Name: Kaumil Patel

UCID: 30088096

Email: kaumil.patel@ucalgary.ca

CPSC Gitlab: https://gitlab.cpsc.ucalgary.ca/kaumil.patel/cpsc-411

Self Assessment: 6/8

Feedback:

I have tried to adhere to the recommended practises listed in the bison documentation. But I was curious if I should further subdivide my ast into sub classes. Please advise me if I need to add more nodes to my AST.h file.

Evidence:

I have revised my self assessment score to reflect the fact that the for loop condition is not displayed when it is not provided. This is a minor error in the print function in the for node and is an easy fix. Therefore, the underlying parser I believe has good performance.

- 1. Tool (milestone) properties.
 - Example error and warning messages output.
 - 1. Error: Unexpected token {=} at line 7
 - Example output parse.t5
 - 1. Program 2. func at line 3 3. new id [main] at line 3 4. sig 5. formals 6. id type [void] 7. **Block** 8. if at line 5 9. == at line 5
 - 10. + at line 5
 11. number [2] at line 5
 12. number [3] at line 6
 - 13. number [5] at line 7
 - 14. Block
 - 15. empty stmt

2. Code qualities.

- Each code block has a comment explaining what it does. To make it simpler to read, the code is also divided into sections.
- Depending on its intended use, the output is correctly sent to either stderr or stdout.
- I have verified that the code passes the provided tests as well as my own tests to show that it is compliant and error-free. The results mostly line up with the reference parser.
- To make the implementation simpler, I am using flex and bison.
- In order to make the code modular and easily extendable, it is divided into functions and objects.
- Compilation output:
 - 1. kaumil.patel@csx2:~/cpsc 411\$ make
 - 2. flex --c++ -o scanner.cc scanner.l
 - 3. bison parser.yy -o parser.cc -Wall
 - 4. g++ scanner.cc parser.cc main.cpp -o golf -Wall -Wextra
 - 5. kaumil.patel@csx2:~/cpsc 411\$