

## # Vectorization

→ aim of getting rid of explicit  
for loops in the code

$$z = w^T x + b$$

$$w = \begin{bmatrix} \cdot \\ \vdots \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} \cdot \\ \vdots \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} w \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ x \in \mathbb{R}^m \end{array}$$

For non vectorized

$$z = 0$$

for  $i \in$  range ( $n - x$ ):

$$z += w[i] * x[i]$$

$$z += b$$

vectorized

$$z = \underbrace{\text{np.dot}(w, x)}_{w^T x} + b$$

$w^T x$  — command  
in numpy

much faster

Example code: import numpy as np  
 $a = np.array([1, 2, 3, 4])$   
 print a

O/p: [1 2 3 4] we use mat  
 to time how long  
 diff. operations take  
 import time

*Created multidimensional array with random values*

```

a = np.random.rand(1000000)
b = np.random.rand(1000000)
tic = time.time() # measure current time
c = np.dot(a, b)
toc = time.time() # current time (after - before) operation
print ("vectorized version"
      + str(1000 * (toc - tic)) + "ms")
x1000
  
```

so we can express in millisecond

O/p about 1.5 milliseconds

```

C = 0
tic = time.time()
for i in range(1000000):
    C += a[i] * b[i]
toc = time.time()
  
```

print ("for loop: " + str(1000 \* (toc - tic))
 + "ms")

O/p: 479 ms (took much more time to compute c)

Code runs 300 times faster by vectorization

- A lot of scalable deep learning implementations are done on a GPU or a graphics processing unit.
- Both CPU & GPU have parallelization implementations are done on GPU or instructions
- They are sometimes called SIMD instructions which stands for single instruction multiple data. → what this basically means if we use built in func. such as np.function or other func. that dont require implementing for loop.
- Enables python to take much better advantage of parallelism to do your computation much faster → This is true for both GPU & CPU but GPU is better
- Whenever possible avoid using explicit for loops

## # More vectorization examples

Eg

$$u = A \cdot v$$

vector  $U$  is product of matrix  $A$   
Eg vector  $v$

$$u_i = \sum_j a_{ij} v_j$$

non  
vec.  
for i ...  
for j ...  
u[i] += a[i][j] \* v[j]

$$U = np.zeros((n, 1))$$

for i ...

for j ...

$$U[i] += A[i][j] * v[j]$$

for vectorized version it would be

$$U = np.dot(A, v)$$

Eg:- Let's say we need to apply exponential operation on every element of matrix/vector

$$y = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{bmatrix} \quad v = \begin{bmatrix} e^{v_1} \\ \vdots \\ e^{v_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

non-vectorized implementation:

$$U = np.zeros((n, 1))$$

for i in range(n):

$$U[i] = \text{math.exp}(v[i])$$

→ Python & NumPy have many built-in func that allow us to compute these vectors with just a single call to a single function

by vectorization  
 import numpy as np  
 $v = np.exp(v)$   
 $(v = o/p \text{ vector}, v = 3/p \text{ vector})$

other func. in numpy

np.log(v) - log value  
 np.abs(v) - absolute value  
 np.maximum(v) - computes element wise maximum  
 to take maximum of every element of v with 0  
 $v^{** 2}$  - Element wise square of v  
 $\sqrt{v}$  - Element wise square root of v

# logistic regression derivatives

$$J = 0, dW_1 = 0, dW_2 = 0, db = 0$$

$$\text{for } i = 1 \text{ to } m :$$

$$z^{(i)} = w^T x^{(i)} + b$$

$$o^{(i)} = \sigma(z^{(i)})$$

$$J' = - \{ y^{(i)} \log o^{(i)} + (1-y^{(i)}) \log(1-o^{(i)}) \}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial J}{\partial W_1} &= x_1^{(i)} \frac{\partial J}{\partial z^{(i)}} \quad (\text{here } n \times 2 \\ \frac{\partial J}{\partial W_2} &= x_2^{(i)} \frac{\partial J}{\partial z^{(i)}} \quad \text{but if more features } n \\ \frac{\partial J}{\partial b} &= \frac{\partial J}{\partial z^{(i)}} \end{aligned}$$

$$J = J/m, \frac{\partial J}{\partial W_1} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial W_1}/m, \frac{\partial J}{\partial W_2} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial W_2}/m, \frac{\partial J}{\partial b} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial b}/m$$

we need to get rid of this second for loop by making it a vector

`numpy.zeros()` - create an array of desired shape & size with each element as 0

PAGE NO.	/ /
DATE	

$$dw = np.zeros((n_x, 1))$$

instead of  $dW_1 = \chi_1^{(i)} dz^{(i)}$   
 $dW_2 = \chi_2^{(i)} dz^{(i)}$  etc  
we use  $dW = \chi^{(i)} dz^{(i)}$

instead of  $dW_1 = dW/m$   
 $dW_2 = dW_2/m$  etc  
we have  $dW = m$

## # Vectorizing logistic regression :

→ we can vectorize the implementation of logistic regression so they can process an entire training set, ie implement a single iteration w.r.t an entire training set without even a single for loop

forward prop

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} z^{(1)} = w^T \chi^{(1)} + b \\ a^{(1)} = \sigma(z^{(1)}) \\ \dots \\ z^{(2)} = w^T \chi^{(2)} + b \\ a^{(2)} = \sigma(z^{(2)}) \end{array} \right. \quad \text{m times}$$

→ We can do this without for loop

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \chi^{(1)} & \chi^{(2)} & \chi^{(m)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$

$n_x$  by  $m$  dimensional matrix

Perm matrix

$$z = \underbrace{w^T x}_{\substack{1 \times n_x \\ \text{as } (w \in n_x \times 1)}} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & \dots \end{bmatrix}}_{\substack{n_x \times m \\ 1 \times m \text{ matrix}}}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} w^T x^{(1)} & w^T x^{(2)} & \dots & w^T x^{(m)} \\ b & b & b & \dots & b \end{bmatrix}_{1 \times m}$$

$$z = \begin{bmatrix} w^T x^{(1)} + b & w^T x^{(2)} + b & \dots & w^T x^{(m)} + b \end{bmatrix}_{1 \times m}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} z^{(1)} & z^{(2)} & \dots & z^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

- 七 -

$$z = \{ z^{(1)} z^{(2)} \dots z^{(m)} \}$$

numpy command for this

$$z = \text{np.dot}(w.T, x) + b$$

This is called broadcast in Python

but when we do the operation it is automatically converted to a  $1 \times m$  matrix of  $b_3$  be is a normal no(1,1)

→ Now we need to find

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a^{(1)} & a^{(2)} & \dots & 0 \\ & & & a^{(m)} \end{bmatrix} = \sigma(\bar{z})$$

# Verbalizing logistic Regression  
gradient computation

→ We can use vectorization to perform gradient computation for all M training data samples

since

$$d\mathbf{z}^{(1)} = \mathbf{a}^{(1)} - \mathbf{y}^{(1)}$$

$$d\mathbf{z}^{(2)} = \mathbf{a}^{(2)} - \mathbf{y}^{(2)} \dots m \text{ times}$$

$$d\mathbf{z} = [d\mathbf{z}^{(1)}, d\mathbf{z}^{(2)}, \dots, d\mathbf{z}^{(m)}]$$

$$\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{a}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{(m)}]$$

$$\mathbf{y} = [\mathbf{y}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{y}^{(m)}]$$

$$d\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{y} = [\mathbf{a}^{(1)} - \mathbf{y}^{(1)}, \mathbf{a}^{(2)} - \mathbf{y}^{(2)}, \dots]$$

Also we have

$$dw = 0$$

$$dw + = \mathbf{x}^{(1)} d\mathbf{z}^{(1)}$$

$$dw + = \mathbf{x}^{(2)} d\mathbf{z}^{(2)}$$

∴ m times

$$dw / = m$$

and

$$db = 0$$

$$db + = d\mathbf{z}^{(1)}$$

$$db + = d\mathbf{z}^{(2)}$$

⋮

$$db + = d\mathbf{z}^{(m)}$$

$$db / = m$$

$$db = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m d\mathbf{z}^{(i)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{m} \text{np.sum}(d\mathbf{z})$$

$$d\omega = \frac{1}{m} \times d\mathbf{z}^T$$

$$\mathbf{z} = \frac{1}{m} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}^{(1)} & \dots & \mathbf{x}^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}_{n \times m} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} d\mathbf{z}^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ d\mathbf{z}^{(m)} \end{array} \right\} m \times 1$$

$$\mathbf{z} = \frac{1}{m} \left[ \underbrace{\mathbf{x}^{(1)} d\mathbf{z}^{(1)} + \dots + \mathbf{x}^{(m)} d\mathbf{z}^{(m)}}_{n \times n \text{ times}} \right] \quad \text{M}$$

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + b \\ = \text{np.dot}(\mathbf{w}^T, \mathbf{x}) + b \\ A = \sigma(\mathbf{z}) \\ d\mathbf{z} = A - \mathbf{y} \\ d\omega = \frac{1}{m} \times d\mathbf{z}^T \end{cases}$$

with  
this  
we have  
implemented  
single  
iteration  
gradient

$$db = \frac{1}{m} \text{np.sum}(d\mathbf{z})$$

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w} - \alpha d\omega \\ b = b - \alpha db \end{cases} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{This would} \\ \text{be the} \\ \text{gradient} \\ \text{descent} \\ \text{update} \end{array}$$

I still need to loop over the number of iteration

→ So if we need 1000 iterations of gradient descent we must loop 1000 times  
 → This is the outermost loop which we can't get rid of

## # Broadcasting in python

$x_1$	$y_1$	$z_1$	$p_1$
$x_2$	$y_2$	$z_2$	$p_2$
$x_3$	$y_3$	$z_3$	$p_3$

we want to sum up  $x$ ,  $y$ ,  $z$  &  $p$  and find how much % of  $x$  is  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  & same for  $y$  &  $z$  &  $p$  also

→ But we want to do this without an explicit for loop

Code: Import numpy as np

```
A = np.array ([[x1, y1, z1, p1],
              [x2, y2, z2, p2],
              [x3, y3, z3, p3]])
```

cal = A.sum(axis=0)

(to sum horizontally)

axis=0 means sum vertically

(cal will be  $[ \Sigma x \ \Sigma y \ \Sigma z \ \Sigma p ]$ )

percentage =  $100 * A / \text{cal} \cdot \text{reshape}(1, 4)$   
point(percentage)

we didn't need this here since already  $\Sigma x$   
 $\therefore$  redundant

Eg

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} + 100$$

Python would convert this to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\therefore$  O/P would be:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 101 \\ 102 \\ 103 \\ 104 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Eg } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 100 & 200 & 300 \end{bmatrix}$$

Python would convert this to  
 $\begin{bmatrix} 100 & 200 & 300 \\ 100 & 200 & 300 \end{bmatrix}$

O/P would be:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 101 & 202 & 303 \\ 104 & 205 & 306 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Eg } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 100 \\ 200 \end{bmatrix}$$

Python would convert this to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 100 & 100 & 100 \\ 200 & 200 & 200 \end{bmatrix}$$

General principle of broadcasting

- if we have an  $(m, n)$  matrix & we  $+/-/*/\%$  by a  $(1, n)$  matrix, then this will copy  $m$  times into a  $(m \times n)$  matrix then apply

addition, sub., multiply, division  
 similarly for an  $(m, 1)$  matrix  
 $\rightarrow$  it will be copied n times  
 if we  $+/-/*/$  by a real  
 no it is copied in each  
 element of the matrix  
 having same size & shape

## # Notes on Python - Numpy

Eq  
`import numpy as np`  
`a = np.random.rand(5)`  
 Point (a)

O/P: [  $v_1 \downarrow v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5$  ]  
 5 random variables

Point(shape) print (a.shape)  
 O/P: (5,)  $\leftarrow$  This is called a  
 rank 1 array in python  
 and is neither row nor  
 column vector

Point (a.T)  $\leftarrow$  a transpose

Point (np.dot(a, a.T))

O/P: 5 get a number

$\rightarrow$  It is recommended to not use  
 data structures where shape is  
 a rank 1 array

Instead take a to be  
 $a = np.random.random(5,1)$   
print a  
op; a will be a 5x1 column  
vector

→ If u are not sure of vector  
shape we can assert  
statement

Eg assert(a.shape == (5,1))

→ If u end up with a rank  
array we can reshape  
Eg  $a = a.reshape((5,1))$

Note :

→ If -veig added to main / test  
set of x it is bcz we are  
going to perpendicular them

→ Trick when u want to convert  
matrix  $X(a,b,c,d)$  to  $X\_flatten(b*c*d,a)$   
use

$X\_flatten = X.reshape(X.shape[0], -1)$

refers to unknown  
dimension  
that reshape()  
func. calculates  
for you