Electronic Engineering Programming

Lecturer: Professor Kim Jin Young

Dang Thanh Vu - 197796

References

- [1]. Richard S. Sutton and Andrew G. Barto, "An Introduction to Reinforcment Learning", 2015.
- [2]. Professor Kim Jin Young, Lecture Slides, chapter 10, 2019.
- [3]. https://github.com/kireet/ml-playground/blob/master/sutton_barto/four/jacks.py

1. Review

1.1. Expected discounted return

$$G_t = R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \dots = \sum_{k=0}^{T} \gamma^k R_{t+k+1}$$

 $0 \le \gamma \le 1$: discount rate. $T \to 0$ continuing task, $T = a < \infty$ episodic task.

1.2. Markov Property

$$P(s',r|s,a) = Pr\{R_{t+1} = r, S_{t+1} = s'|S_t = s, A_t = a\}$$

Where $s', s \in S$ (state space), $r \in R \subset \mathbb{R}$, $a \in A(s)$ (actions in state s)

1.3. Markov Decision Processes

• Expected rewards for state-action pairs:

$$r(s,a) = \mathbb{E}[R_{t+1}|S_t = s, A_t = a] = \sum_{r \in R} \sum_{s' \in S} p(s', r|s, a)$$

• State-transition probabilities:

$$p(s'|s,a) = \Pr\{S_{t+1} = s'|A_t = a, S_t = s\} = \sum_{r \in R} p(s',r|s,a)$$

• Expected rewards for the state-action-next-state:

$$r(s, a, s') = \mathbb{E}[R_{t+1}|S_t = s, A_t = a, S_{t+1} = s'] = \frac{\sum_{r \in R} rp(s', r|s, a)}{p(s'|s, a)}$$

• The value function is the expected return when starting in s and following π thereafter:

$$\boldsymbol{v}_{\pi}(s) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[\boldsymbol{G}_{t}|\boldsymbol{S}_{t} = s] = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\big[\textstyle\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\gamma^{k}\boldsymbol{R}_{t+k+1}\,\big|\boldsymbol{S}_{t} = s\big]$$

• Action value function:

$$q_{\pi}(s,a) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_t|S_t = s, A_t = a] = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\big[\textstyle\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k R_{t+k+1} \, \big| S_t = s, A_t = a\big]$$

Where $\pi(a|s)$ is a policy, the probability of taking action a when in state s. $a = \pi(s)$ is a mapping from state space to action space.

1.4. Bellman Equation

• Bellman equation for π

$$v_{\pi}(s) = \sum_{a,s',r} p(s',r|s,a) \pi(a|s) [r + \gamma v_{\pi}(s')] = \sum_{r,s'} [r + \gamma v_{\pi}(s')] p(r,s'|s)$$

Proof

<u>Law of total expectation</u>: $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|Y]] = \mathbb{E}[X]$, where X, Y are random variables.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\big[\mathbb{E}[X|Y]\big] &= \int \mathbb{E}[X|Y]p(y)dy = \int \int xp(x|y)p(y)dxdy = \int x \bigg(\int p(x|y)p(y)dy\bigg)dx \\ &= \int x \bigg(\int p(x,y)dy\bigg)dx = \int xp(x)dx = \mathbb{E}(X) \end{split}$$

The discrete case is analogous when substituting integral by summation.

Corollary: $\mathbb{E}_{Z|Y}[\mathbb{E}[X|Y,Z]] = \mathbb{E}[X|Y]$

We have,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_{S_{t+1}|S_t} \Big[\mathbb{E}_{G_{t+1}} \left[G_{t+1} | S_t = s, S_{t+1} = s' \right] \Big] &= \sum_{s'} \sum_g gp(g|s',s)p(s'|s) \\ &= \sum_{s'} \sum_g gp(g|s')p(s'|s) \text{ (Markov property)} \\ &= \sum_{s'} \mathbb{E}[G_{t+1}|S_{t+1} = s']p(s'|s) = \sum_{s'} v(s')p(s'|s) = \mathbb{E}_{S_{t+1}}[v(S_{t+1})|S_t = s] \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_{t}|S_{t} = s] &= \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\big[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{k} R_{t+k+1} \, \big| S_{t} = s \big] = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[R_{t+1} + \gamma G_{t+1} | S_{t} = s] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[R_{t+1}|S_{t} = s] + \gamma \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_{t+1}|S_{t} = s] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[R_{t+1}|S_{t} = s] + \gamma \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\big[\mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_{t+1}|S_{t} = s, S_{t+1} = s'] \big] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[R_{t+1}|S_{t} = s] + \gamma \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[v_{\pi}(S_{t+1})|S_{t} = s] \end{split}$$

Because

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[R_{t+1}|S_t = s] &= \sum_{r} rp(r|s) = \sum_{r} r \sum_{s'} p(r,s'|s) \\ \mathbb{E}[v_{\pi}(S_{t+1})|S_t = s] &= \sum_{s'} v_{\pi}(s')p(s'|s) = \sum_{s'} v_{\pi}(s') \sum_{r} p(r,s'|s) \end{split}$$

We have the Bellman Equation

$$\begin{split} v_{\pi}(s) &= \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_{t}|S_{t} = s] = \sum_{r} r \sum_{s'} p(r,s'|s) + \gamma \sum_{s'} v_{\pi}(s') \sum_{r} p(r,s'|s) = \sum_{r,s'} \bigl(r + \gamma v_{\pi}(s')\bigr) p(r,s'|s) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[R_{t+1} + \gamma v_{\pi}(S_{t+1})|S_{t} = s] \end{split}$$

With the same procedure, we have

$$\begin{split} q_{\pi}(s, a) &= \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_{t}|S_{t} = s, A_{t} = a] = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[R_{t+1} + \gamma v_{\pi}(S_{t+1})|S_{t} = s, A_{t} = a] \\ &= \sum_{s', r} p(s', r|s, a)[r + \gamma v_{\pi}(s')] \end{split}$$

1.5. Optimal Value

Optimal state-value function

$$v_*(s) = \max_{\pi} v_{\pi}(s), \forall s \in S$$

· Optimal action-value function

$$q_*(s,a) = \max_{\pi} q_{\pi}(s,a) = \sum_{s'r} p(s',r|s,a)[r + \gamma v_*(s')], \forall s \in S, a \in A(s)$$

• Bellman optimality equation

$$v_*(s) = \max_{a \in A(s)} q_{\pi_*}(s, a) = \max_{a \in A(s)} \sum_{s', r} p(s', r | s, a)[r + \gamma v_*(s')]$$

Policy evaluation

$$v_{k+1} = \sum_{a,s',r} \pi(a|s)p(s',r|s,a)[r + \gamma v_k(s')]$$

Policy improvement theorem

$$q_{\pi}(s, \pi'(s)) \ge v_{\pi}(s) \Rightarrow v_{\pi'}(s) \ge v_{\pi}(s), \forall s \in S$$

Where π , π' is any pair of deterministic policies.

Proof: Assume that

$$\begin{split} q_{\pi}\big(s,\pi'(s)\big) &= \sum_{s',r} p(s',r|s,\pi'(s))[r + \gamma v_{\pi}(s')] = \sum_{s',r} p_{\pi'}(s',r|s)[r + \gamma v_{\pi}(s')] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\pi'}[R_{t+1} + \gamma v_{\pi}(S_{t+1})|S_{t} = s] \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} v_{\pi}(s) & \leq q_{\pi}\big(s, \pi'(s)\big) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi'}[R_{t+1} + \gamma v_{\pi}(S_{t+1}) | S_t = s] \leq \mathbb{E}_{\pi'}\big[R_{t+1} + \gamma q_{\pi}\big(S_{t+1}, \pi'(S_{t+1})\big) \big| S_t = s \big] \\ & = \mathbb{E}_{\pi'}[R_{t+1} + \gamma \mathbb{E}_{\pi'}[R_{t+2} + \gamma v_{\pi}(S_{t+2}) | S_{t+1}] | S_t = s \big] \\ & = \mathbb{E}_{\pi'}[R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \gamma^2 v_{\pi}(S_{t+2}) | S_t = s \big] \text{ (Markov property)} \end{split}$$

Using $\mathbb{E}_{\pi'}[R_{t+1} + \gamma v_{\pi}(S_{t+1})|S_t = s] \leq \mathbb{E}_{\pi'}[R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \gamma^2 v_{\pi}(S_{t+2})|S_t = s]$, by induction we can conclude

$$v_{\pi}(s) \leq \mathbb{E}_{\pi'}[R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \gamma^2 R_{t+3} + \cdots | S_t = s] = v_{\pi'}(s)$$

Greedy policy

$$\pi'(s) = \operatorname{argmax}_a \, q_\pi(s,a) = \operatorname{argmax}_a \, \sum_{s',r} p(s',r|s,a)[r + \gamma v_\pi(s')]$$

$$v_{\pi'}(s) = \max_{a} q_{\pi}(s, a) = \max_{a} \sum_{s',r} p(s', r|s, a)[r + \gamma v_{\pi}(s')]$$

2. Jack's Car Rental

2.1. Problem Modeling

- Time steps: t (days)
- States: tuples of the numbers of cars in each location, $S = (S_1, S_2) \in \{0, 1, ..., 20\}^2$
- Actions: move cars from one location to another location, $a \in \{-5, -4, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$.

 $sign(a) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ move cars from the first to the second location} \\ 1 \text{ move cars from the second to the first location} \end{cases}$

abs(a) = the number of cars which would be moved

• Reward: $R = 10 \times X_{rented} - 2 \times X_{moved}$. Each rented car in each location will worth 10, each moving car will cost 2.



Figure 1. State Transition

THE NUMBER OF CARS IN THE FIRST LOCATION




Figure 2. State matrix. The state-value and taken action of each state will be stored under a 2d-array.

2.2. Algorithm

To use the "Value iteration" algorithm, we need to calculate

$$V(s) = \sum_{s',r} p(s',r|s,a)[r + \gamma V(s')]$$

Which depends on the probability p(s', r|s, a). Precisely,

$$Pr\{R, S'_1, S'_2 | S_1, S_2, X^{move}\}$$

Where

$$R = 10 * (X_1^{rented} + X_2^{rented}) - 2 * abs(X^{move})$$

$$S_1' = S_1 - X_1^{rented} + X_1^{return} + X^{move}$$

$$S_2' = S_2 - X_2^{rented} + X_2^{return} - X^{move}$$

The probability $Pr\{R, S'_1, S'_2 | S_1, S_2, X^{move}\}$ can be rewritten as

$$Pr\{X_1^{rented}, X_2^{rented}, X_1^{return}, X_2^{return} | S_1, S_2, X^{move}\}$$

Because R, S_1', S_2' only depend on the random variables $X_1^{\rm rented}, X_2^{\rm rented}, X_1^{\rm return}, X_2^{\rm return}$ and the parameters $S_1, S_2, X_{\rm move}$. Besides, rented and returned cars at each location are mutually exclusive independent given $S_1, S_2, X^{\rm move}$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{split} \Pr \big\{ X_{1}^{\text{rented}}, X_{2}^{\text{rented}}, X_{1}^{\text{return}}, X_{2}^{\text{return}} \big| S_{1}, S_{2}, X^{\text{move}} \big\} \\ &= \Pr \big\{ X_{1}^{\text{return}}, X_{1}^{\text{rented}} \big| S_{1}, X^{\text{move}} \big\} \Pr \big\{ X_{2}^{\text{return}}, X_{2}^{\text{rented}} \big| S_{2}, X^{\text{move}} \big\} \end{split}$$

Each probability term is calculated by the following rule, Figure 3 and 4

$$\Pr\!\big\{\!X_1^{return}, X_1^{rented} \big| S_1, X^{move}\!\big\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} Poisson\!\left(x \middle| \lambda_1^{rented}\right) Poisson\!\left(y \middle| \lambda_1^{returned}\right) \\ if \, x \leq s_1 + x^{move} \text{ and } 0 \leq y \leq 20 - s_1 + x \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

$$\text{Pr}\big\{X_2^{\text{return}}, X_2^{\text{rented}}\big|S_2, X^{\text{move}}\big\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Poisson}\big(x\big|\lambda_2^{\text{rented}}\big) \text{Poisson}\big(y\big|\lambda_2^{\text{returned}}\big) \\ \text{if } x \leq s_2 - x^{\text{move}} \text{ and } 0 \leq y \leq 20 - s_2 + x \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

```
float Poisson(int n, int lambda)
{
    if(n >= 0)
    {
        float fac = 1.0;
        int i = 1;
        while(i <= n) {fac = fac * i; i++;}
        float p = pow(lambda, n)/fac*exp(-lambda);
        return p;
    }
    return 0;
}</pre>
```

Figure 3. C program to calculate the Poisson probability

```
(int rented = 0; rented <= start; rented++)
int returned = end - start + rented;
if(returned >= 0)//feasible : prob != 0
    if(rented != start)
         rental prob = Poisson(rented, rent lam);
        rental_prob = 1;
         for(int temp = 0; temp < start; temp++)</pre>
             rental_prob -= Poisson(temp, rent_lam);
    if(end != 20)
        return_prob = Poisson(returned, rent_lam);
    else//ensure sum of probability = 1
         return_prob = 1;
        for(int temp = 1; temp < returned; temp++)</pre>
            return_prob -= Poisson(temp, rent_lam);
    probs[rented] = return_prob*rental_prob;
    probs[rented] = 0;
```

Figure 4. C program to calculate the rental-returning probability at one location

An interesting thing is that the reward R depends only on X_1^{rented} and X_2^{rented} being conditional on X^{move} , therefore, the state-transition probabilities can be derived by the following calculation

$$\Pr\{S_{t+1}|S_t = s, A_t = a\} = \sum_{x_1^{rented}} \sum_{x_2^{rented}} \Pr\{X_1^{rented}, X_2^{rented}, X_1^{return}, X_2^{return} \big| S_1, S_2, X^{move}\}$$

And Expected rewards for the state-action-next-state are

$$r(s, a, s') = \mathbb{E}[R_{t+1}|S_t = s, A_t = a, S_{t+1} = s']$$

$$= \left(\sum_{\mathbf{x}_1^{\text{rented}}} \sum_{\mathbf{x}_2^{\text{rented}}} r \times \Pr\{X_1^{\text{rented}}, X_2^{\text{rented}}, X_1^{\text{return}}, X_2^{\text{return}} | S_1, S_2, X^{\text{move}}\}\right) / p(s'|s, a)$$

After calculating $\Pr\{S_{t+1}|S_t=s,A_t=a\}$ and r(s,a,s'), we are ready to do "Value iteration"

$$V(s) = \sum_{s',r} p(s',r|s,a)[r + \gamma V(s')] = \sum_{s'} \left(\sum_{r} r p(s',r|s,a) + \gamma V(s') \sum_{r} p(s',r|s,a) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{s'} \left(r(s,a,s') + \gamma V(s') \right) p(s'|s,a)$$

To update the optimal policy, the "Value iteration" is considered with a little modification. Instead of computing the policies at the end of the algorithm, they are updated through iterations accompanying with the state-values. This computation inconsiderably affects the computational time because the state-values have been predetermined. In addition, the state-values are estimated at all actions, that means it does not depend on the policy of previous iterations, Figure 6.

```
float* probs1 = prob_Slot(start51, end51, 3, 3);
float* probs2 = prob_Slot(start52, end52, 4, 2);
float prob = 0;
float expected_reward = 0;
for(int rented1 = 0; rented1<=start51; rented1++)
{
    for(int rented2 = 0; rented2<=start52; rented2++)
    {
        prob += probs1[rented1]*probs2[rented2];
        expected_reward += (rented1 + rented2)*10*probs1[rented1]*probs2[rented2];
    }
}</pre>
```

Figure 5. C program to calculate transition probability and expected rewards for the state-actionnext-state

```
float delta = 0;
for(int i = 0; i < n; i++)
   for(int j = 0; j < n; j++)
       float Sa[11];
       for(int a = 0; a < 11; a++)
           int move = action[a];
           Sa[a] = -2*abs(move)*policy(i, j, move)*policy(j, i, -move);
           for(int h = 0; h < n; h++)
               for(int k = 0; k < n; k++)
                   float* prob_reward = transition_prob(S[i][j], S[h][k], move);
                   Sa[a] += (prob\_reward[1] + prob\_reward[0]*gamma*S[h][k]->v);
       //improve policy
       float V = S[i][j] - > v;
       float max = Sa[0];
       for(int a = 0; a< 11; a++)
           if(Sa[a] >= max)
               S[i][j]->v = Sa[a];
               S[i][j]->action_value = action[a];
               max = Sa[a];
       //terminate
       float error = abs(V - S[i][j]->v);
       if(delta < error) delta = error;</pre>
```

Figure 6. C program to perform "Value iteration" Algorithm

3. Experiments and Results




Figure 8. Heatmap of taken action in each state. At each state, the policy helps to decide how many cars should be moved and which location is the destination.




Figure 9. The estimated state-value at each state from 1 to 5, and 10 iterations.