

DICTIONARY OF REAL NUMBERS

and KABIR

dedicated to my friend Lord Krishna and maternal grandmother

INTRODUCTION

Around one hundred and fifty years ago, in his celebrated work on set theory, Georg Cantor had proved \mathbb{R} to be uncountable. This led to the introduction of a new size of infinity which was considered to be of a size bigger than the size of \mathbb{N} . And, consequently Continuum Hypothesis came into the picture, to investigate whether any other size of infinity could be sandwiched in between the well known two sizes of infinity, i.e., size of \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{R} .

Through this paper we attempt to solve the continuum hypothesis, but at the expense of Cantor's diagonalization rule and his proposition that \mathbb{R} is uncountable. This implies that, in this paper we will be proving \mathbb{R} to be countable/ countably infinite and thereafter we will discuss the flaws in Cantor's diagonalization rule.

Theorem 1. *The set \mathbb{R} which spans the entire real number field is a countable/countably infinite set.*

Proof. Let us consider a two dimensional array which has its entries as matrices rather than real numbers or complex numbers. In order to prove the above theorem we will have to define some postulates in regard to which the properties of the entries of such an array will be defined.

Postulates.

- (1) Each entry $a_{i,j}$ which belongs to the *Primary Array*; where i,j are integers and $i, j \geq 0$, represents a matrix: $m_{i,j}$.

****In order to avoid any confusion lets call matrices $m_{i,j}$ to be **mini-matrices** and the array as the primary array.**

- (2) Order of a particular mini-matrix $m_{i,j}$ belonging to this array would depend on the column number in which it is placed in the following manner:

$$order_{m_{i,j}} = 2 \times 10^j$$

hence the mini-matrix $m_{i,j}$ will have:

- rows=2;

Received by the editors July 18, 2022.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 03-XX, 15-XX;Secondary 11-XX .

©XXXX American Mathematical Society

- $columns = 10^j$

Now to put some constraints on the entries of any mini-matrix $m_{i,j}$, we propose the following postulates:

- (3) All the entries in the first row of a given matrix $m_{i,j}$ will be real numbers such that their greatest integer function equals \mathbf{i} i.e., the row number of the primary array to which the mini-matrix belongs.
- (4) As specified above, each entry in the mini-matrix would be a real number, expressed through the decimal representation and in its decimal representation it will have exactly \mathbf{j} decimal places.
- (5) [5.1](**rule for constructing the first row of the mini-matrix) The entries of the mini-matrix $m_{i,j}$ will be sorted in an increasing order from left to right in the first row s.t these components form an arithmetic progression with common difference 10^{-j} and first term as \mathbf{i} .

[5.2](**rule for constructing the second row of the mini-matrix) The entries of the second row will be the same as the first row in magnitude but opposite in sign i.e.,

$$b_{2,k} = -b_{1,k},$$

where $b_{p,k}$ represents any entry inside the mini-matrix $m_{i,j}$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, $1 \leq k \leq 10^j$ and p, k belong to \mathbb{Z}

CONSTRUCTION OF THE PRIMARY ARRAY

Following **postulate 1** to construct the Primary Array:

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} m_{0,0} & m_{0,1} & m_{0,2} & m_{0,3} & \dots & \\ m_{1,0} & m_{1,1} & m_{1,2} & m_{1,3} & \dots & \\ m_{2,0} & m_{2,1} & m_{2,2} & m_{2,3} & \dots & \\ m_{3,0} & m_{3,1} & m_{3,2} & m_{3,3} & \dots & \\ m_{4,0} & m_{4,1} & m_{4,2} & m_{4,3} & \dots & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \end{array}$$

Since our main objective is to prove that the set \mathbb{R} is countable hence we must start talking in the context that whether we can list all the entries of this primary array or not. It can be easily proved that the number of entries contained in this **primary array** is countably infinite. The procedure is as follows:

Say, assuming $i + j = k$, where k too is an integer. On varying k from 0 to \mathbf{n} (here \mathbf{n} has been used just to indicate the absence of any upper-bound, i.e., the primary array has infinite entries) we will be able to cover all the mini-matrices present in this given primary array. It can be illustrated in the following way:

$k = 0$ corresponds to mini-matrices $m_{0,0}$.

$k = 1$ corresponds to mini-matrices $m_{0,1}$, $m_{1,0}$.

$k = 2$ corresponds to mini-matrices $m_{0,2}$, $m_{1,1}$, $m_{2,0}$.

$k = 3$ corresponds to mini-matrices $m_{0,3}$, $m_{1,2}$, $m_{2,1}$, $m_{3,0}$.

\vdots
 \vdots
 \vdots
 \vdots

through this mechanism will be able to list all the mini-matrices present in this array. Hence now we have proved that the mini-matrices in this *primary array* are countably infinite.

Now, in order to link the countably infinite nature of these mini-matrices with that of real numbers we must re centre our attention to the postulates defined above. The relation established between the entries of a particular mini-matrix and the position of that mini-matrix in the array by the postulates eventually helps us to prove set \mathbb{R} to be countably infinite.

following the postulates, we get:

for $k=0$;

for mini-matrix $m_{0,0}$:

- number of rows(r);(**postulate 2**)

$$r = 2$$

number of columns(c);(**postulate 2**)

$$c = 10^j = 10^0 = 1$$

- therefore, **order** of mini-matrix is:

$$r \times c = 2 \times 1$$

- Number of decimal places, each real entry has in the matrix is **j**;(**postulate 4**)

$$j = 0$$

- since an arithmetic progression is formed in the first row, its,first term(A) and common difference(d) equals:(**postulate 5.1**)

$$A = 0$$

$$d = 10^{-1} = 0.1$$

- second row of the corresponding mini-matrix is constructed using **postulate 5.2**
- greatest integer function for any real number x present in the **first row** of the given matrix equals i i.e.,(**postulate 3**)

$$[x] = i$$

Once we have followed all the postulates, we get:

$$m_{00} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for k=1;

for mini-matrix m_{01} :

- number of rows(r);(**postulate 2**)

$$r = 2$$

number of columns(c);(**postulate 2**)

$$c = 10^j = 10^1 = 10$$

- therefore, **order** of mini-matrix is:

$$r \times c = 2 \times 10$$

- Number of decimal places, each real entry has in the matrix is **j**;(**postulate 4**)

$$j = 1$$

- since an arithmetic progression is formed in the first row, its,first term(A) and common difference(d) equals:(**postulate 5.1**)

$$A = i = 0$$

$$d = 10^{-j} = 10^{-1} = 0.1$$

- second row of the corresponding mini-matrix is constructed using **postulate 5.2**
- greatest integer function for any real number x present in the **first row** of the given matrix equals i i.e.,(**postulate 3**)

$$[x] = i = 0$$

Once we have followed all the postulates, we get:

$$m_{01} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.3 & 0.4 & 0.5 & 0.6 & 0.7 & 0.8 & 0.9 \\ -0.0 & -0.1 & -0.2 & -0.3 & -0.4 & -0.5 & -0.6 & -0.7 & -0.8 & -0.9 \end{bmatrix}$$

for mini-matrix m_{10} :

- number of rows(r);(**postulate 2**)

$$r = 2$$

number of columns(c);(**postulate 2**)

$$c = 10^j = 10^0 = 1$$

- therefore, **order** of mini-matrix is:

$$r \times c = 2 \times 1$$

- Number of decimal places, each real entry has in the matrix is **j**;(**postulate 4**)

$$j = 0$$

- since an arithmetic progression is formed in the first row, its,first term(A) and common difference(d) equals:(**postulate 5.1**)

$$A = i = 1$$

$$d = 10^{-0} = 1$$

- second row of the corresponding mini-matrix is constructed using **postulate 5.2**
- greatest integer function for any real number **x** present in the **first row** of the given matrix equals **i** i.e.,(**postulate 3**)

$$[x] = i = 1$$

Once we have followed all the postulates, we get:

$$m_{10} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for k=2;

for mini-matrix m_{02} :

Similarly,

constructing it using the postulates, we get:

$$m_{02} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.00 & 0.01 & 0.02 & 0.03 & \dots & 0.99 \\ -0.00 & -0.01 & -0.02 & -0.03 & \dots & -0.99 \end{bmatrix}$$

for mini-matrix m_{11} :

Similarly,

constructing it using the postulates, we get:

$$m_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0 & 1.1 & 1.2 & 1.3 & 1.4 & 1.5 & 1.6 & 1.7 & 1.8 & 1.9 \\ -1.0 & -1.1 & -1.2 & -1.3 & -1.4 & -1.5 & -1.6 & -1.7 & -1.8 & -1.9 \end{bmatrix}$$

for mini-matrix m_{20} :

Similarly,
constructing it using the postulates, we get:

$$m_{20} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

for k=3:

⋮
⋮
⋮
⋮

so on and so forth.

The best thing about having mini-matrices as the entries of the primary array is that, even if any mini-matrix has infinite entries, they still are listable. Taking analogy with the primary array, which itself is a matrix with infinite entries, but still we were able to list all the entries by following a particular algorithm i.e., by varying the sum of column number and row number through integers from $\mathbf{0}$ to \mathbf{n} . Here, \mathbf{n} has been used just to indicate the absence of any upper-bound.

Since we had proved these mini-matrices of the primary array to be countably infinite, hence these mini-matrices can be listed in one to one correspondence with natural numbers. Since we were able to list these mini-matrices hence while doing so we have indirectly proved that real numbers too are listable as on listing the entries of all the mini-matrices we will be able to span the entire real number field. Recall the properties of any mini-matrix $m_{i,j}$:

$$b_{2,k} = -b_{1,k},$$

where $b_{p,k}$ represents any entry inside the mini-matrix $m_{i,j}$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, $1 \leq k \leq 10^j$ and p, k belong to \mathbb{Z} .

Therefore, now, we can easily make a list which spans all the real numbers because we can individually count/list the entries in each mini-matrix by varying the sum $p + k$ through integers as $2 \leq p+k \leq 2 + 10^j$.

Now in order to show that the postulates applied on the mini-matrices actually help in spanning the entire real number field, we take any arbitrary number from the real number line and try to locate its position in this new systematic arrangement of real numbers.

For example, we have taken a real number which approximates π to fifty(50) decimal places.

¹3.14159265358979323846264338327950288419716939937510

But, the question is can we locate it in some mini-matrix in the primary array which has been constructed? The answer is Yes, for that we first have to find the greatest integer function of this real number i.e.,

$$[3.14159265358979323846264338327950288419716939937510] = 3$$

hence now we have the value of \mathbf{i} and $i = 3$. And since the number of decimal places in this real number equal 50, therefore $j=50$.

Hence this real number is located in $m_{3,50}$ mini-matrix.

Hence we can locate any arbitrary real number in this arrangement, given its greatest integer function and the number of decimal places. Say if \mathbf{x} is the floor function of the real number and it has \mathbf{y} decimal places then;

it will simply lie in the mini-matrix $m_{x,y}$.

Therefore we have proved **Theorem 1** as we can locate any arbitrary real number in the prescribed array of mini-matrices, hence the set \mathbb{R} is countably infinite as there does not exist any real number which escapes this systematic arrangement. Plus in the case of irrational numbers and non-terminating rational numbers, they will find themselves in the mini-matrices of the rightmost columns of the array because they have infinite decimal places. And in order to deal with such cases, we have not put any upper bound on the values of \mathbf{i} and \mathbf{j} .

Let \mathbf{z} be a non-terminating rational number or irrational number, with floor function equal to \mathbf{x} , then it will belong to the following mini-matrix:

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} m_{x,y}$$

For example,

π belongs to the following mini-matrix:

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} m_{3,y}$$

.

□

Theorem 2. $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is countable.

Proof. left for reader, as an exercise.

□

Corollary 2.1. If a set X is countable then $X \times X$ is countable as well.

Proof. left for reader, as an exercise.

□

Theorem 3. The set comprising of all the complex numbers i.e., \mathbb{C} is countable.

¹The following website was referred to obtain the first fifty decimal places of π :
https://mathshistory.st-andrews.ac.uk/HistTopics/1000_places/

Proof. Since we have proved set \mathbb{R} to be countably infinite, hence using *Corollary 2.1* we can say that $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ is countable as well. Which implies all the ordered pairs which the plane $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ possesses is countable/listable. And since we can easily establish a bijection between \mathbb{C} and $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. Therefore \mathbb{C} is countable.

□

FLAWS IN CANTOR'S DIAGONALIZATION RULE

²Recap of Cantor's diagonal rule

Suppose that $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is any function. Constructing an array which contains the values of input in one column and the output in the other column. The first row contains the decimal expansion of $f(1)$, the second row contains the decimal expansion of $f(2)$, . . . the n th row contains the decimal expansion of $f(n)$ as following:

n	$f \quad (\quad n \quad)$											
1	0	.	1	2	3	3	1	5	6	4	...	
2	0	.	2	5	1	8	9	7	3	9	...	
3	0	.	3	4	7	2	7	7	5	1	...	
4	0	.	4	0	7	8	0	6	7	8	...	
5	0	.	5	8	5	1	6	1	0	3	...	
:												

The highlighted digits are 0.15786..... . Say we add 1 to each of these digits, to get the number 0.26897..... . Now, we would not be able to find this number in the table because:

- it differs from $f(1)$ in its first digit;
- it differs from $f(2)$ in its second digit;
- \vdots
- it differs from $f(n)$.

Therefore we have just found a number which is not contained in this list, hence we have failed in proving function as an surjective function. Hence, there does not exist a bijection between \mathbb{N} and $[0,1]$, which implies that \mathbb{R} is uncountable. This is Cantor's diagonal rule.

Now lets discuss the flaws in it:

The main flaw lies in the construction of the list, the list was incomplete at the first place itself, this can be proved in the following manner:

²The following website was referred to provide a recap to Cantor's diagonalization argument: <https://jlmartin.ku.edu/courses/math410-S09/cantor.pdf>

Say, if there are j decimal places in the decimal expansion of a real number with floor function as 0 , then we can have 10^j such real numbers because each decimal place has ten options(i.e., 0,1,2,...,9) and since there are j such decimal places, therefore 10^j such combinations are possible. On listing them in the following manner:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & . & a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & a_{1,3} & a_{1,4} & \dots \\ 0 & . & a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & a_{2,3} & a_{2,4} & \dots \\ 0 & . & a_{3,1} & a_{3,2} & a_{3,3} & a_{3,4} & \dots \\ 0 & . & a_{4,1} & a_{4,2} & a_{4,3} & a_{4,4} & \dots \\ \vdots & & & & & & \end{array}$$

If we cover the zeroes before the decimal point then we are left with a matrix which has columns equal to j (i.e., number of decimal places) and rows equal 10^j (i.e., total number of real numbers).And hence in no way can we draw a primary diagonal which has elements from all the rows in the matrix because number of rows and columns are unequal.

Consider the following example:

List all the real numbers with floor function as 0 and decimal places in its decimal expansion equal to two(2).

0.00
0.01
0.02
0.03
 \vdots
0.99

when we delete the column which contains zeroes, then we are left with an array of order

$$10^j \times j$$

i.e.,

$$10^2 \times 2,$$

and when we try to draw a diagonal we can at maximum cover elements from two rows only, i.e., the numbers which we have highlighted and hence by default the remaining 98 elements are left uncovered by the diagonal.

The highlighted digits are 0.01 , Suppose that we add 1 to each of these digits, to get the number 0.12, but now we see that this number is present in the list in the 13th row;

what if we had followed cantors diagonal rule:

then our list would appear like this:

0.00
0.01

because according to him the array formed by deleting the zeroes column before the decimal point should be a square matrix such that the diagonal covers every row in this matrix.

But now we can see how incorrect this arrangement is because it already has 98 elements missing.Hence it is no big deal if one proves that some elements are missing in this list, because the list has been constructed in a way that it will not be able

to span all the elements with floor function equal to 0 and decimal places equal to 2(two).

Hence if we would have followed Cantors diagonal rule here then we would have concluded that the number 0.12 is absent in the list, because cantors diagonal is only able to cover the first two rows and the rest are ignored.

CONCLUSION

Therefore our only requirement at this point in time is to find an arrangement which at first claims to list all the real numbers in reality and then if we can find a systematic pattern of counting those real numbers such that the whole list is completely exhausted. I have tried to achieve the same objective through this paper by first proposing a list (in the form of an array) which spans the entire real number field and then finding a pattern present in the formation of the list so that we can count all the real numbers such that no real number is left uncounted in that list.

Therefore, now the continuum hypothesis stands resolved as we have proven the size of the two infinite sets i.e., \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{R} to be the same.

REFERENCES

1. https://mathshistory.st-andrews.ac.uk/HistTopics/1000_places/
2. <https://jlmartin.ku.edu/courses/math410-S09/cantor.pdf>

ABSTRACT. This paper tries to prove set \mathbb{R} to be countable or countably infinite. In order to prove my proposition some postulates have been proposed so that we have a systematic arrangement in the form of an array, which we would call the Primary Array, which contains all the real numbers. Through this result we explore the possibility of solving one of the most important open problems in set theory i.e., the Continuum Hypothesis and that too at the expense of Cantor's diagonalization rule and his famous result that \mathbb{R} is uncountable.

In order to strengthen my proposition, certain flaws have been detected in Cantor's diagonalization rule. This one result has also led me to prove set \mathbb{C} , which contains all the complex numbers, to be countable or countably infinite.

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS, IIT KHARAGPUR, KHARGPUR, WEST BENGAL 721302, INDIA

Current address: Department of Physics, IIT Kharagpur, Khargpur, West Bengal 721302, India

Email address: kabirhooda23@gmail.com