

# Mozilla Addon Builder

## Definition of the Package Building System

Piotr Zalewa

April 28, 2010

*This document is written in  $\text{\LaTeX}$ <sup>1</sup> a document markup language and document preparation system for the  $\text{\TeX}$  typesetting program*

## 1 Syntax

### 1.1 Objects

$\text{Ux}$  is the specific User (identified by *UserName*)

$\text{Px}$  is the specific Package (identified by *PackageName*)

It should always be used within its **type** context as  $\text{Lx}$  — Library or  $\text{Ax}$  — Addon

$\text{Mx}$  is the Module (identified by a triplet *User/PackageRevision/ModuleName*)

### 1.2 Object identification — revision numbers and HEAD

$\text{Ux-Py.n}$  defines revision of the Package.

$\text{Ua-La.1}$  — First revision of Library  $\text{La}$  saved by  $\text{Ua}$ .

$\text{Ux-Py.n-Mz}$  defines Module inside the revision of the Package.

$\text{Ua-La.1-Ma}$  — Module  $\text{Ma}$  inside the first revision of Library  $\text{La}$  saved by  $\text{Ua}$ .

$\text{Px} \Rightarrow \text{Uy-Px.n}$  is the HEAD revision of the Package

$\text{La} \Rightarrow \text{Ua-La.1}$  —  $\text{La}$ 's HEAD points to the first revision of Library  $\text{La}$  saved by  $\text{Ua}$ .

$\text{Ux-Py.n} \supset [\text{Ux-Py.m-Mz}, \dots]$  Modules inside the Package revision.

$\text{Ua-La.2} \supset [\text{Ua-La.1-Ma}, \text{Ub-La.2-Mb}]$  — Second revision of Library  $\text{La}$  saved by  $\text{Ua}$  contains  $\text{Ma}$  saved by  $\text{Ua}$  in his  $\text{La}$ 's first revision and  $\text{Mb}$  saved by  $\text{Ub}$  in his second  $\text{La}$ 's revision.

## 2 Building Library

### 2.1 Starting point

$\text{La} \Rightarrow \text{Ua-La.1} \supset [\text{Ua-La.1-Ma}]$

$\text{La}$  is created by  $\text{Ua}$ .

Its HEAD is  $\text{Ua-La.1}$

$\text{La}$  contains only one module -  $\text{Ma}$

---

<sup>1</sup>For quick doc please follow to <http://web.mit.edu/olh/Latex/ess-latex.html>, All used symbols may be found here:  
<http://www.artofproblemsolving.com/Wiki/index.php/LaTeX:Symbols>

Following steps had to happen to achieve above status:

1. Ua creates a package La  
 $\# \text{La} \implies \text{Ua-La.0}$   
 $\# \text{Ua-La.0} \supset []$
2. Ua adds Ma to La  
 $\# \text{Ua-La.1} \supset [\text{Ua-La.1-Ma}]$
3. Ua sets the HEAD  
 $\# \text{La} \implies \text{Ua-La.1}$

## 2.2 Scenario (1 Module, 2 Users, no dependencies)

Ua and Ub are working on La

Ub modified one module

1. Ub modifies Ma  
 $\text{Ub-La.0} \supset [\text{Ua-La.1-Ma}]$  — automatic fork of La  
 $\text{Ub-La.1} \supset [\text{Ub-La.1-Ma}]$
2. Ub sends *request* to La's creator (Ua) to upgrade La from Ub-La.1
3. Ua accepts the request by setting the HEAD to Ub's version  
 $\text{La} \implies \text{Ub-La.1}$
4. Result:  $\text{La} \implies \text{Ub-La.1} \supset [\text{Ub-La.1-Ma}]$

## 2.3 Scenario (2 Modules, 2 Users, no dependencies)

Ua and Ub are working on La

Ua created module Mb

Ub is working on Mb

1. Ua adds a new module Mb to La  
 $\text{Ua-La.2} \supset [\text{Ua-La.1-Ma}, \text{Ua-La.2-Mb}]$
2. Ua sets the HEAD  
 $\text{La} \implies \text{Ua-La.2}$
3. Ub modifies Mb  
 $\text{Ub-La.0} \supset [\text{Ua-La.1-Ma}, \text{Ua-La.2-Mb}]$  — automatic fork of La  
 $\text{Ub-La.1} \supset [\text{Ua-La.1-Ma}, \text{Ub-La.1-Mb}]$
4. Ub sends request to Ua to upgrade La from Ub-La.1
5. Ua modifies Ma  
 $\text{Ua-La.3} \supset [\text{Ua-La.3-Ma}, \text{Ua-La.2-Mb}]$
6. Ua accepts Ub's request  
 $\text{Ua-La.4} \supset [\text{Ua-La.3-Ma}, \text{Ub-La.1-Mb}]$
7. Ua sets the HEAD  
 $\text{La} \implies \text{Ua-La.4}$
8. Result:  $\text{La} \rightarrow \text{Ua-La.4} \supset [\text{Ua-La.3-Ma}, \text{Ub-La.1-Mb}]$

## 2.4 Scenario (2 Modules, 2 Users, no dependencies)

Ua and Ub are working on La

Ub created module Mb

1. Ub adds a new module Mb to La  
 $Ub-La.0 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma]$  — automatic fork of La  
 $Ub-La.1 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ua-La.1-Mb]$
2. Ub modifies Mb  
 $Ub-La.2 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ub-La.2-Mb]$
3. Ub sends request to Ua to upgrade La from Ub-La.2
4. Ua modifies Ma  
 $Ua-La.2 \supset [Ua-La.2-Ma]$
5. Ua accepts Ub's request  
 $Ua-La.3 \supset [Ua-La.2-Ma, Ub-La.2-Mb]$
6. Ua sets the HEAD  
 $La \implies Ua-La.3$
7. Result:  $La \implies Ua-La.3 \supset [Ua-La.2-Ma, Ub-La.2-Mb]$

## 2.5 Scenario with conflict (2 Modules, 2 Users, no dependencies)

Ua and Ub are working on La

Ua created module Mb

Ua and Ub are working on Mb

Conflict arises...

1. Ua adds a new module Mb to La  
 $Ua-La.2 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ua-La.2-Mb]$
2. Ua sets the HEAD  
 $La \implies Ua-La.2$
3. Ub modifies Mb  
 $Ub-La.0 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ua-La.2-Mb]$  — automatic fork of La  
 $Ub-La.1 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ub-La.1-Mb]$
4. Ua modifies Mb  
 $Ua-La.3 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ua-La.2-Mb]$
5. **CONFLICT**  
At the time we've got two versions of La.Mb which came out from the same version
6. Ua sets the HEAD  
 $La \supset Ua-La.3$
7. Ub receives info that his source is behind the HEAD  
 $Ub-La.1-Mb$  (and  $Ub-La.1$ ) is marked as *conflicted*  
Ub can't send the update request
8. Ub manually solves conflict by editing the Mb  
 $Ub-La.2 \supset [Ua-La.1, Ub-La.2-Mb]$

9.  $U_b$  sends request to  $U_a$  to upgrade  $La$  from  $U_b-La.2$
10.  $U_a$  accepts  $U_b$ 's request  
 $Ua-La.4 \supset [Ua-La.3-Ma, Ub-La.2-Mb]$
11.  $U_a$  sets the HEAD  
 $La \implies Ua-La.4$
12. Result:  $La \implies Ua-La.4 \supset [Ua-La.3-Ma, Ub-La.2-Mb]$