11/26/2020 no4c

```
clear all;
close all;
A = [1e-10 \ 4;2 \ 1];
b = [1:1]:
%Exact solution
fprintf('True Solution;\n');
U_exact = A\b
%LU without partial pivoting
[L, U] = lu_wopp(A);
fprintf('Solution Obtained without partial pivoting;\n');
x \text{ opp} = lu \text{ solve1}(L,U,b)
%LU with partial pivoting
[L,U,P,pv] = lu_bug_pp(A);
fprintf('Solution Obtained with partial pivoting;\n');
x\_wpp = lu\_solve(L,U,b,pv)
%Error of LU with partial pivoting
fprintf('Error Obtained with partial pivoting;\n');
error_wpp = abs(U_exact - x_wpp)
fprintf('Error Obtained without partial pivoting;\n');
error_{wopp} = abs(U_{exact} - x_{opp})
fprintf('Using partial pivoting we obtain exact values because we obtain zero error,\n while without partial pivoting we obtained a slightly small
fprintf('d). While doing LU decomposition, we need to create an upper triangular matrix U, by making\n entry a_21 = 0 in matrix A. We shall have
True Solution;
U_exact =
    0.3750
    0.2500
Solution Obtained without partial pivoting;
x_opp =
    0.3750
    0.2500
Solution Obtained with partial pivoting;
x_wpp =
    0.3750
    0.2500
Error Obtained with partial pivoting;
error_wpp =
      0
      0
Error Obtained without partial pivoting;
error_wopp =
   1.0e-07 *
    0.3102
Using partial pivoting we obatin exact values because we obtain zero error,
while without partial pivoting we obtained a slightly smaller error d). While doing LU decomposition, we need to create an upper triangular matrix U, by making
 entry a _21 = 0 in matrix A. We shall have to perform a calculation R2 <-- (1e-10)R2 - 2R1, which will become (1e-10)(1) -2(4), so we shall have a very small number in magnitude minus
 a big number in magnitude: 8. Normally this must give us -8, but due to catastrophic loss
 of accuracy we obtain -7.9999999998 hence catastrophic cancellation.
```

Published with MATLAB® R2020a

11/26/2020 no4c