# Theorem: RLS and (1+1) EA reach an optimal point on F3 in $O(n \log n)$ fitness evaluations with probability $\Omega(1)$

## 1 Problem Setup and Definition

We use bit strings of length n where each bit is either a 0 or 1. The function F3 is strictly monotone linear function defined as:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i \quad \text{with all } w_i > 0$$

Thus the global optimum is  $1^n$ .

Let d(x) denote the number of zeros in x (Hamming distance).

RLS (Randomized Local Search) starts from any x and in each step flips exactly one randomly chosen bit. It accepts the offspring if and only if its fitness is greater or equal (elitist selection).

The (1+1)EA starts from any x and in each iteration flips every bit independently with a probability of 1/n. This also follows the elitist selection.

#### 2 Lemmas

**Lemma 1.** RLS improvement probability: Let, current distance i = d(x)

RLS then improves to distance i-1 with probability  $p_i = i/n$  and the expected waiting time for this improvement is  $\mathbb{E}[T_i] = n/i$ .

*Proof.* Since all  $w_i > 0$ , flipping a 0 bit to 1 increases f while flipping a 1 to 0 decreases it.

Since RLS deploys elitism, it improves if and only if it flips one of the i zeros. This happens with a probability i/n.

The mean waiting time is  $1/p_i = n/i$ .

**Lemma 2.** Coupon-collector sum for RLS: Starting from any  $d_0 \le n$ , the expected optimization time of RLS satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}[T_{\text{RLS}}] \le \sum_{i=1}^{d_0} \frac{n}{i} \le n \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i} = nH_n = O(n \log n).$$

**Lemma 3.** (1+1) EA success probability from distance i: From distance i, the probability to decrease the distance in one step is at least

$$p_i \geq \frac{i}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n-1} \geq \frac{i}{en} \qquad (n \geq 2).$$

*Proof.* The probability of flipping only one of the i zero bits is  $\frac{i}{n}(1-\frac{1}{n})^{n-1}$ .

Since 
$$(1-\frac{1}{n})^{n-1} \geq 1/e$$
 for  $n \geq 2$ , the bound follows.

**Lemma 4.** Multiplicative drift (standard form): Let  $(D_t)_{t\geq 0}$  be a non-negative process with  $D_t > 0$  implying  $\mathbb{E}[D_t - D_{t+1} \mid D_t] \geq \delta D_t$  for some  $\delta > 0$ .

Then the hitting time  $T = \min\{t : D_t = 0\}$  obeys

$$\mathbb{E}[T] \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \left( \ln D_0 + 1 \right).$$

**Lemma 5.** Markov-type constant-probability bound: For any non-negative random variable X,  $\mathbb{P}[X \leq 2 \mathbb{E}[X]] \geq \frac{1}{2}$ .

### 3 Results

*Proof.* **RLS:** By the coupon-collector sum above,  $\mathbb{E}[T_{\text{RLS}}] \leq nH_n = O(n \log n)$ .

By the constant-probability bound,

$$\mathbb{P}[T_{\text{RLS}} \le 2\,\mathbb{E}[T_{\text{RLS}}]] \ge \frac{1}{2},$$

so RLS finishes in  $O(n \log n)$  evaluations with probability at least  $1/2 = \Omega(1)$ .

(1+1) **EA:** Let  $D_t = d(X_t)$  be the distance process under elitism. From distance i, Lemma 3 gives  $\mathbb{P}(D_{t+1} < D_t \mid D_t = i) \ge i/(en)$ ; hence

$$\mathbb{E}[D_t - D_{t+1} \mid D_t = i] \ge \frac{i}{en} = \frac{1}{en} D_t.$$

By multiplicative drift (Lemma 4) with  $\delta = \frac{1}{en}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[T_{(1+1)}] \le en(\ln d_0 + 1) \le en(\ln n + 1) = O(n\log n).$$

Applying Lemma 5 yields  $\mathbb{P}[T_{(1+1)} \leq 2 \mathbb{E}[T_{(1+1)}]] \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , so the (1+1) EA also finishes in  $O(n \log n)$  evaluations with probability  $\Omega(1)$ .

## 4 Conclusion

On the function F3, both RLS and the (1+1) EA have optimization time  $\Theta(n \log n)$ . Therefore, by applying Markov's inequality, each completes in  $O(n \log n)$  fitness evaluations with constant probability  $\Omega(1)$ , uniformly in n. The elitist local methods require  $n \log n$  evaluations, scaling almost linearly with n.