

Mozilla Addon Builder

Definition of the Package Building System

Piotr Zalewa

April 28, 2010

*This document is written in L^AT_EX*¹

1 Syntax

1.1 Objects

x, y, z — represents $[a..z]$

m, n — represents $[0..9]^+$

Ux is the specific User (identified by *UserName*)

Px is the specific Package (identified by *PackageName*)

It should always be used within its **type** context as Lx — Library or Ax — Addon

Every Package has associated PackageRevision² (identified by a triplet $Ux:Py.n$ *User/Package/RevisionNumber*)

Mx is the Module³ (identified by a triplet *User/PackageRevision/ModuleName*)

1.2 Object identification — revision numbers and HEAD

$Ux:Py.n$ defines revision of the Package.

$Ua:La.1$ — First revision of Library La saved by Ua .

$Ux:Py.n:Mz$ defines Module inside the revision of the Package.

$Ua:La.1:Ma$ — Module Ma inside the first revision of Library La saved by Ua .

$Px \implies Uy:Px.n$ is the HEAD revision of the Package

$La \implies Ua:La.1$ — La 's HEAD points to the first revision of Library La saved by Ua .

$Ux:Py.n \supset \{Ux:Py.m:Mz, \dots\}$ Modules inside the Package revision.

$Ua:La.2 \supset \{Ua:La.1:Ma, Ub:La.2:Mb\}$ — Second revision of Library La saved by Ua contains Ma saved by Ua in his La 's first revision and Mb saved by Ub in his second La 's revision.

¹For quick doc please follow to <http://web.mit.edu/olh/Latex/ess:Latex.html>, All used symbols may be found here: <http://www.artofproblemsolving.com/Wiki/index.php/LaTeX:Symbols>

²Please bare in mind that PackageVersion is just metadata, a field of PackageRevision object used only in exported XPI. It will no longer be used for data identification.

³The only revision is the PackageRevision. It is similar concept to *git*'s commits. For every saved Module change new PackageRevision is created.

2 Building Library

2.1 Starting point

$\text{La} \Rightarrow \text{Ua:La.1} \supset \{\text{Ua:La.1:Ma}\}$

Package **La** is created by User **Ua**.

La's HEAD is PackageRevision identified as **Ua:La.1**

It contains only one module - **Ma**

Following steps had to happen to achieve above status:

1. **Ua** creates a package **La**
$\text{La} \Rightarrow \text{Ua:La.0}$
$\text{Ua:La.0} \supset \{\}$
2. **Ua** adds **Ma** to **La**
$\text{Ua:La.1} \supset \{\text{Ua:La.1:Ma}\}$
3. **Ua** sets the HEAD
$\text{La} \Rightarrow \text{Ua:La.1}$

2.2 Scenario (1 Module, 2 Users, no dependencies)

Ua and **Ub** are working on **La**

Ub modified one module

1. **Ub** modifies **Ma**
 $\text{Ub:La.0} \supset \{\text{Ua:La.1:Ma}\}$ — automatic fork of **La**
 $\text{Ub:La.1} \supset \{\text{Ub:La.1:Ma}\}$
2. **Ub** sends *request* to **La**'s creator (**Ua**) to upgrade **La** from **Ub:La.1**
3. **Ua** accepts the request by setting the HEAD to **Ub**'s version
 $\text{La} \Rightarrow \text{Ub:La.1}$
4. Result: $\text{La} \Rightarrow \text{Ub:La.1} \supset \{\text{Ub:La.1:Ma}\}$

2.3 Scenario (2 Modules, 2 Users, no dependencies)

Ua and **Ub** are working on **La**

Ua created module **Mb**

Ub is working on **Mb**

1. **Ua** adds a new module **Mb** to **La**
 $\text{Ua:La.2} \supset \{\text{Ua:La.1:Ma}, \text{Ua:La.2:Mb}\}$
2. **Ua** sets the HEAD
 $\text{La} \Rightarrow \text{Ua:La.2}$
3. **Ub** modifies **Mb**
 $\text{Ub:La.0} \supset \{\text{Ua:La.1:Ma}, \text{Ua:La.2:Mb}\}$ — automatic fork of **La**
 $\text{Ub:La.1} \supset \{\text{Ua:La.1:Ma}, \text{Ub:La.1:Mb}\}$
4. **Ub** sends request to **Ua** to upgrade **La** from **Ub:La.1**
5. **Ua** modifies **Ma**
 $\text{Ua:La.3} \supset \{\text{Ua:La.3:Ma}, \text{Ua:La.2:Mb}\}$

6. Ua accepts Ub's request
 $\text{Ua:La.4} \supset \{\text{Ua:La.3:Ma}, \text{Ub:La.1:Mb}\}$
7. Ua sets the HEAD
 $\text{La} \implies \text{Ua:La.4}$
8. Result: $\text{La} \rightarrow \text{Ua:La.4} \supset \{\text{Ua:La.3:Ma}, \text{Ub:La.1:Mb}\}$

2.4 Scenario (2 Modules, 2 Users, no dependencies)

Ua and Ub are working on La
 Ub created module Mb

1. Ub adds a new module Mb to La
 $\text{Ub:La.0} \supset \{\text{Ua:La.1:Ma}\}$ — automatic fork of La
 $\text{Ub:La.1} \supset \{\text{Ua:La.1:Ma}, \text{Ua:La.1:Mb}\}$
2. Ub modifies Mb
 $\text{Ub:La.2} \supset \{\text{Ua:La.1:Ma}, \text{Ub:La.2:Mb}\}$
3. Ub sends request to Ua to upgrade La from Ub:La.2
4. Ua modifies Ma
 $\text{Ua:La.2} \supset \{\text{Ua:La.2:Ma}\}$
5. Ua accepts Ub's request
 $\text{Ua:La.3} \supset \{\text{Ua:La.2:Ma}, \text{Ub:La.2:Mb}\}$
6. Ua sets the HEAD
 $\text{La} \implies \text{Ua:La.3}$
7. Result: $\text{La} \implies \text{Ua:La.3} \supset \{\text{Ua:La.2:Ma}, \text{Ub:La.2:Mb}\}$

2.5 Scenario with conflict (2 Modules, 2 Users, no dependencies)

Ua and Ub are working on La
 Ua created module Mb
 Ua and Ub are working on Mb
 Conflict arises...

1. Ua adds a new module Mb to La
 $\text{Ua:La.2} \supset \{\text{Ua:La.1:Ma}, \text{Ua:La.2:Mb}\}$
2. Ua sets the HEAD
 $\text{La} \implies \text{Ua:La.2}$
3. Ub modifies Mb
 $\text{Ub:La.0} \supset \{\text{Ua:La.1:Ma}, \text{Ua:La.2:Mb}\}$ — automatic fork of La
 $\text{Ub:La.1} \supset \{\text{Ua:La.1:Ma}, \text{Ub:La.1:Mb}\}$
4. Ua modifies Mb
 $\text{Ua:La.3} \supset \{\text{Ua:La.1:Ma}, \text{Ua:La.2:Mb}\}$
5. **CONFLICT**
 At the time we've got two versions of La.Mb which came out from the same version

6. Ua sets the HEAD
 $La \supset Ua:La.3$
7. Ub receives info that his source is behind the HEAD
 $Ub:La.1:Mb$ (and $Ub:La.1$) is marked as *conflicted*
 Ub can't send the update request
8. Ub manually solves conflict by editing the Mb and removing the *conflict flag*
 $Ub:La.2 \supset \{Ua:La.1, Ub:La.2:Mb\}$
9. Ub sends request to Ua to upgrade La from $Ub:La.2$
10. Ua accepts Ub 's request
 $Ua:La.4 \supset \{Ua:La.3:Ma, Ub:La.2:Mb\}$
11. Ua sets the HEAD
 $La \implies Ua:La.4$
12. Result: $La \implies Ua:La.4 \supset \{Ua:La.3:Ma, Ub:La.2:Mb\}$

To be continued...