

A survey on policy search algorithms for learning robot controllers in a handful of trials

Konstantinos Chatzilygeroudis[†], Vassilis Vassiliades^{†*}, Freek Stulp[‡], Sylvain Calinon[◊] and Jean-Baptiste Mouret[†]

Abstract—Most policy search algorithms require thousands of training episodes to find an effective policy, which is often infeasible with a physical robot. This survey article focuses on the extreme other end of the spectrum: how can a robot adapt with only a handful of trials (a dozen) and a few minutes? By analogy with the word “big-data”, we refer to this challenge as “micro-data reinforcement learning”. We show that a first strategy is to leverage prior knowledge on the policy structure (e.g., dynamic movement primitives), on the policy parameters (e.g., demonstrations), or on the dynamics (e.g., simulators). A second strategy is to create data-driven surrogate models of the expected reward (e.g., Bayesian optimization) or the dynamical model (e.g., model-based policy search), so that the policy optimizer queries the model instead of the real system. Overall, all successful micro-data algorithms combine these two strategies by varying the kind of model and prior knowledge. The current scientific challenges essentially revolve around scaling up to complex robots, designing generic priors, and optimizing the computing time.

Index Terms—Learning and Adaptive Systems, Autonomous Agents, Robot Learning, Micro-Data Policy Search

I. INTRODUCTION

Reinforcement learning (RL) [1] is a generic framework that allows robots to learn and adapt by trial-and-error. There is currently a renewed interest in RL owing to recent advances in deep learning [2]. For example, RL-based agents can now learn to play many of the Atari 2600 games directly from pixels [3], [4], that is, without explicit feature engineering, and beat the world’s best players at Go and chess with minimal human knowledge [5]. Unfortunately, these impressive successes are difficult to transfer to robotics because the algorithms behind them are highly data-intensive: 4.8 million games were required to learn to play Go from scratch [5], 38 days of play (real time) for Atari 2600 games [3], and, for example, about 100 hours of simulation time (much more for real time) for a 9-DOF mannequin that learns to walk [6].

By contrast, robots have to face the real world, which cannot be accelerated by GPUs nor parallelized on large clusters. And the real world will not become faster in a few years, contrary to computers so far (Moore’s law). In concrete terms, this means

that most of the experiments that are successful in simulation cannot be replicated in the real world because they would take too much time to be technically feasible. As an example, Levine et al. [7] recently proposed a large-scale algorithm for learning hand-eye coordination for robotic grasping using deep learning. The algorithm required approximately 800000 grasps, which were collected within a period of 2 months using 6-14 robotic manipulators running in parallel. Although the results are promising, they were only possible because they could afford having that many manipulators and because manipulators are easy to automate: it is hard to imagine doing the same with a farm of humanoids.

What is more, online adaptation is much more useful when it is fast than when it requires hours — or worse, days — of trial-and-error. For instance, if a robot is stranded in a nuclear plant and has to discover a new way to use its arm to open a door; or if a walking robot encounters a new kind of terrain for which it is required to alter its gait; or if a humanoid robot falls, damages its knee, and needs to learn how to limp: in most cases, adaptation has to occur in a few minutes or within a dozen trials to be of any use.

By analogy with the word “big-data”, we refer to the challenge of learning by trial-and-error in a handful of trials as “micro-data reinforcement learning” [8]. This concept is close to “data-efficient reinforcement learning” [9], but we think it captures a slightly different meaning. The main difference is that efficiency is a ratio between a cost and benefit, that is, data-efficiency is a ratio between a quantity of data and, for instance, the complexity of the task. In addition, efficiency is a relative term: a process is more efficient than another; it is not simply “efficient”. In that sense, many deep learning algorithms are data-efficient because they require fewer trials than the previous generation, regardless of the fact that they might need millions of time-steps. By contrast, we propose the terminology “micro-data learning” to represent an absolute value, not a relative one: how can a robot learn in a few minutes of interaction? or how can a robot learn in less than 20 trials¹? Importantly, a micro-data algorithm might reduce the number of trials by incorporating appropriate prior knowledge; this does not necessarily make it more “data-efficient” than another algorithm that would use more trials but less prior knowledge: it simply makes them different because the two algorithms solve a different challenge.

¹It is challenging to put a precise limit for “micro-data learning” as each domain has different experimental constraints, this is why we will refer in this article to “a few minutes” or a “a few trials”. The commonly used word “big-data” has a similar “fuzzy” limit that depends on the exact domain.

[†]Inria, CNRS, Université de Lorraine, LORIA, F-54000 Nancy, France

^{*}Research Centre on Interactive Media, Smart Systems and Emerging Technologies, Dimarcheio Lefkosias, Plateia Eleftherias, 1500, Nicosia, Cyprus

[‡]German Aerospace Center (DLR), Institute of Robotics and Mechatronics, Wessling, Germany

[◊]Idiap Research Institute, Rue Marconi 19, 1920 Martigny, Switzerland

© 2019 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. Permission from IEEE must be obtained for all other uses, in any current or future media, including reprinting/republishing this material for advertising or promotional purposes, creating new collective works, for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or reuse of any copyrighted component of this work in other works.

