

Mozilla Addon Builder

Definition of the Package Building System

Piotr Zalewa

April 28, 2010

This document is written in \LaTeX ¹ a document markup language and document preparation system for the \TeX typesetting program

1 Syntax

1.1 Objects

Ua is the specific User (identified by *UserName*)

Pa is the specific Package (identified by *PackageName*)

It should always be used within its **type** context as **La** — Library or **Aa** — Addon

Ma is the Module (identified by a triplet *User/PackageRevision/ModuleName*)

1.2 Object identification — revision numbers and HEAD

Ua-Pb.n defines revision of the Package.

Ua-La.1 — First revision of Library **La** saved by **Ua**.

Ua-Pb.n-Mc defines Module inside the revision of the Package.

Ua-La.1-Ma — Module **Ma** inside the first revision of Library **La** saved by **Ua**.

Pa \Rightarrow **Ub-Pa.n** is the HEAD revision of the Package

La \Rightarrow **Ua-La.1** — **La**'s HEAD points to the first revision of Library **La** saved by **Ua**.

Ua-Pb.n \supset [**Uc-Pd.m-Me**, ...] Modules inside the Package revision.

Ua-La.2 \supset [**Ua-La.1-Ma**, **Ub-La.2-Mb**] — Second revision of Library **La** saved by **Ua** contains **Ma** saved by **Ua** in his **La**'s first revision and **Mb** saved by **Ub** in his second **La**'s revision.

2 Building Library

2.1 Starting point

La \Rightarrow **Ua-La.1** \supset [**Ua-La.1-Ma**]

La is created by **Ua**.

Its HEAD is **Ua-La.1**

La contains only one module - **Ma**

¹For quick doc please follow to <http://web.mit.edu/olh/Latex/ess-latex.html>, All used symbols may be found here:
<http://www.artofproblemsolving.com/Wiki/index.php/LaTeX:Symbols>

Following steps had to happen to achieve above status:

1. Ua creates a package La
$La \implies Ua-La.0$
$Ua-La.0 \supset []$
2. Ua adds Ma to La
$Ua-La.1 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma]$
3. Ua sets the HEAD
$La \implies Ua-La.1$

2.2 Scenario (1 Module, 2 Users, no dependencies)

Ua and Ub are working on La

Ub modified one module

1. Ub modifies Ma
 $Ub-La.0 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma]$ — automatic fork of La
 $Ub-La.1 \supset [Ub-La.1-Ma]$
2. Ub sends *request* to La's creator (Ua) to upgrade La from Ub-La.1
3. Ua accepts the request by setting the HEAD to Ub's version
 $La \implies Ub-La.1$
4. Result: $La \implies Ub-La.1 \supset [Ub-La.1-Ma]$

2.3 Scenario (2 Modules, 2 Users, no dependencies)

Ua and Ub are working on La

Ua created module Mb

Ub is working on Mb

1. Ua adds a new module Mb to La
 $Ua-La.2 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ua-La.2-Mb]$
2. Ua sets the HEAD
 $La \implies Ua-La.2$
3. Ub modifies Mb
 $Ub-La.0 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ua-La.2-Mb]$ — automatic fork of La
 $Ub-La.1 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ub-La.1-Mb]$
4. Ub sends request to Ua to upgrade La from Ub-La.1
5. Ua modifies Ma
 $Ua-La.3 \supset [Ua-La.3-Ma, Ua-La.2-Mb]$
6. Ua accepts Ub's request
 $Ua-La.4 \supset [Ua-La.3-Ma, Ub-La.1-Mb]$
7. Ua sets the HEAD
 $La \implies Ua-La.4$
8. Result: $La \rightarrow Ua-La.4 \supset [Ua-La.3-Ma, Ub-La.1-Mb]$

2.4 Scenario (2 Modules, 2 Users, no dependencies)

Ua and Ub are working on La

Ub created module Mb

1. Ub adds a new module Mb to La
 $Ub-La.0 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma]$ — automatic fork of La
 $Ub-La.1 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ua-La.1-Mb]$
2. Ub modifies Mb
 $Ub-La.2 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ub-La.2-Mb]$
3. Ub sends request to Ua to upgrade La from Ub-La.2
4. Ua modifies Ma
 $Ua-La.2 \supset [Ua-La.2-Ma]$
5. Ua accepts Ub's request
 $Ua-La.3 \supset [Ua-La.2-Ma, Ub-La.2-Mb]$
6. Ua sets the HEAD
 $La \implies Ua-La.3$
7. Result: $La \implies Ua-La.3 \supset [Ua-La.2-Ma, Ub-La.2-Mb]$

2.5 Scenario with conflict (2 Modules, 2 Users, no dependencies)

Ua and Ub are working on La

Ua created module Mb

Ua and Ub are working on Mb

Conflict arises...

1. Ua adds a new module Mb to La
 $Ua-La.2 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ua-La.2-Mb]$
2. Ua sets the HEAD
 $La \implies Ua-La.2$
3. Ub modifies Mb
 $Ub-La.0 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ua-La.2-Mb]$ — automatic fork of La
 $Ub-La.1 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ub-La.1-Mb]$
4. Ua modifies Mb
 $Ua-La.3 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ua-La.2-Mb]$
5. **CONFLICT**
At the time we've got two versions of La.Mb which came out from the same version
6. Ua sets the HEAD
 $La \supset Ua-La.3$
7. Ub receives info that his source is behind the HEAD
 $Ub-La.1-Mb$ (and $Ub-La.1$) is marked as *conflicted*
Ub can't send the update request
8. Ub manually solves conflict by editing the Mb
 $Ub-La.2 \supset [Ua-La.1, Ub-La.2-Mb]$

9. U_b sends request to U_a to upgrade La from $U_b-La.2$
10. U_a accepts U_b 's request
 $Ua-La.4 \supset [Ua-La.3-Ma, Ub-La.2-Mb]$
11. U_a sets the HEAD
 $La \implies Ua-La.4$
12. Result: $La \implies Ua-La.4 \supset [Ua-La.3-Ma, Ub-La.2-Mb]$