

MATH 325 Q1: LINEAR ALGEBRA III, PART 2

The invariant subspace generated by a vector.

We start from the following result.

Lemma. *Let $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$ be an F -linear map and $v \neq 0$ a vector in V . The subspace $W(\alpha, v)$ of V spanned by the set of vectors*

$$\{v, \alpha(v), \alpha^2(v), \alpha^3(v), \dots\}$$

is α -invariant.

Proof. By construction, W is equal to the set of all finite linear combinations

$$\sum_{i=0}^m a_i \cdot \alpha^i(v), \quad a_i \in F, \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

where we have set $\alpha^0 = \text{id}_V$. Since

$$\alpha\left(\sum_{i=0}^m a_i \cdot \alpha^i(v)\right) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i \cdot \alpha^{i+1}(v)$$

the subspace $W(\alpha, v)$ is α -invariant. □

Remark. *Note that $W(\alpha, v)$ is the smallest α -invariant subspace of V which contains the vector v . Clearly, it is of dimension ≥ 1 since $v \neq 0$.*

Since $W(\alpha, v)$ is contained in V it has finite dimension. Hence for a big enough integer $l \geq 0$ the vectors

$$v = \alpha^0(v), \alpha(v), \dots, \alpha^l(v)$$

are linearly dependent, i.e. there are scalars $a_0, \dots, a_l \in F$, which are not all equal zero, such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^l a_i \cdot \alpha^i(v) = 0.$$

Lemma. *Let l be minimal with this property. Then $v, \alpha(v), \dots, \alpha^{l-1}(v)$ is a basis of $W(\alpha, v)$.*

Proof. By the assumption on l this set of vectors is linear independent and so we have only to show that they generate (or span) the subspace $W(\alpha, v)$. For this it is enough to show that any vector of the generating system $\{\alpha^i(v) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a linear combination these vectors.

This is clear for $\alpha^i(v)$ if $0 \leq i \leq l-1$ and we show it for $\alpha^{(l-1)+i}(v)$ by induction on $i \geq 0$.

The case $i = 1$ is clear. Indeed, the minimality of l implies that the last coefficient a_l in the above equality

$$a_0v + a_1\alpha(v) + a_2\alpha^2(v) + \cdots + a_l\alpha^l(v) = 0$$

is not equal to 0. Therefore,

$$\alpha^l(v) = -\frac{1}{a_l}(a_0v + \alpha(v) + \cdots + a_{l-1}\alpha^{l-1}(v)) \in W(\alpha, v).$$

Abusing notation we will denote below $\frac{a_0}{a_l}$ by $a_0, \dots, \frac{a_{l-1}}{a_l}$ by a_{l-1} . Thus the above equality is of the form

$$\alpha^l(v) = -a_0v - a_1\alpha(v) + \cdots - a_{l-1}\alpha^{l-1}(v). \quad (1)$$

Next, by the induction assumption we may assume that the vectors

$$v, \alpha(v), \dots, \alpha^{(l-1)+i-1}(v)$$

are in the span of $v, \alpha(v), \dots, \alpha^{l-1}(v)$. Using (1) we then get

$$\alpha^{l-1+i}(v) = \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} -a_j \cdot \alpha^{i-1+j}(v)$$

is a linear combination of the vectors $v, \alpha(v), \alpha^2(v), \dots, \alpha^{l-1}(v)$. \square

The linear map α defines by restriction an F -linear map from $W(\alpha, v)$ to itself. Using (1) we see that this linear map has with respect to the basis $v, \alpha(v), \dots, \alpha^{l-1}(v)$ the following $l \times l$ -matrix:

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -a_1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -a_2 \\ & \vdots & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ & \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & & 1 & -a_{l-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

The Cayley-Hamilton Theorem

The characteristic polynomial. Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & \dots & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

be a $n \times n$ -matrix over F .

Definition. The characteristic polynomial $P_A(T)$ of the matrix A is defined as the polynomial

$$\det(T I_n - A) = \det \begin{pmatrix} T - a_{11} & \dots & \dots & -a_{1n} \\ -a_{21} & T - a_{22} & \dots & -a_{2n} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ -a_{n1} & \dots & \dots & T - a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

in the variable T , where I_n denotes the $n \times n$ -identity matrix.

Recall that conjugate (similar) matrices have the same characteristic polynomial: If S is an invertible matrix then

$$\begin{aligned} P_{S \cdot A \cdot S^{-1}}(T) &= \det(T \cdot I_n - S \cdot A \cdot S^{-1}) \\ &= \det(T \cdot (S \cdot S^{-1} - S \cdot A \cdot S^{-1})) \\ &= \det(S \cdot (T I_n - A) \cdot S^{-1}) \\ &= \det(S) \cdot \det(T I_n - A) \cdot \det(S^{-1}) = \det(T I_n - A) \end{aligned}$$

(the last equation since $\det(S) \cdot \det(S^{-1}) = \det(S \cdot S^{-1}) = \det(I_n) = 1$).

Using this we can define the *characteristic polynomial* of an F -linear map $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$ of a finite dimensional F -vector space into itself. If v_1, \dots, v_n is a basis of V and A the matrix of α with respect to this basis we set

$$P_\alpha(T) := P_A(T).$$

Lemma. This definition does not depend on the choice of the basis.

Proof. Let v'_1, \dots, v'_n be another basis and A' the matrix of α with respect to the new basis v'_1, \dots, v'_n . Then, as we proved before, we have $A' = S^{-1} \cdot A \cdot S$, where $S = (s_{ij})$ is the base change matrix, i.e. $v'_i = \sum_{h=1}^n s_{hi} v_h$. Hence by the above remarks $P_A(T) = P_{A'}(T)$ and so $P_\alpha(T)$ does not depend on the choice of a basis of V . \square

Remark. Let A be a $n \times n$ -matrix and $\alpha_A : F^n \rightarrow F^n$ be the F -linear map $v \mapsto A \cdot v$. Then A is the matrix of α_A with respect to the standard basis, and so $P_{\alpha_A}(T) = P_A(T)$, i.e. the characteristic polynomial of the linear map defined by a matrix A is equal to the characteristic polynomial of the matrix A .

Example. Let $B \in M_{l \times l}(F)$, $C \in M_{l \times (n-l)}(F)$, and $D \in M_{(n-l) \times (n-l)}(F)$. Then $A = \begin{pmatrix} B & C \\ O_{(n-l) \times l} & D \end{pmatrix}$ is a $n \times n$ -matrix, and we have

$$P_A(T) = \det(T \cdot I_n - A) = \det \begin{pmatrix} T \cdot I_l - B & C \\ O_{(n-l) \times l} & T \cdot I_{n-l} - D \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that

$$P_A(T) = P_B(T) \cdot P_D(T).$$

The characteristic polynomial and the invariant subspace generated by a vector. Let $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$ be a F -linear map and $v \neq 0$ a vector in V . Recall that there is an $l \geq 1$, such that

$$\{v, \alpha(v), \dots, \alpha^{l-1}(v)\}$$

is a basis of the α -invariant subspace $W(\alpha, v)$ of V spanned by $\alpha^i v$ where $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Hence there are in particular $a_0, \dots, a_{l-1} \in F$, such that

$$\alpha^l(v) + \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} a_i \cdot \alpha^i(v) = 0.$$

The restriction of α to $W(\alpha, v)$ has then the matrix

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & & 1 & -a_{l-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to this basis. Let us compute the characteristic polynomial $P_B(T)$. This is the determinant of

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} T & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_0 \\ -1 & T & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_1 \\ 0 & -1 & T & \dots & 0 & a_2 \\ & \vdots & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ & \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & \dots & & -1 & T + a_{l-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We claim that

$$P_B(T) = T^l + a_{l-1}T^{l-1} + \dots + a_1T + a_0.$$

This is easily checked for $l = 1$ or 2 and follows by induction using expansion by minors along the first row.

We can extend the basis $v, \alpha(v), \dots, \alpha^{l-1}(v)$ of the subspace $W(\alpha, v) \subset V$ to a basis of V :

$$v, \alpha(v), \dots, \alpha^{l-1}(v), v_{l+1}, \dots, v_n.$$

With respect to this basis α is represented by the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} B & C \\ O_{(n-l) \times l} & D \end{pmatrix},$$

where C is in $M_{l \times (n-l)}(F)$ and $D \in M_{(n-l) \times (n-l)}(F)$. Hence by Example above we have

$$P_\alpha(T) = P_A(T) = P_B(T) \cdot P_D(T) = (T^l + \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} a_i T^i) \cdot P_D(T). \quad (3)$$

Matrix polynomials. Let $f(T) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i T^i$ be a polynomial in one variable T over the field F , and A an $n \times n$ -matrix over F . The matrix $f(A)$ is then defined by evaluating the polynomial $f(T)$ at A , i.e.

$$f(A) := \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \cdot A^i = I_n + a_1 \cdot A + a_2 \cdot A^2 + \dots + a_n \cdot A^n$$

(by A^0 is the $n \times n$ -identity matrix I_n understood). We will need the following facts.

Lemma. Let $f(T) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i T^i$ and $g(T) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i T^i$ be two polynomials and A a $n \times n$ -matrix. Then:

(i) Matrices $f(A)$ and $g(A)$ commute with each other, i.e.

$$f(A) \cdot g(A) = g(A) \cdot f(A).$$

(ii) If $W \subset F^n$ is A -invariant then it is also $f(A)$ -invariant.

Proof. Exercise. □

Similarly we can define the polynomial of a linear map $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$.

If $f(T) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i T^i$ then

$$f(\alpha) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \alpha^i,$$

where $\alpha^0 = \text{id}_V$ is understood. For instance, if $f(T) = 3T^3 + 10T^2 - 1$ then $f(\alpha)$ is the F -linear map

$$v \mapsto 3 \cdot \alpha(\alpha(\alpha(v))) + 10 \cdot \alpha(\alpha(v)) - v.$$

We have then also the analog of the lemma above:

$$f(\alpha) \circ g(\alpha) = g(\alpha) \circ f(\alpha) \tag{4}$$

for all polynomials $f(T), g(T)$, and if $W \subseteq V$ is an α -invariant subspace then it is also an $f(\alpha)$ -invariant subspace.

The Cayley-Hamilton Theorem.

If $n = 2$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ then

$$P_A(T) = (T - a_{11}) \cdot (T - a_{22}) - a_{21} \cdot a_{12} = T^2 - (a_{11} + a_{22})T + (a_{11} \cdot a_{22} - a_{12} \cdot a_{21})$$

is a quadratic polynomial.

Exercise. Show that that $P_A(A) = 0$.

We now prove that this is true for all $n \times n$ -matrices:

Theorem (Cayley-Hamilton). Let $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear map. Then

$$P_\alpha(\alpha) = 0.$$

In particular, we have $P_A(A) = 0$ for all $n \times n$ -matrices A .

Proof. Note that $P_\alpha(\alpha)$ is a map from V to V and we need to show that this is zero map, i.e. if $v \in V$ then $P_\alpha(\alpha)(v) = 0$.

This is clear for $v = 0$, so let $v \neq 0$. Let $n = \dim V$ and $1 \leq l \leq n$ be the dimension of the α -invariant subspace $W(\alpha, v)$ generated by α . By (3) we have

$$P_\alpha(T) = \left(T^l + \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} a_i T^i\right) \cdot P_D(T),$$

where the $a_i \in F$ are such that

$$\alpha^l(v) + \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} a_i \alpha^i(v) = 0,$$

and D is a matrix in $M_{n-l}(F)$.

Hence $P_\alpha(\alpha) = \left(\alpha^l + \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} a_i \alpha^i\right) \cdot P_D(\alpha)$, and so by (4) we have

$$P_\alpha(\alpha) = P_D(\alpha) \cdot \left(\alpha^l + \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} a_i \alpha^i\right).$$

Hence we compute

$$P_\alpha(\alpha)(v) = P_D(\alpha) \left[\left(\alpha^l(v) + \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} a_i \alpha^i(v)\right) \right] = P_D(\alpha)(0) = 0$$

as claimed. □