

1. The derived result shows that the pdf of  $Y$  is given by

$$f_Y(y) = f_X(g^{-1}(y)) \frac{d}{dy} g^{-1}(y)$$

for  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  and for strictly increasing  $g$ . Since  $y = g(x)$  is one-to-one, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Y] &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y f_Y(y) dy && \text{(from definition)} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y f_X(g^{-1}(y)) dg^{-1}(y) && \text{(from the derived result and substitution)} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) f_X(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

2. The pdf will be obtained by the Divide-and-Conquer approach, which is to split the domain of  $X$  into several pieces and make  $Y = g(X) = X^2$  piece-wise bijective. In particular, we split  $y = g(x)$  as follows:

- For  $x < 0$ , denote  $y = g_1(x) = x^2$ , and it follows that  $g_1^{-1}(y) = -\sqrt{y}$  and that

$$\frac{d}{dy} g_1^{-1}(y) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{y}}, \quad \text{where } y > 0.$$

- For  $x \geq 0$ , denote  $y = g_2(x) = x^2$ , and it follows that  $g_2^{-1}(y) = \sqrt{y}$  and that

$$\frac{d}{dy} g_2^{-1}(y) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{y}}, \quad \text{where } y > 0.$$

Then combining the results will give the required pdf:

$$\begin{aligned} f_Y(y) &= \sum_{i=1}^2 f_X(g_i^{-1}(y)) \left| \frac{d}{dy} g_i^{-1}(y) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{y}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(-\sqrt{y})^2}{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(\sqrt{y})^2}{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi y}} e^{-\frac{y}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

for  $y > 0$ , and  $f_Y(y) = 0$  elsewhere. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Y] &= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{y}{\sqrt{2\pi y}} e^{-\frac{y}{2}} dy \\ &= \sqrt{y} \left( \frac{-2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{y}{2}} \right) \Big|_0^{\infty} + \int_0^{\infty} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2\sqrt{y}} \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{y}{2}}}_{\text{density of } Y} dy \\ &= 0 + 1 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(Y) &= \mathbb{E}[Y^2] - \mathbb{E}[Y]^2 = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{y^2}{\sqrt{2\pi y}} e^{-\frac{y}{2}} dy - 1 \\ &= y^{\frac{3}{2}} \left( \frac{-2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{y}{2}} \right) \Big|_0^{\infty} + \underbrace{\int_0^{\infty} \frac{3\sqrt{y}}{2} \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{y}{2}} dy}_{3 \mathbb{E}[Y]} - 1 \\ &= 0 + 3 - 1 = 2. \end{aligned}$$

We avoid directly calling the properties of the  $\chi^2(1)$  distribution or the gamma function as they are not mentioned in the first chapter.

3. (a) Our attempt is to switch the order of summations appropriately:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[X] &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j \mathbb{P}(X = j) && \text{(from definition)} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \mathbb{P}(X = j) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X = j) \mathbb{1}\{k < j\} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X = j) \mathbb{1}\{j > k\} && \text{(Fubini's theorem)} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X > k) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - F_X(k)).
\end{aligned}$$

- (b) The steps are almost the same as those in (a), except that summations are replaced by integrations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[X] &= \int_0^{\infty} t f(t) dt && \text{(from definition)} \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^t f(t) dx dt \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} f(t) \mathbb{1}\{x < t\} dx dt \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} f(t) \mathbb{1}\{t > x\} dt dx && \text{(Fubini's theorem)} \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} \int_x^{\infty} f(t) dt dx = \int_0^{\infty} (1 - F_X(x)) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

- (c) Denote  $X^+ = \max\{X, 0\} \geq 0$  and  $X^- = \max\{0, -X\} \geq 0$ . It follows that  $X = X^+ - X^-$  and hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[X] &= \mathbb{E}[X^+] - \mathbb{E}[X^-] \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} (1 - \mathbb{P}(X^+ \leq x)) dx - \int_0^{\infty} (1 - \mathbb{P}(X^- \leq y)) dy && \text{(from (b))} \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} (1 - \mathbb{P}(X \leq x)) dx - \int_0^{\infty} (1 - \mathbb{P}(-X \leq y)) dy \\
&\quad \text{(for } a \geq 0, \max\{0, Y\} \leq a \iff Y \leq a) \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} (1 - F_X(x)) dx - \int_0^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X < -y) dy \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} (1 - F_X(x)) dx - \int_{-\infty}^0 F_X(x) dx && \text{(substitution with } y = -x)
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. Note that  $F_X(x) = \mathbb{P}(X \leq x) = \mathbb{P}(X < x)$  as  $X$  is continuous.

- (d) Suppose  $(X, Y)$  has a joint density  $f_{X,Y}(x, y)$  for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Then the proof is nothing more than applying the previous tricks again:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[XY] &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty st f_{X,Y}(s, t) \, ds \, dt \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f_{X,Y}(s, t) \mathbb{1}\{x < s, y < t\} \, dx \, dy \right) \, ds \, dt \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f_{X,Y}(s, t) \mathbb{1}\{s > x, t > y\} \, ds \, dt \right) \, dx \, dy \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(X > x, Y > y) \, dx \, dy.
\end{aligned}$$

*Remark.* Fubini's Theorem indeed grants us that  $\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}\{X > x, Y > y\}] \, dx \, dy = \mathbb{E}[\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}\{X > x, Y > y\} \, dx \, dy]$ , so the proof can be generalized and the assumption of  $f_{X,Y}(x, y)$  is not necessary, but have been made here to cater the scope of this course.

4. To show pairwise independence, it suffices to show the independence of the  $(X, Y)$  pair, as the remaining pairs could be shown via symmetry. Firstly, consider their joint density:

$$f_{X,Y}(x, y) = \int_0^{2\pi} f(u, v, z) \, dz = \frac{1}{4\pi^2}$$

for  $0 \leq x, y \leq 2\pi$ . Then we can obtain the marginal density of  $X$  using

$$f_X(x) = \int_0^{2\pi} f_{X,Y}(x, y) \, dy = \frac{1}{2\pi}$$

for  $0 \leq x \leq 2\pi$ , and likewise,  $f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi}$  for  $0 \leq y \leq 2\pi$ . Note that all the densities above take value 0 at unspecified domains. Hence, it can be easily seen that  $f_{X,Y}(x, y) = f_X(x)f_Y(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , which implies the independence of  $(X, Y)$ . By symmetry, we conclude that  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  are pairwise independent.

But  $(X, Y, Z)$  are not independent, as the conditional distribution of  $(X, Z)$  given  $Y$ ,

$$f_{X,Z|Y}(x, z|y) = \frac{f(x, y, z)}{f_Y(y)} = \frac{1 - \sin x \sin y \sin z}{4\pi^2}$$

for  $0 \leq x, z \leq 2\pi$ , is clearly not free of  $y$ .

5. Recall that if  $X$  follows exponential distribution with rate  $\lambda$ , then it has pdf  $f_X(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$  and cdf  $\mathbb{P}(X \leq x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$  for  $x > 0$ .

(a) We attempt to define the joint cdf of  $F_{Z,W}(z, w)$  for  $(z, w) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

- If  $w < 0$  or  $z < 0$ , then  $F_{Z,W}(z, w) = \mathbb{P}(Z \leq z, W \leq w) = 0$ .
- If  $w \geq 1$  and  $z \geq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(Z \leq z, W \leq w) &= \mathbb{P}(Z \leq z) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(\min\{X, Y\} > z) \\
&= 1 - \mathbb{P}(X > z)\mathbb{P}(Y > z) \\
&= 1 - e^{-\lambda z}e^{-\mu z} = 1 - e^{-(\mu+\lambda)z}.
\end{aligned}$$

- If  $0 \leq w < 1$  and  $z \geq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(Z \leq z, W \leq w) &= \mathbb{P}(Z \leq z, W = 0) = \mathbb{P}(Y \leq z, Y \leq X) \\
&= \mathbb{P}(Y \leq X \leq z) + \mathbb{P}(Y \leq z) \mathbb{P}(X > z) \\
&= \int_0^z \mu e^{-\mu y} \int_y^z \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx dy + (1 - e^{-\mu z}) e^{-\lambda z} \\
&= \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} [1 - e^{-(\mu + \lambda)z}].
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the results gives us the desired cdf

$$F_{Z,W}(z, w) = \begin{cases} 0, & w < 0 \text{ or } z < 0 \\ \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} [1 - e^{-(\mu + \lambda)z}], & 0 \leq w < 1, z \geq 0 \\ 1 - e^{-(\mu + \lambda)z}, & w \geq 1, z \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

- (b) We have derived in (a) that  $F_Z(z) = 1 - e^{-(\lambda + \mu)z}$  for  $z \geq 0$ , i.e.  $Z$  is also exponentially distributed, but with rate  $\mu + \lambda$ . On the other hand,

$$F_W(w) = F_{Z,W}(\infty, w) = \begin{cases} 0, & w < 0 \\ \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda}, & 0 \leq w < 1 \\ 1, & w \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

i.e.  $W$  is a Bernoulli random variable with  $\mathbb{P}(W = 0) = \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda}$  and  $\mathbb{P}(W = 1) = \frac{\lambda}{\mu + \lambda}$ .

- (c) Using the results in (a) and (b), it is clear that  $F_{Z,W}(z, w) = F_Z(z)F_W(w)$  for any  $(z, w) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , which concludes the independence of  $Z$  and  $W$ .

6. (a) By the linearity and the law of total expectation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[Y] &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_i] = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X_i | P_i]] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[P_i] = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} = \frac{n\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

- (b) By the independence of  $X_i$  and the law of total variance, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(Y) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{E}[\text{Var}(X_i | P_i)] + \text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[X_i | P_i])) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n [\mathbb{E}[P_i(1 - P_i)] + \text{Var}(P_i)] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} - \left( \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \right)^2 \right] = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha\beta}{(\alpha + \beta)^2} = \frac{n\alpha\beta}{(\alpha + \beta)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the distribution of each  $X_i$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(X_i = 1) &= \int_0^1 \mathbb{P}(X_i = 1 | P_i = x) f_{P_i}(x) dx \\
&= \int_0^1 x f_{P_i}(x) dx = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}, \\
\mathbb{P}(X_i = 0) &= 1 - \mathbb{P}(X_i = 1)
\end{aligned}$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we conclude that each  $X_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}\right)$  are iid. As a consequence, their sum  $Y \sim \text{Binomial}\left(n, \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}\right)$ , as expected.

- (c) Apply the law of total expectation/variance with the properties of binomial distribution.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[Y] &= \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}[X_i] = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X_i|P_i]] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}[n_i P_i] = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \sum_{i=1}^k n_i, \\
\text{Var}(Y) &= \sum_{i=1}^k \text{Var}(X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k (\mathbb{E}[\text{Var}(X_i|P_i)] + \text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[X_i|P_i])) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k [\mathbb{E}[n_i P_i(1 - P_i)] + \text{Var}(n_i P_i)] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{n_i [\alpha(\alpha + \beta)(\alpha + \beta + 1) - \alpha^2(\alpha + \beta + 1) - \alpha\beta] + n_i^2 \alpha\beta}{(\alpha + \beta)^2(\alpha + \beta + 1)} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{n_i \alpha\beta(\alpha + \beta) + n_i^2 \alpha\beta}{(\alpha + \beta)^2(\alpha + \beta + 1)} = \frac{\alpha\beta \sum_{i=1}^k n_i(\alpha + \beta + n_i)}{(\alpha + \beta)^2(\alpha + \beta + 1)}.
\end{aligned}$$