

The COCOMO Cost model

Constructive Cost Model

- An **empirical** model based on project experience
- COCOMO'81 is derived from the analysis of **63** software projects in 1981.
- Well-documented, 'independent' model which is not tied to a specific software vendor
- COCOMO II (2000) takes into account different approaches to software development, reuse, etc.

COCOMO II

- COCOMO II is a **3-level** model that allows **increasingly detailed estimates** to be prepared as development progresses
 - ✚ **Early prototyping level**
 - Estimates based on **object-points** and a simple formula is used for effort estimation
 - ✚ **Early design level**
 - Estimates based on **function-points** that are then translated to LOC
 - Includes 7 cost drivers
 - ✚ **Post-architecture level**
 - Estimates based on lines of source code or function point
 - Includes 17 cost drivers

COCOMO II Early prototyping level

Object-Points

- Suitable for projects built using modern GUI-builder tools
 - ✚ Based on **Object-Points**
- Supports prototyping projects and projects where there is extensive reuse
- Based on standard estimates of **developer productivity** in object points/month
- Takes CASE tool use into account
- Formula is
 - ✚ $PM = (NOP \cdot (1 - \%reuse / 100)) / PROD$
 - ✚ PM is the effort in person-months, NOP is the number of object points and PROD is the productivity

Object-Points (for 4GLs)

- Object-points are an alternative function-related measure to function points **when 4GLs** or similar languages are used for development
- Object-points **are NOT** the same as object classes
- The number of object-points in a program is considered as a weighted estimate of 3 elements:
 - ✚ The number of separate **screens** that are displayed
 - ✚ The number of **reports** that are produced by the system
 - ✚ The number of **3GL modules** that must be developed to supplement the 4GL code
 - ✚ C:\Software_Eng\Cocomo\Software Measurement Page, COCOMO II, object points.htm

Object-Points – Weighting

Object Type	Simple	Meduim	Difficult
Screen	1	2	3
Report	2	5	8
Each 3GL module	10	10	10

Object-Points: Complexity Levels

- *svr*: number of server **data tables** used with screen/report
- *clnt*: number of client **data tables** used with screen/report

For Screens				For Reports			
Number of Views contained	# and source of data tables			Number of Sections contained	# and source of data tables		
	Total < 4 (< 2 svr < 3 clnt)	Total < 8 (2/3 svr 3-5 clnt)	Total 8+ (> 3 svr > 5 clnt)		Total < 4 (< 2 svr < 3 clnt)	Total < 8 (2/3 svr 3-5 clnt)	Total 8+ (> 3 svr > 5 clnt)
< 3	simple	simple	medium	0 or 1	simple	simple	medium
3 - 7	simple	medium	difficult	2 or 3	simple	medium	difficult
> 8	medium	difficult	difficult	4 +	medium	difficult	difficult

Object-Point Estimation

- Object-points are easy to estimate
 - ✚ simply concerned with screens, reports and 3GL modules
- At an early point in the development process:
 - ✚ Object-points can be early estimated
 - ✚ It is very difficult to estimate the number of lines of code in a system

Productivity Estimates

- LOC productivity
 - ✚ Real-time embedded systems: 40-160 LOC/P-month
 - ✚ Systems programs: 150-400 LOC/P-month
 - ✚ Commercial applications: 200-800 LOC/P-month
- Object-points productivity: PROD
 - ✚ measured 4 - 50 object points/person-month
 - ✚ depends on tool support and developer capability

Developer's experience and Capability / ICASE maturity and capability	Very low	Low	Nominal	High	Very high
PROD: Productivity Object-point per person-month	4	7	13	25	50

Object Point Effort Estimation

- Effort in p-m = NOP / PROD
 - ✚ NOP = number of OP of the system
- Example:
 - ✚ An application contains 840 Object-points (NOP=840) & Productivity is very high (= 50 object points/person-month)
 - ✚ Then, Effort = $840/50 = (16.8) = 17$ p-m

Adjustment for % of Reuse

- % reuse: the % of screens, reports, & 3GL modules reused from previous applications, pro-rated by degree of reuse

✚ $\text{Adjusted NOP} = \text{NOP} * (1 - \% \text{ reuse} / 100)$

✚ $\text{Adjusted NOP: New NOP}$

✚ Example:

- An application contains 840 OP, of which 20% can be supplied by existing components.

$$\text{Adjusted NOP} = 840 * (1 - 20/100) = 672 \text{ OP "New OP"}$$

$$\text{Adjusted effort} = 672/50 = (13.4) = 14 \text{ p-m}$$

Object-Point Estimation Procedure

1. Assess **object-counts** in the system: number of screens, reports, & 3GL.
2. Assess complexity level for each object (use table): simple, medium and difficult.
3. Calculate **“NOP”** the **object-point count** of the system: add all weights for all object instances
4. Estimate the % of reuse and compute the **adjusted NOP** **“New Object Points “** to be developed
5. Determine the productivity rate PROD (use metrics table)
6. Compute the adjusted effort $PM = \text{adjusted NOP} / \text{PROD}$

Object-Point Estimation Example








Assessment of a software system shows that:

- The system includes
 - ✚ 6 screens: 2 simple + 3 medium + 1 difficult
 - ✚ 3 reports: 2 medium + 1 difficult
 - ✚ 2 3GL components
- 30 % of the objects could be supplied from previously developed components
- Productivity is high

Compute the estimated effort PM ‘Person-months’ needed to develop the system.

OP Estimation Example: Solution

- Object counts:

 2 simple screens	x 1 = 2
 3 medium screens	x 2 = 6
 1 difficult screen	x 3 = 3
 2 medium reports	x 5 = 10
 1 difficult report	x 8 = 8
 2 3GL components	x 10 = 20
 NOP	<hr/> 49

OP Estimation Example: Solution

✚ **Adjusted NOP** 'New NOP' = $\text{NOP} * (1 - \% \text{ reuse} / 100)$
 $= 49 * (1 - (30/100))$
 $= (34.3)$
 $= 35$

✚ For high productivity (metric table): $\text{PROD} = 25 \text{ OP/P-M}$

✚ Estimated effort Person-Month = $\text{Adjusted NOP} / \text{PROD}$
 $= 35 / 25$
 $= 1.4 \text{ P-M}$