Domain 1

Network Connectivity Strategies

AWS Direct Connect vs. AWS VPN vs. Transit Gateway

1. AWS Direct Connect

- **Purpose**: Provides a dedicated, private connection between on-premises data centers and AWS.
- **Performance**: Offers stable, low-latency, and high-bandwidth connections, allowing consistent throughput.
- **Security**: Private connectivity ensures data doesn't traverse the public Internet, enhancing security.
- **Use Cases**: Ideal for data-intensive applications, hybrid cloud setups, and regulatory compliance.

2. AWS VPN

- **Purpose**: Establishes a secure, encrypted connection over the public Internet between on-premises networks and AWS VPCs.
- **Performance**: Latency can vary as it relies on Internet traffic; generally lower bandwidth than Direct Connect.
- **Security**: Utilizes IPsec for encryption, ensuring secure communication over untrusted networks.
- **Use Cases**: Suitable for smaller data transfers, temporary connections, and when budgets are tight.

3. AWS Transit Gateway

- Purpose: Acts as a central hub to connect multiple VPCs and on-premises networks.
- **Performance**: Optimizes routing and reduces the need for multiple connections, potentially enhancing network performance.
- **Scalability**: Simplifies management by allowing multiple VPCs to connect through a single gateway; ideal for large, multi-region architectures.
- **Use Cases**: Best for complex architectures with many VPCs, requiring centralized traffic management.

Summary

- Use **Direct Connect** for high-performance, stable connections.
- Choose VPN for secure, encrypted Internet connections, especially for smaller workloads.
- Implement **Transit Gateway** for efficient management of multiple VPCs and connectivity in expansive architectures.

Domain 2

Compute & Serverless Architectures

EC2 Auto Scaling vs. Lambda Provisioning

1. Scalability		

1. Scalabili	ity
• EC2 A	Auto Scaling:
\circ	Scales EC2 instances up or down based on predefined metrics (CPU, memory, etc.). Requires time to spin up/down instances, making it less responsive to sudden spikes.
• Lamb	da:
\bigcirc	Automatically scales instantly based on incoming requests. Handles unpredictable traffic seamlessly, as it can manage thousands of concurrent executions.
2. Cost Ma	nagement
• EC2 A	Auto Scaling:
	Pay for running instances, regardless of usage. Costs can accumulate during idle periods, depending on instance types.
• Lamb	da:
\circ	Pay only for execution time and requests. More cost-effective for sporadic workloads due to a consumption-based pricing model.
3. Manage	ment
• EC2 A	Auto Scaling:
	Requires more management overhead (instance health checks, AMI maintenance) Infrastructure management such as OS updates, scaling policies, etc.
• Lamb	oda:
	Fully managed service; no infrastructure management required. Simplifies deployments, updates, and maintenance.

4. Performance

• EC2 Auto Scaling:

- O Better suited for long-running applications that need consistent performance.
- O Initialization overhead can lead to latency during scale-up events.

•	Lambda:			

- $\ \bigcirc$ Optimal for short-lived tasks; may experience cold starts, impacting latency.
- Excellent for event-driven architectures with variable workloads.

5. Use Cases

• EC2 Auto Scaling:

O Best for predictable scaling of applications like web servers, databases, and services with steady workloads.

· Lambda:

O Ideal for microservices, APIs, real-time data processing, and event-driven applications.

Conclusion

For unpredictable traffic patterns, **Lambda** often provides better scalability and cost efficiency, while **EC2 Auto Scaling** may be preferable for stable, long-running workloads. The choice depends on specific application needs and usage patterns.