

Banking Competition Research Record

Kai-Jyun Wang*

December 1, 2025

1. Literature

1.1. Bank Run and Banking Competition

- Diamond and Dybvig (1983).
- Goldstein and Pauzner (2005).
- M. Egan, Hortaçsu, and Matvos (2017).
- Gertler and Kiyotaki (2015).
- Wang, Whited, Wu, and Xiao (2022).
- M. L. Egan, Hortaçsu, Kaplan, Sunderam, and Yao (2025).

2. Monopolistic Bank with Liquidity Motive

2.1. Household

Time is continuous, starting from $t = 0$ and going on forever. Following Moreira and Savov (2017), we model the households with liquidity needs. There is a unit measure of identical households solving the following probelm

$$V_t = \max \mathbf{E}_t \left[\int_t^\infty e^{-\rho t} \log(W_t(\psi dC_t + dc_t)) \right]. \quad (1)$$

where C_t is the consumption fraction in liquidity event, $\psi > 1$ is the marginal utility of liquidity event consumption and c_t is the consumption fraction outside of the liquidity event.

A liquidity event comes with rate h with size $d\bar{C}_t$. That is, $dC_t \leq d\bar{C}_t$. Conditional on the occurence of a liquidity event, the size follows $\text{Exp}(\eta)$.

*National Taiwan University, Department of Economics.

There are two type of securities issued by the bank, money m and equity e . The money can be used to finance both consumptions while the equity can only finance c_t . The constraints are

$$\frac{dW_t}{W_t} = m_t dr_t^m + e_t dr_t^e - dC_t - dc_t \quad (2)$$

$$dC_t \leq \min \{m_t, d\bar{C}_t\} \quad (3)$$

$$m_t + e_t = 1. \quad (4)$$

Recursify equation (1),

$$\rho V_t(W_t) dt = \log(W_t) + \max_{m_t, e_t, dC_t, dc_t} \mathbb{E}_t [\log(\psi dC_t + dc_t)] + \mathbb{E}_t [dV_t]. \quad (5)$$

where

$$dV_t = V_t dt + V_W dW_t + \frac{1}{2} V_{WW} (dW_t)^2. \quad (6)$$

Given m_t, e_t , conditional on the liquidity event, the household decides (dC_t, dc_t) :

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{dC_t, dc_t} \log(\psi dC_t + dc_t) + \theta_t (\min \{m_t, d\bar{C}_t\} - dC_t) \\ & + V_t dt + V_W \mathbb{E} [m_t dr_t^m + e_t dr_t^e - dC_t - dc_t] + \frac{1}{2} V_{WW} \mathbb{E} [W_t^2 (m_t dr_t^m + e_t dr_t^e - dC_t - dc_t)^2] \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The first order condition with complementary slackness is

$$\frac{\psi}{\psi dC_t + dc_t} - \theta_t - V_W W_t - V_{WW} \mathbb{E} [W_t^2 (m_t dr_t^m + e_t dr_t^e - dC_t - dc_t)] = 0 \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{1}{\psi dC_t + dc_t} - V_W W_t - V_{WW} \mathbb{E} [W_t^2 (m_t dr_t^m + e_t dr_t^e - dC_t - dc_t)] = 0 \quad (9)$$

$$\theta_t (\min \{m_t, d\bar{C}_t\} - dC_t) = 0. \quad (10)$$

Thus

$$\theta_t = \frac{\psi - 1}{\psi dC_t + dc_t} > 0$$

and the liquidity constraint always binds.

$$dC_t = \min \{m_t, d\bar{C}_t\}.$$

Now,

$$dc_t$$

3. Monopolistic Bank with Information Frictions

The bank can choose to keep operation or exit and taking E_0 . In the continuation region, the bank solves the HJB

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_B E(k, a) = & \max_r -rD(r, a) + E_a r D(r, a) + \phi(\alpha k + E((1-\alpha)k, a) - E(k, a)) \\ & + E_k \mu k_t + \eta \int \max \{E((1-\kappa)k, a) - E(k, a), E_0 - E(k, a)\} dF_\kappa \\ & + \lambda \int \max_{k' \leq k' \leq \min\{k+\bar{I}, D\}} E(k', a) - E(k, a) dF_I(\bar{I}).\end{aligned}\quad (11)$$

$E_k > 0$ so $k' = \min \{k + \bar{I}, D\}$.

$$\begin{aligned}(\rho_B + \phi + \lambda + \eta) E(k, a) = & \max_r -rD(r, a) + E_a r D(r, a) + \phi(\alpha k + E((1-\alpha)k, a)) \\ & + E_k \mu k_t + \eta \int \max \{E((1-\kappa)k, a), E_0\} dF_\kappa \\ & + \lambda ((1 - F_I(D - k)) E(D, a) + \int_0^{D-k} E(k + \bar{I}, a) dF_I(\bar{I})).\end{aligned}\quad (12)$$

Given household asset holdings a , the unit measure of households solve

$$\max_{\theta_t \in [0, 1]} \mathbf{E}_t \left[\frac{da_t}{a_t} \right] - \frac{\gamma}{2} \text{Var}_t \left[\frac{da_t}{a_t} \right] \quad (13)$$

subject to $da_t = \theta_t a_t d\tilde{r}_t$. The solution is given by

$$\theta_t = \frac{\mathbf{E}_t [d\tilde{r}_t]}{\gamma \text{Var}_t [d\tilde{r}_t]}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad D(r, a) = \frac{\mathbf{E} [d\tilde{r}_t]}{\gamma \text{Var} [d\tilde{r}_t]} a. \quad (14)$$

Let $k^*(a)$ be the continuation boundary. We have $E(k^*(a), a) = E_0$. Suppose that the when the bank default, the risky asset is illiquid and all the deposit disappears. Hence

$$da_t = \theta_t a_t r dt - \theta_{t^-} a_{t^-} dN_t \quad (15)$$

where the rate of N_t is $\eta P((1-\kappa)k \leq k^*(a)) = \eta(1 - F_\kappa(1 - k^*/k)) = \eta\delta$.

$$\theta_t = \begin{cases} 1, & \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\frac{r}{\eta\delta} - 1 \right] > 1 \\ \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\frac{r}{\eta\delta} - 1 \right], & \text{o.w.} \\ 0, & \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\frac{r}{\eta\delta} - 1 \right] < 0. \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Take the parametric assumption that F_I is $\text{Exp}(\beta)$ and F_K is $U(0, 1)$. The optimal deposit rate solves

$$(E_a - 1)(D + rD_r) - \lambda\beta \exp(-\beta(D - k))D_r E(D, a) \\ + \lambda \exp(-\beta(D - k))E_k(D, a)D_r + E(D, a)\beta \exp(-\beta(D - k)) = 0. \quad (17)$$

We solve the interior case and truncate when needed.

References

- Diamond, D. W., & Dybvig, P. H. (1983). Bank runs, deposit insurance, and liquidity. *Journal of Political Economy*, 91(3), 401–419.
- Egan, M., Hortaçsu, A., & Matvos, G. (2017, January). Deposit competition and financial fragility: Evidence from the us banking sector. *American Economic Review*, 107(1), 169–216.
- Egan, M. L., Hortaçsu, A., Kaplan, N. A., Sunderam, A., & Yao, V. (2025). *Dynamic competition for sleepy deposits*. NBER Working Paper 34267.
- Gertler, M., & Kiyotaki, N. (2015, July). Banking, liquidity, and bank runs in an infinite horizon economy. *American Economic Review*, 105(7), 2011–43.
- Goldstein, I., & Pauzner, A. (2005). Demand–deposit contracts and the probability of bank runs. *The Journal of Finance*, 60(3), 1293-1327.
- Moreira, A., & Savov, A. (2017). The macroeconomics of shadow banking. *The Journal of Finance*, 72(6), 2381-2432.
- Wang, Y., Whited, T. M., Wu, Y., & Xiao, K. (2022). Bank market power and monetary policy transmission: Evidence from a structural estimation. *The Journal of Finance*, 77(4), 2093-2141.