Support Vector Machine (SVM)

- · Binary Classification with linear models
- $y \in \{-1,1\}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

Constraint Optimization

Lagrange function

- $L(x,\lambda) := f(x) + \lambda h(x)$
 - $\circ \; \lambda$ is Lagrange Multiplier

Equality Constraint

- min f(x) s.t. h(x) = 0
 - $\circ \ \, \forall$ point x on the constraint surface h(x)=0, we have $\nabla h(x)$ orthogonal to the surface
 - ullet Because if abla h(x) has tangent component, we can move along the direction to make h(x)
 eq 0
 - \circ For a local minimum x^* , $abla f(x^*)$ must be orthogonal to the surface
 - ullet Generally, x^* is a local minimum $\Rightarrow \exists \lambda \quad s.t. \
 abla f(x^*) + \lambda
 abla h(x^*) = 0$
 - (There may be some corner cases not satisfying this equation)
 - 。 可以说,极值点往往是限制平面与函数相切的点,那么此时该点处平面的梯度与函数的梯度就应该是相反的
- ullet When we have K constraints:

 - \circ then $L(x,\lambda) = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^K \lambda_i h_i(x)$
- now we can say that:

$$x^*$$
 is a local minimum $\Rightarrow \exists \lambda \left\{ egin{array}{ll}
abla_x L(x^*,\lambda) = 0 & (1) \\
abla_\lambda L(x^*,\lambda) = 0 & (2) \end{array} \right.$

- 。 (1)说明 $\lambda f(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \nabla h_i(x^*) = 0$
- 。 (2)说明 $h_i(x^*)=0$, $\forall i$

Inequality Constraint

- min f(x) s.t. $g(x) \leq 0$
 - $\circ \ \ orall$ point x on the surface g(x)=0, $\nabla g(x)$ must be orthogonal to the surface and *point out of* the region of $g(x)\leq 0$
 - \circ For a local minimum x^*
 - the constraint is active:
 - ullet if x^* on the surface g(x)=0, then $-\nabla f(x^*)$ must have the same direction as $\nabla g(x^*)$
 - $\exists \mu > 0, \ s.t. \ \nabla f(x^*) + \mu \nabla g(x^*) = 0$
 - the constraint is inactive:
 - lacksquare if x^* within the surface, we only need $abla f(x^*) = 0$
 - $\exists \mu = 0, \ s.t. \ \nabla f(x^*) + \mu \nabla g(x^*) = 0$
 - 显然地,如果函数的最小值点在平面内部,自然这个平面的约束就没有用了,极值点就是这个最小值点;而如果函数的最小值点在平面外,那么就和equality constraint一样,极值点在平面的边界上
 - 总结:

$$\exists \mu \geq 0, \;\; s.t. \;
abla f(x^*) + \mu
abla g(x^*) = 0 \left\{ egin{array}{ll} \mu = 0 & ext{inactive} \ \mu > 0 & ext{active} \end{array}
ight.$$

K.K.T conditions

- $ullet \ \min_x f(x) \quad s.t. \ h_i(x) = 0, \ i \in \{1, \dots, K\}, \ \ g_j(x) \leq 0, \ j \in \{1, \dots, L\}$
- $L(x,\lambda,\mu)=f(x)+\sum_{i=1}^K\lambda_ih_i(x)+\sum_{j=1}^L\mu_jg_j(x)$
- K.K.T conditions:

$$x^* ext{ is a local minimum} \Rightarrow \left\{egin{array}{ll}
abla_x L(x^*,\lambda,\mu) = 0 & orall i \ h_i(x^*) = 0 & orall i \ g_j(x^*) \leq 0 & orall j \ \mu_j \geq 0 & orall j \ \mu_j g_j(x^*) = 0 & orall j \end{array}
ight.$$

。 对于 $\mu_j g_j(x^*)=0$,若 x^* 在边界处,显然有 $g_j(x^*)=0$;若 x^* 在内部,则有 $\mu=0$,所以该式恒等于0

Primal Form

- Maximize Margin Criterion
 - o minimum distance to the hyperplane $w^Tx + b = 0$, over all training data
 - o Structural Risk Minimization
- x到 $w^Tx+b=0$ 的距离为 $\Delta x=rac{w^Tx+b}{||w||}$ we can define $\gamma_i:=y_i\Delta x_i=rac{y_i(w^Tx+b)}{||w||}\geq 0$ (as $y_i\in\{-1,1\}$)
 $\gamma=\min_{i=1,\ldots,n}\gamma_i=\min_{i=1,\ldots,n}rac{y_i(w^Tx+b)}{||w||}$
- - this is called geometric margin
- · now we need to maximize this distance:

$$\max_{w,b,\gamma} \gamma \quad s.t. rac{y_i(w^Tx_i + b)}{||w||} \geq \gamma, \quad orall i$$

- 。 但显然三个参数对于我们来说还是太多了,所以我们可以先假设找到了离超平面 $w^Tx+b=0$ 最近的点 x_0 ,此时有 $\gamma_0=rac{y_0(w^Tx_0+b)}{||w||}=\gamma$
- then we can update our object:

$$\max_{w,b} rac{y_0(w^Tx_0 + b)}{||w||} \quad s.t. \ \ y_i(w^Tx_i + b) \geq y_0(w^Tx_0 + b), \ \ orall i$$

- 。 我们可以发现, $w^Tx+b=0$ 是一个超平面,而 $kw^Tx+kb=0,\ \forall k$ 都是同一个超平面,那么这样的话 $y_0(w^Tx_0+b)$ 就可以是任意值 (which is called **functional margin**),所以我们可以干脆令 $y_0(w^Tx_0+b)=1$,背后的逻辑是不管真实的 γ 是多少,我都可以找到一个k使上 式等于1(同理,不管上式等于多少,我们也总能找到相应的w使得几何距离不变),而且显然这个等式也是不影响?的
- · then we can say that:

$$\max_{w,b} rac{1}{||w||} \quad s.t. \ \ y_i(w^Tx_i+b) \geq 1, \quad orall i$$

d

now we have the primal form of SVM:

$$\min_{w,b} rac{1}{2} w^T w \quad s.t. \ \ y_i(w^T x_i + b) \geq 1, \quad orall i$$

- 我们管 x_0 这样的用来确定超平面的向量叫做**支持向量**($support\ vector$),由此我们即可以看出支持向量机的命名缘由:这些向量支撑了超平面的选 择,我们只需考虑这些支持向量,而不用管别的向量,就可以确定最终的超平面
- · Convex Quadratic Programming
 - 。 这个函数是凸的, 所以总能找到一个最优解, 调包即可
 - Standard package: $O(d^3)$

Dual Form of SVM

- · 2 problems for SVM:
 - o may be not linearly separable
 - \circ margin γ may be too small (there may be some outlier samples)
- 我们可以改写primal form, 把条件写进函数中:

$$egin{aligned} L(w,b,lpha) &= rac{1}{2}||w||^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n lpha_iig(1-y_i(w^Tx_i+b)ig) \quad (lpha_i \geq 0) \ p^* &= \min_{w,b} \max_{lpha \geq 0} L(w,b,lpha) \quad ext{(primal form of SVM)} \end{aligned}$$

- \circ if $1-y_i(w^Tx_i+b)>0$, then $lpha o\infty$ and $L o\infty$
- \circ if p^* exists, $1 y_i(w^Tx_i + b) < 0$
 - $lacksquare ext{if } 1-y_i(w^Tx_i+b)<0, ext{ then } lpha=0$
 - if $1 y_i(w^Tx_i + b) = 0$, then $\alpha_i(1 y_i(w^Tx_i + b)) = 0$

- o this is part of K.K.T conditions!
- Dual form of SVM

$$d^* = \max_{lpha \geq 0} \min_{w,b} L(w,b,lpha)$$

Weak Duality

• $d^* \le p^*$

$$d^* = \max_{lpha \geq 0} \min_{w,b} L(w,b,lpha) \leq \max_{lpha \geq 0} L(w,b,lpha) \quad orall w,b \ d^* \leq \min_{w,b} \max_{lpha \geq 0} L(w,b,lpha) = p^*$$

• p^*-d^* is called **duality gap**

Strong Duality

- $d^* = p^*$
- · without duality gap!
- Slator's Condition
 - $\circ~$ when objective is ${f convex}$ and constraints are ${f linear}$, then $d^*=p^*$
 - our function just fits these conditions!
- So now we can solve the dual problem to solve the primal problem
 - \circ if we have the solution of primal problem w_p, b_p and the solution of dual problem $lpha_d$

$$p^* = \min_{\substack{w,b \ lpha \geq 0}} \max_{lpha \geq 0} L(w,b,lpha) = \max_{lpha \geq 0} L(w_p,b_p,lpha) \ \geq L(w_p,b_p,lpha_d) \geq \min_{\substack{w,b \ w,b}} L(w,b,lpha_d) = \max_{lpha \geq 0} \min_{\substack{w,b \ lpha \geq 0}} L(w,b,lpha) = d^*$$

。 根据Slator's Condition, 这里我们就可以直接取等了

Dual problem

- 我们首先来看函数的内层 $\min_{w,b} L(w,b,\alpha)$
 - 。 由于L是凸函数,所以我们可以通过找导函数的零点来求最小值

$$egin{aligned} rac{\partial L}{\partial w} &= w - \sum_{i=1}^n lpha_i y_i x_i = 0 \ rac{\partial L}{\partial b} &= - \sum_{i=1}^n lpha_i y_i = 0 \end{aligned}$$

。 所以我们有:

$$w = \sum_{i=1}^n lpha_i y_i x_i \ \sum_{i=1}^n lpha_i y_i = 0$$

- 。 可以发现这其实也是K.K.T conditions中的 $abla_{w,b}L(w,b,lpha)=0$
- ullet Substitute w into L:

$$egin{aligned} L(w,b,lpha) &= rac{1}{2}(\sum_{i=1}^n lpha_i y_i x_i)^T(\sum_{j=1}^n lpha_j y_j x_j) + \sum_{i=1}^n lpha_i - \sum_{i=1}^n lpha_i y_i x_i^T(\sum_{j=1}^n lpha_j y_j x_j) \ &= \sum_{i=1}^n lpha_i - rac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n lpha_i lpha_j y_i y_j x_i^T x_j \end{aligned}$$

• now we need to solve the dual problem of SVM:

$$egin{aligned} max \sum_{i=1}^{n} lpha_i - rac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} lpha_i lpha_j y_i y_j x_i^T x_j \ s.t. \quad lpha_i \geq 0 \quad orall i, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} lpha_i y_i = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- SMO(Sequential Minimal Optimization)
 - every time select α_i, α_j , fix remaining n-2 variables
 - $\circ \ lpha_i y_i + lpha_j y_j = -\sum_{k
 eq i \ j} lpha_k y_k$ represent $lpha_j$ with $lpha_i$ and solve problem w.r.t $lpha_i$
 - o iterate until convergence
- When we have solution α^* for d^* , how to get w^*, b^* :

$$w^* = \sum lpha_i y_i x_i \ b^* = rac{1}{y_i} - w^{*T} x_i \ (x_i, y_i) ext{ is a support vector}$$

· How to find a support vector:

$$\begin{array}{l} \circ \text{ recall:} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 - y_i(w^Tx_i + b) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha_i > 0 \quad \text{active} \\ 1 - y_i(w^Tx_i + b) > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha_i = 0 \quad \text{inactive} \\ \circ \text{ then:} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \alpha_i^* = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (x_i, y_i) \text{is not a support vector} \\ \text{if } \alpha_i^* > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (x_i, y_i) \text{is a support vector} \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right.$$

- $\circ~$ we can save **only** the $lpha_i^*$ of support vectors to save the mode
- 。 α 相当于权重,只有被认为是支持向量的权重才会大于0,其他都置0,表示其他的向量对于超平面完全是没用的,可以不用考虑
- when we have a new test point x:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i \in ext{support vectors}} lpha_i^* y_i x^T x_i + b^*$$

。对于一个新来的点,我们只要让其和支持向量做内积,看f(x)大于0还是小于0即可将其分类

Kernel Trick

- ullet Define similarity between 2 points X,Z
 - \circ Linear Kernel $K(X,Z)=X^TZ$
 - \circ Polynomial Kernel $K(X,Z)=(X^TZ+1)^P$
 - \circ Gaussian / RBF(Radial Basis Function) Kernel $K(X,Z) = \exp(-rac{\|X-Z\|^2}{2\sigma^2})$
- ullet e.g. $\Phi:\mathbb{R}^d o\mathbb{R}^{d'}$
 - $\circ \ \Phi(X)$ maps X to higher dimension
 - $\circ \; X = (X_1, X_2)^T \in \mathbb{R}^2 o \Phi(X) = (1, X_1, X_2, X_1^2, X_2^2, X_1 X_2) \in \mathbb{R}^6$
- 很多时候, 当数据线性不可分时, 我们可以通过给数据升维, 使得数据变得线性可分
- Kernel Trick:
 - \circ a way to get $\Phi(X)^T\Phi(Z)$ directly in original space, without explicitly mapping data to high-dimension first
 - 。 我们虽然希望可以用高维数据进行计算,但实际上我们并不需要直接去求他们的内积,而是直接使用上述的核函数即可,因为这些核函数就可以写成一些高维数据的内积
 - \circ 这样我们将上述dual problem中的 $x_i^Tx_i$ 部分替换为 $K(x_i,x_i)$ 即可
- e.g. $X=(X_1,X_2), Z=(Z_1,Z_2)$ $(X^TZ+1)^2=(X_1X_2+Z_1Z_2+1)^2=(1,\sqrt{2}X_1,\sqrt{2}X_2,X_1^2,X_2^2,\sqrt{2}X_1X_2)^T(1,\sqrt{2}X_1,\sqrt{2}X_2,X_1^2,X_2^2,\sqrt{2}X_1X_2)$
- Gaussian Kerne

$$K(X,Z) = \exp(-\frac{||X||^2}{2\sigma^2})\exp(-\frac{||Z||^2}{2\sigma^2})\exp(-\frac{X^TZ}{\sigma^2})$$

and we know that:

$$\begin{split} \exp(-\frac{X^TZ}{\sigma^2}) &= 1 + \frac{X^TZ}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2!} (\frac{X^TZ}{\sigma^2})^2 + \frac{1}{3!} (\frac{X^TZ}{\sigma^2})^3 + \dots \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} (\frac{X^TZ}{\sigma^2})^p \end{split}$$

- $\circ~$ union of polynomial kernels from p=0 to ∞
- o implicitly map data to infinite-dimension space and do inner product
- 。 这里的 σ 可以自行控制,当 σ 大时,泰勒多项式会很快趋近于0,即此时映射成的向量维数不会很高;而当 σ 小时,则能够泰勒展开较多的项,此时就会映射成一个较高维的向量. 可以说, σ 控制了映射维数的大小
- 。 $\sigma o 0$ 时,K(X,Z) o 0,此时类似1-NN,只有与X最近的点才会起作用
- d*与p*:
 - 。 p^* 计算w, b共d+1维,其对偶形式 d^* 则计算 α 共n维,一般来说n是很大的,但我们依然选择去用 d^* 计算,主要是考虑到了高斯核函数,根据上述的泰勒展开,我们可以发现理论上这个核函数可以把原始向量映射到一个**无穷维的空间**中,那么显然此时w, b也可以无限大,再用 p^* 来计

Soft-margin SVM

- · Slack variables to handle outliers
 - \circ previously, we require $y_i(w^Tx_i+b)\geq 1$. Now, we introduce slack variables $\xi_i\geq 0$ and require $y_i(w^Tx_i+b)\geq 1-\xi_i$
 - \circ must restrict ξ_i to be small
 - 。 ξ_i 表示样本最多可以与 $w^Tx_i+b=0$ 偏离的程度

Unconstrained Form

· Soft-margin SVM

$$egin{aligned} \min_{w,b,\xi} rac{1}{2} ||w||^2 + c \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \ s.t. \quad y_i(w^T x_i + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i \ \xi_i \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$
 化简后可得 $\min_{w,b} rac{1}{2} ||w||^2 + c \sum_{i=1}^n \max\{0, 1 - y_i(w^T x_i + b)\}$

- o becomes an unconstrained optimization
- $\circ \max\{0, 1 y_i(w^Tx_i + b)\}$ is called **Hinge Loss**
- $c o \infty \Rightarrow \xi_i = 0 \Rightarrow \text{hard margin}$
- 。要特别注意的是,任何一个**在软间隔内部**的点也是支持向量,也就是说,软间隔是由那些分错的点+分对但在间隔内部+在间隔上的点组成的

Dual Form of soft SVM

Dual Form

$$egin{aligned} & \max_{lpha,eta\geq 0} \min_{w,b,\xi} L(w,b,\xi,lpha,eta) \ & = rac{1}{2} ||w||^2 + c \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i + \sum_{i=1}^n lpha_i (1-\xi_i-y_i(w^Tx_i+b)) - \sum_{i=1}^n eta_i \xi_i \end{aligned}$$

Partial derivatives

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial L}{\partial w} = w - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i} x_{i} = 0 \\ &\frac{\partial L}{\partial b} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i} = 0 \\ &\frac{\partial L}{\partial \xi_{i}} = c - \alpha_{i} - \beta_{i} = 0 \\ &\frac{\partial E}{\partial \xi_{i}} = c - \alpha_{i} - \beta_{i} = 0 \\ &\frac{\partial E}{\partial \xi_{i}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i} x_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i} x_{i}^{T} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} y_{j} x_{j}\right) \\ &= max \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} y_{i} y_{j} x_{i}^{T} x_{j} \\ &s.t. \quad 0 \leq \alpha_{i} \leq c \quad \forall i, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i} = 0 \end{split}$$

- $\circ \ c \to \infty \Rightarrow \text{hard margin}$
- $\circ \ c$ is small \Rightarrow no individual point dominates the prediction(hyperplane) and is **robust** to outlier
- $\circ \ c$ controls the strength of regularization