#2}{#3}%
298 \PackageWarning{statements}{\protect\twindef\space is deprecated, use \protect\defii\space instead}
299 \newcommand\atwindef[4] [] {\defiii[#1]{#2}{#3}{#4}%
300 \PackageWarning{statements}{\protect\atwindef\space is deprecated, use \protect\defiii\space instead}
301 \newcommand\definalt[3] [] {\adefi[#1]{#2}{#3}%
302 \PackageWarning{statements}{\protect\definalt\space is deprecated, use \protect\adefi\space instead}
303 \newcommand\twindefalt[4] [] {\adefii[#1]{#2}{#3}{#4}%

```

⁶EdNOTE: or we will use that

```

304 \PackageWarning{statements}{\protect\twindefalt\space is deprecated, use \protect\adefii\space
305 \newcommand\atwindefalt[5][]{\adefiii[#1]{#2}{#3}{#4}{#5}%
306 \PackageWarning{statements}{\protect\atwindefalt\space is deprecated, use \protect\adefiii\space
307 \newcommand\@@atrefi[3][]{\def\@test{#1}%
308 \ifx\@test\@empty\termref[name=#3]{#2}\else\termref[cd=#1,name=#3]{#2}\fi}
309 \newcommand\@atrefi[3][]{\termemph{\@atrefi[#1]{#2}{#3}}}
310 \newcommand\twinref[3][]{\trefii[#1]{#2}{#3}%
311 \PackageWarning{statements}{\protect\twinref\space is deprecated, use \protect\trefii\space inst
312 \newcommand\atwinref[4][]{\@atrefiii[#1]{#2}{#3}{#4}%
313 \PackageWarning{statements}{\protect\atwindef\space is deprecated, use \protect\trefiii\space in

\atref*
314 \newcommand\atrefi[3][]{\@atrefi[#1]{#2}{#3}%
315 \PackageWarning{statements}{\protect\atrefi\space is deprecated, use \protect\trefi\space instea
316 \newcommand\atrefii[4][]{\@atrefi[#1]{#2}{#3-#4}%
317 \PackageWarning{statements}{\protect\atrefii\space is deprecated, use \protect\trefi\space inst
318 \newcommand\atrefiii[5][]{\@atrefi[#1]{#2}{#3-#4-#5}%
319 \PackageWarning{statements}{\protect\atrefiii\space is deprecated, use \protect\trefi\space inst
320 \newcommand\atrefiv[6][]{\@atrefi[#1]{#2}{#3-#4-#5-#6}%
321 \PackageWarning{statements}{\protect\atrefiv\space is deprecated, use \protect\trefi\space inst

\mtrefi*
322 \newcommand\mtrefi[2][]{\trefi[#1]{#2}%
323 \PackageWarning{statements}{\protect\mtrefi\space is deprecated, use \protect\trefi\space instea
324 \newcommand\mtrefii[3][]{\trefii[#1]{#2}{#3}
325 \PackageWarning{statements}{\protect\mtrefii\space is deprecated, use \protect\trefii\space inst
326 \newcommand\mtrefiii[4][]{\trefiii[#1]{#2}{#3}{#4}
327 \PackageWarning{statements}{\protect\mtrefiii\space is deprecated, use \protect\trefiii\space in
328 \newcommand\mtrefiv[5][]{\trefiv[#1]{#2}{#3}{#4}{#5}
329 \PackageWarning{statements}{\protect\mtrefiv\space is deprecated, use \protect\trefiv\space inst
330 \</package>

```


Index

Numbers written in *italic* refer to the page where the corresponding entry is described; numbers underlined refer to the code line of the definition; numbers in *roman* refer to the code lines where the entry is used.

<i>*</i> ,	12	statement,	3	OPENMATH,	5
block					
statement,	2	L ^A T _E X ^M L,	19,	22,	23
				statement	
				block,	2
flow		OMDoc,	2,	4,	5
				flow,	3

Change History

v0.9		now based on <code>omtext</code> package	
General: First Version with		instead of <code>omdoc</code>	1
Documentation	1	v1.1	
v0.9a		General: adding <code>\usevocab</code> to	
General: Completed		example for importing	1
Documentation	1	more support for types: <code>typedec</code>	
v0.9b		and <code>\inlinetypedec</code>	1
General: Complete functionality		renaming all convenience macros	
and Updated Documentation . .	1	for <code>\definendum</code> and <code>\termref</code> .	1
v0.9c		v1.2	
General: more packaging	1	General: adding <code>\defis</code> and friends	1
v0.9d		adding <code>\inlineass</code>	1
General: adding ids to many		adding optional last arg to	
elements	1	<code>\~defi*</code>	1
made dependence on the <code>omdoc</code>		v1.3	
package explicit	1	General: adding <code>\Defi</code> , <code>\Trefi</code> and	
moved <code>omtext</code> and friends to the		friends	1
<code>omdoc</code> package	1	v1.4	
v0.9e		General: adding <code>inlineAssertion</code> ,	
General: adding cross-references .	1	<code>inlineDefinition</code> , and	
augmenting the index macros		<code>inlineExample</code> environment	
with optional values	1	for block content	1
v0.9f		changing the optional argument	
General: changed 'consymb' to		of <code>\defi</code> and friends to a	
'symboldec' and documented		keyval argument	1
it.	1	v1.5	
v0.9g		General: extending the first	
General: Added support for		optional argument of <code>*trefi*</code>	
localization	1	to work as in <code>\mtref*</code> and	
added <code>\symref</code>	1	deprecating the latter in favor	
the package is now based on		of the former.	1
<code>ntheorem</code> for <code>presentation</code> . .	1	the <code>msection</code> package option is	
v1.0		now obsolete, since <code>mikoslides</code>	
General: adding <code>\inlineex</code>	1	now uses the regular counters .	1

References

- [IK15] Mihnea Iancu and Michael Kohlhase. “A Flexiformal Model of Knowledge Dissemination and Aggregation in Mathematics”. In: *Intelligent Computer Mathematics*. Conferences on Intelligent Computer Mathematics (Washington DC, USA, July 13–17, 2015). Ed. by Manfred Kerber et al. LNCS 9150. Springer, 2015, pp. 137–152. ISBN: 978-3-319-20615-8. URL: <https://kwarc.info/kohlhase/papers/cicm15-recaps.pdf>.

- [KG20] Michael Kohlhase and Deyan Ginev. *smultiling.sty: Multilinguality Support for sTeX*. Tech. rep. 2020. URL: <https://github.com/sLaTeX/sTeX/raw/master/sty/smultiling/smultiling.pdf>.
- [KGA20] Michael Kohlhase, Deyan Ginev, and Rares Ambrus. *modules.sty: Semantic Macros and Module Scoping in sTeX*. Tech. rep. 2020. URL: <https://github.com/sLaTeX/sTeX/raw/master/sty/modules/modules.pdf>.
- [Koh06] Michael Kohlhase. *OMDoc – An open markup format for mathematical documents [Version 1.2]*. LNAI 4180. Springer Verlag, Aug. 2006. URL: <http://omdoc.org/pubs/omdoc1.2.pdf>.
- [Koh08] Michael Kohlhase. “Using L^AT_EX as a Semantic Markup Format”. In: *Mathematics in Computer Science 2.2* (2008), pp. 279–304. URL: <https://kwarc.info/kohlhase/papers/mcs08-stex.pdf>.
- [Koh20a] Michael Kohlhase. *MathHub Support for sTeX*. Tech. rep. 2020. URL: <https://github.com/sLaTeX/sTeX/raw/master/sty/mathhub/mathhub.pdf>.
- [Koh20b] Michael Kohlhase. *metakeys.sty: A generic framework for extensible Metadata in L^AT_EX*. Tech. rep. 2020. URL: <https://github.com/sLaTeX/sTeX/raw/master/sty/metakeys/metakeys.pdf>.
- [Koh20c] Michael Kohlhase. *omdoc.sty/cls: Semantic Markup for Open Mathematical Documents in L^AT_EX*. Tech. rep. 2020. URL: <https://github.com/sLaTeX/sTeX/raw/master/sty/omdoc/omdoc.pdf>.
- [Koh20d] Michael Kohlhase. *sref.sty: Semantic Crossreferencing in L^AT_EX*. Self-documenting L^AT_EX package. 2020. URL: <https://github.com/sLaTeX/sTeX/raw/master/sty/sref/sref.pdf>.
- [MS] Wolfgang May and Andreas Schedler. *An Extension of the L^AT_EX-Theorem Environment*. Self-documenting L^AT_EX package. URL: <http://ctan.org/pkg/ntheorem> (visited on 01/11/2010).
- [sTeX] *sTeX: A semantic Extension of TeX/LaTeX*. URL: <https://github.com/sLaTeX/sTeX> (visited on 05/11/2020).