Object-Oriented Programming (OOP) Lecture No. 16

In C++, we can make operators to work for user defined classes. This means C++ has the ability to provide the operators with a special meaning for a data type, this ability is known as operator overloading.

For example, we can overload an operator '+' in a class like String so that we can concatenate two strings by just using +.

Other example classes where arithmetic operators may be overloaded are Complex Number, Fractional Number, Big Integer, etc.

Consider the following class:

```
class Complex{
private:
  double real, img;
public:
  Complex Add(const Complex &);
  Complex Subtract(const Complex &);
  Complex Multiply(const Complex &);
```

Function implementation:

```
Complex Complex::Add(
   const Complex & c1) {
 Complex t;
  t.real = real + c1.real;
 t.img = img + c1.img;
 return t;
```

► The following statement:

```
Complex c3 = c1.Add(c2);
```

Adds the contents of c2 to c1 and assigns it to c3 (copy constructor)

To perform operations in a single mathematical statement e.g:

► We have to explicitly write:

```
c1.Add(c2.Add(c3.Add(c4)))
```

Alternative way is:

```
t1 = c3.Add(c4);
t2 = c2.Add(t1);
t3 = c1.Add(t2);
```

- ▶ If the mathematical expression is big:
 - Converting it to C++ code will involve complicated mixture of function calls
 - Less readable
 - Chances of human mistakes are very high
 - Code produced is very hard to maintain

► C++ provides a very elegant solution:

"Operator overloading"

- C++ allows you to overload common operators like +, - or * etc...
- Mathematical statements don't have to be explicitly converted into function calls

- Assume that operator + has been overloaded
- Actual C++ code becomes:

The resultant code is very easy to read, write and maintain

- C++ automatically overloads operators for pre-defined types
- Example of predefined types:

```
int
float
double
char
long
```

Example:

```
float x;
int y;
x = 102.02 + 0.09;
Y = 50 + 47;
```

The compiler probably calls the correct overloaded low level function for addition i.e:

```
// for integer addition
Add(int a, int b)

// for float addition:
Add(float a, float b)
```

- Operator functions are not usually called directly
- They are automatically invoked to evaluate the operations they implement

List of operators that can be overloaded in C++:

```
new delete new[] delete[]
+ - * / % ^ & | ~
! = < > += -= *= /= %=
^= &= |= << >> >>= <<= == !=
<= >= && || ++ -- , ->* ->
() []
```

List of operators that can't be overloaded:

```
. .* :: ?: # ##
```

- Reason: They take name, rather than value in their argument except for
- is the only ternary operator in C++ and can't be overloaded

- The precedence of an operator is NOT affected due to overloading
- **Example:**

c1*c2+c3

c3+c2*c1

both yield the same answer

- Associativity is **NOT** changed due to overloading
- Following arithmetic expression always is evaluated from left to right:

$$c1 + c2 + c3 + c4$$

Unary operators and assignment operator are right associative, e.g.

All other operators are left associative:

```
c1+c2+c3 is same as (c1+c2)+c3
```

- Always write code representing the operator
- **Example:**

Adding subtraction code inside the + operator will create chaos

- Creating a new operator is a syntax error (whether unary, binary or ternary)
- You cannot create \$

- Arity of an operator is NOT affected by overloading
- **Example:**

Division operator will take exactly two operands in any case:

$$b = c / d$$

- Binary operators act on two quantities
- Binary operators:

General syntax:

Member function:

```
TYPE<sub>1</sub> CLASS::operator B_OP(
TYPE<sub>2</sub> rhs) {
```

General syntax:

Non-member function:

```
TYPE, operator B_OP(TYPE, lhs, TYPE, rhs) {
```

- The "operator OP" must have at least one formal parameter of type class (user defined type)
- Following is an error:

```
int operator + (int, int);
```

Overloading + operator: class Complex{ private: double real, img; public: Complex operator + (const Complex & rhs); };

```
Complex Complex::operator +(
   const Complex & rhs) {
   Complex t;
   t.real = real + rhs.real;
   t.img = img + rhs.img;
   return t;
}
```

The return type is Complex so as to facilitate complex statements like:

```
Complex t = c1 + c2 + c3;
```

The above statement is automatically converted by the compiler into appropriate function calls:

```
(c1.operator +(c2)).operator
+(c3);
```

```
▶ If the return type was void,
   class Complex{
    public:
   void operator+(
      const Complex & rhs);
   };
```

```
void Complex::operator+(const
    Complex & rhs) {
    real = real + rhs.real;
    img = img + rhs.img;
};
```

we have to do the same operation c1+c2+c3 as:

```
c1+c2
```

// final result is stored in c1

- Drawback of void return type:
 - Assignments and cascaded expressions are not possible
 - Code is less readable
 - Debugging is tough
 - Code is very hard to maintain