

# Automatic Differentiation Variational Inference

Philip Schulz and Wilker Aziz

[https:  
//github.com/philschulz/VITutorial](https://github.com/philschulz/VITutorial)

# What we know so far

► DGMs:

# What we know so far

- ▶ DGMs: probabilistic models parameterised by neural networks

# What we know so far

- ▶ DGMs: probabilistic models parameterised by neural networks
- ▶ Objective:

# What we know so far

- ▶ DGMs: probabilistic models parameterised by neural networks
- ▶ Objective: lowerbound on likelihood (ELBO)

# What we know so far

- ▶ DGMs: probabilistic models parameterised by neural networks
- ▶ Objective: lowerbound on likelihood (ELBO)
  - ▶ cannot be computed exactly

# What we know so far

- ▶ DGMs: probabilistic models parameterised by neural networks
- ▶ Objective: lowerbound on likelihood (ELBO)
  - ▶ cannot be computed exactly  
we resort to Monte Carlo estimation

# What we know so far

- ▶ DGMs: probabilistic models parameterised by neural networks
- ▶ Objective: lowerbound on likelihood (ELBO)
  - ▶ cannot be computed exactly  
we resort to Monte Carlo estimation
- ▶ But the MC estimator is not differentiable



# What we know so far

- ▶ DGMs: probabilistic models parameterised by neural networks
- ▶ Objective: lowerbound on likelihood (ELBO)
  - ▶ cannot be computed exactly  
we resort to Monte Carlo estimation
- ▶ But the MC estimator is not differentiable
  - ▶ Score function estimator: applicable to any model

# What we know so far

- ▶ DGMs: probabilistic models parameterised by neural networks
- ▶ Objective: lowerbound on likelihood (ELBO)
  - ▶ cannot be computed exactly  
we resort to Monte Carlo estimation
- ▶ But the MC estimator is not differentiable
  - ▶ Score function estimator: applicable to any model
  - ▶ Reparameterised gradients  
so far seems applicable only to Gaussian variables

# Reparameterised gradients: Gaussian

We have seen one case, namely,  
if  $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$  and  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$

# Reparameterised gradients: Gaussian

We have seen one case, namely,  
if  $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$  and  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$

Then

$$Z \sim \mu + \sigma\epsilon$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(z|\mu, \sigma^2)} [g(z)]$$

# Reparameterised gradients: Gaussian

We have seen one case, namely,  
if  $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$  and  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$

Then

$$Z \sim \mu + \sigma\epsilon$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(z|\mu, \sigma^2)} [g(z)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(0, I)} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} g(z = \mu + \sigma\epsilon) \right] \end{aligned}$$

# Reparameterised gradients: Gaussian

We have seen one case, namely,  
if  $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$  and  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$

Then

$$Z \sim \mu + \sigma\epsilon$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(z|\mu, \sigma^2)} [g(z)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(0, I)} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} g(z = \mu + \sigma\epsilon) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(0, I)} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g(z = \mu + \sigma\epsilon) \frac{\partial z}{\partial \lambda} \right] \end{aligned}$$

# Reparameterised gradients: Gaussian

Location

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(z|\mu, \sigma^2)} [g(z)] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(0, I)} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g(z = \mu + \sigma \epsilon) \frac{\partial z}{\partial \mu} \right]$$

# Reparameterised gradients: Gaussian

Location

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(z|\mu, \sigma^2)} [g(z)] &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(0, I)} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{z}} g(z = \mu + \sigma \epsilon) \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial \mu} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(0, I)} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{z}} g(z = \mu + \sigma \epsilon) \right]\end{aligned}$$



# Reparameterised gradients: Gaussian

Location

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(z|\mu, \sigma^2)} [g(z)] &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(0, I)} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g(z = \mu + \sigma \epsilon) \frac{\partial z}{\partial \mu} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(0, I)} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g(z = \mu + \sigma \epsilon) \right]\end{aligned}$$

Scale

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(z|\mu, \sigma^2)} [g(z)] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(0, I)} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g(z = \mu + \sigma \epsilon) \frac{\partial z}{\partial \sigma} \right]$$

# Reparameterised gradients: Gaussian

Location

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(z|\mu, \sigma^2)} [g(z)] &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(0, I)} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g(z = \mu + \sigma \epsilon) \frac{\partial z}{\partial \mu} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(0, I)} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g(z = \mu + \sigma \epsilon) \right]\end{aligned}$$

Scale

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(z|\mu, \sigma^2)} [g(z)] &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(0, I)} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g(z = \mu + \sigma \epsilon) \frac{\partial z}{\partial \sigma} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(0, I)} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g(z = \mu + \sigma \epsilon) \epsilon \right]\end{aligned}$$

# Reparameterised gradients: Gaussian

Location

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(z|\mu, \sigma^2)} [g(z)] &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(0, I)} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g(z = \mu + \sigma \epsilon) \frac{\partial z}{\partial \mu} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(0, I)} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g(z = \mu + \sigma \epsilon) \right]\end{aligned}$$

Scale

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(z|\mu, \sigma^2)} [g(z)] &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(0, I)} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g(z = \mu + \sigma \epsilon) \frac{\partial z}{\partial \sigma} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}(0, I)} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g(z = \mu + \sigma \epsilon) \epsilon \right]\end{aligned}$$

# Multivariate calculus recap

Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^D$  and let  $\mathcal{T} : \mathbb{R}^D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^D$  be differentiable and invertible

►  $y = \mathcal{T}(x)$

►  $x = \mathcal{T}^{-1}(y)$

# Jacobian

The Jacobian matrix  $\mathbf{J} = J_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x})$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  assessed at  $\mathbf{x}$  is the matrix of partial derivatives

$$J_{ij} = \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_j}$$

# Jacobian

The Jacobian matrix  $\mathbf{J} = J_{\mathcal{T}}(x)$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  assessed at  $x$  is the matrix of partial derivatives

$$J_{ij} = \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_j}$$

Inverse function theorem

$$J_{\mathcal{T}^{-1}}(y) = (J_{\mathcal{T}}(x))^{-1}$$

# Differential (or infinitesimal)

The **differential**  $dx$  of  $x$   
refers to an *infinitely small* change in  $x$

# Differential (or infinitesimal)

The **differential**  $dx$  of  $x$   
refers to an *infinitely small* change in  $x$

We can relate the differential  $dy$  of  $y = \mathcal{T}(x)$  to  $dx$



# Differential (or infinitesimal)

The **differential**  $dx$  of  $x$   
refers to an *infinitely small* change in  $x$

We can relate the differential  $dy$  of  $y = \mathcal{T}(x)$  to  $dx$

► Scalar case

$$dy = \mathcal{T}'(x)dx = \frac{dy}{dx}dx = \frac{d}{dx}\mathcal{T}(x)dx$$

where  $dy/dx$  is the *derivative* of  $y$  wrt  $x$

# Differential (or infinitesimal)

The **differential**  $dx$  of  $x$   
refers to an *infinitely small* change in  $x$

We can relate the differential  $dy$  of  $y = \mathcal{T}(x)$  to  $dx$

► Scalar case

$$dy = \mathcal{T}'(x)dx = \frac{dy}{dx}dx = \frac{d}{dx}\mathcal{T}(x)dx$$

where  $dy/dx$  is the *derivative* of  $y$  wrt  $x$

► Multivariate case

$$dy = |\det J_{\mathcal{T}}(x)| dx$$

the absolute value absorbs the orientation

# Integration by substitution

We can integrate a function  $g(x)$   
by substituting  $x = \mathcal{T}^{-1}(y)$

$$\int g(\textcolor{blue}{x}) \textcolor{red}{d}x$$

# Integration by substitution

We can integrate a function  $g(x)$   
by substituting  $x = \mathcal{T}^{-1}(y)$

$$\int g(\textcolor{blue}{x}) \textcolor{red}{dx} = \int g(\underbrace{\mathcal{T}^{-1}(y)}_x) \underbrace{|\det J_{\mathcal{T}^{-1}}(y)|}_{dx} dy$$

# Integration by substitution

We can integrate a function  $g(x)$   
by substituting  $x = \mathcal{T}^{-1}(y)$

$$\int g(\textcolor{blue}{x}) \textcolor{red}{dx} = \int g(\underbrace{\mathcal{T}^{-1}(y)}_x) \underbrace{|\det J_{\mathcal{T}^{-1}}(y)|}_{dx} dy$$

and similarly for a function  $h(y)$

$$\int h(\textcolor{blue}{y}) \textcolor{red}{dy}$$

# Integration by substitution

We can integrate a function  $g(x)$   
by substituting  $x = \mathcal{T}^{-1}(y)$

$$\int g(\textcolor{blue}{x}) \textcolor{red}{dx} = \int g(\underbrace{\mathcal{T}^{-1}(y)}_x) \underbrace{|\det J_{\mathcal{T}^{-1}}(y)| dy}_{dx}$$

and similarly for a function  $h(y)$

$$\int h(\textcolor{blue}{y}) \textcolor{red}{dy} = \int h(\mathcal{T}(\textcolor{blue}{x})) |\det J_{\mathcal{T}}(x)| dx$$

# Change of density

Let  $X$  take on values in  $\mathbb{R}^D$  with density  $f_X(x)$

# Change of density

Let  $X$  take on values in  $\mathbb{R}^D$  with density  $f_X(x)$   
and recall that  $y = \mathcal{T}(x)$  and  $x = \mathcal{T}^{-1}(y)$



# Change of density

Let  $X$  take on values in  $\mathbb{R}^D$  with density  $f_X(x)$   
and recall that  $y = \mathcal{T}(x)$  and  $x = \mathcal{T}^{-1}(y)$

Then  $\mathcal{T}$  induces a density  $f_Y(y)$  expressed as

$$f_Y(y) = f_X(x = \mathcal{T}^{-1}(y)) |\det J_{\mathcal{T}^{-1}}(y)|$$

# Change of density

Let  $X$  take on values in  $\mathbb{R}^D$  with density  $f_X(x)$   
and recall that  $y = \mathcal{T}(x)$  and  $x = \mathcal{T}^{-1}(y)$

Then  $\mathcal{T}$  induces a density  $f_Y(y)$  expressed as

$$f_Y(y) = f_X(x = \mathcal{T}^{-1}(y)) |\det J_{\mathcal{T}^{-1}}(y)|$$

and then it follows that

$$f_X(x) = f_Y(y = \mathcal{T}(x)) |\det J_{\mathcal{T}}(x)|$$

# Revisiting reparameterised gradients

Let  $Z$  take on values in  $\mathbb{R}^D$  with pdf  $q(z|\lambda)$

# Revisiting reparameterised gradients

Let  $Z$  take on values in  $\mathbb{R}^D$  with pdf  $q(z|\lambda)$

The idea is to count on a *standardisation* procedure

# Revisiting reparameterised gradients

Let  $Z$  take on values in  $\mathbb{R}^D$  with pdf  $q(z|\lambda)$

The idea is to count on a *standardisation* procedure  
a transformation  $\mathcal{S}_\lambda : \mathbb{R}^D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^D$  such that

# Revisiting reparameterised gradients

Let  $Z$  take on values in  $\mathbb{R}^D$  with pdf  $q(z|\lambda)$

The idea is to count on a *standardisation* procedure  
a transformation  $\mathcal{S}_\lambda : \mathbb{R}^D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^D$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_\lambda(z) &\sim \pi(\epsilon) \\ \mathcal{S}_\lambda^{-1}(\epsilon) &\sim q(z|\lambda)\end{aligned}$$

- $\pi(\epsilon)$  does not depend on parameters  $\lambda$   
we call it a *standard* density

# Revisiting reparameterised gradients

Let  $Z$  take on values in  $\mathbb{R}^D$  with pdf  $q(z|\lambda)$

The idea is to count on a *standardisation* procedure  
a transformation  $\mathcal{S}_\lambda : \mathbb{R}^D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^D$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_\lambda(z) &\sim \pi(\epsilon) \\ \mathcal{S}_\lambda^{-1}(\epsilon) &\sim q(z|\lambda)\end{aligned}$$

- ▶  $\pi(\epsilon)$  does not depend on parameters  $\lambda$   
we call it a *standard* density
- ▶  $\mathcal{S}_\lambda(z)$  absorbs dependency on  $\lambda$

# Reparameterised expectations

If we are interested in

$$\mathbb{E}_{q(z|\lambda)} [g(z)]$$



# Reparameterised expectations

If we are interested in

$$\mathbb{E}_{q(z|\lambda)} [g(z)] = \int q(z|\lambda) g(z) dz$$

# Reparameterised expectations

If we are interested in

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{q(z|\lambda)}[g(z)] &= \int q(z|\lambda) g(z) dz \\ &= \int \underbrace{\pi(\mathcal{S}_\lambda(z)) |\det J_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda}(z)|}_{\text{change of density}} g(z) dz\end{aligned}$$

# Reparameterised expectations

If we are interested in

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{q(z|\lambda)} [g(z)] &= \int q(z|\lambda) g(z) dz \\ &= \int \underbrace{\pi(\mathcal{S}_\lambda(z)) |\det J_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda}(z)|}_{\text{change of density}} g(z) dz \\ &= \int \pi(\epsilon)\end{aligned}$$

# Reparameterised expectations

If we are interested in

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}_{q(z|\lambda)} [g(z)] &= \int q(z|\lambda) g(z) dz \\
 &= \int \underbrace{\pi(\mathcal{S}_\lambda(z)) |\det J_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda}(z)|}_{\text{change of density}} g(z) dz \\
 &= \int \underbrace{\pi(\epsilon) \left| \det J_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^{-1}}(\epsilon) \right|^{-1}}_{\text{inv func theorem}}
 \end{aligned}$$

# Reparameterised expectations

If we are interested in

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}_{q(z|\lambda)} [g(z)] &= \int q(z|\lambda) g(z) dz \\
 &= \int \underbrace{\pi(\mathcal{S}_\lambda(z)) |\det J_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda}(z)|}_{\text{change of density}} g(z) dz \\
 &= \int \underbrace{\pi(\epsilon) \left| \det J_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^{-1}}(\epsilon) \right|^{-1}}_{\text{inv func theorem}} \underbrace{g(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^{-1}(\epsilon))}_z
 \end{aligned}$$

# Reparameterised expectations

If we are interested in

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}_{q(z|\lambda)} [g(z)] &= \int q(z|\lambda) g(z) dz \\
 &= \int \underbrace{\pi(\mathcal{S}_\lambda(z)) |\det J_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda}(z)|}_{\text{change of density}} g(z) dz \\
 &= \int \underbrace{\pi(\epsilon) \left| \det J_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^{-1}}(\epsilon) \right|^{-1}}_{\text{inv func theorem}} \underbrace{g(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^{-1}(\epsilon))}_z \underbrace{\left| \det J_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^{-1}}(\epsilon) \right| d\epsilon}_{\text{change of var}}
 \end{aligned}$$

# Reparameterised expectations

If we are interested in

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}_{q(z|\lambda)} [g(z)] &= \int q(z|\lambda) g(z) dz \\
 &= \int \underbrace{\pi(\mathcal{S}_\lambda(z)) |\det J_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda}(z)|}_{\text{change of density}} g(z) dz \\
 &= \int \underbrace{\pi(\epsilon) \left| \det J_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^{-1}}(\epsilon) \right|^{-1}}_{\text{inv func theorem}} \underbrace{g(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^{-1}(\epsilon))}_z \underbrace{\left| \det J_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^{-1}}(\epsilon) \right| d\epsilon}_{\text{change of var}} \\
 &= \int \pi(\epsilon) g(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^{-1}(\epsilon)) d\epsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

# Reparameterised expectations

If we are interested in

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}_{q(z|\lambda)} [g(z)] &= \int q(z|\lambda) g(z) dz \\
 &= \int \underbrace{\pi(\mathcal{S}_\lambda(z)) |\det J_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda}(z)|}_{\text{change of density}} g(z) dz \\
 &= \int \underbrace{\pi(\epsilon) \left| \det J_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^{-1}}(\epsilon) \right|^{-1}}_{\text{inv func theorem}} \underbrace{g(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^{-1}(\epsilon))}_z \underbrace{\left| \det J_{\mathcal{S}_\lambda^{-1}}(\epsilon) \right| d\epsilon}_{\text{change of var}} \\
 &= \int \pi(\epsilon) g(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^{-1}(\epsilon)) d\epsilon = \mathbb{E}_{\pi(\epsilon)} [g(\mathcal{S}_\lambda^{-1}(\epsilon))]
 \end{aligned}$$



# Reparameterised gradients

For optimisation, we need tractable gradients

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \mathbb{E}_{q(z|\lambda)} [g(z)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \mathbb{E}_{\pi(\epsilon)} [g(\mathcal{S}_{\lambda}^{-1}(\epsilon))]$$

# Reparameterised gradients

For optimisation, we need tractable gradients

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \mathbb{E}_{q(z|\lambda)} [g(z)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \mathbb{E}_{\pi(\epsilon)} [g(\mathcal{S}_{\lambda}^{-1}(\epsilon))]$$

since now the measure of integration does not depend on  $\lambda$ , we can obtain a gradient estimate

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \mathbb{E}_{q(z|\lambda)} [g(z)] = \mathbb{E}_{\pi(\epsilon)} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} g(\mathcal{S}_{\lambda}^{-1}(\epsilon)) \right]$$

# Reparameterised gradients

For optimisation, we need tractable gradients

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \mathbb{E}_{q(z|\lambda)} [g(z)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \mathbb{E}_{\pi(\epsilon)} [g(\mathcal{S}_{\lambda}^{-1}(\epsilon))]$$

since now the measure of integration does not depend on  $\lambda$ , we can obtain a gradient estimate

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \mathbb{E}_{q(z|\lambda)} [g(z)] = \mathbb{E}_{\pi(\epsilon)} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} g(\mathcal{S}_{\lambda}^{-1}(\epsilon)) \right]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{MC}}{\approx} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \epsilon_i \sim \pi(\epsilon)}}^M \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} g(\mathcal{S}_{\lambda}^{-1}(\epsilon_i))$$

# Standardisation functions

## Location-scale family

- ▶ a family of distributions where for

$$F_X(x) = \Pr\{X \leq x\}$$

$$\text{if } Y = a + bX, \text{ then } F_Y(y|a, b) = F_X\left(\frac{y-a}{b}\right)$$

# Standardisation functions

## Location-scale family

- ▶ a family of distributions where for  
 $F_X(x) = \Pr\{X \leq x\}$   
if  $Y = a + bX$ , then  $F_Y(y|a, b) = F_X(\frac{y-a}{b})$
- ▶ if we can draw from  $f_X(x)$ , we can draw from  $f_Y(y|a, b)$

# Standardisation functions

## Location-scale family

- ▶ a family of distributions where for  
 $F_X(x) = \Pr\{X \leq x\}$   
if  $Y = a + bX$ , then  $F_Y(y|a, b) = F_X(\frac{y-a}{b})$
- ▶ if we can draw from  $f_X(x)$ , we can draw from  $f_Y(y|a, b)$
- ▶ the transformation absorbs the parameters  $a, b$

# Standardisation functions

## Location-scale family

- ▶ a family of distributions where for
$$F_X(x) = \Pr\{X \leq x\}$$
if  $Y = a + bX$ , then  $F_Y(y|a, b) = F_X(\frac{y-a}{b})$
- ▶ if we can draw from  $f_X(x)$ , we can draw from  $f_Y(y|a, b)$
- ▶ the transformation absorbs the parameters  $a, b$

Examples: Gaussian, Laplace, Cauchy, Uniform

# Standardisation functions (cont.)

Inverse cdf

- ▶ for univariate  $Z$  with pdf  $f_Z(z)$  and cdf  $F_Z(z)$

$$P \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1) \quad Z \sim F_Z^{-1}(P)$$

where  $F_Z^{-1}(p)$  is the *quantile function*



# Standardisation functions (cont.)

Inverse cdf

- ▶ for univariate  $Z$  with pdf  $f_Z(z)$  and cdf  $F_Z(z)$

$$P \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1) \quad Z \sim F_Z^{-1}(P)$$

where  $F_Z^{-1}(p)$  is the *quantile function*

Gumbel distribution

- ▶  $f_Z(z|\mu, \beta) = \beta^{-1} \exp(-z - \exp(-z))$
- ▶  $F_Z(z|\mu, \beta) = \exp\left(-\exp\left(-\frac{z-\mu}{\beta}\right)\right)$
- ▶  $F_Z^{-1}(p) = \mu - \beta \log(-\log p)$

# Beyond

Many interesting densities are not location-scale families

- ▶ e.g. Beta, Gamma

The inverse cdf of a multivariate rv is seldom known in closed-form

- ▶ Dirichlet, von Mises-Fisher

# Automatic Differentiation VI

## Motivation

- ▶ many models have intractable posteriors  
their normalising constants (evidence) lacks  
analytic solutions

# Automatic Differentiation VI

## Motivation

- ▶ many models have intractable posteriors  
their normalising constants (evidence) lacks  
analytic solutions
- ▶ but many models are differentiable  
that's the main constraint for using NNs

# Automatic Differentiation VI

## Motivation

- ▶ many models have intractable posteriors  
their normalising constants (evidence) lacks analytic solutions
- ▶ but many models are differentiable  
that's the main constraint for using NNs

Reparameterised gradients are a step towards automatising VI for differentiable models

# Automatic Differentiation VI

## Motivation

- ▶ many models have intractable posteriors  
their normalising constants (evidence) lacks  
analytic solutions
- ▶ but many models are differentiable  
that's the main constraint for using NNs

Reparameterised gradients are a step towards  
automatising VI for differentiable models

- ▶ but not every model of interest employs rvs for  
which a standardisation function is known

# Example

Suppose we have some ordinal data which we assume to be Poisson-distributed

$$X|\lambda \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$$

and suppose we want to impose

# Differentiable models

We focus on *differentiable probability models*

$$p(x, z) = p(x|z)p(z)$$



# Differentiable models

We focus on *differentiable probability models*

$$p(x, z) = p(x|z)p(z)$$

- members of this class have continuous latent variables  $z$

# Differentiable models

We focus on *differentiable probability models*

$$p(x, z) = p(x|z)p(z)$$

- ▶ members of this class have continuous latent variables  $z$
- ▶ and the gradient  $\nabla_z \log p(x, z)$  is valid within the *support* of the prior
$$\text{supp}(p(z)) = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^K : p(z) > 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^K$$

# VI optimisation problem

Let's focus on the design and optimisation of the variational approximation

$$\arg \min_{q(z)} \text{KL} (q(z) \parallel p(z|x))$$

# VI optimisation problem

Let's focus on the design and optimisation of the variational approximation

$$\arg \min_{q(z)} \text{KL} (q(z) \parallel p(z|x))$$

# Support matching constraint

To automatise the search for a variational approximation  $q(z)$  we must ensure that

$$\text{supp}(q(z)) \subseteq \text{supp}(p(z|x))$$

- ▶ otherwise KL is not defined

# Support matching constraint

To automatise the search for a variational approximation  $q(z)$  we must ensure that

$$\text{supp}(q(z)) \subseteq \text{supp}(p(z|x))$$

- ▶ otherwise KL is not defined
- ▶ but what is the support of  $p(z|x)$ ?

# Support matching constraint

To automatise the search for a variational approximation  $q(z)$  we must ensure that

$$\text{supp}(q(z)) \subseteq \text{supp}(p(z|x))$$

- ▶ otherwise KL is not defined
- ▶ but what is the support of  $p(z|x)$ ?
- ▶ typically the same as the support of  $p(z)$   
as long as  $p(x, z) > 0$  if  $p(z) > 0$

# Parametric family

So let's constrain  $q(z)$  to a family  $\mathcal{Q}$  whose support is included in the support of the prior

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{q(z; \phi) : \phi \in \Phi\}$$

- ▶ a parameter vector  $\phi$  picks out a member  $q(z; \phi)$  of the family

Our objective now is

$$\arg \min_{\phi \in \Phi} \text{KL} (q(z; \phi) \parallel p(z|x))$$



# ADVI

Minimising the original VI problem is equivalent to maximising the ELBO

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi) = \mathbb{E}_{q(z;\phi)} [\log p(x, z)] + \mathbb{H}(q(z|\phi)) \quad (1)$$

From the point of view of a black-box procedure, this objective poses two problems

1. intractable expectations

# ADVI

Minimising the original VI problem is equivalent to maximising the ELBO

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi) = \mathbb{E}_{q(z;\phi)} [\log p(x, z)] + \mathbb{H}(q(z|\phi)) \quad (1)$$

From the point of view of a black-box procedure, this objective poses two problems

1. intractable expectations Reparameterised Gradients!
2. custom  $\text{supp}(q(z; \phi))$

Idea

1. let's find a way to transform  $\text{supp}(p(z))$  to the complete real coordinate space

