

## CH2 – C1

```
colnames(X401k)<-c("prate","mrate","totpart","totelg","age","totemp","sole","ltotemp")
```

```
s<-summary(X401k)
```

```
      prate      mrate      totpart      totelg      age      totemp      sole
Min.   : 3.00   Min.   :0.0100   Min.   : 50.0   Min.   : 51.0   Min.   : 4.00   Min.   : 58   Min.   :0.0000
1st Qu.: 78.03   1st Qu.:0.3000   1st Qu.: 156.2   1st Qu.: 176.0   1st Qu.: 7.00   1st Qu.: 261   1st Qu.:0.0000
Median : 95.70   Median :0.4600   Median : 276.0   Median : 330.0   Median : 9.00   Median : 588   Median :0.0000
Mean   : 87.36   Mean   :0.7315   Mean   :1354.2   Mean   :1628.5   Mean   :13.18   Mean   :3567   Mean   :0.4876
3rd Qu.:100.00   3rd Qu.:0.8300   3rd Qu.: 749.5   3rd Qu.: 890.5   3rd Qu.:18.00   3rd Qu.:1804   3rd Qu.:1.0000
Max.   :100.00   Max.   :4.9100   Max.   :58811.0   Max.   :70429.0   Max.   :51.00   Max.   :140000   Max.   :1.0000

      ltotemp
Min.   : 4.060
1st Qu.: 5.565
Median : 6.377
Mean   : 6.686
3rd Qu.: 7.498
Max.   :11.880
```

```
result<-lm(X401k$prate~X401k$mrate)
```

```
result
```

```
Call:
lm(formula = x401k$prate ~ x401k$mrate)

Coefficients:
(Intercept)  x401k$mrate
      83.075         5.861
```

```
summary(result)
```

```
Call:
lm(formula = x401k$prate ~ x401k$mrate)

Residuals:
    Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
-82.303  -8.184   5.178  12.712  16.807

Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)  83.0755     0.5633  147.48  <2e-16 ***
x401k$mrate   5.8611     0.5270   11.12  <2e-16 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 16.09 on 1532 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared:  0.0747,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.0741
F-statistic: 123.7 on 1 and 1532 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

- (i) Average participation rate is 87.36 and average match rate is 0.7315
- (ii)  $\widehat{Prate} = 83.0755 + 5.8611mrate$   
Sample size is 1533, R-squared is 0.0747
- (iii) 83.0755 means that when mrate is 0, estimating Prate as 83.0755  
5.8611 means when mrate increase 1, the Prate increase 5.8611
- (iv) When mrate is 3.5, Prate is 103.58935. This is not a reasonable prediction, because this assumes that 103% employee are expected to participate.
- (iv) According to R-squared, only about 7% is determined by mrate and other 93% is determined by unobserved factors.

## Ch2 – C5

```
rdchem <- read_excel("C:/Users/kaihu/Desktop/Quantitative  
Finance/Econometrics/Homework/Homework2/Dataset/rdchem.xls",  
+ col_names = c("rd", "sales", "profits", "rdintens", "profmarg", "salessq", "lsales", "lrd"))
```

```
View(rdchem)
```

```
result <- lm(rdchem$lrd~rdchem$lsales)
```

```
result
```

```
Call:  
lm(formula = rdchem$lrd ~ rdchem$lsales)
```

```
Coefficients:  
(Intercept)  rdchem$lsales  
-4.105      1.076
```

```
summary(result)
```

```
Call:  
lm(formula = rdchem$lrd ~ rdchem$lsales)
```

```
Residuals:  
    Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max  
-0.90406 -0.40086 -0.02178  0.40562  1.10439
```

```
Coefficients:  
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)  
(Intercept)  -4.10472     0.45277  -9.066 4.27e-10 ***  
rdchem$lsales  1.07573     0.06183  17.399 < 2e-16 ***  
---
```

```
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

```
Residual standard error: 0.5294 on 30 degrees of freedom  
Multiple R-squared:  0.9098,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.9068  
F-statistic: 302.7 on 1 and 30 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

- (i)  $\log(\text{rd}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * \log(\text{sales})$
- (ii) The estimated elasticity is 1.07573, which means sales increase 1%, then R&D spending would increase 1.07573% correspondingly.

## CH3 – C3

```
ceosal <- read.table("C:/Users/kaihu/Desktop/Quantitative  
Finance/Econometrics/Homework/Homework2/Dataset/ceosal2.txt", header = TRUE)
```

```
View(ceosal)
```

```
result <- lm(ceosal$LSALARY~ceosal$LSALES+ceosal$LMKTVAL)
```

```
result
```

```
Call:
lm(formula = ceosal$LSALARY ~ ceosal$LSALES + ceosal$LMKTVAL)
```

```
Coefficients:
  (Intercept)    ceosal$LSALES    ceosal$LMKTVAL
      4.6209         0.1621         0.1067
```

```
summary(result)
```

```
Call:
lm(formula = ceosal$LSALARY ~ ceosal$LSALES + ceosal$LMKTVAL)
```

```
Residuals:
    Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
-2.28060 -0.31137 -0.01269  0.30645  1.91210
```

```
Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)    4.62092    0.25441   18.163 < 2e-16 ***
ceosal$LSALES    0.16213    0.03967    4.087 6.67e-05 ***
ceosal$LMKTVAL    0.10671    0.05012    2.129  0.0347 *
---

```

```
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

```
Residual standard error: 0.5103 on 174 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared:  0.2991,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.2911
F-statistic: 37.13 on 2 and 174 DF,  p-value: 3.727e-14
```

```
result2 <- lm(ceosal$LSALARY~ceosal$LSALES+ceosal$LMKTVAL+ceosal$PROFITS)
```

```
summary(result2)
```

```
Call:
lm(formula = ceosal$LSALARY ~ ceosal$LSALES + ceosal$LMKTVAL +
    ceosal$PROFITS)
```

```
Residuals:
    Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
-2.27002 -0.31026 -0.01027  0.31043  1.91489
```

```
Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)    4.687e+00  3.797e-01  12.343 < 2e-16 ***
ceosal$LSALES    1.614e-01  3.991e-02   4.043 7.92e-05 ***
ceosal$LMKTVAL    9.753e-02  6.369e-02   1.531  0.128
ceosal$PROFITS    3.566e-05  1.520e-04   0.235  0.815
---

```

```
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

```
Residual standard error: 0.5117 on 173 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared:  0.2993,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.2872
F-statistic: 24.64 on 3 and 173 DF,  p-value: 2.53e-13
```

```
Result3 <- lm(ceosal$LSALARY~ceosal$LSALES+ceosal$LMKTVAL+ceosal$PROFITS+ceosal$ceoten)
```

```
Summary(result3)
```

```
Call:
lm(formula = ceosal$LSALARY ~ ceosal$LSALES + ceosal$LMKTVAL +
    ceosal$PROFITS + ceosal$CEOTEN)

Residuals:
    Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
-2.48792 -0.29369  0.00827  0.29951  1.85524

Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)  4.558e+00  3.803e-01  11.986 < 2e-16 ***
ceosal$LSALES  1.622e-01  3.948e-02   4.109 6.14e-05 ***
ceosal$LMKTVAL  1.018e-01  6.303e-02   1.614  0.1083
ceosal$PROFITS  2.905e-05  1.503e-04   0.193  0.8470
ceosal$CEOTEN  1.168e-02  5.342e-03   2.187  0.0301 *
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 0.5062 on 172 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared:  0.3183,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.3024
F-statistic: 20.08 on 4 and 172 DF,  p-value: 1.387e-13
```

```
cor(ceosal$LMKTVAL,ceosal$PROFITS)
```

```
[1] 0.7768976
```

(i)  $\log(\text{salary}) = 4.62092 + 0.16213 * \log(\text{sales}) + 0.10671 * \log(\text{mktval})$   
Sample Size = 177, R-squared = 0.2991

(iii)  $\log(\text{salary}) = 4.62092 + 0.16213 * \log(\text{sales}) + 0.10671 * \log(\text{mktval}) + 0.0000\text{profit}$   
Sample Size = 177, R-squared = 0.2993

Because profit sometimes would be less than 0, thus, it cannot be included in log. However, according to R-squared, these is still approximately 70% salary is explained by other factors.

(iv)  $\log(\text{salary}) = 4.62092 + 0.16213 * \log(\text{sales}) + 0.10671 * \log(\text{mktval}) + 0.0000\text{profit} + 0.01168\text{ceoten}$   
Sample Size = 177, R-squared = 0.3183

The CEO tenure increase 1 year, then salary increase 1% correspondingly.

- (v) Their correlation coefficient is 0.7768976 and they are highly correlated. So for OLS estimators, it's hard to calculate the right independent effect of marketvalue and profits on salary.