

# INF112v16-g4 – Sprint 1

## User requirements specification

Cover features in current sprint; precise and unambiguous

- Full score.

## Glossary

Include all relevant concepts and abbreviations for this sprint, short and clear definition, no implementation terms

- Bra glossary. Kan kanskje få litt struktur, med tanke på å dele inn "poker-spesifikke ord" og "tekniske termer" fra hverandre.

## Use case diagram

Include all relevant actors and use cases for the current sprint;

- Kun en actor? Trekker 1,5 poeng.

## Use case specification

Cover the use cases in the current sprint; For each use case: A clear description of every step, must be understandable for a «real user» no design or implementation details (focus: what the system shall do, not how); formatted according to the template in Larman with «happy path» and a few alternatives.

- Full score.

## SSD and operation contract

An SSD for the «happy path» and one alternative/extension; operation contracts for max 3 system events from the SSD.

- Mye selvkall. Ingen organizer som initierer noe av dette?

## Domain model

Include all relevant concepts, and their most important attributes (without types); include multiplicity.

Good (descriptive) names of associations or roles, good names of classes and attributes. No design or implementation classes.

- Rare piler, dette er ikke sånn det skal se ut, ellers ganske bra.

## Source code

Well organised and easy to read; with good (descriptive) names of classes/interfaces, methods and variables; javadoc. Cover all features in the current sprint

- Kildekoden deres er bra, fin struktur. Mangler litt javadoc på klassene, alle features er implementert.

## Build script

Compiler and run the code, without errors, follow best practice for names on targets (Ant or Maven)

- Full score.

## **Project management**

Informative minutes of meeting for each group meeting and intermediate meetings.  
Sprint Backlog (good splitting into tasks, estimates, responsible, status, (todo, in progress, in testing, done)

- Ser ut som om dere har vært strukturerte, hatt en bra oppgavefordeling og fått gjort mye. Kanskje dere har fokusert litt mye på implementasjonen, og heller litt mindre på dokumentasjon og planleggingen? Kan være greit å tegne og planlegge litt før man skriver kode.

## **Presentation**

Clear presentation of use case diagram, 1 use case text and corresponding SSD, domain model, a short demonstration, and if time talk about experiences from the sprint (team work)

- Full score.

## **Extra**

Things we didn't expect in this spring (but not for «fancy» GUI), and good unit tests (not asked for in exercise text)

- Ekstrapoeng for pen implementasjon og god kodestruktur. Dere har skrevet gode tester, selvom det ikke var et krav under denne sprinten. Jeg liker veldig godt håndrangeringen deres, den var pen. Al er også bedre enn "dum".

## **Minus**

Messy archive; poor commit messages, long or ridiculous file names, lack of organisation: lacking PNG etc for diagrams, messy text documents, non-compiling code; including features that are not in the current sprint.

- Ingen minuspoeng.

Totalt: 96.5 poeng.

-