### PERFORMANCE TESTING REPORT

**Team ID:** LTVIP2025TMID42969 **Location:** Ongole, Andhra Pradesh

Date: June 2025

Team Members: P. Srinivasa Kalyan, M. Karthik Reddy

### **Testing Overview**

Application: PoultryDetect - Al-Powered Disease Classification System

**Testing Period:** June 2025

**Environment:** Flask Web Application with Keras ML Model

#### **Model Performance Metrics**

### **Classification Accuracy**

| Disease Class     | Precision | Recall | F1-Score | Support |
|-------------------|-----------|--------|----------|---------|
| Coccidiosis       | 0.89      | 0.87   | 0.88     | 250     |
| Healthy           | 0.94      | 0.96   | 0.95     | 300     |
| Salmonella        | 0.85      | 0.83   | 0.84     | 200     |
| Newcastle Disease | 0.87      | 0.89   | 0.88     | 220     |
| Overall           | 0.89      | 0.89   | 0.89     | 970     |
| <b>⋖</b>          | '         | '      | '        | · ·     |

### **Model Performance Analysis**

**Overall Accuracy:** 89.2%

• Training Time: 3.5 hours on GPU

Model Size: 87.5 MB

**Inference Time:** 1.2 seconds per image

## **Web Application Performance**

## **Load Testing Results**

Test Configuration:

- Concurrent Users: 50

- Test Duration: 10 minutes

- Request Type: Image upload and prediction

| Metric                | Value              | Status      |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Average Response Time | 2.3 seconds        | √ Good      |
| 95th Percentile       | 4.1 seconds        |             |
| Throughput            | 15 requests/second | √ Good      |
| Error Rate            | 0.2%               | ✓ Excellent |
| CPU Usage             | 65%                | √ Good      |
| Memory Usage          | 1.2 GB             | √ Good      |
| 4                     |                    | <i>&gt;</i> |

## **Stress Testing**

Maximum Concurrent Users Tested: 100

Breaking Point: 120 users (response time > 10s)

### **Network Performance**

## **Image Upload Performance**

| Image Size | Upload Time | Processing Time | Total Time |
|------------|-------------|-----------------|------------|
| 500 KB     | 0.8s        | 1.2s            | 2.0s       |
| 1 MB       | 1.2s        | 1.2s            | 2.4s       |
| 2 MB       | 2.1s        | 1.2s            | 3.3s       |
| 5 MB       | 4.5s        | 1.2s            | 5.7s       |
| 4          | •           | ·               |            |

# **Connectivity Tests (Ongole Region)**

**3G Network:** Functional (slower

•

•

•

uploads)

4G Network: Optimal performance Wi-Fi:

Best performance Poor Signal Areas:

15% failure rate

## **Browser Compatibility**

| Browser       | Version | Status         | Notes          |
|---------------|---------|----------------|----------------|
| Chrome        | 125+    | ✓ Full Support | Recommended    |
| Firefox       | 120+    | ✓ Full Support | Good           |
| Safari        | 16+     | ✓ Full Support | iOS compatible |
| Edge          | 120+    | ✓ Full Support | Good           |
| Mobile Chrome | Latest  | ✓ Full Support | Primary target |
| 4             | •       |                | ,              |

## **Security Testing**

### **Vulnerability Assessment**

File Upload Security: ✓ Secure (validated extensions)

**XSS Protection:** ✓ Implemented

• **CSRF Protection:** ✓ Flask-WTF tokens

**SQL Injection:** ✓ Not applicable (no database)

• File Size Limits: ✓ 10MB maximum

# **Usability Testing (Farmer Feedback)**

## **Test Participants**

• Total Farmers: 15

• Age Range: 25-60 years

• **Tech Experience:** Basic to intermediate

### **Results**

| Metric               | Score | Feedback                    |   |
|----------------------|-------|-----------------------------|---|
| Fase of Use          | 4.2/5 | "Simple to upload photos"   |   |
| Interface Clarity    | 4.0/5 | "Clear buttons and text"    |   |
| Speed Satisfaction   | 3.8/5 | "Fast enough for field use" |   |
| Result Accuracy      | 4.3/5 | "Matches vet diagnosis"     |   |
| Overall Satisfaction | 4.1/5 | "Very helpful tool"         | • |

### **Identified Issues & Recommendations**

#### **Performance Issues**

- 1. Large Image Processing: Optimize image resizing
- 2. Multiple Concurrent Uploads: Implement queuing system
- 3. Mobile Network Timeouts: Add retry mechanism

### Recommendations

- 1. Image Compression: Implement client-side compression
- 2. **CDN Integration:** For faster static file delivery
- 3. Caching: Redis for model predictions
- 4. **Progressive Loading:** Better user experience
- 5. **Offline Mode:** Store model locally for mobile app

## **Testing Environment Specifications**

Server Specifications:

- CPU: Intel i7-10700K

- RAM: 16 GB DDR4

- GPU: NVIDIA GTX 1660 Ti

- Storage: 500 GB SSD- OS: Ubuntu 20.04 LTS

#### Network:

- Bandwidth: 100 Mbps

- Latency: 20ms average - Location: Ongole, AP

#### **Conclusion**

The PoultryDetect application demonstrates solid performance with 89.2% model accuracy and acceptable web performance. Minor optimizations needed for mobile networks and large file handling.

Document prepared by Team LTVIP2025TMID42969