

1. (a) The pmf of  $X$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(X = x) &= \frac{\gamma(x)\theta^x}{c(\theta)} \\ &= \gamma(x) \frac{1}{c(\theta)} \exp \{x \log \theta\},\end{aligned}$$

so result follows from definition.

- (b) For each  $t = 0, 1, \dots$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = t\right) &= \sum_{\{\mathbf{x}: \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = t\}} \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}) \\ &= \sum_{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = t} \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\gamma(x_k)\theta^{x_k}}{c(\theta)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(c(\theta))^n} \sum_{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = t} \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \prod_{k=1}^n \gamma(x_k) \\ &= \frac{\theta^t}{(c(\theta))^n} \sum_{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = t} \prod_{k=1}^n \gamma(x_k) \\ &= \frac{\theta^t}{(c(\theta))^n} \gamma_n(t),\end{aligned}$$

which is indeed the desired pmf. To elaborate the last equality, we can write

$$(c(\theta))^n = \prod_{i=1}^n \sum_{x_i=0}^{\infty} \gamma(x_i)\theta^{x_i}.$$

The coefficient of  $\theta^t$  in  $(c(\theta))^n$ , i.e.  $\gamma_n(t)$ , is determined by summing up all the coefficients of  $\theta^t$  after expanding  $(\sum_{x_1=0}^{\infty} \gamma(x_1)\theta^{x_1})(\sum_{x_2=0}^{\infty} \gamma(x_2)\theta^{x_2}) \cdots (\sum_{x_n=0}^{\infty} \gamma(x_n)\theta^{x_n})$ , which are  $\gamma(x_1)\gamma(x_2) \cdots \gamma(x_n)$  that satisfy  $x_1 + \cdots + x_n = t$ .

2. (a) Note that the joint density of the random sample is

$$\begin{aligned}f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta} \exp \left\{ -\frac{x_i - \theta}{\theta} \right\} \mathbb{1}\{x_i > \theta\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta^n} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n\theta}{\theta} \right\} \mathbb{1}\{x_{(1)} > \theta\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then if we let  $\mathbf{y}$  denote another random sample from  $f$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)}{f(\mathbf{y}|\theta)} \text{ is free of } \theta &\iff \exp \left\{ -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i}{\theta} \right\} \frac{\mathbb{1}\{x_{(1)} > \theta\}}{\mathbb{1}\{y_{(1)} > \theta\}} \text{ is free of } \theta \\ &\iff \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \text{ and } x_{(1)} = y_{(1)}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, from the checking rule,  $(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i, X_{(1)})$  is minimal sufficient for  $\theta$ .

- (b) It can be observed that for each  $i$ ,  $X_i - \theta$  follows an exponential distribution with rate  $\theta^{-1}$  (i.e.  $\mathbb{E}[X_i - \theta] = \theta$ ), so it follows that  $\mathbb{E}[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i] = 2n\theta$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(X_{(1)} - \theta \leq t) &= 1 - \mathbb{P}(X_{(1)} > t + \theta) \\ &= 1 - \mathbb{P}(X_i > t + \theta \text{ for each } i) \\ &= 1 - \mathbb{P}(X_1 - \theta > t)^n \\ &= 1 - \exp\left\{-\frac{n}{\theta}t\right\}\end{aligned}$$

for  $t > 0$  and  $\mathbb{P}(X_{(1)} - \theta \leq t) = 0$  otherwise, indicating that  $X_{(1)} - \theta$  is exponentially distributed with rate  $\frac{n}{\theta}$ , so it follows that  $\mathbb{E}[X_{(1)}] = \mathbb{E}[X_{(1)} - \theta] + \theta = \frac{\theta}{n} + \theta = \frac{n+1}{n}\theta$ .

Thus, by denoting  $(S, T) := (\sum_{i=1}^n X_i, X_{(1)})$  and letting  $g(S, T) := \frac{S}{2n} - \frac{nT}{n+1}$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}[g(S, T)] = \theta - \theta = 0$  for all  $\theta$  but  $\mathbb{P}(g(S, T) = 0) \neq 1$ . Hence,  $(S, T)$  is not complete.

3. (a) Note that the joint density of the random sample is

$$\begin{aligned}f((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})|\theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{1-\theta^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{x_i^2 - 2\theta x_i y_i + y_i^2}{2(1-\theta^2)}\right\} \\ &= (2\pi\sqrt{1-\theta^2})^{-n} \exp\left\{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 - 2\theta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i}{2(1-\theta^2)}\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then if we let  $(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}')$  denote another random sample from  $f$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)}{f(\mathbf{y}|\theta)} \text{ is free of } \theta \\ \iff &\exp\left\{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i^2 + y_i^2 - x_i'^2 - y_i'^2) - 2\theta \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i y_i - x_i' y_i')}{2(1-\theta^2)}\right\} \text{ is free of } \theta \\ \iff &\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i^2 + y_i^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i'^2 + y_i'^2) \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i' y_i'.\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^2 + Y_i^2), \sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i)$  is minimal sufficient for  $\theta$  from checking rule.

- (b) Denote  $(S, T) := (\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^2 + Y_i^2), \sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i)$  and consider the function  $g(S, T) := S - 2n$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[g(S, T)] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^2 + Y_i^2)\right] - 2n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{E}[X_i^2] + \mathbb{E}[Y_i^2]) - 2n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\text{Var}(X_i) + \mathbb{E}[X_i]^2 + \text{Var}(Y_i) + \mathbb{E}[Y_i]^2) - 2n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (1 + 0 + 1 + 0) - 2n = 0\end{aligned}$$

for all  $\theta$ , but clearly  $\mathbb{P}(g(S, T) = 0) \neq 1$ . Therefore,  $(S, T)$  is not complete.

- (c) The marginal distribution of each  $X_i$  is standard normal, which is free of  $\theta$ . Thus,  $T_1 \sim \chi_n^2$  is free of  $\theta$ . Similarly,  $T_2 \sim \chi_n^2$  is free of  $\theta$  as well, so each  $T_i$  is ancillary.

To show that  $(T_1, T_2)$  depends on  $\theta$ , consider

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cov}(T_1, T_2) &= \text{Cov}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2, \sum_{j=1}^n Y_j^2\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Cov}(X_i^2, Y_i^2) + \sum_{i \neq j} \text{Cov}(X_i^2, Y_j^2) \\ &= n \text{Cov}(X_1^2, Y_1^2).\end{aligned}$$

To proceed, we define the random vector

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Z_1 \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\theta & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\theta^2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where we introduced  $Z_1 = \frac{Y_1 - \theta X_1}{\sqrt{1-\theta^2}}$ . By matrix calculation, it can be obtained that  $(X_1, Z_1) \sim \mathbf{N}_2(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_2)$ , i.e.  $Z_1$  is standard normal and is independent with  $X_1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cov}(T_1, T_2) &= n \text{Cov}(X_1^2, (\theta X_1 + \sqrt{1-\theta^2} Z_1)^2) \\ &= n\theta^2 \text{Var}(X_1^2) + 2n\theta\sqrt{1-\theta^2} \text{Cov}(X_1^2, X_1 Z_1) + n(1-\theta^2) \text{Cov}(X_1^2, Z_1^2) \\ &= n\theta^2(\mathbb{E}[X_1^4] - \mathbb{E}[X_1^2]^2) + 2n\theta\sqrt{1-\theta^2}(\mathbb{E}[X_1^3 Z_1] - \mathbb{E}[X_1^2] \mathbb{E}[X_1 Z_1]) + 0 \\ &= n\theta^2(3 - 1) + 2n\theta\sqrt{1-\theta^2}(0 - 0) = 2n\theta^2.\end{aligned}$$

Here we further used the fact that  $\mathbb{E}[X_1^4] = 3$ , which can be verified by differentiating the mgf of  $X_1$ . Since a function of the distribution of  $(T_1, T_2)$  depends on  $\theta$ , the joint distribution itself cannot be free of  $\theta$ .

4. Note that the joint density of the random sample is

$$\begin{aligned}f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta} \mathbb{1}\{\theta < x_i < 2\theta\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta^n} \mathbb{1}\{x_{(1)} > \theta\} \mathbb{1}\{x_{(n)} < 2\theta\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then if we let  $\mathbf{y}$  denote another random sample from  $f$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)}{f(\mathbf{y}|\theta)} \text{ is free of } \theta &\iff \frac{\mathbb{1}\{x_{(1)} > \theta\} \mathbb{1}\{x_{(n)} < 2\theta\}}{\mathbb{1}\{y_{(1)} > \theta\} \mathbb{1}\{y_{(n)} < 2\theta\}} \text{ is free of } \theta \\ &\iff x_{(1)} = y_{(1)} \text{ and } x_{(n)} = y_{(n)}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, from the checking rule,  $(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$  is minimal sufficient for  $\theta$ .

To check completeness, define  $U_i = \frac{X_i}{\theta} - 1$  for each  $i$ , and it follows that each  $U_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{U}[0, 1]$ . Since  $\theta > 0$ , the order in  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is preserved in  $U_1, \dots, U_n$ , i.e.  $U_{(1)} = \frac{X_{(1)}}{\theta} - 1$  and  $U_{(n)} = \frac{X_{(n)}}{\theta} - 1$ . Moreover, we know from Lecture 2 that  $U_{(1)} \sim \text{Beta}(1, n)$  and  $U_{(n)} \sim \text{Beta}(n, 1)$ , whose expectations are, respectively,  $\frac{1}{n+1}$  and  $\frac{n}{n+1}$ . Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[X_{(1)}] &= \theta(1 + \mathbb{E}[U_{(1)}]) = \frac{n+2}{n+1}\theta, \\ \mathbb{E}[X_{(n)}] &= \theta(1 + \mathbb{E}[U_{(n)}]) = \frac{2n+1}{n+1}\theta.\end{aligned}$$

Then the non-trivial function  $g(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)}) := \frac{n+1}{2n+1}X_{(n)} - \frac{n+1}{n+2}X_{(1)}$  will satisfy  $\mathbb{E}[g(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})] = \theta - \theta = 0$ , indicating that  $(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$  is not complete.

*Remark.* A more elegant way to disprove completeness is to state that  $X_{(n)}/X_{(1)}$  is ancillary for  $\theta$ , so  $\mathbb{E}[X_{(n)}/X_{(1)}] =: c$  is free of  $\theta$ , and hence  $g(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)}) := X_{(n)}/X_{(1)} - c$  satisfies  $\mathbb{E}[g(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})] = 0$ .

5. We have  $X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\theta, \sigma_\theta^2)$ , where  $\sigma_\theta^2 := 1 + \mathbb{1}\{\theta = 0\}$  is the variance of  $X_1$  which depends on  $\theta$ . Then it follows that  $\bar{X} \sim N\left(\theta, \frac{\sigma_\theta^2}{n}\right)$ . Now condition on  $\bar{X} = t$ , consider the conditional density  $f_{\mathbf{X}|\bar{X}}(\mathbf{x}|t)$  for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

- Clearly,  $f_{\mathbf{X}|\bar{X}}(\mathbf{x}|t) = 0$  on  $\{\mathbf{x} : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \neq nt\}$ .
- For  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = nt$ , we have  $\{\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}, \bar{X} = t\} = \{\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}\}$  and hence

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{X}|\bar{X}}(\mathbf{x}|t) &= \frac{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})}{f_{\bar{X}}(t)} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_\theta^2/n} \exp\left\{-\frac{\sum_i (x_i - \theta)^2}{2\sigma_\theta^2}\right\}}{(\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_\theta^2})^n \exp\left\{-\frac{n(t-\theta)^2}{2\sigma_\theta^2}\right\}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_\theta^2})^{n-1} \sqrt{n}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\sum_i (x_i - t)^2}{2\sigma_\theta^2}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

which is not free of  $\theta$  due to the existence of  $\sigma_\theta^2$ , e.g. plugging in  $\theta = 0$  and  $\theta = 1$  will produce non-identical conditional densities.

Hence, by definition,  $\bar{X}$  is not sufficient for  $\theta$ .

To show completeness, let  $g(x)$  be a function satisfying  $\mathbb{E}_\theta[g(\bar{X})] = 0$  for all  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then by splitting  $g(x) =: g^+(x) - g^-(x)$ , where  $g^+(x) = \max\{0, g(x)\} \geq 0$  and  $g^-(x) = \max\{0, -g(x)\} \geq 0$ , we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g^+(x) \exp\left\{-\frac{n(x-\theta)^2}{2\sigma_\theta^2}\right\} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g^-(x) \exp\left\{-\frac{n(x-\theta)^2}{2\sigma_\theta^2}\right\} dx \quad (*)$$

for all  $\theta$  near 1. In particular, when  $\theta = 1$ , we denote

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g^+(x) \exp\left\{-\frac{n(x-1)^2}{2}\right\} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g^-(x) \exp\left\{-\frac{n(x-1)^2}{2}\right\} dx =: C.$$

By the non-negativity of both integrands,  $C$  must be non-negative. If  $C = 0$ , then the integrands should be zero a.e., that is,

$$g^+(x) \exp\left\{-\frac{n(x-1)^2}{2}\right\} = g^-(x) \exp\left\{-\frac{n(x-1)^2}{2}\right\} = 0$$

a.e. or simply  $g(\bar{X}) = 0$  a.s. If  $C > 0$ , then we can treat  $\frac{1}{C}g^+(x) \exp\left\{-\frac{n(x-1)^2}{2}\right\}$  and  $\frac{1}{C}g^-(x) \exp\left\{-\frac{n(x-1)^2}{2}\right\}$  as densities of some real-valued random variables, say  $W_1, W_2$  respectively (since the densities are non-negative and integrate to 1). Now notice that the

corresponding moment generating functions of  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  evaluated at  $t = n(\theta - 1)$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{W_1}(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{C} g^+(x) \exp \left\{ -\frac{n(x-1)^2}{2} + nx(\theta-1) \right\} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{C} \exp \left\{ \frac{n(\theta^2-1)}{2} \right\} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g^+(x) \exp \left\{ -\frac{n(x-\theta)^2}{2} \right\} dx \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \frac{1}{C} \exp \left\{ \frac{n(\theta^2-1)}{2} \right\} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g^-(x) \exp \left\{ -\frac{n(x-\theta)^2}{2} \right\} dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{C} g^-(x) \exp \left\{ -\frac{n(x-1)^2}{2} + nx(\theta-1) \right\} dx = \phi_{W_2}(t)\end{aligned}$$

for all  $\theta$  near 1, i.e.  $t = n(\theta - 1)$  near 0. Hence, from the one-to-one correspondence of moment generating function, we conclude that  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  has the same density a.e., which leads to  $g^+(x) = g^-(x)$  or  $g(\bar{X}) = 0$  a.s. for any  $\theta$ , concluding the proof of completeness.

*Remark.* A (probably) less technical approach to show completeness is outlined as follows: Denote  $P_\theta := N(\theta, \sigma_\theta^2/n)$  and  $P'_\theta := N(\theta, 1/n)$  for  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , so that they only differ at  $\theta = 0$ . Then sequentially show that

- $\mathbb{E}_{P_\theta}[g(\bar{X})] = 0$  for all  $\theta \implies \mathbb{E}_{P'_\theta}[g(\bar{X})] = 0$  for all  $\theta$  (letting  $\theta \rightarrow 0$  and use Dominated Convergence),
- $\mathbb{E}_{P'_\theta}[g(\bar{X})] = 0$  for all  $\theta \implies \mathbb{P}_{P'_\theta}(g(\bar{X}) = 0) = 1$  for all  $\theta$  (full-rank exponential family),
- $\mathbb{P}_{P'_\theta}(g(\bar{X}) = 0) = 1$  for all  $\theta \implies \mathbb{P}_{P_\theta}(g(\bar{X}) = 0) = 1$  for all  $\theta$  (preservation of almost sure event).

6. The joint density of the random sample is

$$\begin{aligned}f(\mathbf{x}|\sigma^2) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{x_i^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} \\ &= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right\} \\ &=: h(\mathbf{x})c(\sigma^2) \exp \{w(\sigma^2)t(\mathbf{x})\},\end{aligned}$$

where  $w(\sigma^2) = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}$ ,  $t(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$ ,  $c(\sigma^2) = (\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}}$  and  $h(\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}$ , implying by definition that the joint distribution of  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  belongs to a 1-parameter exponential family. Then, the corresponding canonical form can be written as

$$f(\mathbf{x}|\eta) = h(\mathbf{x})c^*(\eta) \exp\{\eta t(\mathbf{x})\}$$

for  $\eta = w(\sigma^2) = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}$  and some  $c^*(\eta)$ . Since the natural parameter space

$$\mathcal{H} = \{w(\sigma^2) : \sigma^2 \in \Theta\} = \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} : \sigma^2 \in \mathbb{R}^+ \right\} = \mathbb{R}^-$$

contains an open interval, such family is of full rank. Therefore,  $t(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$  is complete and sufficient for  $\sigma^2$ .

On the other hand, we define  $Z_i := \frac{X_i}{\sigma} \sim N(0, 1)$  for each  $i$ , whose distribution is free of  $\sigma^2$ . This implies

$$S = \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2} = \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i^2}$$

being free of  $\sigma^2$ , or  $S$  is ancillary for  $\sigma^2$ . By Basu's Theorem,  $S$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$  are independent, and hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} n\sigma^2 &= \text{Var} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ S \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \right] = \mathbb{E}[S] \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[S] \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_i^2] = \mathbb{E}[S] n\sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

which gives  $\mathbb{E}[S] = 1$ .