

## Task 1: Algorithm Analysis (25%)

You may either type or handwrite (neatly!) your solutions. Upload your solutions electronically (e.g., by scanning) to Gradescope, HW3: Algorithm Analysis.

1. Show that  $2^{n+1}$  is by  $O(2^n)$  by finding  $c$  and  $n^0$  to satisfy the big-O requirement. Explain why your chosen values work.

$$n+1 \sim n$$

$$2^{n+1} = 2^n * 2^1 = 2^n * 2 = 2(2^n) \sim 2^n$$

If  $2 * 2^n$  is less than and equal to  $c(2^n)$ , then  $c$  is greater than and equal to 2.

2. Show that  $2^{2n}$  is not  $O(2^n)$  by showing that it is not possible to find  $c$  and  $n_0$  to satisfy the big-O requirement. Note that  $2^{2n} = (2^n)^2$ .

$$2^{2n} = 2^n * 2^n = (2^n)^2$$

Suppose  $2^{2n} = O(2^n)$ , the constant  $c$  will become less than 0.

Since  $2^n$  is unbounded, this means no such  $c$  can exist.

3. Fill out the table by giving a big-O characterization (and brief justification) of the running time, in terms of  $n$ , of each of the following five loops. Think in terms of the number of loop iterations that will be required. Note that the sum of the arithmetic sequence  $1, 2, 3, \dots, k$  is  $k^2(1+k)/2$ ,  $\leftarrow$  represents an assignment and for ...  $m$  to  $n$  do has the default increment = 1 with both  $m$  and  $n$  inclusive.

Pseudo-code	Big-O Characterization and brief justification
<b>Algorithm Loop1 (<math>n</math>):</b> $s \leftarrow 0$ <b>for</b> $i \leftarrow 1$ <b>to</b> $n$ <b>do</b> $s \leftarrow s + i$	$O(N)$ Linear Based on a single for loop, spend a constant amount of time processing each piece of input data.
<b>Algorithm Loop2 (<math>n</math>):</b> $p \leftarrow 1$ <b>for</b> $i \leftarrow 1$ <b>to</b> $2n$ <b>do</b> $p \leftarrow p * i$	$O(N)$ Linear The running time is based on the size of $N$ .
<b>Algorithm Loop3 (<math>n</math>):</b> $p \leftarrow 1$ <b>for</b> $i \leftarrow 1$ <b>to</b> $n^2$ <b>do</b> $p \leftarrow p * i$	$O(N^2)$ Quadratic For each time the outer loop executes, the inner loop executes $n$ times.
<b>Algorithm Loop4 (<math>n</math>):</b> $s \leftarrow 0$ <b>for</b> $i \leftarrow 1$ <b>to</b> $2n$ <b>do</b> <b>for</b> $j \leftarrow 1$ <b>to</b> $i$ <b>do</b> $s \leftarrow s + i$	$O(N^2)$ The running time of for loop is $O(N)$ . $O(N) * O(N) = O(N^2)$
<b>Algorithm Loop5 (<math>n</math>):</b> $s \leftarrow 0$ <b>for</b> $i \leftarrow 1$ <b>to</b> $n^2$ <b>do</b> <b>for</b> $j \leftarrow 1$ <b>to</b> $i$ <b>do</b> $s \leftarrow s + i$	$O(N^4)$ The outer loop is $O(N^2)$ by $n^2$ . The running time of two for loops is $O(N^2)$ . $O(N^2) * O(N^2) = O(N^4)$ .

4. Given an ArrayList of initial size  $n$ , give a big-O characterization (and justification) of the running time of the following Java function, in terms of  $n$ :

```
public void doubleList(ArrayList myList) {  
    int size = myList.size();  
    for (int i = 0; i < size; i++) {  
        int pos = rand.nextInt(myList.size()); //rand is a Random object  
        myList.add(pos, i);  
    }  
}
```

Would your answer change if the fourth line instead read “`int pos = myList.size();`”? If so, what would be the new running time and why?

The running time of this Java function is  $O(N^2)$ . The running time of for-loop is  $O(N)$  and Random method is  $O(N)$ .  $O(N) * O(N) = O(N^2)$ . If the fourth line instead “`int pos = myList.size();`” the running time does not change, which is  $O(N^2)$ , because the fourth line only change the output result and can't change the size of the loop.