

Mozilla Addon Builder

Definition of the Package Building System

Piotr Zalewa

April 28, 2010

This document is written in L^AT_EX¹ a document markup language and document preparation system for the T_EX typesetting program

1 Syntax

1.1 Objects

x, y, z — represents $[a..z]$

m, n — represents $[0..9]^+$

Ux is the specific User (identified by *UserName*)

Px is the specific Package (identified by *PackageName*)

It should always be used within its **type** context as Lx — Library or Ax — Addon

Mx is the Module (identified by a triplet *User/PackageRevision/ModuleName*)

1.2 Object identification — revision numbers and HEAD

$Ux-Py.n$ defines revision of the Package.

$Ua-La.1$ — First revision of Library La saved by Ua .

$Ux-Py.n-Mz$ defines Module inside the revision of the Package.

$Ua-La.1-Ma$ — Module Ma inside the first revision of Library La saved by Ua .

$Px \Rightarrow Uy-Px.n$ is the HEAD revision of the Package

$La \Rightarrow Ua-La.1$ — La 's HEAD points to the first revision of Library La saved by Ua .

$Ux-Py.n \supset [Ux-Py.m-Mz, \dots]$ Modules inside the Package revision.

$Ua-La.2 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ub-La.2-Mb]$ — Second revision of Library La saved by Ua contains Ma saved by Ua in his La 's first revision and Mb saved by Ub in his second La 's revision.

2 Building Library

2.1 Starting point

$La \Rightarrow Ua-La.1 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma]$

Package La is created by User Ua .

La 's HEAD is PackageRevision $Ua-La.1$

¹For quick doc please follow to <http://web.mit.edu/olh/Latex/ess-latex.html>, All used symbols may be found here:
<http://www.artofproblemsolving.com/Wiki/index.php/LaTeX:Symbols>

It contains only one module - **Ma**

Following steps had to happen to achieve above status:

1. **Ua** creates a package **La**
$La \implies Ua-La.0$
$Ua-La.0 \supset []$
2. **Ua** adds **Ma** to **La**
$Ua-La.1 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma]$
3. **Ua** sets the HEAD
$La \implies Ua-La.1$

2.2 Scenario (1 Module, 2 Users, no dependencies)

Ua and **Ub** are working on **La**

Ub modified one module

1. **Ub** modifies **Ma**
 $Ub-La.0 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma]$ — automatic fork of **La**
 $Ub-La.1 \supset [Ub-La.1-Ma]$
2. **Ub** sends *request* to **La**'s creator (**Ua**) to upgrade **La** from **Ub-La.1**
3. **Ua** accepts the request by setting the HEAD to **Ub**'s version
 $La \implies Ub-La.1$
4. Result: $La \implies Ub-La.1 \supset [Ub-La.1-Ma]$

2.3 Scenario (2 Modules, 2 Users, no dependencies)

Ua and **Ub** are working on **La**

Ua created module **Mb**

Ub is working on **Mb**

1. **Ua** adds a new module **Mb** to **La**
 $Ua-La.2 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ua-La.2-Mb]$
2. **Ua** sets the HEAD
 $La \implies Ua-La.2$
3. **Ub** modifies **Mb**
 $Ub-La.0 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ua-La.2-Mb]$ — automatic fork of **La**
 $Ub-La.1 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ub-La.1-Mb]$
4. **Ub** sends request to **Ua** to upgrade **La** from **Ub-La.1**
5. **Ua** modifies **Ma**
 $Ua-La.3 \supset [Ua-La.3-Ma, Ua-La.2-Mb]$
6. **Ua** accepts **Ub**'s request
 $Ua-La.4 \supset [Ua-La.3-Ma, Ub-La.1-Mb]$
7. **Ua** sets the HEAD
 $La \implies Ua-La.4$
8. Result: $La \rightarrow Ua-La.4 \supset [Ua-La.3-Ma, Ub-La.1-Mb]$

2.4 Scenario (2 Modules, 2 Users, no dependencies)

Ua and Ub are working on La

Ub created module Mb

1. Ub adds a new module Mb to La
 $Ub-La.0 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma]$ — automatic fork of La
 $Ub-La.1 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ua-La.1-Mb]$
2. Ub modifies Mb
 $Ub-La.2 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ub-La.2-Mb]$
3. Ub sends request to Ua to upgrade La from Ub-La.2
4. Ua modifies Ma
 $Ua-La.2 \supset [Ua-La.2-Ma]$
5. Ua accepts Ub's request
 $Ua-La.3 \supset [Ua-La.2-Ma, Ub-La.2-Mb]$
6. Ua sets the HEAD
 $La \implies Ua-La.3$
7. Result: $La \implies Ua-La.3 \supset [Ua-La.2-Ma, Ub-La.2-Mb]$

2.5 Scenario with conflict (2 Modules, 2 Users, no dependencies)

Ua and Ub are working on La

Ua created module Mb

Ua and Ub are working on Mb

Conflict arises...

1. Ua adds a new module Mb to La
 $Ua-La.2 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ua-La.2-Mb]$
2. Ua sets the HEAD
 $La \implies Ua-La.2$
3. Ub modifies Mb
 $Ub-La.0 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ua-La.2-Mb]$ — automatic fork of La
 $Ub-La.1 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ub-La.1-Mb]$
4. Ua modifies Mb
 $Ua-La.3 \supset [Ua-La.1-Ma, Ua-La.2-Mb]$
5. **CONFLICT**
At the time we've got two versions of La.Mb which came out from the same version
6. Ua sets the HEAD
 $La \supset Ua-La.3$
7. Ub receives info that his source is behind the HEAD
 $Ub-La.1-Mb$ (and $Ub-La.1$) is marked as *conflicted*
Ub can't send the update request
8. Ub manually solves conflict by editing the Mb and removing the *conflict flag*
 $Ub-La.2 \supset [Ua-La.1, Ub-La.2-Mb]$

9. U_b sends request to U_a to upgrade La from $U_b-La.2$
10. U_a accepts U_b 's request
 $Ua-La.4 \supset [Ua-La.3-Ma, U_b-La.2-Mb]$
11. U_a sets the HEAD
 $La \implies Ua-La.4$
12. Result: $La \implies Ua-La.4 \supset [Ua-La.3-Ma, U_b-La.2-Mb]$

To be continued...