

# Experimental Statistics for Engineers I

Kamala Dadashova

**Problem 1:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample of size  $n$  from some distribution with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ .

(a) Show that the sample variance is an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ .

**Solution:**

We want to show that the estimator

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = S^2 = \frac{\sum_i (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n-1}$$

is unbiased for estimation  $\sigma^2$ . Let  $X$  be any random variable with  $V(X) = E(X^2) - [E(X)]^2$ , i.e,  $E(X^2) = V(X) + [E(X)]^2$

Firstly,

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\sum_i (X_i - \bar{X})^2\right] &= E\left[\sum_i (X_i^2 - 2X_i\bar{X} + \bar{X}^2)\right] = E\left[\sum_i X_i^2 - \sum_i 2X_i\bar{X} + \sum_i \bar{X}^2\right] = \\ &= E\left[\sum_i X_i^2 - 2\bar{X} \sum_i X_i + \sum_i \bar{X}^2\right] = E\left[\sum_i X_i^2 - 2n\bar{X}^2 + n\bar{X}^2\right] = \\ &= E\left[\sum_i X_i^2 - n\bar{X}^2\right] = \sum_i E[X_i^2] - E[n\bar{X}^2] \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that  $\bar{X} = \frac{\sum_i X_i}{n}$ . Next,

$$E[X_i]^2 = \sigma^2 + \mu^2$$

$$E[\bar{X}]^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \mu^2.$$

By substituting, above expression is equal to

$$= \sum_i (\sigma^2 + \mu^2) - n \cdot \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \mu^2\right) = n\sigma^2 + n\mu^2 - \sigma^2 - n\mu^2 = \sigma^2(n-1)$$

Hence, we show

---


$$\frac{1}{n-1}E\left[\sum_i (X_i - \bar{X})^2\right] = \sigma^2$$

i.e  $E(\hat{\sigma}^2) = \sigma^2$ . So,  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  is unbiased.

(b) Is  $\bar{X}^2$  an unbiased estimator for  $\mu^2$ ? If not, what is the bias of  $\bar{X}^2$ ?

**Solution:**

Note that

$$E(\bar{X}^2) = V(\bar{X}) + [E(\bar{X})]^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \mu^2 \neq \mu^2$$

So,  $\bar{X}^2$  is a biased estimator for  $\mu^2$ . Bias term is  $E(\bar{X}^2) - \mu^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$ .

(c) How can we obtain an unbiased estimator for  $\mu^2$ ?

Using part (a) and part(b), one unbiased estimator can be

$$\bar{X}^2 - \frac{S^2}{n}$$

Because  $E(\bar{X}^2 - \frac{S^2}{n}) = E(\bar{X}^2) - \frac{1}{n}E(S^2) = E(\bar{X}^2) - \frac{\sigma^2}{n} = \mu^2$

**Problem 2:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_7$  be a random sample from the normal model with mean  $\mu = 5$  and variance  $\sigma^2 = 1$ . Consider the following two estimators of  $\mu$ :

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \frac{X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4 + X_5 + X_6 + X_7}{7}$$

$$\hat{\theta}_2 = \frac{2X_1 - X_2 + X_7}{2}$$

(a) Is either estimator unbiased?

**Solution:**

We want to check whether  $E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta$ .

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\frac{X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4 + X_5 + X_6 + X_7}{7}\right) &= \frac{1}{7}E(X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4 + X_5 + X_6 + X_7) = \\ &= \frac{1}{7}(E(X_1) + E(X_2) + E(X_3) + E(X_4) + E(X_5) + E(X_6) \\ &\quad + E(X_7)) = \frac{1}{7} \cdot 7\mu = \mu \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion:  $\theta_1$  is unbiased.

$$E\left(\frac{2X_1 - X_2 + X_7}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}E(2X_1 - X_2 + X_7) = \frac{1}{2}(2E(X_1) - E(X_2) + E(X_7)) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2\mu = \mu$$

Conclusion:  $\theta_2$  is unbiased.

- 
- (b) Conduct a Bootstrap simulation to compare the estimators ( $B = 1000$ ). Which of the two estimators do you prefer and why?

**Solution:**

We attach the R code result at the end of this file. According to it,  $\theta_1$  is more accurate because the mean and standard deviation estimation for this parameter is much closer to true values.

**Problem 3:** The pmf for the binomial model is

$$p(x) = \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x}$$

for  $x = 0, 1, \dots, n$  and 0 otherwise

- (a) Assuming that  $n$  is known, find the method of moments estimator for  $p$ .

**Solution:**

From binomial distribution, we know that

$$E(X) = np$$

$$V(X) = np(1-p)$$

$$np = \bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i$$

Since  $n$  is known, we can find estimator for  $p$ ,

$$\hat{p} = \frac{\bar{X}}{n}$$

- (b) Assuming that both  $n$  and  $p$  are unknown (i.e., both are parameters), find the method of moments estimator for  $n$  and  $p$ .

**Solution:**

From binomial distribution, we know that

$$E(X) = np$$

$$V(X) = np(1-p)$$

$$np = \bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i$$

$$np(1-p) = \frac{1}{n} \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2$$

We need to solve this system,

$$(1-p) = \frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{\sum X_i}$$

$$\hat{p} = 1 - \frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{\sum X_i}$$

---

Then,

$$n\hat{p} = \bar{X}$$
$$\hat{n} = \frac{\bar{X} \sum X_i}{\sum X_i - \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$$

**Problem 4:** Let  $X$  be the proportion of allotted time that a randomly selected student spends working on a certain aptitude test. Suppose the pdf of  $X$  is

$$f(x; \theta) = (1 + \theta)x^\theta, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1$$

for  $\theta > -1$ . A random sample of 10 students yields data:

$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_6$	$x_7$	$x_8$	$x_9$	$x_{10}$
0.92	0.79	0.90	0.65	0.86	0.47	0.73	0.97	0.94	0.77

Table 1

- (a) Use the MOM to obtain an estimator of  $\theta$ ; compute an estimate for the given data.

**Solution:**

Firstly, we find expected value

$$E(x) = \int_0^1 x f(x) dx = \int_0^1 x(\theta + 1)x^\theta dx = \int_0^1 (\theta + 1)x^{\theta+1} dx = \frac{(\theta + 1)}{(\theta + 2)} \left[ x^{\theta+2} \right]_0^1 = \frac{(\theta + 1)}{(\theta + 2)}$$

The estimator for  $\theta$ :

$$\frac{(\theta + 1)}{(\theta + 2)} = \bar{X}$$
$$\theta + 1 = \bar{X}(\theta + 2)$$
$$\theta(1 - \bar{X}) = 2\bar{X} - 1$$
$$\theta = \frac{2\bar{X} - 1}{1 - \bar{X}}$$

Next, we find mean value of data given in Table 1,

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n} = \frac{8}{10} = 0.8$$

Plugging it in estimator, we get estimate of parameter  $\theta$

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{2 \cdot \bar{X} - 1}{1 - \bar{X}} = \frac{2 \cdot 0.8 - 1}{1 - 0.8} = 3$$

---

(b) Obtain the MLE of  $\theta$  and then compute an estimate for the given data.

**Solution:**

Likelihood for  $\theta$  is

$$L = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{10}; \theta)$$

$$L = (\theta + 1) x_1^\theta \times (\theta + 1) x_2^\theta \times (\theta + 1) x_3^\theta \times (\theta + 1) x_4^\theta \dots (\theta + 1) x_{10}^\theta$$

$$L = (\theta + 1)^{10} \prod_{i=1}^{10} (x_i)^\theta$$

$$\log(L) = 10 \ln(\theta + 1) + \ln \prod_{i=1}^{10} (x_i)^\theta$$

$$\log(L) = 10 \ln(\theta + 1) + \theta \sum_{i=1}^{10} \ln(x_i)$$

We take derivative of the last expression and set it equal to zero,

$$\frac{d(\log L)}{d\theta} = 0$$

$$\frac{10}{\theta + 1} + \sum_{i=1}^{10} \ln(x_i) = 0$$

$$\theta = \frac{-10 - \sum_{i=1}^{10} \ln(x_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{10} \ln(x_i)}$$

We plug in each values of  $x_i$  in the table into the last expression and get

$$\theta = \frac{-10 + 2.4295}{-2.4295} = 3.12$$

**Problem 5:** In class we derived the MOM and MLE for an exponential distribution with parameter  $\lambda$ . Conduct a Bootstrap simulation to compare the estimation of  $\lambda$  with sample sizes of  $n = 10$ ,  $n = 100$ , and  $n = 500$ . Choose true value  $\lambda = 0.2$  and use  $B = 1000$ . Calculate and compare the mean and standard error for each set of simulations to each other as well as their theoretical values.

**Solution:**

The code is provided below. As we observe we get more accurate result when  $n=500$ .

## CODES

Problem 2 (part(b))

```
set.seed(2)
n <- 7
base.smpl <- rnorm(n,5,1) # create sample of size 7 from N(5,1)

B=1000 # run 1000 bootstrap samples
means <- rep(0,B)
means2<-rep(0,B)
for(i in 1:B){
  rsample <- sample(base.smpl,n,replace=T)
  means[i] <- mean(rsample)
  means2[i]<-(2*rsample[1]-rsample[2]+rsample[7])/2
}
x_bar_bar <- mean(means) # calculate mean and standard error estimates
x2_bar_bar<-mean(means2)
se_est <- sd(means)
se_est2 <- sd(means2)
se <- 1/sqrt(n) # calculate true standard error
x_bar_bar
```

```
## [1] 5.081006
```

```
x2_bar_bar
```

```
## [1] 5.100792
```

```
se_est
```

```
## [1] 0.3251693
```

```
se_est2
```

```
## [1] 1.021624
```

```
se
```

```
## [1] 0.3779645
```

Problem 5:

```
set.seed(30)
n <- 10
base.smpl <- rexp(n,0.2) # create sample of size 10 from Exp(n=10,rate=0.2)

B=1000 # run 1000 bootstrap samples
means <- rep(0,B) # create dummy matrix for means
for(i in 1:B){
  rsample <- sample(base.smpl,n,replace=T) # sample n=10 from population with replacement
  means[i] <- mean(rsample) # calculate mean and save in vector
}
x_bar_bar <- mean(means) # calculate mean and standard error estimates
```

```

se_est <- sd(means)
se <- 5/sqrt(n) # calculate true standard error
x_bar_bar

## [1] 5.60815
se_est

## [1] 1.340186
se

## [1] 1.581139
set.seed(30)
n <- 100
base.smpl <- rexp(n,0.2) # create sample of size 10 from Exp(n=10,rate=0.2)

B=1000 # run 1000 bootstrap samples
means <- rep(0,B) # create dummy matrix for means
for(i in 1:B){
  rsample <- sample(base.smpl,n,replace=T) # sample n=10 from population with replacement
  means[i] <- mean(rsample) # calculate mean and save in vector
}
x_bar_bar <- mean(means) # calculate mean and standard error estimates
se_est <- sd(means)
se <- 5/sqrt(n) # calculate true standard error
x_bar_bar

## [1] 4.482176
se_est

## [1] 0.3986888
se

## [1] 0.5
set.seed(30)
n <- 500
base.smpl <- rexp(n,0.2) # create sample of size 10 from Exp(n=10,rate=0.2)

B=1000 # run 1000 bootstrap samples
means <- rep(0,B) # create dummy matrix for means
for(i in 1:B){
  rsample <- sample(base.smpl,n,replace=T) # sample n=10 from population with replacement
  means[i] <- mean(rsample) # calculate mean and save in vector
}
x_bar_bar <- mean(means) # calculate mean and standard error estimates
se_est <- sd(means)
se <- 5/sqrt(n) # calculate true standard error
x_bar_bar

## [1] 4.935456
se_est

## [1] 0.2260088

```

```
se
```

```
## [1] 0.2236068
```