

Cosystoles and Cheeger Constants of the Simplex

Defense of the Ph.D. thesis

Kai Renken

June 22, 2021

Table of contents

- 1 The classical Cheeger constant of a graph
- 2 Generalized Cheeger constants of the simplex
- 3 Alternative generalizations of the classical Cheeger constant
- 4 Partitioning consecutive numbers

An intuitive approach

An intuitive approach

The classical Cheeger constant intuitively measures the stability of a connected graph.

An intuitive approach

The classical Cheeger constant intuitively measures the stability of a connected graph.




Figure: A "weakly" connected graph

An intuitive approach

The classical Cheeger constant intuitively measures the stability of a connected graph.




Figure: A "weakly" connected graph

Deleting the one edge in the middle will give a disconnected graph, consisting of two connected components, the smallest of them consisting of 5 vertices.

An intuitive approach

The classical Cheeger constant intuitively measures the stability of a connected graph.




Figure: A "weakly" connected graph

Deleting the one edge in the middle will give a disconnected graph, consisting of two connected components, the smallest of them consisting of 5 vertices. The Cheeger constant of this graph is $\frac{1}{5}$.

The definition of the classical Cheeger constant

The definition of the classical Cheeger constant

Definition

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a (simple) graph. Then the **Cheeger constant** of G is defined by

$$h(G) = \min \left\{ \frac{|\delta(A)|}{|A|} : A \subset V, 1 \leq |A| \leq \frac{|V|}{2} \right\},$$

with $\delta(A) := \{e = (v, w) \in E : v \in A, w \in V \setminus A\}$.

The definition of the classical Cheeger constant

Definition

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a (simple) graph. Then the **Cheeger constant** of G is defined by

$$h(G) = \min \left\{ \frac{|\delta(A)|}{|A|} : A \subset V, 1 \leq |A| \leq \frac{|V|}{2} \right\},$$

with $\delta(A) := \{e = (v, w) \in E : v \in A, w \in V \setminus A\}$.




Figure: A "weakly" connected graph

The classical Cheeger constant of the simplex

The classical Cheeger constant of the simplex

Let K_n be the complete graph on n vertices (which is the 1-skeleton of the standard simplex on n -vertices).

The classical Cheeger constant of the simplex

Let K_n be the complete graph on n vertices (which is the 1-skeleton of the standard simplex on n -vertices).

Then we have:

$$h(K_n) = \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil$$

as follows:

The classical Cheeger constant of the simplex

Let K_n be the complete graph on n vertices (which is the 1-skeleton of the standard simplex on n -vertices).

Then we have:

$$h(K_n) = \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil$$

as follows:

For any subset $A \subset [n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have:

The classical Cheeger constant of the simplex

Let K_n be the complete graph on n vertices (which is the 1-skeleton of the standard simplex on n -vertices).

Then we have:

$$h(K_n) = \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil$$

as follows:

For any subset $A \subset [n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have:

$$\frac{|\delta(A)|}{|A|} = \frac{|A|(n - |A|)}{|A|} = n - |A|,$$

The classical Cheeger constant of the simplex

Let K_n be the complete graph on n vertices (which is the 1-skeleton of the standard simplex on n -vertices).

Then we have:

$$h(K_n) = \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil$$

as follows:

For any subset $A \subset [n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have:

$$\frac{|\delta(A)|}{|A|} = \frac{|A|(n - |A|)}{|A|} = n - |A|,$$

so by $|A| \leq \frac{n}{2}$ we get:

The classical Cheeger constant of the simplex

Let K_n be the complete graph on n vertices (which is the 1-skeleton of the standard simplex on n -vertices).

Then we have:

$$h(K_n) = \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil$$

as follows:

For any subset $A \subset [n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have:

$$\frac{|\delta(A)|}{|A|} = \frac{|A|(n - |A|)}{|A|} = n - |A|,$$

so by $|A| \leq \frac{n}{2}$ we get:

$$h(K_n) = n - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil$$

Cosystoles and the cosystolic norm

Cosystoles and the cosystolic norm

From now we consider all cochain groups with \mathbb{Z}_2 -coefficients.

Cosystoles and the cosystolic norm

From now we consider all cochain groups with \mathbb{Z}_2 -coefficients.

Definition

Let X be an (abstract) simplicial complex. Then the **norm** of a cochain $\varphi \in C^k(X)$ is defined by:

$$\|\varphi\| := |\text{supp}(\varphi)|$$

Cosystoles and the cosystolic norm

From now we consider all cochain groups with \mathbb{Z}_2 -coefficients.

Definition

Let X be an (abstract) simplicial complex. Then the **norm** of a cochain $\varphi \in C^k(X)$ is defined by:

$$\|\varphi\| := |\text{supp}(\varphi)|$$

The **cosystolic norm** of a cochain $\varphi \in C^k(X)$ (for $k \geq 1$) is defined by:

$$\|\varphi\|_{\text{csy}} := \min \left\{ \|\delta^{k-1}(\phi) + \varphi\| : \phi \in C^{k-1}(X) \right\}$$

Cosystoles and the cosystolic norm

From now we consider all cochain groups with \mathbb{Z}_2 -coefficients.

Definition

Let X be an (abstract) simplicial complex. Then the **norm** of a cochain $\varphi \in C^k(X)$ is defined by:

$$\|\varphi\| := |\text{supp}(\varphi)|$$

The **cosystolic norm** of a cochain $\varphi \in C^k(X)$ (for $k \geq 1$) is defined by:

$$\|\varphi\|_{\text{csy}} := \min \left\{ \|\delta^{k-1}(\phi) + \varphi\| : \phi \in C^{k-1}(X) \right\}$$

A cochain $\varphi \in C^k(X)$ is called a **k -cosystole**, if it satisfies $\|\varphi\|_{\text{csy}} = \|\varphi\|$.

Coboundary expansion and the k -th Cheeger constant

Definition

For a cochain $\varphi \in C^k(X) \setminus \text{Im}(\delta^{k-1})$ the quotient

$$\|\varphi\|_{\text{exp}} := \frac{\|\delta^k(\varphi)\|}{\|\varphi\|_{\text{csy}}}$$

is called the **coboundary expansion** of φ and

Coboundary expansion and the k -th Cheeger constant

Definition

For a cochain $\varphi \in C^k(X) \setminus \text{Im}(\delta^{k-1})$ the quotient

$$\|\varphi\|_{\text{exp}} := \frac{\|\delta^k(\varphi)\|}{\|\varphi\|_{\text{csy}}}$$

is called the **coboundary expansion** of φ and

$$h_k(X) := \min_{\substack{\varphi \in C^k(X) \\ \varphi \notin \text{Im}(\delta^{k-1})}} \|\varphi\|_{\text{exp}}$$

is called the k -th **Cheeger constant** of X .

Coboundary expansion and the k -th Cheeger constant

Definition

For a cochain $\varphi \in C^k(X) \setminus \text{Im}(\delta^{k-1})$ the quotient

$$\|\varphi\|_{\text{exp}} := \frac{\|\delta^k(\varphi)\|}{\|\varphi\|_{\text{csy}}}$$

is called the **coboundary expansion** of φ and

$$h_k(X) := \min_{\substack{\varphi \in C^k(X) \\ \varphi \notin \text{Im}(\delta^{k-1})}} \|\varphi\|_{\text{exp}}$$

is called the k -th **Cheeger constant** of X .

A cosystole $\varphi \in C^k(X) \setminus \text{Im}(\delta^{k-1})$ satisfying $\|\varphi\|_{\text{exp}} = h_k(X)$ is called a **Cheeger cosystole**.

Relations to the classical Cheeger constant

Relations to the classical Cheeger constant

The classical Cheeger constant of a graph can be considered as the 0-th Cheeger constant by defining the cosystolic norm of a 0-cochain $\varphi \in C^0(X)$ as

$$\|\varphi\|_{\text{csy}} := \min\{|\text{supp}(\varphi)|, |X^{(0)}| - |\text{supp}(\varphi)|\}$$

Relations to the classical Cheeger constant

The classical Cheeger constant of a graph can be considered as the 0-th Cheeger constant by defining the cosystolic norm of a 0-cochain $\varphi \in C^0(X)$ as

$$\|\varphi\|_{csy} := \min\{|\text{supp}(\varphi)|, |X^{(0)}| - |\text{supp}(\varphi)|\}$$

The classical Cheeger constant of a graph equals 0 iff the graph is disconnected.

Relations to the classical Cheeger constant

The classical Cheeger constant of a graph can be considered as the 0-th Cheeger constant by defining the cosystolic norm of a 0-cochain $\varphi \in C^0(X)$ as

$$\|\varphi\|_{csy} := \min\{|\text{supp}(\varphi)|, |X^{(0)}| - |\text{supp}(\varphi)|\}$$

The classical Cheeger constant of a graph equals 0 iff the graph is disconnected. The k -th Cheeger constant of a simplicial complex X equals 0 iff the k -th cohomology group $H^k(X)$ is non-trivial.

Example: The 1-cosystoles of $\Delta^{[6]}$

Example: The 1-cosystoles of $\Delta^{[6]}$

Let $\Delta^{[n]}$ always denote the standard simplex on n vertices.

Example: The 1-cosystoles of $\Delta^{[6]}$

Let $\Delta^{[n]}$ always denote the standard simplex on n vertices.




Figure: The supports of all 1-cosystoles of $\Delta^{[6]}$ (up to isomorphism)

Maximal cosystoles

Maximal cosystoles

Definition

Let X be a simplicial complex and $1 \leq k \leq \dim(X)$, then

$$C_{\max}(X, k) := \max \left\{ \|\varphi\|_{\text{csy}} : \varphi \in C^k(X) \right\}$$

is the largest norm a k -cosystole in X can attain.

The largest cosystoles of the simplex

The largest cosystoles of the simplex

Theorem (Renken)

$$C_{\max}(\Delta^{[n]}, 1) = \binom{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}{2} + \binom{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}{2}$$

Some values for higher dimensional Cheeger constants

Some values for higher dimensional Cheeger constants

Theorem (Wallach, Meshulam)

Let $\Delta^{[n]}$ be the standard simplex on n vertices and $1 \leq k \leq n-2$, then we have:

$$\frac{n}{k+2} \leq h_k(\Delta^{[n]}) \leq \left\lceil \frac{n}{k+2} \right\rceil$$

If n is divisible by $k+2$, then we have:

$$h_k(\Delta^{[n]}) = \frac{n}{k+2}$$

Some values for higher dimensional Cheeger constants

Theorem (Wallach, Meshulam)

Let $\Delta^{[n]}$ be the standard simplex on n vertices and $1 \leq k \leq n-2$, then we have:

$$\frac{n}{k+2} \leq h_k(\Delta^{[n]}) \leq \left\lceil \frac{n}{k+2} \right\rceil$$

If n is divisible by $k+2$, then we have:

$$h_k(\Delta^{[n]}) = \frac{n}{k+2}$$

Theorem (Kozlov)

Let $n > 2$ not be a power of 2, then we have:

$$h_1(\Delta^{[n]}) = \frac{n}{3}$$

The case when n is a power of 2

The case when n is a power of 2

Theorem (Kozlov)

Let n be a power of 2. Then we have:

$$h_1(\Delta^{[n]}) \leq \frac{n^3 - 4n}{3n^2 - 24}$$

The case when n is a power of 2

Theorem (Kozlov)

Let n be a power of 2. Then we have:

$$h_1(\Delta^{[n]}) \leq \frac{n^3 - 4n}{3n^2 - 24}$$

Theorem (Kozlov)

$$h_1(\Delta^{[8]}) > \frac{8}{3}$$

The case when n is a power of 2

Theorem (Kozlov)

Let n be a power of 2. Then we have:

$$h_1(\Delta^{[n]}) \leq \frac{n^3 - 4n}{3n^2 - 24}$$

Theorem (Kozlov)

$$h_1(\Delta^{[8]}) > \frac{8}{3}$$

Theorem (Renken)

$$h_1(\Delta^{[16]}) > \frac{16}{3}$$

Hitting sets and hitting numbers

Hitting sets and hitting numbers

Definition

Let V be some set and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^V$ a family of subsets of V . A subset $P \subseteq V$ is called a **hitting set** of \mathcal{F} if we have $P \cap F \neq \emptyset$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$. The **hitting number** of \mathcal{F} is defined by

$$\tau(\mathcal{F}) := \min\{|P| : P \subseteq V \text{ is a hitting set of } \mathcal{F}\}$$

Hitting sets and hitting numbers

Definition

Let V be some set and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^V$ a family of subsets of V . A subset $P \subseteq V$ is called a **hitting set** of \mathcal{F} if we have $P \cap F \neq \emptyset$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$. The **hitting number** of \mathcal{F} is defined by

$$\tau(\mathcal{F}) := \min\{|P| : P \subseteq V \text{ is a hitting set of } \mathcal{F}\}$$

If \mathcal{F} is a family of chains / cochains, the hitting sets and the hitting number of \mathcal{F} are defined as the hitting sets and the hitting number of $\{\text{supp}(\varphi) : \varphi \in \mathcal{F}\}$.

Hitting sets and hitting numbers

Definition

Let V be some set and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^V$ a family of subsets of V . A subset $P \subseteq V$ is called a **hitting set** of \mathcal{F} if we have $P \cap F \neq \emptyset$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$. The **hitting number** of \mathcal{F} is defined by

$$\tau(\mathcal{F}) := \min\{|P| : P \subseteq V \text{ is a hitting set of } \mathcal{F}\}$$

If \mathcal{F} is a family of chains / cochains, the hitting sets and the hitting number of \mathcal{F} are defined as the hitting sets and the hitting number of $\{\text{supp}(\varphi) : \varphi \in \mathcal{F}\}$.

Example

Let $V := \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and $\mathcal{F} := \{\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, \{1, 5\}, \{2, 4, 5\}\}$, then we have $\tau(\mathcal{F}) = 2$.

The cycle detection theorem

The cycle detection theorem

Theorem (Kozlov)

Let X be a simplicial complex, $k \geq 1$, and $\varphi \in C^k(X)$. Let now $\mathcal{F} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t\}$ be a family of k -cycles in $C_k(X)$, such that $\langle \varphi, \alpha_i \rangle = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$, then we have:

$$\|\varphi\|_{\text{csy}} \geq \tau(\mathcal{F})$$

The cycle detection theorem

Theorem (Kozlov)

Let X be a simplicial complex, $k \geq 1$, and $\varphi \in C^k(X)$. Let now $\mathcal{F} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t\}$ be a family of k -cycles in $C_k(X)$, such that $\langle \varphi, \alpha_i \rangle = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$, then we have:

$$\|\varphi\|_{\text{csy}} \geq \tau(\mathcal{F})$$

Corollary (Kozlov)

Let $\varphi \in C^k(X)$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\|\varphi\|}\} \subset C_k(X)$ be a family of k -cycles, such that their supports are pairwise disjoint and $\langle \varphi, \alpha_i \rangle = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \|\varphi\|$, then φ is a cosystole.

The cycle detection theorem

The cycle detection theorem

Question: Are all cosystoles detectable using the cycle detection theorem?

The cycle detection theorem

Question: Are all cosystoles detectable using the cycle detection theorem?

Answer: We can not prove it by now, but we also do not know any counterexample.

The cycle detection theorem

Question: Are all cosystoles detectable using the cycle detection theorem?

Answer: We can not prove it by now, but we also do not know any counterexample.

Question: Are all cosystoles detectable using disjoint cycles?

The cycle detection theorem

Question: Are all cosystoles detectable using the cycle detection theorem?

Answer: We can not prove it by now, but we also do not know any counterexample.

Question: Are all cosystoles detectable using disjoint cycles?

Answer: No. The following 1-cosystole is not detectable this way:




Figure: The support of a 1-cosystole, which can not be determined using disjoint cycles

The cycle detection theorem

Question: Are all cosystoles detectable using the cycle detection theorem?

Answer: We can not prove it by now, but we also do not know any counterexample.

Question: Are all cosystoles detectable using disjoint cycles?

Answer: No. The following 1-cosystole is not detectable this way:




Figure: The support of a 1-cosystole, which can not be determined using disjoint cycles

Conjecture: For every proper n and k there is a Cheeger cosystole in $C^k(\Delta^{[n]})$ which is detectable using disjoint cycles.

Disjoint cycle expansion

Disjoint cycle expansion

Definition

Let X be a simplicial complex, $\mathcal{F} \subset C_k(X)$ a family of cycles, such that their supports are pairwise disjoint and

$$P(\mathcal{F}) := \{\varphi \in C^k(X) : |\text{supp}(\varphi) \cap \text{supp}(F)| = 1 \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \text{supp}(\varphi) \subset \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \text{supp}(F)\}$$

Disjoint cycle expansion

Definition

Let X be a simplicial complex, $\mathcal{F} \subset C_k(X)$ a family of cycles, such that their supports are pairwise disjoint and

$$P(\mathcal{F}) := \{\varphi \in C^k(X) : |\text{supp}(\varphi) \cap \text{supp}(F)| = 1 \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \text{supp}(\varphi) \subset \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \text{supp}(F)\}$$

Then we define

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{F}} := \frac{\min_{\varphi \in P(\mathcal{F})} \|\delta^k(\varphi)\|}{|\mathcal{F}|}$$

Disjoint cycle expansion

Definition

Let X be a simplicial complex, $\mathcal{F} \subset C_k(X)$ a family of cycles, such that their supports are pairwise disjoint and

$$P(\mathcal{F}) := \{\varphi \in C^k(X) : |\text{supp}(\varphi) \cap \text{supp}(F)| = 1 \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \text{supp}(\varphi) \subset \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \text{supp}(F)\}$$

Then we define

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{F}} := \frac{\min_{\varphi \in P(\mathcal{F})} \|\delta^k(\varphi)\|}{|\mathcal{F}|}$$

and we call

$$\gamma_k(X) := \min_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{C}} \gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$$

the k -th **disjoint cycle expansion** of X with

$$\mathfrak{C} := \{\mathcal{F} \subset C_k(X) : F \text{ is a cycle and } \text{supp}(F) \cap \text{supp}(F') = \emptyset \text{ for all } F, F' \in \mathcal{F} (F \neq F')\}$$

Hitting expansion

Hitting expansion

Definition

Let X be a simplicial complex, $\mathcal{F} \subset C_k(X)$ a family of cycles and

$$P'(\mathcal{F}) := \{\varphi \in C^k(X) : |\text{supp}(\varphi) \cap \text{supp}(F)| \text{ is odd for all } F \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

Hitting expansion

Definition

Let X be a simplicial complex, $\mathcal{F} \subset C_k(X)$ a family of cycles and

$$P'(\mathcal{F}) := \{\varphi \in C^k(X) : |\text{supp}(\varphi) \cap \text{supp}(F)| \text{ is odd for all } F \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

Then we define

$$\rho_{\mathcal{F}} := \frac{\min_{\varphi \in P'(\mathcal{F})} \|\delta^k(\varphi)\|}{\tau(\mathcal{F})}$$

Hitting expansion

Definition

Let X be a simplicial complex, $\mathcal{F} \subset C_k(X)$ a family of cycles and

$$P'(\mathcal{F}) := \{\varphi \in C^k(X) : |\text{supp}(\varphi) \cap \text{supp}(F)| \text{ is odd for all } F \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

Then we define

$$\rho_{\mathcal{F}} := \frac{\min_{\varphi \in P'(\mathcal{F})} \|\delta^k(\varphi)\|}{\tau(\mathcal{F})}$$

and we call

$$\rho_k(X) := \min_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{C}} \rho_{\mathcal{F}}$$

the k -th **hitting expansion** of X , with

$$\mathfrak{C} := \{\mathcal{F} \subset C_k(X) : F \text{ is a cycle, for all } F \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

Relations to the Cheeger constant

Relations to the Cheeger constant

Theorem (Kozlov)

Let X be a simplicial complex and $k \geq 1$, then we have:

$$h_k(X) \leq \rho_k(X) \leq \gamma_k(X)$$

Relations to the Cheeger constant

Theorem (Kozlov)

Let X be a simplicial complex and $k \geq 1$, then we have:

$$h_k(X) \leq \rho_k(X) \leq \gamma_k(X)$$

Theorem (Kozlov)

Let $k + 2$ divide n , then we have:

$$\gamma_k(\Delta^{[n]}) = \rho_k(\Delta^{[n]}) = \frac{n}{k+2}$$

Relations to the Cheeger constant

Theorem (Kozlov)

Let X be a simplicial complex and $k \geq 1$, then we have:

$$h_k(X) \leq \rho_k(X) \leq \gamma_k(X)$$

Theorem (Kozlov)

Let $k + 2$ divide n , then we have:

$$\gamma_k(\Delta^{[n]}) = \rho_k(\Delta^{[n]}) = \frac{n}{k+2}$$

Theorem (Renken)

Let n not be a power of 2, then we have:

$$\gamma_1(\Delta^{[n]}) = \rho_1(\Delta^{[n]}) = \frac{n}{3}$$

Partitioning consecutive numbers - Introducing example

Partitioning consecutive numbers - Introducing example

There are four ways to display the number 15 as a sum of consecutive numbers, namely:

$$1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 = 4 + 5 + 6 = 7 + 8 = 15$$

Partitioning consecutive numbers - Introducing example

There are four ways to display the number 15 as a sum of consecutive numbers, namely:

$$1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 = 4 + 5 + 6 = 7 + 8 = 15$$

We already know that for an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are as many ways to display n as a sum of consecutive numbers as many odd divisors n has.

Partitioning consecutive numbers - Introducing example

There are four ways to display the number 15 as a sum of consecutive numbers, namely:

$$1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 = 4 + 5 + 6 = 7 + 8 = 15$$

We already know that for an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are as many ways to display n as a sum of consecutive numbers as many odd divisors n has.

Question: Is it possible to partition the summands of the longer sums, such that each part sums up to one of the summands of the shorter sums?

Partitioning consecutive numbers - Introducing example

There are four ways to display the number 15 as a sum of consecutive numbers, namely:

$$1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 = 4 + 5 + 6 = 7 + 8 = 15$$

We already know that for an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are as many ways to display n as a sum of consecutive numbers as many odd divisors n has.

Question: Is it possible to partition the summands of the longer sums, such that each part sums up to one of the summands of the shorter sums?

Answer: No! For example there is no way to display the number 7 as a sum of the numbers 4, 5, 6.

Partitioning consecutive numbers - Introducing example

There are four ways to display the number 15 as a sum of consecutive numbers, namely:

$$1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 = 4 + 5 + 6 = 7 + 8 = 15$$

We already know that for an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are as many ways to display n as a sum of consecutive numbers as many odd divisors n has.

Question: Is it possible to partition the summands of the longer sums, such that each part sums up to one of the summands of the shorter sums?

Answer: No! For example there is no way to display the number 7 as a sum of the numbers 4, 5, 6.

But it is always possible if the longer sum's first summand is 1.







A theorem about partitioning consecutive numbers

A theorem about partitioning consecutive numbers

Theorem (Renken)

Let $n, a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ ($b \geq a$), such that $\sum_{i=1}^n i = \sum_{i=a}^b i$, then for every $a \leq t \leq b$ there exists a subset $U_t \subseteq [n]$, such that $U_i \cap U_j = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$ and we have $[n] = \bigcup_{a \leq t \leq b} U_t$ and $\sum_{i \in U_t} i = t$.

Bibliography

-  Dmitry N. Kozlov, *The first Cheeger constant of a simplex*, Graphs and Combinatorics (2017) 33: 1543.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00373-017-1853-9>
-  N. Linial, R. Meshulam, *Homological connectivity of random 2-complexes*, Combinatorica 26, 2006, no. 4, 475-487
-  M. Gromov, *Singularities, expanders and topology of maps. Part 2. From combinatorics to topology via algebraic isoperimetry*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 20, (2010), no. 2, 416-526.
-  M. Wallach and R. Meshulam, *Homological connectivity of random k -dimensional complexes*, Random Structures Algorithms 34, 2009, no. 3, 408-417
-  Dmitry N. Kozlov and Roy Meshulam, *Quantitative aspects of acyclicity*, arXiv:1802.03210 [math.CO], 2018
-  J. J. Sylvester and F. Franklin, *A Constructive Theory of Partitions, Arranged in Three Acts, an Interact and an Exodion*, American Journal of Mathematics Vol. 5, No. 1 (1882), pp. 251-330