

Formal Method in Software Engineering (SE-313)

Course Teacher

Assistant Professor

Engr. Mustafa Latif

1

Predicate logic

Syntax of Predicate Logic

- Two key types in predicate logic: **terms and formulas**
- Terms
- Basic components of predicate logic are called terms
- Any constant is a term.
 - We often use constants in math; we introduce them by writing things like Let S be the set {1; 2; 3}.
 - In this case, we have introduced a constant and made its denotation clear; we have given it an interpretation.
 - Definition: A constant of type T is a name that denotes some **particular object** in the set T.

2

Predicate logic

Syntax of Predicate Logic

- Any variable is a term.
 - A variable can stand for anything in a set of objects.
 - That is, a variable of type \mathbb{N} could stand for any of the natural numbers.
 - The variable x may stand for one of the days. We may let $x = \text{Monday}$, $x = \text{Tuesday}$, etc.
 - A collection of objects is called **the domain of objects**.
- **Definition:** A variable of type T is a name that can denote any value in the set T .

3

Predicate logic

Syntax of Predicate Logic

- Any function is a term.
 - The idea of functional terms in logic is similar to the idea of a function in programming: recall that in programming, a function is a procedure that takes some arguments, and returns a value.
 - PROCEDURE $f(a_1:T_1; \dots a_n:T_n) : T$; this function takes n arguments; the first is of type T_1 , the second is of type T_2 , and so on. The function returns a value of type T .
 - Each symbol corresponds to a particular function.
 - Each function symbol is associated with a natural number called its arity. This is just the number of arguments it takes.
- **Definition:** Let f be an arbitrary function symbol of type T , with arity $n \in \mathbb{N}$, taking arguments of type $T_1; \dots; T_n$ respectively. Also, let $\tau_1; \dots; \tau_n$ be terms of type $T_1; \dots; T_n$ respectively. Then $f(\tau_1; \dots; \tau_n)$ is a functional term.

4

Predicate logic

Syntax of Predicate Logic

Formula

- A well-formed formula in predicate logic is defined as
- If P is an n -ary predicate symbol and t_1, \dots, t_n are terms, then $P(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is a formula. $P, P(x, y, z)$
- If the equality symbol ($=$) is considered part of logic and t_1 and t_2 are terms, then $t_1 = t_2$ is a formula. $P(a, b) = P(c, d)$
- If ϕ is a formula and x is a variable, then $(\forall x \phi)$ and $(\exists x \phi)$ are formulas. $[(\forall x)A(x)]' \Leftrightarrow (\exists x)[A(x)]'$

5

Predicate logic

Quantifiers

- Propositional wffs have rather limited expressive power. E.g., "For every x , $x > 0$ ".
- **Quantifiers**: Quantifiers are phrases that refer to given quantities, such as "for some" or "for all" or "for every", indicating how many objects have a certain property.
- Two kinds of quantifiers:
- **Universal Quantifier**: represented by \forall , "for all", "for every", "for each", or "for any".
- **Existential Quantifier**: represented by \exists , "for some", "there exists", "there is a", or "for at least one".

6

Predicate logic

Predicates

- **Predicate:** It is the verbal statement which describes the property of a variable. Usually represented by the letter P, the notation $P(x)$ is used to represent some unspecified property or predicate that x may have.
 - $P(x) = x$ has 30 days.
 - $P(\text{April}) = \text{April has 30 days.}$
 - What is the truth value of $(\forall x)P(x)$ where x is all the months and $P(x) = x$ has less than 32 days
- Combining the quantifier and the predicate, we get a **complete statement** of the form $(\forall x)P(x)$ or $(\exists x)P(x)$
- The collection of objects is called **the domain of interpretation**, and it must contain at least one object.

7

Predicate logic

Unary, Binary,..., N-ary Predicates

- Predicates involving properties of a single variable: unary predicates
- Binary, ternary and n-ary predicates are also possible
- $(\forall x) (\exists y)Q(x,y)$ is a binary predicate. This expression reads as “for every x there exists a y such that $Q(x,y)$ ”
- Constants are also allowed in expressions, such as $a, b, c, 0, 1, 2$, etc.

8

Predicate logic

Interpretation

- An interpretation for an expression involving predicates consists of the following:
 - A collection of objects, called domain of interpretation, which must include at least one object.
 - An assignment of a property of the objects in the domain to each predicate in the expression.
 - An assignment of a particular object in the domain to each constant symbol in the expression.
- Predicate wffs can be built similar to propositional wffs using logical connectives with predicates and quantifiers.
- Must obey the rules of syntax to be considered a wff
- Examples of predicate wffs
 - $(\forall x)[P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)]$
 - $(\forall x)((\exists y)[P(x,y) \vee Q(x,y)] \rightarrow R(x)$
 - $S(x,y) \wedge R(x,y)$

9

Predicate logic

Scope of a Variable in an Expression

- The parentheses or brackets are used wisely to identify the scope of the variable:
 - $(\forall x)((\exists y)[P(x,y) \vee Q(x,y)] \rightarrow R(x)$
 - Scope of $(\exists y)$ is $P(x,y) \vee Q(x,y)$ while the scope of $(\forall x)$ is the entire expression
 - $(\forall x)S(x) \vee (\exists y)R(y)$
 - Scope of $(\forall x)$ is $S(x)$ while the scope of $(\exists y)$ is $R(y)$
 - $(\forall x)[P(x,y) \rightarrow (\exists y) Q(x,y)]$
 - Scope of variable y is not defined for $P(x,y)$ hence y is called a **free variable**. Such expressions might not have a truth value at all.
 - $P(x): x > 0; P(y) \wedge P(5), P(y) \vee P(5).$
- What is the truth of the wff $(\exists x)(A(x) \wedge (\forall y)[B(x,y) \rightarrow C(y)])$, where $A(x)$ is " $x > 0$ ", $B(x, y)$ is " $x > y$ ", $C(y)$ is " $y \leq 0$ ", and x is the domain of positive integers and y is the domain of all integers?

10

Translation of Verbal Statements to Symbolic Form Using Intermediate Statements

- “Every person is nice” can be rephrased as “For any thing, if it is a person, then it is nice”. So, if $P(x)$ is “ x is a person” and $Q(x)$ be “ x is nice”, the statement can be symbolized as
 - $(\forall x)[P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)]$
- Variations: “All persons are nice” or “Each person is nice”.
- “There is a nice person” can be rewritten as “There exists something that is both a person and nice” in symbolic form
 - $(\exists x)[P(x) \wedge Q(x)]$
- Variations: “Some persons are nice” or “There are nice persons”
- So almost always, \exists goes with \wedge (conjunction) and \forall goes with \rightarrow (implication)

11

Translation of Verbal Statements to Symbolic

- Example for forming symbolic forms from predicate symbols:
 - $D(x)$ is “ x is dog”
 - $R(x)$ is “ x is a rabbit”
 - $C(x,y)$ is “ x chases y ”
- All dogs chase all rabbits \Leftrightarrow
 - For anything, if it is a dog, then for any other thing, if it is a rabbit, then the dog chases it $\Leftrightarrow (\forall x)[D(x) \rightarrow (\forall y)(R(y) \rightarrow C(x,y))]$
- Some dogs chase all rabbits \Leftrightarrow
 - There is something that is a dog and for any other thing, if that thing is a rabbit, then the dog chases it $\Leftrightarrow (\exists x)[D(x) \wedge (\forall y)(R(y) \rightarrow C(x,y))]$
- Only dogs chase rabbits \Leftrightarrow
 - For anything, if it is a rabbit then, if anything chases it, that thing is a dog $\Leftrightarrow (\forall y)[R(y) \rightarrow (\forall x)(C(x,y) \rightarrow D(x))]$
 - Or, for any two things, if one is a rabbit and the other chases it, then the other is a dog $\Leftrightarrow (\forall y)(\forall x)[R(y) \wedge C(x,y) \rightarrow D(x)]$

12

Negation of Statements

- **A(x): Everything is beautiful**
 - Negation will be “it is false that everything is beautiful”, i.e. “something is not beautiful”
 - In symbolic form, $[(\forall x)A(x)]' \Leftrightarrow (\exists x)[A(x)]'$
 - Similarly, negation of “Something is beautiful” is “Nothing is beautiful” or “Nothing is beautiful”
 - Hence, $[((\exists x)A(x))]' \Leftrightarrow (\forall x)[A(x)]'$

13

More Examples on Negation

- **Some pictures are old and faded.**
 - Every picture is not old or not faded.
- **All people are tall and thin.**
 - Someone is short or fat.
- **Some students eat only pizza.**
 - Every student eats something which is not a pizza
- **Only students eat pizza.**
 - There is a non-student who eats pizza.

14

- $S(x)$: x is a student
- $I(x)$: x is intelligent
- $M(x)$: x likes music

For anything, if it is a student, then it is intelligent $\Leftrightarrow (\forall x)[S(x) \rightarrow I(x)]$

There is something that is intelligent and it is a student and it likes music $\Leftrightarrow (\exists x)[I(x) \wedge S(x) \wedge M(x)]$

- Write wffs than express the following statements:

- All students are intelligent.
- Some intelligent students like music.
- Everyone who likes music is a stupid student.
- Only intelligent students like music.

For anything, if that thing likes music, then it is a student and it is not intelligent \Leftrightarrow

$$(\forall x)(M(x) \rightarrow S(x) \wedge [I(x)]')$$

For any thing, if it likes music, then it is a student and it is intelligent \Leftrightarrow

$$(\forall x)(M(x) \rightarrow S(x) \wedge I(x))$$