

## MATH 325 Q1: LINEAR ALGEBRA III, PART 9

### Hermitian and unitary linear maps

**Notation.** Throughout this section all matrices etc. are viewed over the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ . As usual, we denote by  $\bar{z}$  the complex conjugate of  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . We will be considering  $\mathbb{C}^n$  as the inner product space with the usual Euclidean scalar product  $\langle -, - \rangle$ . We let  $A^T$  be the transpose and by  $\bar{A}$  the complex conjugate of a matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$ , i.e. the  $ij$ -entry of  $A^T$  is  $a_{ji}$  and of  $\bar{A}$  is  $\bar{a}_{ij}$ .

Note that with this notation we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}^T = (x_1 \dots x_n)$$

and

$$\overline{\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{x}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \bar{x}_n \end{pmatrix}$$

for a vector  $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . In particular we have then

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum x_i \bar{y}_i = x^T \cdot \bar{y}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ .

### The adjoint linear map

Let  $(V, \langle -, - \rangle)$  be an inner product space, and let  $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map. Then for a fixed vector  $w \in V$  the map

$$f_w : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, v \longmapsto \langle \alpha(v), w \rangle$$

is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear (because  $\alpha$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear and because of **(L1)**). Therefore there exists a unique vector  $y = y(w) \in V$  (depending on  $w$ ) such that

$$f_w(v) = \langle v, y \rangle.$$

We define  $\alpha^*(w) := y = y(w)$  for  $w \in V$ . This defines a map

$$\alpha^* : V \longrightarrow V, w \longmapsto \alpha^*(w),$$

such that

$$\langle \alpha(v), w \rangle = \langle v, \alpha^*(w) \rangle$$

for all  $v, w \in V$ .

In two lemmas below we summarize main properties of  $\alpha^*$ .

**Lemma.** *The map  $\alpha^*$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear.*

*Proof.* Let  $w_1, w_2 \in V$  and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\lambda_1 w_1 + \lambda_2 w_2}(v) &= \langle \alpha(v), \lambda_1 w_1 + \lambda_2 w_2 \rangle \\ &= \bar{\lambda}_1 \cdot \langle \alpha(v), w_1 \rangle + \bar{\lambda}_2 \cdot \langle \alpha(v), w_2 \rangle \quad \text{by (L2)} \\ &= \bar{\lambda}_1 \cdot \langle v, \alpha^*(w_1) \rangle + \bar{\lambda}_2 \cdot \langle v, \alpha^*(w_2) \rangle \quad \text{by definition of } \alpha^* \\ &= \langle v, \lambda_1 \cdot \alpha^*(w_1) + \lambda_2 \cdot \alpha^*(w_2) \rangle \quad \text{by (L2).} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand by the definition of the map  $\alpha^*$  we have also

$$f_{\lambda_1 w_1 + \lambda_2 w_2}(v) = \langle \alpha(v), \lambda_1 w_1 + \lambda_2 w_2 \rangle = \langle v, \alpha^*(\lambda_1 w_1 + \lambda_2 w_2) \rangle,$$

and so

$$\langle v, \alpha^*(\lambda_1 w_1 + \lambda_2 w_2) \rangle = \langle v, \lambda_1 \cdot \alpha^*(w_1) + \lambda_2 \cdot \alpha^*(w_2) \rangle.$$

This implies that

$$\alpha^*(\lambda_1 w_1 + \lambda_2 w_2) = \lambda_1 \cdot \alpha^*(w_1) + \lambda_2 \cdot \alpha^*(w_2),$$

as required.  $\square$

**Definition.** *The linear map  $\alpha^*$  is called the adjoint of  $\alpha$  (with respect to the inner product  $\langle -, - \rangle$ ).*

We collect some more elementary properties of the adjoint in the following lemma.

**Lemma.** *Let  $(V, \langle - \rangle)$  be an inner product space. Then:*

- (i)  $(\text{id}_V)^* = \text{id}_V$ .
- (ii)  $(\alpha^*)^* = \alpha$  for all linear maps  $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$ .
- (iii)

$$(\lambda_1 \cdot \alpha_1 + \lambda_2 \cdot \alpha_2)^* = \bar{\lambda}_1 \cdot \alpha_1^* + \bar{\lambda}_2 \cdot \alpha_2^*$$

for all linear maps  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 : V \rightarrow V$  and all scalars  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ .

- (iv)  $(\beta \circ \alpha)^* = \alpha^* \circ \beta^*$  for all linear maps  $\alpha, \beta : V \rightarrow V$ .

The assertions (i) and (iv) imply in particular that if  $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$  is an isomorphism then also  $\alpha^*$  is an isomorphism and

$$(\alpha^*)^{-1} = (\alpha^{-1})^*.$$

*Proof.* (i) follows directly from the definition.

For (ii): we will repeatedly use below the following property:

$\mathcal{P}$ : if  $w$  is a vector such that  $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$  for all vectors  $v$  then  $w = 0$ ; or equivalently if  $w_1, w_2$  are vectors such that  $\langle v, w_1 \rangle = \langle v, w_2 \rangle$  for all vectors  $v$  then  $w_1 = w_2$ .

We have using axiom **(H)** and the definition of  $\alpha^*$ :

$$\langle \alpha^*(v), w \rangle = \overline{\langle w, \alpha^*(v) \rangle} = \overline{\langle \alpha(w), v \rangle} = \langle v, \alpha(w) \rangle$$

for all  $v, w \in V$ . On the other hand we also have

$$\langle \alpha^*(v), w \rangle = \langle v, (\alpha^*)^*(w) \rangle$$

and so by property  $\mathcal{P}$  we have

$$\alpha(w) = (\alpha^*)^*(w)$$

for all vectors  $w$  and this implies  $\alpha = (\alpha^*)^*$ .

For (iii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \lambda_1 \cdot \alpha_1(v) + \lambda_2 \cdot \alpha_2(v), w \rangle &= \lambda_1 \cdot \langle \alpha_1(v), w \rangle + \lambda_2 \cdot \langle \alpha_2(v), w \rangle \quad \text{by (L1)} \\ &= \lambda_1 \cdot \langle v, \alpha_1^*(w) \rangle + \lambda_2 \cdot \langle v, \alpha_2^*(w) \rangle \quad \text{def. adj.} \\ &= \langle v, \bar{\lambda}_1 \cdot \alpha_1^*(w) + \bar{\lambda}_2 \cdot \alpha_2^*(w) \rangle \quad \text{by (L2)} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $v, w \in V$ . On the other hand side we have by definition that

$$\langle \lambda_1 \cdot \alpha_1(v) + \lambda_2 \cdot \alpha_2(v), w \rangle = \langle v, (\lambda_1 \cdot \alpha_1 + \lambda_2 \cdot \alpha_2)^*(w) \rangle$$

The property  $\mathcal{P}$  then implies

$$\bar{\lambda}_1 \cdot \alpha_1^* + \bar{\lambda}_2 \cdot \alpha_2^* = (\lambda_1 \cdot \alpha_1 + \lambda_2 \cdot \alpha_2)^*,$$

as required.

Finally we prove (iv). Using the definition of the adjoint map we compute:

$$\langle v, (\beta \circ \alpha)^*(w) \rangle = \langle \beta(\alpha(v)), w \rangle = \langle \alpha(v), \beta^*(w) \rangle = \langle v, \alpha^*(\beta^*(w)) \rangle.$$

As above this implies

$$(\beta \circ \alpha)^* = \alpha^* \circ \beta^*.$$

For the last assertion, we if  $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$  is an isomorphism then there exists  $\alpha^{-1}$ , such that  $\alpha^{-1} \circ \alpha = \text{id}_V$ , and so by (i) and (iv) we have

$$\text{id}_V = \text{id}_V^* = (\alpha^{-1} \circ \alpha)^* = \alpha^* \circ (\alpha^{-1})^*.$$

But this implies since  $V$  is finite dimensional that also  $\alpha^*$  is invertible and the inverse is  $(\alpha^{-1})^*$ .  $\square$

**Example.** Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be a complex  $n \times n$ -matrix, and

$$\alpha_A : \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, \quad v \mapsto A \cdot v$$

be the linear map defined by  $A$ . We want to compute the matrix of the adjoint linear map  $\alpha_A^*$  with respect to the standard basis. Recall that we consider  $\mathbb{C}^n$  as the inner Euclidean product space with the usual scalar product.

Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$\langle \alpha_A(x), y \rangle = \langle Ax, y \rangle = (Ax)^T \cdot \bar{y} = x^T \cdot A^T \cdot \bar{y} = x^T \cdot \overline{(\bar{A}^T \cdot y)} = x^T \cdot \bar{A}^* y = \langle x, A^* y \rangle,$$

where we have set  $A^* := \bar{A}^T$ . But also, we have

$$\langle \alpha_A(x), y \rangle = \langle x, \alpha^*(y) \rangle.$$

It follows by property  $\mathcal{P}$  that  $\alpha^*(y) = A^*y$  for all vectors  $y$ , hence the matrix of  $\alpha_A^*$  with respect to the standard basis is  $A^* = \bar{A}^T$ .

**Definition.** The matrix  $A^* = \bar{A}^T$  is called the adjoint matrix of  $A$ .

**Example.** Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ . Then

$$A^* = \bar{A}^T = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} & \bar{c} \\ \bar{b} & \bar{d} \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Lemma.** (i) One has  $(A^*)^* = A$ .

(ii) Let  $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Then  $(AB)^* = B^*A^*$ .

*Proof.* This is obvious. □

**Definition.** Let  $(V, \langle -, \rangle)$  be an inner product space and  $\alpha : V \longrightarrow V$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map. Then:

(i)  $\alpha$  is called hermitian or self-adjoint if  $\alpha = \alpha^*$ .

(ii)  $\alpha$  is called unitary if  $\alpha^* \circ \alpha = \text{id}_V$ . Note that then one also has  $\alpha \circ \alpha^* = \text{id}_V$ .

**Definition.** We call a  $n \times n$ -matrix  $A$  hermitian (respectively unitary) if  $\alpha_A$  is hermitian (respectively unitary), where we consider  $\mathbb{C}^n$  as an inner product space with the usual Euclidean inner product.

**Remark.** Since  $A^*$  is the matrix of  $\alpha_A^*$  we see that  $A$  is hermitian if and only if  $A = A^*$ , and unitary if and only if  $A \cdot A^* = A^* \cdot A = I_n$ .

**Lemma.** Let  $(V, \langle -, - \rangle)$  be an inner product space and  $\alpha : V \longrightarrow V$  a linear map. Let further  $W \subseteq V$  an  $\alpha$ -invariant subspace, i.e.  $\alpha(w) \in W$  for all  $w \in W$ . If  $\alpha$  is hermitian then  $\alpha|_W$  is also hermitian.

*Proof.* The subspace  $W$  with the restriction of the inner product  $\langle -, - \rangle$  is also an inner product space. The adjoint of the linear map

$$\alpha|_W : W \longrightarrow W, w \longmapsto \alpha(w)$$

is the unique map  $\beta : W \longrightarrow W$ , such that

$$\langle \alpha(v), w \rangle = \langle v, \beta(w) \rangle$$

for all  $v, w \in W$ . If  $\alpha$  is hermitian then  $\alpha^*(w) = \alpha(w) \in W$  for all  $w \in W$ , and so  $\beta = \alpha|_W$  has this property. Consequently,  $(\alpha|_W)^* = \alpha|_W$ , i.e.  $\alpha|_W$  is hermitian.  $\square$

## Unitary matrices and orthonormal bases

Let  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (not necessary standard) with respect to the usual scalar product. By definition we have

$$u_i^T \cdot \bar{u}_j = \langle u_i, u_j \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Let  $u_i = \begin{pmatrix} u_{1i} \\ u_{2i} \\ \vdots \\ u_{ni} \end{pmatrix}$  and  $U := (u_{ij})$ , i.e. the  $i$ th column of  $U$  is  $u_i$ . Thus

$U$  is the base change matrix from the basis  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  to the standard one.

**Lemma.** *The matrix  $U$  is unitary.*

*Proof.* Using (1) we conclude that  $U^T \cdot \bar{U} = I_n$ , and so since  $\bar{I}_n = I_n$  we get

$$I_n = \bar{I}_n = \overline{U^T \cdot \bar{U}} = \bar{U}^T \cdot U = U^* \cdot U,$$

i.e.  $U$  is an unitary matrix.  $\square$

**Remark.** *Going this reasoning backwards we see that if  $U$  is unitary then the columns of  $U$  are an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .*

**Remark.** *Using that  $U^* = \bar{U}^T$  one can easily see that the rows of an unitary matrix are an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and vice versa.*

**Theorem.** *Let  $(V, \langle -, \rangle)$  be an inner product space and  $\alpha : V \longrightarrow V$  a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\|\alpha(v)\| = \|v\|$  for all  $v \in V$ .
- (ii)  $\langle \alpha(v), \alpha(w) \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$  for all  $v, w \in V$ .
- (iii)  $\alpha$  is unitary.

- (iv) *If  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V$  then also  $\alpha(v_1), \dots, \alpha(v_n)$  is an orthonormal basis.*

*This implies in particular, that if  $\alpha$  is unitary and  $W \subseteq V$  is a  $\alpha$ -invariant subspace then also the restriction*

$$\alpha|_W : W \longrightarrow W, w \longmapsto \alpha(w)$$

*is unitary.*

*Proof.* (i) **implies** (ii): We have by (i) that

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle \alpha(v + w), \alpha(v + w) \rangle$$

and so since also  $\|\alpha(v)\| = \|v\|$  and  $\|\alpha(w)\| = \|w\|$  we get by **(L1)**, **(L2)** and **(H)**:

$$\langle \alpha(v), \alpha(w) \rangle + \overline{\langle \alpha(v), \alpha(w) \rangle} = \langle v, w \rangle + \overline{\langle v, w \rangle},$$

i.e. the real part of  $\langle \alpha(v), \alpha(w) \rangle$  and  $\langle v, w \rangle$  coincide for all  $v, w \in V$ . Setting  $i := \sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{C}$  we get from

$$\langle v + i \cdot w, v + i \cdot w \rangle = \langle \alpha(v + i \cdot w), \alpha(v + i \cdot w) \rangle$$

by the same reasoning and using the equality  $\|i \cdot v\| = |i| \cdot \|v\| = \|v\|$ , we see that

$$-i\langle \alpha(v), \alpha(w) \rangle + i\overline{\langle \alpha(v), \alpha(w) \rangle} = -i\langle v, w \rangle + i\overline{\langle v, w \rangle},$$

and so that also the imaginary parts of  $\langle \alpha(v), \alpha(w) \rangle$  and  $\langle v, w \rangle$  coincide. Therefore we have proven

$$\langle \alpha(v), \alpha(w) \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$$

for all  $v, w \in V$ .

(ii) **implies** (iii): We have  $\langle v, w \rangle = \langle \alpha(v), \alpha(w) \rangle$  by (ii) and therefore

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \langle \alpha(v), \alpha(w) \rangle = \langle v, \alpha^*(\alpha(w)) \rangle$$

for all  $v, w \in V$ . Using the property  $\mathcal{P}$  we conclude that  $\alpha^* \circ \alpha = \text{Id}_V$ .

(iii) **implies** (iv): That  $\alpha$  is unitary means in particular that  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism and so  $\alpha(v_1), \dots, \alpha(v_n)$  is also a basis of  $V$  if  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  is one. Hence we are left to show that  $\alpha(v_1), \dots, \alpha(v_n)$  are pairwise orthogonal and have length 1.

But by the definition of the adjoint map we have

$$\langle \alpha(v_i), \alpha(v_j) \rangle = \langle v_i, \alpha^*(\alpha(v_j)) \rangle = \langle v_i, v_j \rangle$$

(the latter since  $\alpha^* \circ \alpha = \text{id}_V$ ), and so  $\langle \alpha(v_i), \alpha(v_j) \rangle = 1$  if  $i = j$  and is 0 if  $i \neq j$  since  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  is an orthonormal basis.

(iv) implies (i): Let  $v_1 = \frac{v}{\|v\|}$ . Its length is equal to 1. By the Gram-Schmidt process we can complete it to an orthonormal basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  of  $V$ . Then by (iv) we have

$$\left\langle \alpha \left( \frac{v}{\|v\|} \right), \alpha \left( \frac{v}{\|v\|} \right) \right\rangle = \langle \alpha(v_1), \alpha(v_1) \rangle = 1$$

implying

$$\langle \alpha(v), \alpha(v) \rangle = \|v\|^2 = \langle v, v \rangle,$$

as required.

The last assertion of the theorem is a consequence of (ii)  $\iff$  (iii): The map  $\alpha$  is unitary if and only if  $\langle v, w \rangle = \langle \alpha(v), \alpha(w) \rangle$  for all  $v, w \in V$ , and so in particular for all  $v, w$  in the subspace  $W$ . Hence also the restriction  $\alpha|_W$  is unitary.  $\square$

**Corollary.** *Let  $(V, \langle -, - \rangle)$  be an inner product space and  $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$  a unitary  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map. Then for all eigenvalues  $\lambda$  of  $\alpha$  one has  $|\lambda| = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $v$  be an eigenvector for the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Then we have by (i) in the theorem above

$$\|v\| = \|\alpha(v)\| = \|\lambda \cdot v\| = |\lambda| \cdot \|v\|,$$

and so  $|\lambda| = 1$  since  $\|v\| \neq 0$ .  $\square$

For hermitian maps we have the following.

**Lemma.** *Let  $(V, \langle -, - \rangle)$  be an inner product space and  $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$  a hermitian  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map. Then all eigenvalues of  $\alpha$  are real.*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $\alpha$  with corresponding eigenvector  $0 \neq v \in V$ . We want to show that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . For this we observe first that

$$\alpha(\alpha(v)) = \alpha(\lambda v) = \lambda^2 \cdot v$$

and so  $v$  is an eigenvector of  $\alpha \circ \alpha$  for the eigenvalue  $\lambda^2$ . Using this we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda \cdot \bar{\lambda}) \cdot \langle v, v \rangle &= \langle \lambda v, \lambda v \rangle && \text{by (L1) and (L2)} \\ &= \langle \alpha(v), \alpha(v) \rangle && \text{since } v \text{ is eigenvector for } \lambda \\ &= \langle v, \alpha^*(\alpha(v)) \rangle && \text{since } \alpha^* \text{ is the adjoint of } \alpha \\ &= \langle v, \alpha(\alpha(v)) \rangle && \text{since } \alpha^* = \alpha \\ &= \langle v, \lambda^2 \cdot v \rangle && \text{by the remark above} \\ &= \bar{\lambda}^2 \cdot \langle v, v \rangle && \text{by (L2).} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $v \neq 0$  we have  $\langle v, v \rangle > 0$  by **(P)** and so the above equation implies  $\lambda \cdot \bar{\lambda} = \bar{\lambda}^2$  and therefore  $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$ , i.e.  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$