## **Running Time Analysis Report**

Empirical vs. Expected Growth: Input size 20 compared to 10: - Empirical time growth factor: 1.04 - Expected growth factor (O(n log n)): 1.60 Input size 50 compared to 20: - Empirical time growth factor: 5.88 - Expected growth factor (O(n log n)): 2.08 Input size 100 compared to 50: - Empirical time growth factor: 1.48 - Expected growth factor (O(n log n)): 1.71 Input size 200 compared to 100: - Empirical time growth factor: 2.61 - Expected growth factor (O(n log n)): 1.75 Input size 500 compared to 200: - Empirical time growth factor: 1.25 - Expected growth factor (O(n log n)): 2.22 Input size 1000 compared to 500: - Empirical time growth factor: 1.26 - Expected growth factor (O(n log n)): 1.80 Input size 2000 compared to 1000: - Empirical time growth factor: 7.51 - Expected growth factor (O(n log n)): 1.82 Input size 5000 compared to 2000: - Empirical time growth factor: 3.95

- Expected growth factor (O(n log n)): 2.12

Input size 10000 compared to 5000:

- Empirical time growth factor: 2.14
- Expected growth factor (O(n log n)): 1.86

Graph of Empirical Running Time vs O(n log n):

