# **Poster Judging Criteria**

## **Scientific Content: 75%**

#### Statement of Research Problem/Rationale:

- Clear statement of the problem or question
  - What are you trying to do?
- Well-explained rationale/justification for the study
  - The "So what?" question
- Project objectives are clearly outlined
  - What specifically should judges be looking for in the results in order to know you succeeded?

## • Literature Review/Background Theory:

- Relevant work mentioned
  - Did Gauss figure this out 200 years ago?
- Any references are cited (incl online resources, GitHub repositories, etc)
  - Did you really write all of the code from scratch? Were you provided some dataset from a colleague or an online resource?

## Methods (Explanation/Appropriateness):

- Clear description of the computational methods used and a brief technical summary of the implementation
  - What techniques that we discussed (or did not) did you actually use? How did you use them? What libraries are relevant?
- Methods are appropriate to address aim/question
  - Are you running a simulation when you should be doing an analytic calculation?
- Explanation of the reason for the computational methods used
  - Why \*this\* method and not some other method? How is the method use related to the issue of "modeling" more broadly?

#### Analysis/Results:

- Figures/tables used appropriately and clearly to present the data
  - Do you have a schematic of the process? If you have a many body system, can you take a snapshot? If you have a simple dynamical system, can you show it at a few time steps?
- Findings are presented clearly and accurately
  - Do the figures summarize the most important findings? Do you have them all labeled well?
- Analysis is well explained and appropriately applied
  - Have you described how you actually got the results?

## • Conclusion/Discussion:

- Addressed study's problem/question
  - Did you answer the central question or approach it?
- Conclusions are sufficiently supported by results
  - Are you extrapolating beyond what you actually found?

## Overall appearance: 25%

#### Presentation Overall:

- Effective overall aesthetic/organization of poster
  - Is it laid out well? Or does it look like it was done at the last second?
- Flow of information is logical and facilitates understanding
  - Not just a collage!
- Presenter summarized study clearly
  - Can you describe what you did?
- Presenter answered questions well
  - Can you address questions?