

Mozilla Addon Builder

Definition of the Package Building System

Piotr Zalewa

April 28, 2010

This document is written in \LaTeX ¹ a document markup language and document preparation system for the \TeX typesetting program

1 Syntax

1.1 Objects

x, y, z — represents $[a..z]$

m, n — represents $[0..9]^+$

Ux is the specific User (identified by *UserName*)

Px is the specific Package (identified by *PackageName*)

It should always be used within its **type** context as Lx — Library or Ax — Addon

Mx is the Module (identified by a triplet *User/PackageRevision/ModuleName*)

1.2 Object identification — revision numbers and HEAD

$Ux-Py.n$ defines revision of the Package.

$Ua-La.1$ — First revision of Library La saved by Ua .

$Ux-Py.n-Mz$ defines Module inside the revision of the Package.

$Ua-La.1-Ma$ — Module Ma inside the first revision of Library La saved by Ua .

$Px \Rightarrow Uy-Px.n$ is the HEAD revision of the Package

$La \Rightarrow Ua-La.1$ — La 's HEAD points to the first revision of Library La saved by Ua .

$Ux-Py.n \supset [Ux-Py.m-Mz, \dots]$ Modules inside the Package revision.

$Ua-La.2 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ub-La.2-Mb]$ — Second revision of Library La saved by Ua contains Ma saved by Ua in his La 's first revision and Mb saved by Ub in his second La 's revision.

2 Building Library

2.1 Starting point

$La \Rightarrow Ua-La.1 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma]$

La is created by Ua .

¹For quick doc please follow to <http://web.mit.edu/olh/Latex/ess-latex.html>, All used symbols may be found here:
<http://www.artofproblemsolving.com/Wiki/index.php/LaTeX:Symbols>

Its HEAD is Ua-La.1

La contains only one module - Ma

Following steps had to happen to achieve above status:

1. Ua creates a package La
$La \Rightarrow Ua-La.0$
$Ua-La.0 \supset []$
2. Ua adds Ma to La
$Ua-La.1 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma]$
3. Ua sets the HEAD
$La \Rightarrow Ua-La.1$

2.2 Scenario (1 Module, 2 Users, no dependencies)

Ua and Ub are working on La

Ub modified one module

1. Ub modifies Ma
 $Ub-La.0 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma]$ — automatic fork of La
 $Ub-La.1 \supset [Ub-La.1-Ma]$
2. Ub sends *request* to La's creator (Ua) to upgrade La from Ub-La.1
3. Ua accepts the request by setting the HEAD to Ub's version
 $La \Rightarrow Ub-La.1$
4. Result: $La \Rightarrow Ub-La.1 \supset [Ub-La.1-Ma]$

2.3 Scenario (2 Modules, 2 Users, no dependencies)

Ua and Ub are working on La

Ua created module Mb

Ub is working on Mb

1. Ua adds a new module Mb to La
 $Ua-La.2 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ua-La.2-Mb]$
2. Ua sets the HEAD
 $La \Rightarrow Ua-La.2$
3. Ub modifies Mb
 $Ub-La.0 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ua-La.2-Mb]$ — automatic fork of La
 $Ub-La.1 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ub-La.1-Mb]$
4. Ub sends request to Ua to upgrade La from Ub-La.1
5. Ua modifies Ma
 $Ua-La.3 \supset [Ua-La.3-Ma, Ua-La.2-Mb]$
6. Ua accepts Ub's request
 $Ua-La.4 \supset [Ua-La.3-Ma, Ub-La.1-Mb]$
7. Ua sets the HEAD
 $La \Rightarrow Ua-La.4$
8. Result: $La \rightarrow Ua-La.4 \supset [Ua-La.3-Ma, Ub-La.1-Mb]$

2.4 Scenario (2 Modules, 2 Users, no dependencies)

Ua and Ub are working on La

Ub created module Mb

1. Ub adds a new module Mb to La
 $Ub-La.0 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma]$ — automatic fork of La
 $Ub-La.1 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ua-La.1-Mb]$
2. Ub modifies Mb
 $Ub-La.2 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ub-La.2-Mb]$
3. Ub sends request to Ua to upgrade La from Ub-La.2
4. Ua modifies Ma
 $Ua-La.2 \supset [Ua-La.2-Ma]$
5. Ua accepts Ub's request
 $Ua-La.3 \supset [Ua-La.2-Ma, Ub-La.2-Mb]$
6. Ua sets the HEAD
 $La \implies Ua-La.3$
7. Result: $La \implies Ua-La.3 \supset [Ua-La.2-Ma, Ub-La.2-Mb]$

2.5 Scenario with conflict (2 Modules, 2 Users, no dependencies)

Ua and Ub are working on La

Ua created module Mb

Ua and Ub are working on Mb

Conflict arises...

1. Ua adds a new module Mb to La
 $Ua-La.2 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ua-La.2-Mb]$
2. Ua sets the HEAD
 $La \implies Ua-La.2$
3. Ub modifies Mb
 $Ub-La.0 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ua-La.2-Mb]$ — automatic fork of La
 $Ub-La.1 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ub-La.1-Mb]$
4. Ua modifies Mb
 $Ua-La.3 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ua-La.2-Mb]$
5. **CONFLICT**
At the time we've got two versions of La.Mb which came out from the same version
6. Ua sets the HEAD
 $La \supset Ua-La.3$
7. Ub receives info that his source is behind the HEAD
 $Ub-La.1-Mb$ (and $Ub-La.1$) is marked as *conflicted*
Ub can't send the update request
8. Ub manually solves conflict by editing the Mb
 $Ub-La.2 \supset [Ua-La.1, Ub-La.2-Mb]$

9. U_b sends request to U_a to upgrade La from $U_b-La.2$
10. U_a accepts U_b 's request
 $Ua-La.4 \supset [Ua-La.3-Ma, Ub-La.2-Mb]$
11. U_a sets the HEAD
 $La \implies Ua-La.4$
12. Result: $La \implies Ua-La.4 \supset [Ua-La.3-Ma, Ub-La.2-Mb]$