

RUBRICS FOR CONTINUOUS EVALUATIONS (CE 1, CE 2) FOR FEE-I
(24CSE0102)

Components	Assessment	Marks
Viva & Presentation	Rubric1	10
Attendance & Regularity	Rubric 2	10
File work	Rubric 3	10
	Total	30

Rubric 1:

Criteria/ Recommended Scores	Excellent (9-10)	Very Good (7-8)	Good (5-6)	Satisfactory (3-4)
Understanding of the use case given	Excellent understanding with original/unique features	Good understanding	Average understanding	Less understanding
Demonstration skill	Able to explain the work performed in a proper sequence with clarity	Able to explain the major part of the work performed in proper sequence with a appropriate clarity	Able to explain only part of the work performed with less clarity.	Not able to explain the work
Techniques Used	Excellent field application of extension techniques	Very good field application of extension techniques	Good field application of extension techniques	Less field application of extension techniques
Level of engagement	Excellent level of engagement in terms of team work and contributed equally.	Good level of engagement in team work and contributed moderately.	Average level of engagement in team work and contributed low.	Lack of engagement in team work with no contribution.

Rubrics 2:

Criteria	Criteria
Attendance (Including Regularity and Punctuality)	Less than 75% - 0
	76-85% -6
	86-95% -8
	96-100% -10

RUBRICS FOR CONTINUOUS EVALUATIONS (CE 1, CE 2) FOR FEE-I
(24CSE0102)

Rubric 3:

Submission of soft copy of project report.

Criteria	Excellent (8-10)	Good (5-7)	Poor (2-4)
Project Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project report is according to the specified format 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project report is according to the specified format but some mistakes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project report not prepared according to the specified format
Description of Concepts and Technical Details	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strong description of the technical requirements of the project 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In-sufficient description of the technical requirements of the project 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poor description of the technical requirements of the project
Conclusion and Discussion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Results are presented in very appropriate manner Project work is well summarized and concluded 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Results presented are not much satisfactory Project work summary and conclusion not very appropriate 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Results are not presented properly Project work is not summarized and concluded

**** In case student is absent in any lab evaluation, he/she will be given zero in the lab evaluation marks (under all rubrics).**



Dr. Chetna Sharma
Assistant Professor, DCSE
CoC FEE-I



Dr. Renu Popli
Assistant Professor and
Head Academic Delivery, Alpha Cluster



Approved By:
Dr. Deepak Thakur
Assistant Professor and
Assistant Dean, Alpha Cluster