

```
Question 2
```

Guon (tname, none, Liuki);

Calabians (none)

Arehan (none, flightduration, teletinesis)

Elrad (none, invisibility, mindreading)

R2 (tname, aname)

R3 (tname, ename)

R4 (cname, gname)

// Unnamed relation in the E.R. diagram

Queries

(reate Table Tiorion (none VARCHARCISS), PRIMARY KEY (nome));

Create Table Gwon (
thome VARCHAR (255),
none VARCHAR (255),
livilia VARCHAR (255),
primary KEY (thome, none),
foreign KEY (thome, none),
Tiorion (none));

Create Table (alabian (none VARCHAR(255), PRIMARY KEY (nume));

Create Table Arehan (
none VARCHARCESS),
flight duration WT, //inseconds
televinesis TINYINT(1), //boolean

PRIMARY KEY none,
FOREIGN KEY (cone)
REFERENCES (alabion (none));

Create Table Elrad (
name VARCHAR(255),
invisibility duration int, //in seconds
mindreading duration int, //in seconds

PRIMARY KEY name,
FOREIGN KEY (name)

REFERENCES (alabian (name));

Create Table R2 (
thome VAR(HAR(255)),
anone VAR(HAR(255)),

PRIMARY KEY (thome),

FOREIGN KEY (thome)

REFERENCES Tiorion (nome),

FOREIGN KEY (oneme),

REFERENCES Arehan (name));

Create Table R3 (
frame VARCHAR(253),
enome VARCHAR(253),
enome VARCHAR(253),
PRIMARY KEY (frame, enome),
FOREIGN KEY (frame)
REFERENCES Tission (nome),
FOREIGN KEY (enome)
REFERENCES Elrad (nome));

Question 2 a) Continued // unnamed relation in the ER Create Table R4(Chame VARCHAR (255), grave VARCHUS (255), PRIMARY VEY (gnone), FOREIGN KEY ((none) REFERENCES Calabian (name), FOREIGN KEY (grave) (Prinary Key = P.K.) Foreign Key) = F.K.) REFERENCES Gwon (none)); Clarification for tables - Tinrion table's name field will be unique because of P.K. constraint. - In Guan table, the key is (thome, none) pair. This allows us to store Same Tiorion's with different Guan's and different Guans can have the - The Calabian table is added for R4 relation. If there was no R4 relation - Arehand Etrad tables: Uniqueness will be checked by P.K. constraints. we could have omitted this table - R2 relation: Since a tiorion can be at most one in this relation, we can take those as a lay. ti az sis allowed th all X is not allowed - R3 relation: Since there is no restriction about at most one", we can do this table by meny to meny relation. - Ry relation: In the E.R., there is an arrow, meaning that a gwon con be at most one " in this relation. That's why gwon name is key in this relation. As a side note -> If the description is correct, it means a gwon con be present in this table more than once. Then, Rh's Poke should be (gnone, cnone) pair.

b) I did not put RA relation as a seperate table in my design. the weak entity relation is present in Gura Table.

The rule of "Tiorion must have at least one dependent Gwan" can be forced by using Triggers.

C) In R2, we have a constraint which says a trion may be governed by only one orehan." It means that a trion entity cannot be present in this relation more than I time. SoIT choose thome as P.K. of this table. On the other hand, in R3, we don't have such conclition It is many to many relation and (thome, enous) pour will be the key.

Question 3 AB+ = AB BC=BCA CD=C,D,E,A,B,F) a) A+= AB BD1 = B,D,F ACT = ABC CET = C, A,B, E B+ = B BET = B, E ADT = ABIDIF CFT=C,A,B,F C+ = C,A,B BFT : B,F AET = A.B, E D' = D,F AFT = A,B,F E = F F+ = F no heys no heys no legs If we continue like this, we'll see that CD is the only key. (Since there is no corD in r.h.s.) in BCNF. Key is CD. b) It is not -violates they are either part of leay, or $C \rightarrow A$ They have nothing to do with key CDJE A JB D-)F c) Prob C-A R (ABCDEF) Ct= C,A,B Ri(CDEF) CD-1E CDIShey R1 (CAB) in R2, D-) F violates BCNF in RA, A-73 violates BCNF Dt= DF Ru(CDE) 22, (DÉ) R12 (AB) RAA (CA) 0-1F V So, we decomposed R into

(CA) (AB) (DF) (CDE)

5

Question 3

d);) We have preserved dependencies.

On the original R, we have

F: C-A

(D-)E

A ->B

0->F

On the final decomposed version, we have

RII (CA) C-AV

D12 (AB) A-18/

PZI (DF) D->FV

R22 ((DE) (D-)EV

ii) It is lossless join becouse we are using keys.

By definition, BONF is lossless Join.

QL; R (ABCDEFGH) DE -B FD-AA HAC -> BC DAE FH -> B A-)C (F-) DE FD+C Step 1, mohe r.h.s. of each F.D. single attribute a) DE-B FDJA HAC ->B HAC ->C DAE FH-B $A \rightarrow C$ CF ->D CF-> E FD -> C from Lohos. attributes elintrate CF -> D FH-B Step 2, try to HAC -> B I HAC -> C C+= C FD-JA DE -B H = H c -> c F += F F = F DEDIEB HA will be DT= DE it stays it stays removed remove E HAT= HACB it stoms HAC will be I C - C will be removed Athurot D > B transformed to D-3B DEAB FD-C (FAE remove d HACIC transformed HA >B F1=F Storys HAC+B DIEB it stongs

Now, we have

0-15

FD-A

HA ->B

DAE

FH-)B

A -> C

(F-)D

(F-)E

FD-C

Step 3

i) without D >B, con I obtam B?

DT = D, E no, D >B stays

ii) FD-A

no, FD-A stoys FD+=F,D,E,C,B

iii) HA ->B

no, HA -> B stays

HA = HA,C,

iv) D→E

DAE Storys

0+=D V) FH->B

FH-)B stoys

FHT = F,H,

vi) A -> C A-) C stays

A+=A

vii) CF ->D

CF-JD stays

CFT= C, F, E

viii) (F-)E

(F-)E COM be removed CFT= (F, D (E)

ix) FD+C

FD+= FIDIA, C

FOAC on be consued.

Now, we have

D -B

FD-A

HA ->B

DAE

FH-B

A-C

(F-)D

minimal cover

Question 4 b Step 4 Group together. Since, we don't have repetitions on L.h.s, there is no road to group. RA (DEB) key is D R2 (FOA) ley is FD R3 (HAB) Ley is HA Ry (FHB) key is FH R5 (AC) key is A Q6 (CFD) key is CF To the original table there is Gr but G is not present in tables In the original table the key is DFGH DFGHT = D, F, G, H, E, BETB, FDTA, ATC

So , put it in the above Ro (DFGH) key is DFGH