

## Java Computer Programming Rubric

	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b> <b>Must also include all Level 2 criteria, and no Level 1 criteria</b>	<b>Level 4</b> <b>Must also include all Level 3 criteria, and no Level 1 criteria</b>
<b>Delivery</b> <b>(30%)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Completed less than 75% of the requirements.</li> <li>Does not comply with requirements (does something other than requirements).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Completed at least 75% of the requirements.</li> <li>Delivered on time, and in correct format.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Completed between 80-99% of the requirements.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Completed 100% of requirements</li> </ul>
<b>Coding Standards</b> <b>(30%)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No programmer name included</li> <li>Poor use of white space (indentation, blank lines) making code hard to read.</li> <li>Disorganized and messy</li> <li>Uses global variable(s), break (except in switch).</li> <li>Ambiguous identifiers.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Includes name, and assignment title.</li> <li>White space makes program fairly easy to read.</li> <li>Organized work.</li> <li>Good use of variables.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Good use of white space.</li> <li>Organized work.</li> <li>Good use of variables and constants</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Excellent use of white space.</li> <li>Creatively organized work.</li> <li>Excellent use of variables and constants.</li> <li>No magic numbers.</li> <li>Correct identifiers for constants.</li> </ul>
<b>Documentation</b> <b>(10%)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No documentation included.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Basic documentation has been completed including a summary of requirements.</li> <li>Purpose is noted for each function.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Purpose is noted for each function and control structure.</li> <li>One sample run included</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Specific purpose is noted for each function, control structure, input requirements, and output results.</li> </ul>
<b>Runtime</b> <b>(20%)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Does not execute due to syntax errors.</li> <li>Does not execute due to runtime errors (endless loop, crashes etc.)</li> <li>User prompts are misleading or non-existent.</li> <li>No testing has been completed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Executes without errors.</li> <li>User prompts contain little information, poor design.</li> <li>Some testing has been completed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Executes without errors.</li> <li>User prompts are understandable, minimum use of symbols or spacing in output.</li> <li>Thorough testing has been completed</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Executes without errors excellent user prompts, good use of symbols, spacing in output.</li> <li>Thorough and organized testing has been completed.</li> </ul>
<b>Efficiency</b> <b>(10%)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A difficult and inefficient solution.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A logical solution that is easy to follow but it is not the most efficient.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Solution is efficient and easy to follow (i.e. no confusing tricks).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Solution is efficient, easy to understand, and maintain.</li> </ul>