

Letter of Submission

THIS NEEDS TO BE UPDATED – SEE THE DOCUMENT ON GITHUB

Title of the Project: Statistical Methods for Daily Mortality and Multiple Environmental Risk Factors

Name and affiliations of lead investigators

- Patrick E. Brown
 - Centre for Global Health Research, St. Michael’s Hospital
 - Department of Statistical Sciences, University of Toronto
- Fateh Chebana
 - Centre Eau Terre Environnement, Institut national de la recherche scientifique, Québec.
- Cindy Feng
 - School of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Ottawa
- Meredith Franklin
 - Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California (until July 2021)
 - School for the Environment and Department of Statistical Sciences, University of Toronto (from July 2021)

List of proposed collaborators, titles, and affiliations

Name: Kamal Rai

Title: PhD student

Affiliations: Centre for Global Health Research, St. Michael’s Hospital
Department of Statistical Sciences, University of Toronto

Name: Daniel Rainham

Title: Professor

Affiliations: School of Health and Human Performance, Dalhousie University
Healthy Populations Institute, Dalhousie University

Name: Hwashin Shin

Title: Scientist

Affiliation: Environmental Health Science and Research Bureau, Health Canada

Name: Céline Campagna

Title: Responsable scientifique

Affiliation: Équipe Changements climatiques et santé, Institut National de Santé Publique du Québec

Name: Pierre Masselot

Title: Research Fellow

Affiliation: London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, United Kingdom

List of potential partner organizations

- The **Centre for Global Health Research, St. Michael’s Hospital** will lead the health sciences research component of the project, providing data from the US and India and time of research staff to work on manuscripts. The Toronto-based component of the team will be located at the Centre for Global Health Research (CGHR) and will

be integrated into the Geospatial Mortality research group Dr. Brown leads. A portion of the Toronto PhD student's salary will be funded through a CGHR research grant.

- **L'Institut National de Santé Publique du Québec** has the mandate to analyse, monitor and evaluate determinants of health, including environmental pollutants, and will give access to the Quebec provincial health databases. In-kind support will also include health expertise for the statistical design and interpretation of research, including co-supervision of students.
- Hwashin Shin at **Health Canada** is central to this project. Her needs for an improved air quality indicator instigated the discussions which lead to this application. Dr. Shin has been funding Dr. Brown's team through Health Canada research contracts, and intends to provide at least \$15,000 per year to the project.

Research Aims

Overview

There is growing interest in developing a simple, intuitive air quality index that combines multiple pollutants while simultaneously estimating their health effects (Dominici et al. 2010; Stieb et al. 2008; Bopp et al. 2018). Typically, health effects associated with exposure to air pollution focus on one pollutant at a time, but this ignores the fact that realistically, exposures are complex mixtures of multiple pollutants (Dominici et al. 2010). Thus, an air quality index that reflects this complex mixture is needed and should account for the levels and relative contributions of multiple air pollutants together. In this proposal we present an improved statistical method that allows us to model multiple environmental pollutants while simultaneously estimating their health effects. With this method we are also able to conduct inference on the health effect estimates. We demonstrate our approach by focusing on quantifying the short-term health effects associated with air pollution mixtures at the population level.

The *constrained groupwise additive index model* (cGAIM), introduced by Hardle, Hall, and Ichimura (1993; see also Xia and Tong 2006), is a vehicle for providing a multi-pollutant health index. Given λ_{it} representing a particular health outcome for an individual i on day t , the cGAIM is

$$\lambda_{it} = \exp [X_{it}^T \beta + s(\alpha^T Z_{it}) + f_1(W_{1it}) + \dots + f_K(W_{Kit})].$$

where β parameters are the fixed effects of the potentially time-varying linear covariates X_{it} , and f_1, \dots, f_K are smooth functions that account for potentially non-linear covariates or confounders W_{kit} ($k = 1 \dots K$). The distinguishing feature of cGAIM is the smooth function s whose argument is a linear combination of variables Z_t , for example fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) and ozone (O₃). The α parameter is a vector of estimated weights on the entries of Z_t , and gives their relative contributions. The smooth functions s and the f_k might be composed of spline functions or Gaussian processes such as random walks.

To date, cGaim has been developed for Poisson models, namely where the outcome is case counts (e.g. number of asthma-related hospital visits in a county). We will expand its usage to case crossover models, which have seen increased attention in the air pollution literature (Wei et al. 2019; Stringer, Brown, and Stafford 2020). In case crossover models we can examine individual-level data, whereby the exposure on an event or case day is compared to the exposure on referent or *control days* for each case. For example, the air pollution concentrations on a day of an individual’s hospital visit will be conditioned on the same individual’s exposure on pre-selected control days, perhaps chosen as the air pollution concentrations on same day of the week from the previous two weeks. Case crossover models use a partial likelihood for the probability the event occurs on the case day rather than the control days. The advantage of case crossover models is that individual-level confounders are automatically adjusted for, as are risk factors which vary slowly or not at all, or are the same on the case and control days. The challenge introduced by case crossover models is the likelihood depends on non-linear combinations of the latent variables (i.e. s).

Estimating α is the main statistical challenge with the cGAIM, which Masselot et al. (2020) accomplish with frequentist inference methods that use sequential quadratic programming. We will develop a Bayesian methodology for inference with the cGAIM — the bcGAIM — which will estimate and fully quantify the uncertainty around α as well as propagate the uncertainty into inference on s .

The bcGAIM will also be able to efficiently handle higher dimensional α and Z_{it} , which is a significant improvement over cGAIM and an important feature of the model as we aim to model complex multi-pollutant mixtures.

Outcomes and applications

This project brings together the methodological components of several interdisciplinary and collaborative research activities in which the four investigators have independently been engaged.

The primary driver of this research is the need for an improved air quality warning system, which Health Canada and the Institut national de santé publique du Québec have separately approached Drs Brown and Chebana (respectively) about. Currently the Canadian AQHI is composed of relative risks estimated from cohort studies, and estimated risks for individual pollutants are summed to create a log-relative risk which is in turn converted to a 10-point scale. This is likely to over-estimate risk, Franklin and Schwartz (2008) found that the effect of ozone on non-accidental mortality was “substantially reduced” after adjusting for particle sulfate and Liu et al. (2019) found significant differences in the percentage change of all-cause mortality attributable to $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} after adjusting for NO_2 or SO_2 . Furthermore, there is evidence that some health outcomes are nonlinearly related to pollution measurements (Feng et al. 2016). Dr. Brown’s group has developed a linear multi-pollutant case/crossover model (Huang, Brown, and Shin 2020) whereas Dr. Chebana’s has used a cGAIM with a frequentist time series model (Masselot et al. 2020). The proposed bcGAIM is a natural extension of, and merging of, these two methods.

A second driver of this project is the environmental epidemiology research undertaken by the investigators in collaboration with health science researchers. The Centre for Global Health Research, where Dr. Brown is partly based, has history of producing papers on global mortality in high-impact journals. The Million Deaths Study in India has 13 years worth of cause-specific mortality data geocoded to point locations and with smoking and diet information about the deceased and from healthy respondents. Dr. Franklin has a number of highly cited papers on air quality and mortality in environmental health journals. With our collaborators, including Prabhat Jha in Toronto and Daniel Rainham at Dalhousie, we will use bcGAIM to produce papers for the top-ranked medical journals.

The third motivation for this CRT is the surge in availability of daily mortality data brought on by the COVID-19 pandemic.

The relationship between daily COVID-19 deaths and air pollution levels has recently become an active area of research. Wu et al. (2020) find that a 1 μg increase in long-term exposure to ambient $PM_{2.5}$ increases the COVID-19 mortality rate by 15%. We will relate COVID-19

incidence and mortality to air pollution in major urban centres worldwide, where possible focusing on deaths outside long-term care homes.

A key reason the bcGAIM model is ideal for the above problems is it will produce parameters which are interpretable. The α coefficients give the relative importance of each pollutant (at each lag), and $s(\cdot)$ is the relative risk from a basket of exposures. Unsupervised methods such as principal components analysis and clustering can be difficult to interpret (Davalos et al. 2017). A popular nonparametric method is Bayesian Kernel Machine Regression (BKMR), which models an exposure-response surface via a kernel function (Bobb et al. 2015). Using a hierarchical Bayesian variable selection method, it can select one pollutant from a group of correlated ones, and is interpreted by visualizing cross-sections of a potentially high-dimensional exposure-response surface. The bcGAIM will provide similar flexibility to the BKMR, while being able to meet the communication needs of inter-disciplinary research teams.

Methods

The bcGAIM will make four methodological advancements for modeling health effects of mixtures of exposures. These are:

1. develop bcGAIM, a Bayesian inference methodology for high dimensional cGAIM's in case-crossover models;
2. create an efficient, non-iterative computational algorithm for bcGAIM's based on Laplace approximations;
3. develop non-parametric forms of the dose-response effect which encourage or enforce monotonicity; and
4. engage in interdisciplinary and applied research projects with our subject-area collaborators.

Model

A simple two-pollutant version of bcGAIM has been implemented by Dr. Brown's group (under contract from Health Canada) in the MCMC software Stan (Carpenter et al. 2017) with a Poisson response variable. This will be extended to having an α which is 12- or 15-dimensional, with three pollutants (O_3 , $PM_{2.5}$, and NO_2) at time lags of up to five days. Converting the Poisson likelihood to case-crossover, moving to a highly-parallelized cloud platform, and increasing the dimensionality will require only modest amount of additional coding, although the algorithm is unlikely to function properly without a substantial amount of modification and optimization. This is because we expect that α will not always be well identified, and the results will be sensitive to parametrizations and prior distributions.

The major task in this component of the research will be to find reparametrizations and multivariable prior distributions that enable prior elicitation from subject-area specialists. We will adopt the penalized complexity prior framework of Simpson et al. (2017), specifying a base model with some suitably chosen values α_0 and deriving a prior for α which corresponds to an exponential prior on the KL distance between $s(\alpha Z)$ and $s(\alpha_0 Z)$. Expert advice will be used to set α_0 and the rate of the exponential prior. One possible scenario would be to have α_0

with an entry of 1 for $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ at lag 1 and zero otherwise, and an extremely strong exponential prior on the KL distance. This prior would encourage a conventional single-pollutant single-lag model, other pollutants would be inferred to have negligible effect on health outcomes unless the data provided considerable information to the contrary. A weaker prior with α_0 having multiple non-zero entries would allow for stronger multi-pollutant effects. Box constraints, such as the entries of α being positive, should be relatively simple to implement.

Anticipating that the posterior of α will often have flat ridges, interpreted as overall pollution is known to be harmful but specific components may or may not be, communicating results to health scientists will be challenging. Finding intuitive and interpretable low-dimensional functions of α which convey the ‘known’ and ‘unknown’ components of the results will be a second important task in this objective.

Computation

For the second methodological aim, we will develop non-MCMC inference methods similar in spirit to INLA (Rue, Martino, and Chopin 2009). The Latent Gaussian approximation in INLA separates the parameter space into covariance parameters θ and linear predictors $\eta = (\beta, \theta, f)$, and considers $\pi(\eta|Y, \theta)$, $\pi(\theta|Y)$, and $\pi(\eta|Y) = \int \pi(\eta|Y, \theta)\pi(\theta|Y)d\theta$ (the last one numerically). INLA performs approximate inference on θ by estimating $\phi(\theta|Y, \phi)$ with a normal distribution with mean θ^* and variance Σ^* . If the likelihood is log-concave and Gaussian priors are used, $\pi(\theta|Y, \phi)$ is unimodal and is well-approximated by the Laplace approximation. In Margossian et al. (2020), the authors estimate $\pi(\theta|Y, \phi)$ with the Laplace approximation and $\pi(\theta|T)$ with Hamiltonian Monte Carlo. They find that this performs well for their examples, both of which have log-concave likelihoods.

Let us translate this reasoning to the bcGAIM, which has link function $g(\lambda_t) = X^t\beta + s(\alpha^T Z_t) + f_1(W_{1,t}) + \dots + f_K(W_{K,t})$. Note that conditional on α , $\alpha^T Z_t$ is known. Thus, we can simplify the estimation problem by considering parameters ϕ , θ , and α and estimating $\pi(\eta|Y, \theta, \alpha)$, $\pi(\alpha|Y, \theta)$, $\pi(\theta|Y)$, and $\pi(\eta|Y) = \int \pi(\eta|Y, \theta, \alpha)\pi(\alpha|Y, \theta)\pi(\theta|Y)d\theta d\alpha$ (the last one numerically). The first and third densities in the integrand, $\pi(\eta|Y, \theta, \alpha)$ and $\pi(\theta|Y)$ are well-suited to the Laplace approximation while $\pi(\alpha|Y, \theta)$ can be estimated using HMC. Introducing two Laplace approximations will lessen the computational burden, and enable us to fit a hierarchical bcGAIM model to air pollution data. This will allow us to produce national estimates of air quality while fitting the bcGAIM to over 25 regions across Canada, each with over 6,000 daily observations, an otherwise daunting computational task. Therefore, this non-MCMC inference method will provide significant computational and ease-of-use benefits, and will expand the types of problems and number of users who can use the bcGAIM methodology. To facilitate use by other researchers, all bcGAIM software will be released in an R package.

Monotonicity

The third methodological aim will consider ways of encouraging (or forcing) the relative risk function $s(\cdot)$ to be monotonic. Monotonicity for non-parametric smoothing was formalized by Ramsay (1988), and an early example of monotonic additive index models is Xia and

Tong (2006). The cGAIM from Masselot et al. (2020) allows for any linear constraint on α and different shape constraints on s including monotonicity, convexity, and concavity. For frequentist inference monotonicity can be incorporated as a restriction of the parameter space during optimization, whereas Bayesian inference involves integrating out all possible realizations of s (not only the mode). Put differently, Golchi et al. (2015) state “While a rich literature exists on monotone function estimation, interpolation of monotone functions with uncertainty quantification remains an understudied topic.” For this reason much of the previous literature on additive index models is not relevant to the development of bcGAIM.

Initially we will consider different Gaussian processes for s which are more likely to be monotonic than random walks, such as a first-order random walk plus drift. Reparametrizations of higher-order random walks with suitably chosen priors for the boundary values (i.e. first and last point for RW2’s) could also produce random functions with a low probability of having local optima. A more sophisticated approach is offered by Golchi et al. (2015), where sequential constrained Monte Carlo is used to take posterior samples from a process with positivity constraints on the derivatives.

Finally, consider an approach similar in spirit to bcGAIM. Bürkner and Charpentier (2020) propose a Bayesian model to estimate ordinal predictors with monotonic effects. They employ a simplex parameter ζ to model normalized differences between categories, and a scale parameter b . The prior on b expresses prior knowledge on the average differences between adjacent categories, while the prior on ζ expresses prior knowledge on individual differences between adjacent categories. The authors suggest an $N(0, \sigma)$ prior on b and a Dirichlet(α) prior on ζ . Then, σ and α would express how heavily average and individual differences between adjacent categories are penalized. Not only are ζ and b interpretable, but so are the prior parameters σ and α . The bcGAIM seeks to achieve this ease of interpretation of its parameters and priors. This will encourage adoption of the bcGAIM in other research areas, which is one of the goals of this project.

Applications

In a highly cited paper with many eminent co-authors, Liu et al. (2019) estimate short-term effects of PM_{2.5} on mortality in 652 cities and produce a pooled global estimate. All-cause mortality risk is shown to be roughly 4% higher at 100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ than at 5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, with the curve steeper at low values than higher values. A Poisson time series model with regression splines was used. We will reproduce this analysis in as many cities as possible using bcGAIM, improving on Liu et al. (2019) by using case-crossover models, monotonic semi-parametric models, and accounting for the combined effects of multiple pollutants.

COVID-19 incidence and mortality counts have been made publicly available for many countries, much of the data are available sub-nationally, with age and sex information, and for individuals in and outside of nursing homes. While incidence data are both incomplete and with a level of completeness varying spatio-temporally, better measures of incidence will become available from antibody studies. The Centre for Global Health Research runs the Action to Beat Coronavirus study (abcestudy.ca), which started collecting blood samples from a representative sample of the Canadian population in May 2020. As of October, 8000

samples have been returned and a second round of testing is underway. With repeated samples an estimate of true incidence over time can be obtained and changes in under-reporting inferred. We will use bcGAIM to quantify the effect of air quality on COVID-19 incidence, mortality, and the case fatality rate.

References

- Bobb, J. F., L. Valeri, B. Claus Henn, D. C. Christiani, R. O. Wright, M. Mazumdar, J. J. Godleski, and B. A. Coull. 2015. “Bayesian Kernel Machine Regression for Estimating the Health Effects of Multi-Pollutant Mixtures.” *Biostatistics* 16 (3): 493–508.
- Bopp, S., A. Richarz, A. Worth, E. Berggren, and M. Whelan. 2018. “Something from Nothing: Ensuring the Safety of Chemical Mixtures.” *Ensuring the Safety of Chemical Mixtures, Publications Office of the European Union, EUR 29258*.
- Bürkner, P.C, and E. Charpentier. 2020. “Modelling Monotonic Effects of Ordinal Predictors in Bayesian Regression Models.” *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*. Wiley Online Library.
- Carpenter, B., A. Gelman, M. D. Hoffman, D. Lee, B. Goodrich, M. Betancourt, M. Brubaker, J. Guo, P. Li, and A. Riddell. 2017. “Stan: A Probabilistic Programming Language.” *Journal of Statistical Software* 76 (1).
- Davalos, A. D., T. J. Luben, A. H. Herring, and J. D. Sacks. 2017. “Current Approaches Used in Epidemiologic Studies to Examine Short-Term Multipollutant Air Pollution Exposures.” *Annals of Epidemiology* 27 (2): 145–53.
- Dominici, F., R. D. Peng, C. D. Barr, and M. L. Bell. 2010. “Protecting Human Health from Air Pollution: Shifting from a Single-Pollutant to a Multi-Pollutant Approach.” *Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass.)* 21 (2): 187.
- Feng, C., J. Li, W. Sun, Y. Zhang, and Q. Wang. 2016. “Impact of Ambient Fine Particulate Matter (Pm 2.5) Exposure on the Risk of Influenza-Like-Illness: A Time-Series Analysis in Beijing, China.” *Environmental Health* 15 (1): 17.
- Franklin, M., and J. Schwartz. 2008. “The Impact of Secondary Particles on the Association Between Ambient Ozone and Mortality.” *Environmental Health Perspectives* 116 (4): 453–58.
- Golchi, S., D. R. Bingham, H. Chipman, and D. A. Campbell. 2015. “Monotone Emulation of Computer Experiments.” *SIAM/ASA Journal on Uncertainty Quantification* 3 (1). SIAM: 370–92.
- Hardle, Wolfgang, Peter Hall, and Hidehiko Ichimura. 1993. “Optimal Smoothing in Single-Index Models.” *Annals of Statistics* 21 (1). The Institute of Mathematical Statistics: 157–78. <https://doi.org/10.1214/aos/1176349020>.
- Huang, G., P. Brown, and H. H. Shin. 2020. “Daily Mortality/Morbidity and Air Quality: Using Multivariate Time Series with Seasonally Varying Covariances.” *Submitted*.
- Liu, C., R. Chen, F. Sera, A. M. Vicedo-Cabrera, Y. Guo, S. Tong, M. SZS Coelho, P. HN Saldiva, E. Lavigne, and P. Matus. 2019. “Ambient Particulate Air Pollution and Daily Mortality in 652 Cities.” *NEJM* 381 (8): 705–15.
- Margossian, C. C., A. Vehtari, D. Simpson, and R. Agrawal. 2020. “Hamiltonian Monte Carlo Using an Adjoint-Differentiated Laplace Approximation.” *arXiv Preprint arXiv:2004.12550*.
- Masselot, P., F. Chebana, C. Campagna, E. Lavigne, T. B.M.J. Ouarda, and P. Gosselin.

2020. “Constrained Groupwise Additive Index Models.” *Submitted*.
- Ramsay, J. O. 1988. “Monotone Regression Splines in Action.” *Statistical Science* 3 (4). Institute of Mathematical Statistics: 425–41.
- Rue, H, S. Martino, and N. Chopin. 2009. “Approximate Bayesian Inference for Latent Gaussian Models by Using Integrated Nested Laplace Approximations.” *JRSS: Series B* 71 (2): 319–92.
- Simpson, Daniel, Håvard Rue, Andrea Riebler, Thiago G Martins, and Sigrunn H Sørbye. 2017. “Penalising Model Component Complexity: A Principled, Practical Approach to Constructing Priors.” *Statistical Science* 32 (1). Institute of Mathematical Statistics: 1–28.
- Stieb, D. M., R. T. Burnett, M. Smith-Doiron, O. Brion, H. H. Shin, and V. Economou. 2008. “A New Multipollutant, No-Threshold Air Quality Health Index Based on Short-Term Associations Observed in Daily Time-Series Analyses.” *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association* 58 (3). Taylor & Francis: 435–50.
- Stringer, Alex, Patrick Brown, and Jamie Stafford. 2020. “Approximate Bayesian Inference for Case-Crossover Models.” *Biometrics*. Wiley Online Library.
- Wei, Y., Y. Wang, Q. Di, C. Choirat, Y. Wang, P. Koutrakis, A. Zanobetti, F. Dominici, and J. D Schwartz. 2019. “Short Term Exposure to Fine Particulate Matter and Hospital Admission Risks and Costs in the Medicare Population: Time Stratified, Case Crossover Study.” *BMJ* 367.
- Wu, X., R. C. Nethery, B. M. Sabath, D. Braun, and F. Dominici. 2020. “Exposure to Air Pollution and Covid-19 Mortality in the United States.” *medRxiv*.
- Xia, Y., and H. Tong. 2006. “Cumulative Effects of Air Pollution on Public Health.” *Statistics in Medicine* 25 (20): 3548–59. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.2446>.

Anticipated roles of trainees (students and post-doctoral fellows)

THIS NEEDS TO BE UPDATED TO MATCH THE RESPONSE TO THE SAC's QUESTIONS

Kamal Rai will complete his PhD in 2021 and will work on this project as a postdoc. He will develop the Bayesian implementation of the GAIM models in Stan. This includes exploring determining appropriate prior distributions for the weights α , developing visualizations that communicate modeling results, and assisting other project members in developing shape constraints. He will be responsible for producing paper(s) summarizing the results of this model when run on Canadian air pollution and mortality data. To facilitate team communication and cohesion, he will also split time between Toronto (at the Centre for Global Health Research) and Ottawa (at the University of Ottawa), and use the proximity of the University of Ottawa to Quebec to occasionally visit project collaborators located there.

The University of Toronto PhD student will develop the INLA-like Bayesian computations to conduct inference on the GAIM, and compare its results from those obtained from the Stan implementation. A University of Laval or University of Ottawa PhD student will develop methods to conduct shape-constrained (Bayesian) inference, and examine the relationship between COVID-19 deaths and air pollution levels.

Equity, Diversity, Inclusion

THIS NEEDS TO BE COMPLETED

Anticipated organization of collaboration

THIS NEEDS TO BE UPDATED TO MATCH THE RESPONSE TO THE SAC's QUESTIONS

The research team is situated in three cities - Toronto, Quebec, and Halifax. Two investigators, Patrick Brown and Meredith Franklin, will be in Toronto at the University of Toronto. One investigator and one collaborator, Cindy Feng and Daniel Rainham, will be in Halifax at Dalhousie University. Finally, one investigator, Fateh Chebana, will be in Quebec at INRS.

Plans for dissemination and communication

THIS NEEDS TO BE UPDATED

The lead investigators of this proposal have a track record of publishing research results in leading statistical and epidemiological journals, and aim to publish the results of this project in high-impact journals. The results and findings of this multiple pollutant inquiry will also be shared with Health Canada and the Institut National de Santé Publique du Québec. Drs Shin and Campagna will use the methodologies developed in their ongoing research and programatic work, and facilitate the adoption of the methods more widely in their organizations.

Schedule of events

THIS NEEDS TO BE UPDATED

Project Milestones

Task: Develop the bcGAIM

- 6-8 months: Implement prior(s) for shape-constrained inference for 1st-order and 2nd-order random walks.
- 8-12 months: Implement the bcGAIM in Stan and apply to the multi-pollutant problem.
- 12-16 months: Iterate development of priors until modeling results are satisfactory for the multi-pollutant model.
- 16-20 months: Write a paper summarizing these modeling results and submit for publication.
- 16-20 months: Release an R package so that these models are readily available.
- 20-24 months: Implement additional prior(s) for shape-constrained Bayesian inference.
- 24-30 months: Extend to a hierarchical model.
- 30-36 months: Write a paper summarizing the results of the hierarchical extensions for the exact and approximate inference models, and submit for publication.

Task: Approximate Inference Algorithm

- 4-6 months: Implement Laplace approximations for $\pi(\eta|\theta, \alpha, Y)$ and $\pi(\theta|Y)$ in Stan.
- 4-8 months: Implement an approximate inference algorithm for $\pi(\alpha|\theta, Y)$ in Stan.
- 8-12 months: Implement both approximations outside of Stan. Compare estimation results to those achieved in Stan.
- 12-16 months: Iterate development of approximation schemes outside of Stan.
- 16-20 months: Write a paper summarizing these results and submit for publication.
- 20-24 months: Apply both approximations to the multi-pollutant model.
- 20-24 months: Add the approximate Bayesian inference models to the R package.
- 24-30 months: Extend the approximation algorithm to hierarchical models. Compare to results obtained by Stan.
- 30-36 months: Add hierarchical approximate inference model to the R package.

Task: Multi-Pollutant Application

Note: The Stan implementation of the bcGAIM and the approximate inference algorithms will be developed against the multi-pollutant model, so the tasks for this application are mostly listed above. We include it on its own to give a specific breakdown of the development of the multi-pollutant model.

- 8-12 months: Explore the performance of bcGAIM across regions and mortality outcomes.
- 12-16 months: Iteratively refine the bcGAIM (including the shape-constraining priors).
- 24-30 months: Extend the multi-pollutant model to a hierarchical model (exact and approximate versions).
- 30-36 months: Write a paper summarizing these results and submit for publication.
- 30-36 months: Add hierarchical bcGAIM model to the R package.

Task: COVID-19 Application

- 12-14 months: Identify COVID-19 confounders and data sets that may be used to fit a COVID-19 bcGAIM model.
- 14-16 months: Fit the bcGAIM model to COVID-19 mortality data.
- 16-20 months: Write a paper summarizing these results and submit for publication.

Task: Collaborative Applications

- 12-36 months: Once the bcGAIM is implemented, work with collaborations on appropriate epidemiological studies.

Dissemination and Publication Activities

THIS NEEDS TO BE UPDATED

Year 1

- Milestone: Implement the bcGAIM with shape-constrained priors that are applicable for the multi-pollutant problem.
- Milestone: Implement the INLA-like approximation to the target density of this model in Stan.

Year 2

- Milestone:
- Milestone:
- Submit paper: A multi-pollutant air quality index.
- Submit Paper: Approximate Bayesian inference for the bcGAIM model
- Dissemination: Discuss shape-constrained Bayesian inference at 1-2 conferences.
- Dissemination: Discuss approximate Bayesian inference at 1-2 conferences.

Year 3

- Milestone:

- Submit Paper: Shape-constrained Bayesian inference with interpretable priors.
- Submit paper: The effects of multiple pollutant mixtures on COVID-19 mortality.
- Submit paper: A hierarchical extension to Approximate Bayesian inference
- Dissemination: Discuss the multi-pollutant air quality index at 1-2 conferences.
- Dissemination: Discuss hierarchical extensions to the multi-pollutant air quality index at 1-2 conferences.
- Dissemination: Discuss the COVID-19 inquiry into air pollution exposure at 1-2 conferences.

Major Collaborative Activities

THIS NEEDS TO BE UPDATED

The different components of the bcGAIM project are naturally related. The bcGAIM is being developed in Stan in the first year, as is the first version of the approximate inference algorithm. Therefore, the bcGAIM model should be written to facilitate incorporating these approximations, and they should be developed knowing they will be implemented in Stan. In the second year, the two Stan models and the approximate inference algorithm will be extended to a hierarchical formulation. Although the hierarchical structure is at the city-level, nearby cities differ in their distance from each other. Ideally, a hierarchical model should account for how the composition of a mixture of pollutants varies by distance. The numerical difficulties and more complicated hierarchical structure should encourage strong collaboration at this stage of the project. The third year is devoted to applications – applying the fully developed bcGAIM model to the multi-pollutant problem, COVID-19 data, and other epidemiological applications that arise during the course of the project – as well as writing papers and producing a useful R package. There is again natural collaboration between those writing and maintaining the R package.

Three-year budget

THIS NEEDS MILD UPDATES - I CAN DO THESE

The table below lists income and expenses for each of the three years of the project. This budget assumes that CANSSI funding over the 3 year period is \$200,000.

Expenses	Contributions (in 1,000's)								
	Year 1			Year 2			Year 3		
	CANSSI	Non-CANSSI		CANSSI	Non-CANSSI		CANSSI	Non-CANSSI	
Postdoctoral Fellow	\$35	HC ¹	\$20	\$35	HC	\$20	\$35	HC	\$20
Graduate Students	\$24	INRS ²	\$20	\$24	INRS	\$10	\$24	INRS	\$10
		NSERC ³	\$7		NSERC	\$7		NSERC	\$7
Undergrad Students		USRA ⁴	\$9		USRA	\$9		USRA	\$9
		DG ⁵	\$3		DG	\$3		DG	\$3
Research Assistant		CGHR ⁶	\$10		CGHR	\$10		CGHR	\$10
INSPQ Staff Time		INSPQ ⁷	\$10		INSPQ	\$10		INSPQ	\$10
Travel	\$7			\$7			\$9		
Total	\$66		\$69	\$66		\$69	\$68		\$69

¹ HC: Health Canada

² INRS: Institut national de la recherche scientifique

³ NSERC: The Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada

⁴ USRA: NSERC Undergraduate Student Research Award

⁵ DG: NSERC Discovery Grant

⁶ CHGR: Centre for Global Health Research

⁷ INSPQ: Institut national de santé publique du Québec (Quebec Public Health Institute)

Annual Expenses

1. Postdoctoral Fellow: The postdoctoral fellow will be funded from the CANSSI CRT grant and Health Canada. He will help organize team meetings, split time between Toronto and Halifax, and help onboard other students as they join the project.
2. Graduate Students: 1 PhD student and 1 Master's student will be involved in this project. One of the graduate students will be based at INRS, the other will be at the University of Toronto or Dalhousie University.
3. USRA Students: This project will have a number of self-contained projects suitable for undergraduate research assistants. We intend to involve 2 URSA's at \$6,000/year each, whose work will directly contribute to the project's research aims.
4. Research Assistant: Hana Fu at CGHR will contribute roughly 3 days/month to the project. She will help maintain project data files and perform preliminary analysis.
5. INSPQ Staff Time: Céline Campagna at INSPQ will devote 0.5 days/week to the project.

6. Travel/Equipment: The travel expenses will cover attending conferences and travel between the three institutions by the project trainees. The equipment spending is intended to cover new computing equipment or cloud computing costs.

Contributions

1. CANSSI: The CANSSI funding is \$200,000 over three years, or \$66,666 per year.
2. Health Canada: Health Canada will contribute \$20,000 per year via research contracts.
3. INRS: Fateh Chebana will contribute \$10,000 in graduate student funding.
4. NSERC: Cindy Feng will contribute \$7,000/year in graduate student funding.
5. INSPQ: The INSPQ will contribute staff time to the project, estimated at \$10,000/year for 3 years.
6. CGHR: CGHR's support will be in-kind, in the form of funding the research assistant and providing research facilities.
7. Undergraduate Summer Students: Two USRAs will be applied for each year, which will pay for undergraduate summer students. NSERC also requires a contribution from the Discovery Grant of the supervisor.