

## MATH 325 Q1: LINEAR ALGEBRA III, PART 3

### The Jordan normal form

We start by recalling some facts which we already know. Let  $A$  be a matrix of size  $n \times n$ -matrix with coefficients in  $F$ . It defines an  $F$ -linear map

$$\alpha_A : F^n \longrightarrow F^n, v \longmapsto A \cdot v.$$

As we mentioned before  $A$  is precisely the matrix of  $\alpha_A$  in the standard basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$ .

If  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  is another basis of  $F^n$  we can express  $A \cdot v_j$  in terms of this basis:

$$A \cdot v_j = \sum_{i=1}^n b_{ij} \cdot v_i.$$

The matrix  $B = (b_{ij})$  is the matrix of  $\alpha_A$  with respect to the basis  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  and we have the conjugacy property

$$B = S^{-1} \cdot A \cdot S,$$

where  $S = (s_{ij})$  is the matrix whose columns are the vectors  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ :

$$v_j = \sum_{i=1}^n s_{ij} \cdot e_i = \begin{pmatrix} s_{1j} \\ s_{2j} \\ \vdots \\ s_{nj} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Going in the reverse direction, let  $T$  be an invertible matrix and  $C = T^{-1} \cdot A \cdot T$ . Since  $T$  is invertible the column vectors

$$w_1 = \begin{pmatrix} t_{11} \\ t_{21} \\ \vdots \\ t_{n1} \end{pmatrix}, w_2 = \begin{pmatrix} t_{12} \\ t_{22} \\ \vdots \\ t_{n2} \end{pmatrix}, \dots, w_n = \begin{pmatrix} t_{1n} \\ t_{2n} \\ \vdots \\ t_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

form another basis of  $F^n$  and  $C = (c_{ij})$  is the matrix of  $\alpha_A$  with respect to this basis. As we mentioned before our aim is for a given matrix  $A$  to find a conjugate matrix  $T^{-1}AT$  which is as simple as possible (equivalently, to find another basis of  $F^n$  in which the matrix of the map  $\alpha_A$  is simple).

To understand what we can expect we first consider matrices of small size.

### The case of $2 \times 2$ -matrices.

Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

be a complex  $2 \times 2$ -matrix. The corresponding linear map  $\alpha_A : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ ,  $x \mapsto A \cdot x$ , is given by the formula

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} ax_1 + bx_2 \\ cx_1 + dx_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since any polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}$  has a root we have

$$P_A(T) = (T - \lambda_1) \cdot (T - \lambda_2),$$

where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  are the eigenvalues of  $A$ , which may be equal.

**Case (i):**  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ . Let  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  be eigenvectors for  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ , respectively. Since  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$  the vectors  $v_1, v_2$  are linear independent and so they form a basis of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . With respect to this basis the matrix of  $\alpha_A$  is  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}$ . (In this case we say that  $A$  is diagonalizable.)

**Case (ii):**  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ . We denote this complex number by  $\lambda$ . In this case the matrix  $A$  can be diagonalizable or not. If there are two linear independent eigenvectors  $v, w$  for  $\lambda$  then the matrix of  $\alpha_A$  with respect to the basis  $v, w$  is equal  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ , and so  $A$  is diagonalizable.

If  $A$  is not diagonalizable then the dimension of the eigenspace  $E_\lambda$  for  $\lambda$  is 1. Let  $v$  be an eigenvector for  $\lambda$  and  $w' \in \mathbb{C}^2$  any other vector, such that  $v, w'$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Then  $\alpha_A(v) = A \cdot v = \lambda \cdot v$  and  $\alpha_A(w') = e \cdot v + f \cdot w'$  for some complex numbers  $e, f$ , and so the matrix of  $\alpha_A$  with respect to this basis is  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & e \\ 0 & f \end{pmatrix}$ . Since this matrix is conjugate to  $A$  it has the same characteristic polynomial  $P_A(T) = (T - \lambda)^2$ . This implies  $f = \lambda$ . Hence  $A$  is conjugate to

$$B' := \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & e \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that the complex number  $e$  can not be zero since then  $w'$  would be an eigenvector and so the eigenspace of  $A$  for the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  would

have dimension 2 (because it would then be generated by the linear independent vectors  $v$  and  $w'$ ).

We replace now the basis  $v, w'$  by  $v$  and  $w := e^{-1} \cdot w'$ . Then we have  $\alpha_A(v) = \lambda \cdot v$  and

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_A(w) &= \alpha_A(e^{-1} \cdot w') \\ &= e^{-1} \cdot \alpha_A(w') \\ &= e^{-1} \cdot (\lambda \cdot w' + e \cdot v) \\ &= \lambda \cdot w + v,\end{aligned}$$

and so the matrix of  $\alpha_A$  with respect to this basis is

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

(We could reach the same if we replace  $v, w'$  by  $e \cdot v$  and  $w$ .)

**Summary:** if  $A$  is not diagonalizable  $A$  is similar to the so-called  $2 \times 2$ -Jordan block, i.e. there exists a basis of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , such that the linear map  $\alpha_A : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ ,  $v \mapsto A \cdot v$ , has matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\lambda$  is the only eigenvalue of  $A$ , with respect to this basis. Such a basis is called a *Jordan basis* for  $A$ .

**Remark.** The precise definition of Jordan-block and Jordan basis will be given later.

We illustrate the above consideration with an example of a not diagonalizable matrix.

**Example.** Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -2 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{C})$ . Then we have

$$P_A(T) = (T - 1) \cdot (T - 5) + 4 = T^2 - 6T + 9 = (T - 3)^2,$$

and so  $\lambda = 3$  is the only eigenvalue of  $A$ . One can check that  $v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is an eigenvector for the eigenvalue  $\lambda = 3$ . We extend this to a basis of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  by  $w' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

We compute  $\alpha_A(v) = 3 \cdot v$  and

$$\alpha_A(w') = A \cdot w' = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix} = 2 \cdot v + 3 \cdot w'.$$

Hence in above notation we have  $e = 2$ . We replace now the basis  $v, w'$  by  $v$  and  $w = \frac{1}{2} \cdot w'$ , i.e. by

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

and compute  $\alpha_A(v) = 3 \cdot v$  and  $\alpha_A(w) = v + 3 \cdot w$ , i.e.  $\alpha_A$  has the matrix  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$  with respect to this basis. This matrix is conjugate to  $A$  and we have

$$B = S^{-1} \cdot A \cdot S$$

for  $S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$ .

### Generalized eigenvalues.

Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional complex vector space and  $\alpha : V \longrightarrow V$  a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map.

**Definition.** A complex number  $\lambda$  is called a *generalized eigenvalue* of  $\alpha$  if there is an integer  $l \geq 1$ , and a nonzero vector  $v \in V$ , such that

$$(\alpha - \lambda \cdot \text{id}_V)^l(v) = 0.$$

The vector  $v$  is then called a *generalized eigenvector* for  $\lambda$ .

**Notation.** We denote the union of the zero vector and all generalized eigenvectors for the generalized eigenvalue  $\lambda$  by  $K_\lambda$ , or more precisely by  $K_\lambda(\alpha)$ . Thus,

$$K_\lambda(\alpha) = \{v \in V \mid (\alpha - \lambda \cdot \text{id}_V)^l(v) = 0 \text{ for some integer } l\}.$$

Note that we proved before that  $K_\lambda(\alpha)$  is a vector subspace in  $V$ .

**Definition.** The vector subspace  $K_\lambda(\alpha)$  is called the *generalized eigenspace* for the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

**Remark.** Note that the eigenspace

$$E_\lambda(\alpha) = \{v \in V \mid \alpha(v) = \lambda \cdot v\}$$

for the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $\alpha$  is contained in  $K_\lambda(\alpha)$ .

**Example.** If  $V = \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\alpha = \alpha_A : \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $v \mapsto A \cdot v$ , for some  $n \times n$ -matrix  $A$  then

$$(\alpha_A - \lambda \text{id}_V)(v) = (A - \lambda \cdot I_n) \cdot v = A \cdot v - \lambda \cdot v,$$

and so  $(\alpha_A - \lambda \cdot \text{id}_V)^l(v) = (A - \lambda \cdot I_n)^l \cdot v$ . In this case a generalized eigenvalue (respectively eigenvector) of  $\alpha_A$  is also called a generalized eigenvalue (respectively eigenvector) of the matrix  $A$ .

We observe that an eigenvalue is also a generalized eigenvalue, and vice versa.

**Lemma.** *Every generalized eigenvalue is an eigenvalue.*

*Proof.* Let  $v$  be a generalized eigenvector for the generalized eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $\alpha$ , say we have  $(\alpha - \lambda \cdot \text{id}_V)^l(v) = 0$  for some  $l \geq 1$ . We can assume that  $l$  is minimal with this property. Then for the nonzero vector  $v' = (\alpha - \lambda \cdot \text{id}_V)^{l-1}(v) \neq 0$  we have

$$(\alpha - \lambda \cdot \text{id}_V)(v') = (\alpha - \lambda \cdot \text{id}_V)^l(v) = 0.$$

The later equation implies  $\alpha(v') = \lambda \cdot v'$  and so  $v'$  is an eigenvector for  $\lambda$ , i.e.  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue.  $\square$

On the other hand eigenvectors for  $\lambda$  are also generalized eigenvectors, but generalized eigenvectors for  $\lambda$  have not to be eigenvectors of  $\alpha$ . For instance consider the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map  $\alpha_A : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ ,  $v \mapsto A \cdot v$ , where  $A$  is the  $2 \times 2$ -matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . The sole eigenvalue (hence also the only generalized eigenvalue) of  $A$  is  $\lambda = 1$ . We have  $A \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and so  $v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is not an eigenvector of  $A$ . But

$$I_n - A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and therefore  $(I_n - A)^2 = 0$ , which has as a consequence that

$$(I_n - A)^2 \cdot v = 0,$$

i.e.  $v$  is a generalized eigenvector of  $A$ .

**Lemma.** *The set  $K_\lambda = K_\lambda(\alpha) \subset V$  is a linear subspace which is  $\alpha$ -invariant.*

*Proof.* This was proved in class before.  $\square$

## Digression: Complex polynomials.

Before we continue our discussion of the *generalized eigenspaces*  $K_\lambda(\alpha)$  of a linear map  $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$  we prove first two useful results about complex polynomials.

Recall for this that a constant polynomial  $\neq 0$  has degree 0 but the zero polynomial has here by convention degree  $-1$ . (There are other conventions for the degree of the zero polynomial, some authors define its degree to be  $-\infty$ .)

**Lemma A** (division algorithm). *Let  $f(T)$  and  $g(T)$  be two complex polynomials with  $g(T) \neq 0$ . Then there exists unique polynomials  $h(T)$  and  $r(T)$  with  $\deg r(T) < \deg g(T)$ , such that*

$$f(T) = h(T) \cdot g(T) + r(T).$$

*Proof.* We prove this by induction on  $d := \deg f(T)$ . If  $\deg f(T) < e := \deg g(T)$  we set  $h(T) = 0$  and  $r(T) = f(T)$ . So let now  $\deg f(T) \geq \deg g(T)$  and assume (by induction) that we have proven the lemma for all polynomials of degree smaller than  $\deg f(T)$ .

We have  $f(T) = a_d T^d + a_{d-1} T^{d-1} + \dots + a_0$  and  $g(T) = b_e T^e + b_{e-1} T^{e-1} + \dots + b_0$  with  $a_d \neq 0$  and  $b_e \neq 0$ . The polynomial

$$f_1(T) := f(T) - \frac{a_d}{b_e} \cdot T^{d-e} \cdot g(T) = (a_{d-1} - \frac{a_d b_{e-1}}{b_e}) T^{d-1} + \dots + a_0 - \frac{a_d b_0}{b_e}$$

has degree at most  $d-1 < d = \deg f(T)$  and so by induction there is  $h_1(T)$  and  $r(T)$  with  $\deg r(T) < \deg g(T)$ , such that  $f_1(T) = h_1(T) \cdot g(T) + r(T)$ , and so we have

$$f(T) = (h_1(T) + \frac{a_d}{b_e} \cdot T^{d-e}) \cdot g(T) + r(T).$$

Setting  $h(T) := h_1(T) + \frac{a_d}{b_e} \cdot T^{d-e}$  finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma B.** *Let  $f_1(T), \dots, f_m(T)$  be complex polynomials, which are not all zero. Then there exists complex polynomials  $h_1(T), \dots, h_m(T)$ , such that the polynomial*

$$l(T) = h_1(T) \cdot f_1(T) + \dots + h_m(T) \cdot f_m(T)$$

*divides all polynomials  $f_i(T)$ , i.e.  $f_i(T) = g_i(T) \cdot l(T)$  for some  $g_i(T) \in \mathbb{C}[T]$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .*

*Proof.* Let

$$U := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m a_i(T) \cdot f_i(T) \mid a_i(T) \in \mathbb{C}[T], i = 1, \dots, m \right\}.$$

Let  $l(T)$  be a polynomial of minimal but  $\geq 0$  degree in the set  $U$ , say  $l(T) = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(T) \cdot f_i(T)$  for some  $c_i(T) \in \mathbb{C}[T]$ . Note that  $l(T) \neq 0$  since  $\deg l(T) \geq 0$  by assumption.

By Lemma A above we find  $g_i(T)$  and  $r_i(T)$  with  $\deg r_i(T) < \deg l(T)$ , such that

$$f_i(T) = g_i(T) \cdot l(T) + r_i(T) \quad (1)$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . This is equivalent to

$$r_i(T) = (1 - c_i(T) \cdot g_i(T)) \cdot f_i(T) - \sum_{j \neq i} (g_i(T) \cdot c_j(T)) \cdot f_j(T),$$

and so  $r_i(T)$  is in the set  $U$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Since  $l(T)$  is of degree strictly bigger than  $r_i(T)$  and also has the smallest degree  $\geq 0$  in the set  $U$  we conclude that  $r_i(T) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , and so  $f_i(T) = g_i(T) \cdot l(T)$  as desired.  $\square$

This has the following consequence.

**Corollary.** *Let  $f_1(T), \dots, f_m(T)$ ,  $m \geq 2$ , be complex polynomials without common root, i.e. there does not exist  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , such that  $f_i(\lambda) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Then there exists  $k_1(T), \dots, k_m(T) \in \mathbb{C}[T]$ , such that*

$$1 = k_1(T) \cdot f_1(T) + \dots + k_m(T) \cdot f_m(T).$$

*Proof.* Let  $l(T) = \sum_{i=1}^m h_i(T) \cdot f_i(T)$  be as in Lemma B. If  $\deg l(T) \geq 1$  then there exists a complex number  $\lambda$ , such that  $l(\lambda) = 0$ . But since  $f_i(T) = g_i(T) \cdot l(T)$  this implies  $f_i(\lambda) = g_i(\lambda) \cdot l(\lambda) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , and so the polynomials  $f_i(T)$  would have a common root. Hence  $l(t) = c \neq 0$  is constant, and so with  $k_i(T) = c^{-1} \cdot h_i(T)$  we get  $1 = \sum_{i=1}^m k_i(T) \cdot f_i(T)$ .  $\square$