

# STAT3500/7500

## Assignment 3

(a) [5 marks]

Let  $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_1^T, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n^T)^T$  contain the observed values of a random sample

$$\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} F_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \quad (1)$$

where  $F_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$  denotes a distribution function indexed by the parameter vector  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ .

Suppose that the likelihood function  $L(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  for  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  belongs to the regular exponential family so that it is of the form

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = b(\mathbf{x}) \exp\{\mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta})^T \mathbf{t}(\mathbf{x})\} / a(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  is the canonical parameter vector and its coefficient is a (complete) sufficient statistic for  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ .

(i) [2 marks]

Show that the score statistic (the gradient of the log likelihood for  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ ) can be expressed as

$$\partial \log L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) / \partial \boldsymbol{\theta} = \mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta})^T [\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{x}) - E\{\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{X})\}], \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathbf{X}$  denotes the random vector corresponding to  $\mathbf{x}$ .

(ii) [3 marks]

The ML estimate  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$  of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  is a solution of the equation

$$E\{\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{X})\} = \mathbf{t}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (4)$$

that is,

$$E_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}\{\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{X})\} = \mathbf{t}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (5)$$

where  $E_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}$  denotes expectation using  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$  for  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ .

Establish this result in the case where the parameter  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  is a scalar and is the canonical parameter; that is,  $\mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \boldsymbol{\theta}$ .

(b) [3 marks]

Let  $\mathbf{x}$  denote the complete-data vector in a EM framework adopted to calculate the ML estimate of a parameter  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  on the basis of some observed data  $\mathbf{y}$  whose distribution is indexed by  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ . If the complete-data likelihood  $L_c(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  belongs to the regular exponential family, then on the M-step of the  $(k+1)$ th iteration the updated estimate  $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k+1)}$  of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  can be calculated as the solution of the equation

$$E\{\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{X})\} = \mathbf{t}^{(k)}(\mathbf{y}), \quad (6)$$

where

$$\mathbf{t}^{(k)}(\mathbf{y}) = E_{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}}\{\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{X}) \mid \mathbf{y}\}; \quad (7)$$

that is,  $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k+1)}$  satisfies the equation

$$E_{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k+1)}}\{\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{X})\} = \mathbf{t}^{(k)}(\mathbf{y}). \quad (8)$$

Establish this result in the case where  $\mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \boldsymbol{\theta}$ .

(c) [4 marks]

Suppose that a random sample of size  $n$  is taken from a two-component mixture density,

$$f(\mathbf{w}; \boldsymbol{\Psi}) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \pi_i f_i(\mathbf{w}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_i), \quad (9)$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\Psi} = (\pi_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}_1^T, \boldsymbol{\theta}_2^T)^T$ .

(i) [2 marks]

Show that the likelihood equation for  $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$  can be manipulated into the form

$$\hat{\pi}_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n \tau_1(\mathbf{w}_j; \hat{\boldsymbol{\Psi}})/n, \quad (10)$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \tau_i(\mathbf{w}_j; \hat{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}) \partial \log f_i(\mathbf{w}_j; \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_i) / \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_i \quad (i = 1, 2), \quad (11)$$

where

$$\tau_i(\mathbf{w}_j; \hat{\Psi}) = \frac{\hat{\pi}_i f_i(\mathbf{w}_j; \hat{\theta}_i)}{f(\mathbf{w}_j; \hat{\Psi})} \quad (i = 1, 2; j = 1, \dots, n).$$

(ii) [2 marks]

Suggest a method for solving these equations iteratively to find the ML estimate  $\hat{\Psi}$  of  $\Psi$ .

(d) [6 marks]

Let  $\mathbf{W}_1, \dots, \mathbf{W}_n$  denote a random sample on the random variable  $\mathbf{W}$ , which has a  $g$ -component normal mixture density,

$$f(\mathbf{w}; \Psi) = \sum_{i=1}^g \pi_i \phi(\mathbf{w}; \boldsymbol{\mu}_i, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i),$$

where  $\phi(\mathbf{w}; \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$  denotes the  $p$ -dimensional multivariate normal density with mean  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  and covariance matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ . Here

$$\Psi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{g-1}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_1^T, \dots, \boldsymbol{\theta}_g^T)^T,$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_i$  contains the  $p$  elements of  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_i$  and the  $\frac{1}{2}p(p+1)$  distinct elements of the covariance matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, g$ .

It is proposed to apply the EM algorithm to find the ML estimate of the parameter vector  $\Psi$  as a solution of the likelihood equation,

$$\partial \log L(\Psi) / \partial \Psi = \mathbf{0},$$

where

$$\log L(\Psi) = \sum_{j=1}^n \log \sum_{i=1}^g \pi_i \phi(\mathbf{w}_j; \boldsymbol{\mu}_i, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i).$$

In the EM framework adopted here,  $\mathbf{w}_j$  is viewed as a realization of the random variable  $\mathbf{W}_j$  drawn at random from a mixture of  $g$  Classes  $C_1 \dots, C_g$  in proportions  $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_g$  in which  $\mathbf{W}$  has density  $\phi(\mathbf{w}; \boldsymbol{\mu}_i, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i)$  in Class  $C_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, g$ ). Accordingly, we introduce

$$\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{z}_1^T, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n^T)^T$$

as the missing-data vector, where  $z_{ij} = (\mathbf{z}_j)_i$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} z_{ij} &= 1, & \text{if } \mathbf{w}_j \in C_i, \\ &= 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{aligned}$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, g; j = 1, \dots, n$ .

Hence the complete-data vector  $\mathbf{x}$  is given by

$$\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{y}^T, \mathbf{z}^T)^T,$$

where  $\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{w}_1^T, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n^T)^T$  denotes the (observed) incomplete-data vector.

(i) [2 marks]

Give the complete-data likelihood  $L_c(\Psi)$  for  $\Psi$ .

(ii) [2 marks]

Calculate the  $Q$ -function,  $Q(\Psi; \Psi^{(k)})$ , on the E-step of the  $(k + 1)$ th EM iteration.

(iii) [2 marks]

The form of the maximum likelihood (ML) estimate of  $\Psi$  obtained directly by differentiation of the complete-data log likelihood  $\log L_c(\Psi)$  is known and it applies also when the known zero-one values of  $\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n$  are replaced by fractional values between zero and one.

Use this result to derive the updated estimate  $\Psi^{(k+1)}$  of  $\Psi$  on the M-step of the  $(k + 1)$ th EM iteration.

(e) [12 marks]

Another approach to the problem in (d) for calculating the ML estimate of  $\Psi$  on the basis of the observed data  $\mathbf{y}$  is to use the fact that the complete-data density  $g_c(\mathbf{x}; \Psi)$  belongs to the regular exponential family. It can be shown that for  $g_c(\mathbf{x}; \Psi)$  in the regular exponential form (2), the sufficient statistic  $\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{X})$  contains in the present context of a  $g$ -component normal mixture model the  $(g - 1)$  elements,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n Z_{ij} \quad (i = 1, \dots, g - 1),$$

the  $p$  elements in each of the  $g$  vectors,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n Z_{ij} \mathbf{W}_j \quad (i = 1, \dots, g),$$

and the  $\frac{1}{2}p(p+1)$  distinct elements in each of the  $g$  symmetric matrices,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n Z_{ij} \mathbf{W}_j \mathbf{W}_j^T \quad (i = 1, \dots, g).$$

(i) [4 marks]

Calculate  $E(\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{X}))$  and  $E\{\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{X}) \mid \mathbf{y}\}$  from the above expressions for the elements of  $\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{X})$ .

Use the relationship (8) to derive the updated estimate  $\Psi^{(k+1)}$  of  $\Psi$  on the  $(k+1)$ th EM iteration.

(ii) [4 marks]

In the univariate case ( $p = 1$ ) of the two-component normal mixture density,

$$f(w; \Psi) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \pi_i \phi(w; \mu_i, \sigma_i^2),$$

where now

$$\Psi = (\pi_1, \mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2)^T,$$

verify that the complete-data density  $g_c(\mathbf{x}; \Psi)$  belongs to the regular exponential family.

Give explicitly the values of the canonical parameter  $\mathbf{c}(\Psi)$ , the sufficient statistic  $\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $a(\Psi)$ , and  $b(\mathbf{x})$ .

(iii) [4 marks]

Verify the result that

$$E\{\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{X})\} = \partial \log a(\Psi) / \partial \Psi,$$

using the expressions obtained above in e(ii).